# COMPLETE CURVATURE HOMOGENEOUS METRICS ON $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$

BENJAMIN SCHMIDT AND JON WOLFSON

ABSTRACT. A construction is described that associates to each positive smooth function  $F: S^1 \to \mathbb{R}$  a Riemannian metric  $g_F$  on  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1$  that is complete and curvature homogeneous. The construction respects moduli: positive smooth functions F and G lie in the same  $\mathrm{Diff}(S^1)$  orbit if and only if the associated metrics  $g_F$  and  $g_G$  lie in the same  $\mathrm{Diff}(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}))$  orbit.

The constructed metrics all have curvature tensor modeled on the same algebraic curvature tensor. Moreover, in this construction, the following are equivalent: F is constant,  $g_F$  is left-invariant (and homogeneous), and  $(SL_2(\mathbb{R}), g_F)$  Riemannian covers a finite volume manifold.

### 1. Introduction

Let (M, g) be a connected Riemannian manifold,  $\nabla$  its Levi-Civita connection, and R its curvature tensor. Then (M, g) is said to be curvature homogeneous of order k if for every  $p, q \in M$  there exists a linear isometry  $I : T_pM \to T_qM$  such that

$$I^*(\nabla^i R)_q = (\nabla^i R)_p$$

for each i = 0, 1, ..., k. When M is curvature homogeneous of order 0, M is simply said to be *curvature homogeneous*. Locally homogeneous (M, g) are clearly curvature homogeneous of all orders. In the seminal paper [Si], I.M. Singer proved the converse:

**Theorem 1.1** (Singer). A connected and complete d-dimensional Riemannian manifold (M,g) that is curvature homogeneous of order at least d(d-1)/2 - 1 is locally homogeneous. If, in addition, M is simply connected, then (M,g) is homogeneous.

While Singer's theorem ensures that completeness and curvature homogeneity of sufficiently large order implies local homogeneity, there exist examples of complete and curvature homogeneous Riemannian manifolds that are not locally homogeneous. We refer the reader to [BoKoVa, Chapter 12] for examples and additional references. In this note we prove:

**Theorem 1.2.** There is a construction that associates to each positive smooth function  $F : S^1 \to \mathbb{R}$  a complete and curvature homogeneous Riemannian metric  $g_F$  on  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ . In this construction, the following are equivalent:

- (1) F is constant
- (2)  $g_F$  is left-invariant (and homogeneous)
- (3)  $(SL_2(\mathbb{R}), g_F)$  Riemannian covers a finite volume manifold.

Date: July 31, 2013.

The first author was partially supported by NSF grants DMS-0905906 and DMS-1207655.

Theorem 1.2 is related to a conjecture attributed to Gromov by Berger in [Be] that we now describe. Let T denote a fixed algebraic curvature tensor on Euclidean space  $\mathbb{E}^n$  and let M denote a connected, smooth n-manifold. A Riemannian metric h on M with curvature tensor R is said to be modeled on T if for each  $x \in M$ there is a linear isometry  $I : T_x M \to \mathbb{E}^n$  such that  $I^*(T) = R_x$ . It is clear that such a Riemannian metric h is curvature homogeneous and that Diff(M) acts on the space of such metrics by pullback. Let  $\mathcal{M}(M,T)$  denote the space of Diff(M)orbits of *complete* Riemannian metrics on M with curvature tensor modeled on T.

**Conjecture 1.1** (Gromov). If M is compact, then the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}(M,T)$  is finite dimensional.

As will be explained Section 3, the Riemannian metrics constructed in Theorem 1.2 all have curvature tensors modeled on a fixed algebraic curvature tensor that we will call T throughout. Our next theorem describes the moduli space of these metrics.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let F and G be two positive smooth functions on the circle. Then there exists a diffeomorphism  $\Phi : \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\Phi^*(g_G) = g_F$  if and only if there exists a diffeomorphism  $\phi : S^1 \to S^1$  such that  $F = \phi^*(G)$ .

The space of  $\text{Diff}(S^1)$  orbits of positive smooth functions on  $S^1$  is easily seen to be infinite dimensional. Hence, Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 yield the following:

**Corollary 1.4.** There is an algebraic curvature tensor T such that the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}), T)$  is infinite dimensional.

Corollary 1.4 demonstrates that compactness of M in Gromov's conjecture cannot in general be replaced by a completeness assumption on the metrics under consideration.

Theorem 1.2 is also related to a classification result for *constant vector curvature* three-manifolds contained in [ScWo]. A Riemannian manifold (M, g) has constant vector curvature  $\varepsilon$  if each tangent vector  $v \in TM$  lies in a tangent plane of sectional curvature  $\varepsilon$ . When  $\varepsilon = -1$ , the authors prove [ScWo, Theorem 1.1]:

**Theorem 1.5.** Suppose that M is a finite volume three-manifold with constant vector curvature -1. If sec  $\leq -1$ , then M is real hyperbolic. If sec  $\geq -1$  and M is not real hyperbolic, then its universal covering is isometric to a left-invariant metric on one of the Lie Groups E(1,1) or  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  with sectional curvatures having range [-1,1].

As will be explained in Section 3, the metrics constructed in Theorem 1.2 all have constant vector curvature -1 and sectional curvatures having range [-1, 1]. Therefore, it is not possible to remove the finite volume hypothesis in Theorem 1.5 in the case when sec  $\geq -1$ .

## 2. $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$

Let  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  denote the Lie group consisting of  $2 \times 2$  real matrices of determinant one and let  $e \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  denote the identity element. Its Lie algebra  $\mathrm{sl}_2(\mathbb{R}) \cong$  $T_e \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  consists of  $2 \times 2$  real matrices of trace zero. Consider the following three one-parameter subgroups of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ :

$$K = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta) & \sin(\theta) \\ -\sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) \end{pmatrix} \mid \theta \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$
$$N = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & s \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid s \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$
$$A = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} e^{t/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-t/2} \end{pmatrix} \mid t \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

The multiplication map  $K \times N \times A \to SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $(k, n, a) \mapsto kna$  is a diffeomorphism, yielding the Iwasawa decomposition  $SL_2(\mathbb{R}) = KNA$ .

Define trace zero matrices  $E_1, E_2, E_3 \in sl_2(\mathbb{R})$  by

$$E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, E_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } E_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1/2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $\{E_1, E_2, E_3\}$  is a basis for the Lie algebra  $sl_2(\mathbb{R})$ . Moreover,  $E_1, E_2$ , and  $E_3$  are the infinitesimal generators of the one-parameter subgroups K, N, and A, respectively. This Lie algebra basis satisfies the following bracket relations:

$$(2.1) [E_1, E_2] = 2E_3, [E_2, E_3] = -E_2, [E_1, E_3] = E_1 - 2E_2.$$

The vectors  $E_i$  have unique extensions to left-invariant vector fields on  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ that we also denote by  $E_i$ . Declaring the left-invariant framing  $\{E_1, E_2, E_3\}$  of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  to be orthonormal determines a left-invariant Riemannian metric on  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ . Throughout the remainder of this paper, we let  $g_1$  denote this left-invariant metric. The pull back of its curvature tensor via a linear isometry from Euclidean space  $\mathbb{E}^3$ to  $T_e \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  defines an algebraic curvature tensor that we denote by T in the remainder of the paper. In the next section, we give the construction of Theorem 1.2. The metrics constructed will all have curvature tensors modeled on the algebraic curvature tensor T.

#### 3. The Construction

Note that the subgroup K of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  is diffeomorphic to  $S^1$ . Throughout what follows, we assume that a diffeomorphism between K and  $S^1$  has been fixed, identifying positive smooth functions on K with those on  $S^1$ . A positive smooth function  $F: K \to \mathbb{R}$  determines a positive smooth function  $\overline{F}: \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}$  as follows. Given  $g \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ , there is a unique expression g = kna with  $k \in K$ ,  $n \in N$ , and  $a \in A$  by the Iwasawa decomposition. Define  $\overline{F}(g) = \overline{F}(kna) = F(k)$ .

Alternatively, the bracket relations (2.1) show that the left-invariant vector fields  $E_2$  and  $E_3$  span an involutive plane distribution; the foliation of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  by integral surfaces of this distribution coincides with the foliation of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  by left-cosets of the subgroup NA. As NA is a closed subgroup of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ , the natural projection map to the space of left-cosets

$$\pi: \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) / NA$$

is smooth. Note that the space of cosets  $\operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})/NA$  is diffeomorphic to K. Then  $\overline{F} = F \circ \pi$  is constant on the leaves of the foliation of  $\operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  by left-cosets of NA. We summarize this in the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** Smooth functions  $F: K \to \mathbb{R}$  lift to smooth functions  $\overline{F}: SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfying  $E_2(\overline{F}) = E_3(\overline{F}) = 0$ .

Let  $F : K \to \mathbb{R}$  be a smooth and positive function and  $\overline{F} : \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}$  its associated lift. Define a framing  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  by

(3.1) 
$$e_1 = \bar{F}E_1, \quad e_2 = E_2, \quad e_3 = E_3.$$

We will call such a framing an *F*-framing. The bracket relations for an *F*-framing are easy to deduce from (2.1) and the fact that  $E_2(\bar{F}) = E_3(\bar{F}) = 0$ . They are given by

$$(3.2) [e_1, e_2] = 2\bar{F}e_3, [e_2, e_3] = -e_2, [e_1, e_3] = e_1 - 2\bar{F}e_2.$$

**Definition 3.1.** Given a smooth positive function  $F : K \to \mathbb{R}$ , the *F*-metric on  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  is the Riemannian metric denoted by  $g_F$  which is defined by declaring the associated *F*-framing to be  $g_F$  orthonormal.

Note that for the function F which is identically one on K, the associated F-metric is the left-invariant metric  $g_1$  described in Section 2. We remark that the space of F-metrics is path connected. Indeed, given two positive functions  $F_0$  and  $F_1$  on K, the metrics  $g_{(1-t)F_0+tF_1}$  with  $t \in [0,1]$  defines the path joining  $g_{F_0}$  to  $g_{F_1}$ . As we shall show, all F-metrics have curvature tensors modeled on the algebraic curvature tensor T.

In order to calculate the curvatures of an F-metric, we first calculate the Christoffel symbols. As an F-framing is by definition orthonormal for the metric  $g_F$ , Koszul's formula reads:

(3.3) 
$$g_F(\nabla_{e_i}e_j, e_k) = \frac{1}{2} \{ g_F([e_i, e_j], e_k) - g_F([e_j, e_k], e_i) + g_F([e_k, e_i], e_j) \}.$$

Combining (3.2) and (3.3), yields:

(3.4)  

$$\nabla_{e_1} e_3 = e_1 - 2F e_2 \qquad \nabla_{e_2} e_3 = -e_2 \\
\nabla_{e_3} e_1 = 0 \qquad \nabla_{e_3} e_2 = 0 \\
\nabla_{e_2} e_1 = 0 \qquad \nabla_{e_2} e_2 = e_3 \\
\nabla_{e_1} e_2 = 2\bar{F} e_3 \qquad \nabla_{e_1} e_1 = -e_3 \\
\nabla_{e_3} e_3 = 0.$$

We let  $R_{ijkl}$  denote the component of the curvature tensor

$$R(e_i, e_j, e_k, e_l) = g_F(\nabla_{e_i} \nabla_{e_j} e_k - \nabla_{e_j} \nabla_{e_i} e_k - \nabla_{[e_i, e_j]} e_k, e_l).$$

Tedious but straightforward calculations using (3.2), (3.4), and the fact that  $e_2(\bar{F}) = e_3(\bar{F}) = 0$  show that:

(3.5)  $R_{1221} = 1$ ,  $R_{1331} = -1 = R_{2332}$ ,  $R_{ijkl} = 0$  if three indices are distinct. The symmetries of the curvature tensor determine its remaining components. **Corollary 3.2.** An *F*-metric  $g_F$  is curvature homogeneous and has curvature tensor modeled on the algebraic curvature tensor *T*. An *F*-framing diagonalizes the Ricci tensor. If  $\sigma$  is a two plane and  $v = \sum_{i=1}^{3} c_i e_i$  is a unit vector orthogonal to  $\sigma$ , then

$$\sec(\sigma) = c_3^2 - c_1^2 - c_2^2.$$

Consequently,  $g_F$  has constant vector curvature -1,  $e_3$  lies in the intersection of all curvature -1 planes and the range of sectional curvatures for an F-metric is [-1,1].

*Proof.* To prove the first claim, note that by (3.5), the curvatures of an *F*-metric with respect to an *F*-framing do not depend on the function  $F: K \to \mathbb{R}$ . Therefore, they all have curvature tensors modeled on the curvature tensor of the *F*-metric corresponding to  $F \equiv 1$  which is the left-invariant metric  $g_1$  constructed at the end of the previous section.

The fact that an F-framing diagonalizes the Ricci tensor is immediate from (3.5). This fact and [ScWo, Lemma 2.2] yield the curvature formula. The curvature formula implies the last statement.

## **Lemma 3.3.** An F-metric $g_F$ is complete.

*Proof.* Let  $F: K \to \mathbb{R}$  be a positive smooth function and  $g_F$  the associated Fmetric. As K is compact, there exists M > 1 such that  $\frac{1}{M} < F < M$ . Consider the Riemannian metrics  $M^{-2}g_1$  and  $M^2g_1$  obtained by scaling the left-invariant metric  $g_1$ . The induced norms satisfy

$$M^{-1} \|v\|_{g_1} = \|v\|_{M^{-2}g_1} < \|v\|_{g_F} < \|v\|_{M^2g_1} = M \|v\|_{g_1}$$

for each tangent vector  $v \in T \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ . Consequently, the induced path metrics satisfy

$$M^{-1}d_{g_1}(p,q) \le d_{g_F}(p,q) \le Md_{g_1}(p,q)$$

for any pair of points  $p, q \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ . As  $d_{g_1}$  Cauchy sequences converge, the same is true of  $d_{g_F}$  Cauchy sequences.

The following lemma may be of interest to some readers. It is not used in the proof of our main results and may be skipped.

**Lemma 3.4.** For any *F*-metric  $g_F$ , the foliation of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  by left-cosets of NA is a foliation by totally geodesic hyperbolic planes.

Proof. Let  $F: K \to \mathbb{R}$  be a smooth positive function,  $g_F$  the associated F-metric, and  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  the associated F-framing. The leaves of the foliation of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ by left cosets of NA are precisely the integral surfaces of the involutive plane distribution  $e_2 \wedge e_3$ . These leaves are totally geodesic since by (3.4),  $\nabla_{e_2}e_1 =$  $\nabla_{e_3}e_1 = 0$ . By (3.5),  $R_{2332} = -1$  so that the leaves are hyperbolic. As NA is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , the leaves are hyperbolic planes.

To complete the proof of Theorem 1.2 from the introduction, it remains to establish the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.5.** For a positive smooth function  $F : K \to \mathbb{R}$ , the following are equivalent:

- (1) F is constant
- (2)  $g_F$  is left-invariant (and homogeneous)

(3)  $(SL_2(\mathbb{R}), g_F)$  Riemannian covers a finite volume manifold.

*Proof.* Let  $F : K \to \mathbb{R}$  be a positive smooth function and  $g_F$  the associated F-metric on  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ .

Proof that  $(1) \implies (2)$ :

As F is constant, so is its lift  $\overline{F}$ . The associated F-framing  $\{e_1 = \overline{F}E_1, e_2 = E_2, e_3 = E_3\}$  is easily seen to be left-invariant since the framing  $\{E_1, E_2, E_3\}$  is left-invariant. Therefore  $g_F$  is a left-invariant metric.

Proof that  $(2) \implies (3)$ : This is an easy consequence of the fact that  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  admits lattice subgroups.

Proof that (3)  $\implies$  (1):

Let M denote the finite volume manifold Riemannian covered by  $(SL_2(\mathbb{R}), g_F)$ . We first claim that the metric  $g_F$  is locally homogeneous. Indeed, by Corollary 3.2, M has constant vector curvature -1 and sectional curvatures with range [-1, 1]. By Theorem 1.5, the universal covering  $(SL_2(\mathbb{R}), \tilde{g}_F)$  is left-invariant (and homogeneous), whence  $q_F$  is locally homogeneous.

Let  $\overline{F}$  denote the lift of F to  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  and let  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  be the associated F-framing. Let  $p, q \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  be two points. As  $g_F$  is locally homogeneous, there is an r > 0 and an isometry I between the balls of radius r centered at p and q with I(p) = q:

$$I: B(p,r) \to B(q,r).$$

The derivative map  $dI : TB(p, r) \to TB(q, r)$  preserves the line field spanned by  $e_3$  and the perpendicular plane field  $e_1 \wedge e_2$  by the curvature formula in Corollary 3.2. Therefore, there exists a smooth map  $\theta : B(q, r) \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $dI(e_3) = \pm e_3$  and such that the restriction of dI to the plane field  $e_1 \wedge e_2$  has matrix representation given by either  $\begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta) & -\sin(\theta) \\ \sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) \end{pmatrix}$  or  $\begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta) & \sin(\theta) \\ \sin(\theta) & -\cos(\theta) \end{pmatrix}$  with respect to the  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  framing.

By (3.2),

$$dI_p([e_1, e_2]_p) = dI_p(2\bar{F}(p)e_3) = \pm 2\bar{F}(p)e_3 \in T_q \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$$

where the sign is + if dI preserves the orientation of  $e_3$  and is - if the orientation is reversed. A simple calculation yields,

$$g_F([dI_p(e_1), dI_p(e_2)]_q, e_3)_q = \pm [e_1, e_2]_q = \pm 2\bar{F}(q) \in T_q \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$$

where the sign is + if dI preserves the orientation of the plane field  $e_1 \wedge e_2$  and is - if the orientation is reversed.

Since,  $dI_p([e_1, e_2]_p) = [dI_p(e_1), dI_p(e_2)]_q$ , we have that  $\overline{F}(p) = \pm \overline{F}(q)$ . As  $\overline{F}$  is everywhere positive, it must be the case that  $\overline{F}(p) = \overline{F}(q)$ . Therefore F is constant, concluding the proof.

We conclude with a proof of Theorem 1.3 that we now restate for the reader's convenience.

 $\mathbf{6}$ 

**Theorem 3.6.** Let F and G be two positive smooth functions on the circle. Then there exists a diffeomorphism  $\Phi : \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\Phi^*(g_G) = g_F$  if and only if there exists a diffeomorphism  $\phi : S^1 \to S^1$  such that  $F = \phi^*(G)$ .

*Proof.* Recall that a diffeomorphism between  $S^1$  and K has been fixed, identifying positive smooth functions on these two spaces.

First, assume that there is a diffeomorphism  $\phi : K \to K$  such that  $\phi^*(G) = F$ . Define a diffeomorphism  $\Phi : \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \to \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  as follows. By the Iwasawa decomposition each  $g \in \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  has a unique expression g = kna; define  $\Phi(g) = \Phi(kna) = \phi(k)na$ . It is routine to check that  $\Phi^*(g_G) = g_F$ .

Now assume that  $\Phi : \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \to \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  is a diffeomorphism satisfying  $\Phi^*(g_G) = g_F$ . Let  $\overline{F}$  and  $\overline{G}$  denote the lifts of F and G to  $\operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  and let  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  and  $\{\tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_2, \tilde{e}_3\}$  denote the associated F-framing and G-framing of  $T \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ , respectively. Since  $e_2 = \tilde{e}_2$ ,  $e_3 = \tilde{e}_3$ , and  $e_1$  and  $\tilde{e}_1$  are positively proportional, these framings induce the same orientation of  $\operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ .

As  $\Phi : (\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}), g_F) \to (\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}), g_G)$  is an isometry, it preserves the sectional curvatures of planes. By Corollary 3.2 it follows that the derivative map

$$d\Phi: T\operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \to T\operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$$

satisfies  $d\Phi(e_3) = \pm \tilde{e}_3$  and maps the plane field  $e_1 \wedge e_2$  isometrically to the plane field  $\tilde{e}_1 \wedge \tilde{e}_2$ . Therefore, there exists a smooth map

$$\theta: \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}$$

such that the matrix representation of

$$d\Phi|_{e_1 \wedge e_2} : e_1 \wedge e_2 \to \tilde{e}_1 \wedge \tilde{e}_2$$

with respect to the framings  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  and  $\{\tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_2\}$  is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos(\Phi^*(\theta)) & -\sin(\Phi^*(\theta)) \\ \sin(\Phi^*(\theta)) & \cos(\Phi^*(\theta)) \end{pmatrix}$$

if  $d\Phi|_{e_1 \wedge e_2}$  preserves orientation or by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos(\Phi^*(\theta)) & \sin(\Phi^*(\theta)) \\ \sin(\Phi^*(\theta)) & -\cos(\Phi^*(\theta)) \end{pmatrix}$$

if  $d\Phi|_{e_1 \wedge e_2}$  reverses orientation.

By (3.2),

$$d\Phi([e_1, e_2]) = d\Phi(2\bar{F}e_3) = \pm 2\bar{F}\tilde{e}_3.$$

A simple calculation shows that

$$[d\Phi(e_1), d\Phi(e_2)] = \pm \left( -\Phi^*(\tilde{e}_1(\theta)) \tilde{e}_1 - \Phi^*(\tilde{e}_2(\theta)) \tilde{e}_2 + \Phi^*(2\bar{G}) \tilde{e}_3 \right)$$

where the sign  $\pm$  is + if and only if  $d\Phi|_{e_1 \wedge e_2}$  is orientation preserving.

Since  $d\Phi([e_1, e_2]) = [d\Phi(e_1), d\Phi(e_2)]$ , comparing  $\tilde{e}_3$  components, we have that  $\bar{F} = \pm \Phi^*(\bar{G})$ . As both  $\bar{F}$  and  $\bar{G}$  are positive, we have

(3.6) 
$$\bar{F} = \Phi^*(\bar{G})$$

Consequently,  $d\Phi(e_3) = \tilde{e}_3$  if and only if  $d\Phi|_{e_1 \wedge e_2}$  is orientation preserving. In particular,  $\Phi$  is orientation preserving.

Comparing  $\tilde{e}_1$  and  $\tilde{e}_2$  components yields

(3.7) 
$$\tilde{e}_1(\theta) = \tilde{e}_2(\theta) = 0$$

By (3.2) and (3.7),

$$2\bar{G}\tilde{e}_3(\theta) = [\tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_2](\theta) = (\tilde{e}_1\tilde{e}_2 - \tilde{e}_2\tilde{e}_1)(\theta) = 0.$$

As  $\overline{G}$  is nonzero, it follows that  $\tilde{e}_3(\theta) = 0$ , whence  $\theta$  is globally constant. In what follows, we will consider the two cases  $d\Phi(e_3) = \tilde{e}_3$  and  $d\Phi(e_3) = -\tilde{e}_3$  separately.

**Case I:** The case when  $d\Phi(e_3) = \tilde{e}_3$ .

As  $\Phi$  is orientation preserving, we have that  $d\Phi|_{e_1 \wedge e_2}$  is orientation preserving. Using (3.2),

$$g_G(d\Phi([e_2, e_3]), \tilde{e}_1) = \sin(\theta).$$

Using (3.2),

$$g_G([d\Phi(e_2), d\Phi(e_3)], \tilde{e}_1) = -\sin(\theta).$$

As  $d\Phi([e_2, e_3]) = [d\Phi(e_2), d\Phi(e_3)]$ , it follows that  $\sin(\theta) = 0$  and that  $\theta$  is an integral multiple of  $\pi$ .

As  $\theta$  is an integral multiple of  $\pi$ , the derivative map  $d\Phi$  preserves the plane distribution  $e_2 \wedge e_3$ . Consequently, the diffeomorphism  $\Phi$  preserves the foliation of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  by left-cosets of NA and descends to a diffeomorphism  $\phi$  of K. By (3.6),  $F = \phi^*(G)$ , concluding the proof in this case.

**Case II:** The case when  $d\Phi(e_3) = -\tilde{e}_3$ .

As  $\Phi$  is orientation preserving, we have that  $d\Phi|_{e_1 \wedge e_2}$  is orientation reversing. Using (3.2),

$$g_G(d\Phi([e_2, e_3]), \tilde{e}_2) = \cos(\theta).$$

Using (3.2),

$$g_G([d\Phi(e_2), d\Phi(e_3)], \tilde{e}_2) = 2\bar{G}\sin(\theta) - \cos(\theta).$$

As  $d\Phi([e_2, e_3]) = [d\Phi(e_2), d\Phi(e_3)]$ , it follows that  $\cos(\theta) = \overline{G}\sin(\theta)$ . As  $\theta$  is constant, so is  $\overline{G}$ . By (3.6)  $\overline{F} = \overline{G}$  are equal constants. Hence, any diffeomorphism  $\phi: S^1 \to S^1$  satisfies  $F = \phi^*(G)$ , concluding the proof.

It is likely the case that the metrics  $g_F$  constructed in this paper describe all of the complete Riemannian metrics on  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  (up to isometry) that are modeled on the curvature tensor T, a problem that we leave open.

#### References

 Berger, M., L'oeuvre d'André Lichnerowicz en géométrie riemannienne. Physique quantique et géométrie, (Paris, 1986), 11-24, Travaux en Cours, 32, Hermann, Paris, 1988.

 Boeckx, E.; Kowalski, O.; Vanhecke, L., Riemannian manifolds of conullity two. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc. River Edge, NJ, (1996) xviii+300 pp. ISBN 981-02-2768-X

- [3] Schmidt, B.; Wolfson, J., Three-manifolds with constant vector curvature. Submitted. Available as arXiv:1110.4619.
- [4] Singer, I.M., Infinitesimally homogeneous spaces. Comm. Pure Appl. Math, 13 (1960), 685-697.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY, EAST LANSING, MI 48824