

ON THE VECTORIAL HAMILTON-JACOBI SYSTEM

BAISHENG YAN

*Department of Mathematics
Michigan State University
East Lansing, MI 48824, USA
E-mail: yan@math.msu.edu*

We discuss some recent developments in the study of regularity and stability for a first order Hamilton-Jacobi system: $\nabla u(x) \in K$, where K is a closed set of $n \times m$ -matrices and u is a map from a domain $\Omega \subset R^m$ to R^n . For regularity of solutions, we obtain a higher integrability from a very weak integral coercivity condition known as the L^p -mean coercivity. For the stability, we study $W^{1,p}$ -sequences $\{u_j\}$ for which $\{\nabla u_j\}$ converges weakly and approaches the set K in some point-wise sense, and describe a new approach to study the weak limits by the so-called $W^{1,p}$ -quasiconvex hull of K . Computation of quasiconvex hulls is usually extremely hard, but some important new developments in the nonlinear partial differential equations turn out to be greatly useful for our study.

1 Introduction

Many partial differential equations arising from problems in analysis, geometry and mechanics can be written as a first order Hamilton-Jacobi system:

$$\nabla u(x) \in K, \quad a.e. \ x \in \Omega \subseteq R^m, \quad (1)$$

where $u: \Omega \rightarrow R^n$ and K is a subset of $M^{n \times m}$, the set of all real $n \times m$ matrices. Here $\nabla u(x)$ denotes the Jacobian matrix or the gradient of map u :

$$(\nabla u)_{ij} = \partial u^i / \partial x_j; \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m.$$

A systematic study of Hamilton-Jacobi equations (when $n = 1$) has been largely developed in P.L. Lions²¹; while for the vectorial cases where $m, n \geq 2$, some recent attempt for such a study has been made by Dacorogna and Marcellini^{8,9}, Müller and Šverák²⁵, Šverák^{29,30}, Yan^{33,35}, and Yan and Zhou^{36,37,38}.

In this note, I report on some recent developments in the study of regularity and stability concerning the system (1). For regularity, the ultimate goal is to obtain the *right* conditions on K which guarantee that the solutions be smooth, for example, the $C^{1,\alpha}$ -regularity for p -Laplacians of K. Uhlenbeck³²; for stability, we are interested in certain convergence behaviors of the sequences that satisfy (1) approximately.

A sequence $\{u_j\}$ is called an *approximating sequence* of (1) if there exists a non-negative continuous function f vanishing exactly on K such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega'} f(\nabla u_j(x)) dx = 0 \quad \text{for all } \Omega' \subset \subset \Omega. \quad (2)$$

An important problem is to study weakly convergent approximating sequences of (1) and their weak limits in the Sobolev space $W^{1,p}(\Omega; R^n)$ consisting of all L^p -integrable maps with L^p -integrable gradients. The notion of strong and weak convergence in $W^{1,p}(\Omega; R^n)$ is defined as usual and denoted by “ \rightarrow ” and “ \rightharpoonup ”, respectively. In particular, we say K is $W^{1,p}$ -stable if for any weakly (weakly* if $p = \infty$) convergent approximating sequence in $W^{1,p}(\Omega; R^n)$ the weak limit u_0 satisfies (1); we say K is $W^{1,p}$ -compact if every weakly convergent approximating sequence of (1) converges strongly in $W_{loc}^{1,1}(\Omega; R^n)$. From the definition, it is obvious that every $W^{1,p}$ -compact set is $W^{1,p}$ -stable.

An algebraic structure pertaining to both regularity and stability for (1) is the so-called *rank-one connections* in K , which, by definition, are the closed line segments connecting any two matrices in K that differ by a rank-one matrix^{3,31}. A necessary condition for K to be $W^{1,\infty}$ -stable is that K contains all its rank-one connections, while a necessary condition for K to be $W^{1,\infty}$ -compact is that K contains no rank-one connections. Moreover, if K has a rank-one connection, some solutions to (1) may have discontinuous gradients²⁵.

In order to obtain the optimal conditions for $W^{1,p}$ -stability of the sets, we introduce an important concept of $W^{1,p}$ -quasiconvex hulls or simply p -quasiconvex hulls of the sets using Morrey’s notion of quasiconvex functions²³. Our p -quasiconvex hulls generalize the usual quasiconvex hulls introduced in the study of microstructures of certain elastic materials using the theory of *Young measures*^{3,20,29,31}. As we shall see later, $W^{1,p}$ -stability for (1) is essentially determined by the p -quasiconvex hull of the set K .

In many problems, however, computing the p -quasiconvex hulls is an infinite dimensional problem and usually is extremely difficult for a given set. Nevertheless, some new developments in the nonlinear PDEs including methods of compensated compactness^{6,10,24,31} of F. Murat and L. Tartar and nonlinear Hodge decompositions of T. Iwaniec^{17,19} can be very useful in these studies.

2 $W^{1,p}$ -Quasiconvex Hulls and $W^{1,p}$ -Stability

Given $f : M^{n \times m} \rightarrow R^1$, define the *quasiconvexification* f^{qc} of f to be

$$f^{qc}(A) = \inf_{\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega, R^m)} \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} f(A + \nabla \phi(x)) dx, \quad A \in M^{n \times m}. \quad (3)$$

We say f is *quasiconvex* if $f^{qc} \equiv f$. It is well-known that f^{qc} is independent of the domain Ω and, under some mild conditions, is also quasiconvex⁷. By Jensen's inequality, every convex function is quasiconvex, but V. Šverák²⁸ has shown that the class of quasiconvex functions is strictly larger if, e.g., $n, m \geq 3$.

Let K be a subset of $M^{n \times m}$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. We denote by $Q_p^+(K)$ the set of all quasiconvex continuous functions f on $M^{n \times m}$ which satisfy $f|_K = 0$ and

$$0 \leq f(X) < C_f (|X|^p + 1), \quad X \in M^{n \times m} \quad (4)$$

for a constant $C_f < \infty$. If $p = \infty$, condition (4) simply means $0 \leq f(X) < \infty$. Let $Z(f)$ denote the zero set of f . We now define the p -quasiconvex hulls.

Definition 2.1 *The set*

$$Q_p(K) = \cap \{Z(f) \mid f \in Q_p^+(K)\}$$

is called the $W^{1,p}$ - or p -quasiconvex hull of K . We say K is p -quasiconvex if $Q_p(K) = K$.

Remarks. 1. It is well-known that^{1,4} the functional $I_S(u) = \int_S f(\nabla u)$ is weakly lower semicontinuous on $W^{1,p}(\Omega; R^n)$ for any $f \in Q_p^+(K)$ and measurable set $S \subseteq \Omega$.

2. If $f(X)$ is a continuous and quasiconvex function on $M^{n \times m}$ satisfying $0 \leq f(X) < C(|X|^p + 1)$ and $Z(f) \neq \emptyset$, then $K = Z(f)$ is p -quasiconvex.

3. It is easily seen that $Q_q(K) \subseteq Q_p(K)$ for all $1 \leq p < q \leq \infty$. Moreover, if $\text{conv}(K)$ is the closed convex hull of K , then $Q_1(K) \subseteq \text{conv}(K)$. So, all $Q_p(K)$ are compact sets if K is bounded.

Using the Chacon biting convergence lemma⁵, we can prove the following result³⁵.

Theorem 2.2 *Suppose $\{u_j\}$ is an approximating sequence of (1) and $u_j \rightharpoonup u_0$ weakly in $W^{1,p}(\Omega; R^n)$ (weakly * if $p = \infty$). Then $\nabla u_0(x) \in Q_p(K)$ for almost every $x \in \Omega$. Therefore, K is $W^{1,p}$ -stable if it is p -quasiconvex.*

For bounded sets, using a Luzin type approximation result^{1,20,39}, we can prove the following necessary and sufficient condition for $W^{1,p}$ -stability of compact sets³⁵.

Theorem 2.3 *Let K be a compact set. Then, the p -quasiconvex hulls $Q_p(K)$ are all the same for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and will be denoted by $Q(K)$. Moreover, K is $W^{1,p}$ -stable if and only if K is quasiconvex, i.e., $Q(K) = K$.*

3 Integral Growth Conditions and $W^{1,p}$ -Compactness

We now study the $W^{1,p}$ -compactness based on the integral growth condition similar to the uniformly strict quasiconvexity of Evans and Gariepy¹⁴. The following results have been proved in Yan³⁵.

Theorem 3.1 *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $f \in Q_p^+(K)$ with $Z(f) = K$. Suppose for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $C_\epsilon > 0$ such that*

$$\int_D |\nabla \phi| \leq \epsilon \int_D (|\nabla \phi|^p + 1) + C_\epsilon \int_D f(A + \nabla \phi) \quad (5)$$

holds for all $A \in K$ and $\phi \in W_0^{1,p}(D; R^n)$, where D is a fixed cube in Ω . Then K is $W^{1,q}$ -compact for all $q > p$.

The usefulness of this theorem is that we only need to check the condition (5) for all $A \in K$ and this condition is much weaker than the uniformly strict quasiconvexity¹⁴.

Theorem 3.2 *Let K be compact, and let $D \subseteq \Omega$ be a cube and $1 < p < \infty$. Then, K is $W^{1,1}$ -compact if and only if for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a constant $C_\epsilon < \infty$ such that*

$$\int_D (d_K(A) + |\nabla \phi|) \leq \epsilon |D| + C_\epsilon \int_D d_K^p(A + \nabla \phi) \quad (6)$$

holds for all $A \in M^{n \times m}$ and $\phi \in W_0^{1,p}(D; R^n)$.

4 Special Structures in p -Quasiconvex Hulls

In order to compute $Q_p(K)$, we need some special structures besides those consisting of rank-one connections mentioned earlier in the introduction.

For any set K and number $p \in [1, \infty]$, let $\beta_p(K)$ be the set of all matrices A for which (1) has a solution $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega; R^n)$ that satisfies $u(x) = u_A(x) \equiv Ax$ on $\partial\Omega$, and let $\omega_p(K)$ be the set of all matrices A for which there exists an approximating sequence $\{u_j\}$ of (1) such that $u_j \rightharpoonup u_A$ weakly in $W^{1,p}(\Omega; R^n)$ (weakly * if $p = \infty$).

Directly from the definition, we can easily prove the following.

Theorem 4.1 (a) $\beta_p(K) \subseteq \omega_p(K) \cap Q_p(K)$ and furthermore, if K is $W^{1,p}$ -compact then the only solution to (1) with $u = u_A$ on $\partial\Omega$ is $u \equiv u_A$.

(b) $\omega_\infty(K) \subseteq Q_p(K)$ and $\omega_\infty(K)$ contains all rank-one connections in K .

(c) If K is compact, then $Q(K) = \omega_\infty(K)$.

Remark. There has been some recent study of the set $\beta_p(K)$ defined above by Dacorogna and Marcellini^{8,9} using the Baire category theory, and by Müller and Šverák²⁵ using Gromov's idea of convex integration.

5 L^p -Mean Coercivity and Higher Regularity

We consider the case when K is a closed cone in $M^{n \times m}$, i.e., $\lambda K \subseteq K$ for all $\lambda \geq 0$. Let d_K be the corresponding distance function and let B be the unit ball in R^m . Define

$$\mu(p; K) = \inf \left\{ \int_B d_K^p(\nabla \phi) \mid \phi \in C_0^\infty(B; R^n), \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^p(B)} = 1 \right\}. \quad (7)$$

We say that K satisfies the L^p -mean coercivity provided that $\mu(p; K) > 0$.

Theorem 5.1 *If $p > 1$ and $\mu(p; K) > 0$, then there exists $\epsilon \in (0, p - 1)$ such that $\mu(r; K) > 0$ for all $r \in [p - \epsilon, p + \epsilon]$.*

The proof of this theorem relies on the following stability result on the nonlinear Hodge decompositions due to Iwaniec¹⁷. We refer to Iwaniec and Sbordone¹⁹ for a proof of this result using the L^p -estimates of nonlinear para-commutators.

Lemma 5.2 *Let $r > 1$ and B be the open unit ball in R^m . Then, for any $u \in W_0^{1,r}(B; R^n)$ and $\epsilon \in (-1, r - 1)$, the matrix $|\nabla u|^\epsilon \nabla u \in L^{\frac{r}{1+\epsilon}}(B; M^{n \times m})$ can be decomposed as $|\nabla u(x)|^\epsilon \nabla u(x) = \nabla \psi(x) + h(x)$ for a.e. $x \in B$, where $\psi \in W_0^{1, \frac{r}{1+\epsilon}}(B; R^n)$, and $h \in L^{\frac{r}{1+\epsilon}}(R^m; M^{n \times m})$ is a divergence free matrix field satisfying*

$$\|h\|_{L^{\frac{r}{1+\epsilon}}(R^m)} \leq C(m, n, r, \epsilon) |\epsilon| \|\nabla u\|_{L^r(B)}^{1+\epsilon}. \quad (8)$$

Moreover, for any constants $1 < r_1 < r_2 < \infty$,

$$\sup_{|\epsilon| \leq \frac{r_1-1}{r_1+1}, r_1 \leq r \leq r_2} C(m, n, r, \epsilon) \equiv \alpha(r_1, r_2) < \infty. \quad (9)$$

We now sketch the proof of Theorem 5.1 given in Yan and Zhou³⁸. Let

$$r_1 = \frac{\sqrt{8p+1}-1}{2}, \quad \epsilon_0 = \frac{r_1-1}{r_1+1}, \quad r_2 = (1+\epsilon_0)p. \quad (10)$$

Let $|\epsilon| \leq \epsilon_0$ and $r = (1+\epsilon)p$; then $r_1 \leq r \leq r_2$. Let $\phi \in C_0^\infty(B; R^n)$. Using Lemma 5.2, we decompose $|\nabla \phi|^\epsilon \nabla \phi \in L^p(B; M^{n \times m})$ as follows:

$$|\nabla \phi(x)|^\epsilon \nabla \phi(x) = \nabla \psi(x) + h(x) \quad \text{a.e. } x \in B, \quad (11)$$

where $\psi \in W_0^{1,p}(B; R^n)$, $h \in L^p(R^m; M^{n \times m})$ and

$$\|h\|_{L^p(R^m)} \leq \alpha_p |\epsilon| \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^r(B)}^{1+\epsilon}, \quad (12)$$

where α_p depends only on p . Hence,

$$\|\nabla\psi\|_{L^p(B)} \geq (1 - \alpha_p|\epsilon|) \|\nabla\phi\|_{L^r(B)}^{1+\epsilon}. \quad (13)$$

From (11), we have $\nabla\psi(x) = |\nabla\phi(x)|^\epsilon \nabla\phi(x) - h(x)$ and hence

$$d_K(\nabla\psi(x)) \leq |\nabla\phi(x)|^\epsilon d_K(\nabla\phi(x)) + |h(x)| \quad \forall x \in B.$$

Let $\sigma_0 = \mu(p; K)^{1/p}$. We have by the L^p -mean coercivity

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_0 \|\nabla\psi\|_{L^p(B)} &\leq \|d_K(\nabla\psi)\|_{L^p(B)} \\ &\leq \| |\nabla\phi|^\epsilon d_K(\nabla\phi) \|_{L^p(B)} + \|h\|_{L^p(B)}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Combining (12)–(14), we have

$$(\sigma_0 - \alpha_p(1 + \sigma_0)|\epsilon|) \|\nabla\phi\|_{L^r(B)}^{1+\epsilon} \leq \| |\nabla\phi|^\epsilon d_K(\nabla\phi) \|_{L^p(B)}. \quad (15)$$

From this, it follows that if $|\epsilon| \leq \epsilon_0$ is further chosen sufficiently small then

$$\int_B d_K^r(\nabla\phi) \geq C_p \|\nabla\phi\|_{L^r(B)}^r, \quad C_p > 0, \quad r = (1 + \epsilon)p, \quad (16)$$

proving the theorem. Indeed, if $\epsilon < 0$, using $|\nabla\phi|^\epsilon \leq [d_K(\nabla\phi)]^\epsilon$, we have

$$\| |\nabla\phi|^\epsilon d_K(\nabla\phi) \|_{L^p(B)} \leq \|d_K^{1+\epsilon}(\nabla\phi)\|_{L^p(B)} = \|d_K(\nabla\phi)\|_{L^r(B)}^{1+\epsilon},$$

hence (16) follows by using (15) if $|\epsilon|$ is sufficiently small. Let $\epsilon > 0$; then, by Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\| |\nabla\phi|^\epsilon d_K(\nabla\phi) \|_{L^p(B)} \leq \|d_K(\nabla\phi)\|_{L^r(B)} \|\nabla\phi\|_{L^r(B)}^\epsilon.$$

So, we still obtain (16) using (15) for all sufficiently small $|\epsilon|$.

The L^p -mean coercivity and the Ekeland variational principle¹² enable us to adapt the standard Caccioppoli-type estimates¹⁶ to obtain some higher regularity results using the technique of reverse Hölder inequalities. This technique was first introduced by Gehring¹⁵ in the study of higher integrability of quasiconformal mappings and used later successfully for studying the nonlinear elliptic systems^{16,22}.

The following results concerning the regularity and stability for system (1) have been proved in Yan and Zhou^{37,38}.

Theorem 5.3 *Let K be a closed cone and $S(K) = \{p > 1 \mid \mu(p; K) > 0\} \neq \emptyset$. Then $Q_p(K)$ is constant for all p belonging to each of the connected components of $S(K)$.*

Theorem 5.4 *Let K be a closed cone and $[\alpha, \beta] \subset S(K)$. Then any solution $u \in W_{loc}^{1,\alpha}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ to system (1) must belong to $W_{loc}^{1,\beta}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Remarks. 1. An application of these results will be discussed in the next section concerning the regularity and stability of the *weakly quasiregular mappings*.

2. It remains extremely difficult and quite challenging to describe the set $S(K)$ in terms of any intrinsic properties of the cone K .

3. Another problem is to find a necessary and sufficient condition such that K is p -quasiconvex, which would have a profound impact on the study of Hamilton-Jacobi system (1).

6 Weakly Quasiregular Mappings

As an example of our study, we consider the case $m = n$ and the class of closed cones K_l defined by

$$K_l = \{A \in M^{n \times n} \mid |A|^n \leq ln^{n/2} \det A\}$$

for all $l \geq 1$; the set K_1 is called the *conformal set* and denoted by C_n . A map $u \in W_{loc}^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ is called (weakly if $p < n$) l -quasiregular^{17,18,27} if it satisfies $\nabla u(x) \in K_l$ a.e. $x \in \Omega$.

In order to study the regularity and stability of (weakly) quasiregular mappings, we shall try to compute the set $S(K_l)$. Consider the function

$$F_l(X) = \max\{0, |X|^n - ln^{n/2} \det X\}.$$

It is easily seen that $F_l \geq 0$ is n -homogeneous, quasiconvex and $Z(F_l) = K_l$, and we also have that $\int_B F_l(\nabla \phi) \geq \int_B |\nabla \phi|^n$ for all $\phi \in C_0^\infty(B; \mathbb{R}^n)$. From this, using the homogeneity, we obtain that there is a $\Gamma > 0$ such that

$$\int_B d_{K_l}^n(\nabla \phi) \geq \Gamma \int_B |\nabla \phi|^n, \quad \forall \phi \in C_0^\infty(B; \mathbb{R}^n).$$

Hence, by definition and Theorems 5.3 and 5.4, we have the following regularity and stability result.

Theorem 6.1 *For all $l \geq 1$, it follows that $n \in S(K_l)$ and $Q_p(K_l) = K_l$ for $p \in [n - \epsilon, n + \epsilon] \subset S(K_l)$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. Therefore, we have both the stability and higher regularity for weakly quasiregular mappings in $W_{loc}^{1,p}$ with p slightly below the dimension n .*

Remarks. 1. Observe that the nonlinear homothety $u_l(x) = x|x|^{(1-l)/l}$ satisfies $\nabla u_l(x) \in K_l$ for $x \neq 0$, and $u_l \in W^{1,n}(B; \mathbb{R}^n)$, but $u_l \notin W^{1, \frac{n}{l-1}}(B; \mathbb{R}^n)$. By Theorem 5.4, there must be a $q \in [n, nl/(l-1)]$ such that $q \notin S(K_l)$.

2. On a basis of Theorem 5.4 and a conjecture made by Iwaniec¹⁷ concerning regularity of weakly quasiregular mappings, we conjecture that $S(K_l) = \left(\frac{nl}{l+1}, \frac{nl}{l-1}\right)$ for all n and $l \geq 1$. For even dimensions n , it is indeed true that $S(C_n) = (n/2, \infty)$, but question concerning all other cases remains open^{18,26,34}.

3. This conjecture is closely related to an open problem on the p -Laplacian equation regarding whether the $C^{1,\alpha}$ -regularity result of Uhlenbeck³² holds for weak solutions in $W^{1,q}$ with $q > p - 1$.

The following theorem gives the compactness results concerning the weakly conformal mappings proved in Müller, Šverák and Yan²⁶ and in Yan and Zhou³⁶.

Theorem 6.2 *There exists a $p_n \in [n/2, n)$, $p_n = n/2$ if n is even, such that if $p \geq p_n$ and if $u_j \rightarrow u_0$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega; R^n)$ and $\int_{\Omega} d_{C_n}^p(\nabla u_j) \rightarrow 0$ then $u_j \rightarrow u_0$ strongly in $W_{loc}^{1,1}(\Omega; R^n)$.*

Remarks. 1. If $p \geq n$, the result follows from a strong convergence result of Evans and Gariepy¹⁴ by a theory of *strictly uniformly polyconvex* functions. However, as proved in Yan³⁴, such functions do not exist if $p < n$.

2. If $p_n \leq p < n$, Theorem 6.2 generalizes the classical stability result of quasiregular mappings²⁷: If $\{u_j\}$ is a sequence of l_j -quasiregular mappings bounded in $W^{1,n}$ and $l_j \rightarrow 1$, then $\{u_j\}$ converges strongly to a Möbius map in $W_{loc}^{1,1}(\Omega; R^n)$. In this case, it is easily seen that the conformal energy $I_p(u_j) = \int_{\Omega} d_{C_n}^p(\nabla u_j)$ approaches 0.

3. The result in the even dimension case is sharp. A key to the proof is the fact that for $n = 2l$ the conformality of a matrix can be (almost) characterized by a *linear* condition that involves $l \times l$ -minors^{11,18}. This characterization gives a nonlinear version of Cauchy-Riemann equations and hence enables us to use the elliptic estimates and compensated compactness^{6,26,31}.

References

1. E. Acerbi and N. Fusco, Semicontinuity problems in the calculus of variations, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, **86**, 125–145 (1984).
2. J. M. Ball, Convexity conditions and existence theorems in nonlinear elasticity, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, **63**, 337–403 (1977).
3. J. M. Ball and R. D. James, Proposed experimental tests of a theory of fine microstructures and the two well problem, *Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London*, **338A**, 389–450 (1992).
4. J. M. Ball and F. Murat, $W^{1,p}$ -Quasiconvexity and variational problems for multiple integrals, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **58**, 225–253 (1984).

5. J. M. Ball and F. Murat, Remarks on Chacon's biting lemma, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **3**, 655–663 (1989).
6. R. Coifman, P.-L. Lions, Y. Meyer and S. Semmes, Compensated compactness and Hardy spaces, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, **72(9)**, 247–286 (1993).
7. B. Dacorogna, *Direct Methods in the Calculus of Variations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
8. B. Dacorogna and P. Marcellini, General existence theorems for Hamilton-Jacobi equations in the scalar and vectorial cases, *Acta Math.*, **178(1)**, 1–37 (1997).
9. B. Dacorogna and P. Marcellini, Cauchy-Dirichlet problem for first-order nonlinear systems, *J. Funct. Anal.*, (to appear)
10. R. J. DiPerna, Compensated compactness and general systems of conservation laws, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **292(2)**, 383–420 (1985).
11. S. K. Donaldson and D. P. Sullivan, Quasiconformal 4-manifolds, *Acta Math.*, **163**, 181–252 (1989).
12. I. Ekeland, On the variational principle, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **47**, 324–353 (1974).
13. L. C. Evans, Quasiconvexity and partial regularity in the calculus of variations, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, **95**, 227–252 (1986).
14. L. C. Evans and R. F. Gariepy, Some remarks concerning quasiconvexity and strong convergence, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh*, **106A**, 53–61 (1987).
15. F. W. Gehring, The L^p -integrability of the partial derivatives of a quasiconformal mapping, *Acta Math.*, **130**, 265–277 (1973).
16. M. Giaquinta, *Multiple Integrals in the Calculus of Variations and Non-linear Elliptic Systems*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1983.
17. T. Iwaniec, p -Harmonic tensors and quasiregular mappings, *Ann. Math.*, **136**, 589–624 (1992).
18. T. Iwaniec and G. Martin, Quasiregular mappings in even dimensions, *Acta Math.*, **170**, 29–81 (1993).
19. T. Iwaniec and C. Sbordone, Weak minima of variational integrals, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **454**, 143–161 (1994).
20. D. Kinderlehrer and P. Pedregal, Gradient Young measures generated by sequences in Sobolev spaces, *J. Geom. Anal.*, **4(1)**, 59–90 (1994).
21. P. L. Lions, *Generalized Solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi Equations*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics, Vol. **69**, Longman, Harlow, 1982.
22. N. Meyers and A. Elcrat, Some results on regularity for solutions of non-linear elliptic systems and quasi-regular functions, *Duke Math. J.*, **42**, 121–136 (1975).
23. C. B. Morrey, Jr., *Multiple Integrals in the Calculus of Variations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1966.

24. F. Murat, A survey on compensated compactness, in *Contributions to Modern Calculus of Variations*, 145–183, Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser. Vol. **148**. Longman, Harlow, 1987.
25. S. Müller and V. Šverák, Attainment results for the two-well problem by convex integration, in *Geometric Analysis and the Calculus of Variations*, 239–251, Internat. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1996.
26. S. Müller, V. Šverák and B. Yan, Sharp stability results for almost conformal maps in even dimensions, *J. Geom. Anal.*, (to appear)
27. Yu. G. Reshetnyak, *Stability Theorems in Geometry and Analysis*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1994.
28. V. Šverák, Rank-one convexity does not imply quasiconvexity, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh*, **120A**, 185–189 (1992).
29. V. Šverák, On the problem of two wells, in *Microstructure and Phase Transition*, 183–190, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
30. V. Šverák, Lower semicontinuity for variational integral functionals and compensated compactness, in *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Zürich, 1994*, 1153–1158, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1995.
31. L. Tartar, The compensated compactness method applied to systems of conservation laws, in *Systems of Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations, NATO ASI Series*, Vol. **103**, D. Reidel, Dordrecht, 1983.
32. K. Uhlenbeck, Regularity for a class of non-linear elliptic systems, *Acta Math.*, **138**, 219–240 (1977).
33. B. Yan, Remarks about $W^{1,p}$ -stability of the conformal set in higher dimensions, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Analyse Non Linéaire*, **13(6)**, 691–705 (1996).
34. B. Yan, On rank-one convex and polyconvex conformal energy functions with slow growth, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh*, **127A**, 651–663 (1997).
35. B. Yan, On $W^{1,p}$ -quasiconvex hulls of set of matrices and the weak convergence in Sobolev spaces, preprint.
36. B. Yan and Z. Zhou, Stability of weakly almost conformal mappings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **126**, 481–489 (1998).
37. B. Yan and Z. Zhou, A theorem on improving regularity of minimizing sequences by reverse Hölder inequalities, *Michigan Math. J.*, **44**, 543–553 (1997).
38. B. Yan and Z. Zhou, L^p -mean coercivity, regularity and relaxation in the calculus of variations, preprint.
39. K. Zhang, A construction of quasiconvex functions with linear growth at infinity, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa*, **19(3)**, 313–326 (1992).