Journal of Computational **Biophysics and Chemistry**

Cite This: J. Comput. Biophys. Chem. 2023, 22 (5), 569-587

Persistent Topological Laplacian Analysis of SARS-CoV-2 Variants

Xiaoqi Wei*, Jiahui Chen* and Guo-Wei Wei**^{†,‡,§}

*Department of Mathematics, Michigan State University, MI 48824, USA ^TDepartment of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Michigan State University, MI 48824, USA ‡ Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Michigan State University, MI 48824, USA

Corresponding author. E-mail: weig@msu.edu

ABSTRACT: Topological data analysis (TDA) is an emerging field in mathematics and data science. Its central technique, persistent homology, has had tremendous success in many science and engineering disciplines. However, persistent homology has limitations, including its inability to handle heterogeneous information, such as multiple types of geometric objects; being qualitative rather than quantitative, e.g., counting a 5-member ring the same as a 6-member ring, and a failure to describe nontopological changes, such as homotopic changes in protein-protein binding. Persistent topological Laplacians (PTLs), such as persistent Laplacian and persistent sheaf Laplacian, were proposed to overcome the limitations of persistent homology. In this work, we examine the modeling and analysis power of PTLs in the study of the protein structures of the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) spike receptor binding domain (RBD). First, we employ PTLs to study how the RBD mutation-induced structural changes of RBD-angiotensinconverting enzyme 2 (ACE2) binding complexes are captured in the changes of spectra of the PTLs among SARS-CoV-2 variants. Additionally, we use PTLs to analyze the binding of RBD and ACE2-induced structural changes of various SARS-CoV-2 variants. Finally, we explore the impacts of computationally generated RBD structures on a topological deep learning paradigm and predictions of deep mutational scanning datasets for the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron BA.2 variant. Our results indicate that PTLs have advantages over persistent homology in analyzing protein structural changes and provide a powerful new TDA tool for data science.

KEYWORDS: Mutation and binding-induced protein structural changes; persistent Laplacian; persistent sheaf Laplacian; topological data analysis; topological deep learning; spectral data analysis.

1. INTRODUCTION

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is the cause of the ongoing global coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic. Its evolution and future direction are of major concern. It was well established that the emergence of SARS-CoV-2 new variants is dictated by mutation-induced infectivity strengthening¹ and antibody resistance (or vaccine breakthrough),² two molecular mechanisms that determined the natural selection at the population scale. More specifically, the binding of the viral spike protein, particularly the receptor-binding domain (RBD), to the human receptor angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) facilitates the entry of the virus into host cells.3,4 In early 2020, it was hypothesized that natural selection favors those SARS-CoV-2 RBD mutations that strengthen the RBD-ACE2 binding,

which leads to higher viral infectivity.¹ The hypothesis was initially supported by the frequency analysis of 89 single RBD mutations found from the genotyping of 15,140 complete SARS-CoV-2 genome samples¹ and later confirmed beyond doubt by the evolution pattern of 651 RBD mutations found from the genotyping of 506,768 SARS-CoV-2 genomes extracted from COVID-19 patients up to early 2021.⁵

The vaccine breakthrough mechanism was not discovered until vaccines became widely available in industrialized countries in the summer of 2021. It was found that an RBD mutation that weakens the viral infectivity had an unusually high observed frequency in 2,298,349 complete SARS-CoV-2 genomes isolated

Received: 23 February 2023 Accepted: 22 March 2023 Published: 8 June 2023

J. Comput. Biophys. Chem. 2023.22:569-587. Downloaded from www.worldscientific.com by MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY on 07/06/23. Re-use and distribution is strictly not permitted, except for Open Access articles.

from patients. This phenomenon strongly correlates with the vaccination rates in a few industrialized countries, including Denmark, the United Kingdom, France, Bulgaria, the United States, etc.² To understand this correlation, the mutational impact of a set of 130 antibodies extracted from Covid patients that target the RBD was studied. It was found that the abnormal mutation on the RBD has a very strong ability to disrupt the binding of most antibody-RBD complexes, giving rise to antibody resistance (or vaccine breakthrough) at the population scale.²

As discussed above, the reveal of the natural selection mechanisms of SARS-CoV-2 evolution is a typical example of a data-driven discovery that cannot be achieved by individual experimental laboratories. In fact, the discovery utilized results from tens of thousands of experimental laboratories around the world.^{1,2} Machine learning, including deep learning, and also data-driven approach, played an essential role in the discovery. Deep learning methods can offer some of the most accurate predictions of biomolecular properties, including the binding affinity of protein-protein interactions (PPIs). This approach becomes particularly advantageous and outperforms other methods when good-quality experimental data are available. However, structure-based machine learning, including deep learning methods encounter difficulties in PPI predictions due to their intricate structural complexity and high dimensionality.

Advanced mathematics, such as topological data analysis (TDA), can provide an effective abstraction of PPIs.⁶ TDA is an emerging mathematical field that utilizes algebraic topology approaches to analyze data. Its main tool is persistent homology,⁷⁻¹⁴ which integrates classical homology and filtration to create a multiscale analysis of data, resulting in a family of topological invariants. By analyzing the signature and change of topological invariants during filtration, one can infer the shape of data.⁷ However, persistent homology has limitations. First, it is insensitive to homotopic shape evolution that does not involve any topological change. Second, roughly speaking, it cannot distinguish between a 5-member ring and a 6-member ring. Third, it is incapable of differentiating different types of atoms, unable to describe directed relations, and indifferent to structured data such as functional groups. To overcome the first two limitations, persistent spectral graph,¹⁵ also known as persistent Laplacian (PL),^{16,17} was proposed. This method not only returns the full set of topological invariants as persistent homology does but also captures additional homotopic shape evolution of data and is more

quantitative in its nonharmonic spectra. In addition to mathematical analysis,¹⁶ computational algorithms, such as HERMES software package¹⁷ and homotopy continuation,¹⁸ were developed to facilitate topological deep learning, an emerging paradigm first introduced in 2017^{19,20} for biomolecular studies, i.e., PL-assisted protein-ligand binding²¹ and protein-protein binding.^{22,23} Neither persistent homology nor PL is sensitive to heterogeneous information in data. The elementspecific persistent homology was designed to alleviate this difficulty. This approach has had tremendous success in deciphering biomolecules^{19,20} and in worldwide computer-aided drug design competitions.²⁴ Inspired by this success, various new TDA methods have been proposed.²⁵⁻²⁸ Recently, a more elegant theory, persistent sheaf Laplacian (PSL), was proposed to embed heterogeneous information, such as geometry and partial charges, in topological analysis,²⁹ utilizing the theory of cellular sheaves.^{30,31} Both PL and PSL belong to a class of persistent topological Laplacians (PTLs).³² PTLs are a family of multiscale topological spectral methods, including continuous (evolutionary) Hodge Laplacians defined on manifolds³³ and all other discrete multiscale topological Laplacians, namely, PSLs,²⁹ persistent spectral graphs,¹⁵ persistent path Laplacians,³⁴ persistent topological hypergraph Laplacians,³⁵ persistent hyperdigraph Laplacians,³⁵ etc. Among them, persistent path Laplacians were designed to describe directed graphs (digraphs) and directed networks, while persistent topological hypergraph Laplacians and persistent hyperdigraph Laplacians can further deal with structured data. These new TDA methods can generate efficient mathematical representations of macromolecules either being used to model molecular structures or being used jointly with machine learning models for predicting various properties of molecules.²² In the past three years, TDA approaches have been applied to SARS-CoV-2 related databases to predict PPI binding free energy (BFE) changes of RBD-ACE2 and RBD-antibody complexes induced by RBD mutations.^{36,37} Particularly, the nonharmonic spectra of PTLs can further unveil the homotopic geometric deformation induced by RBD mutations.

Although sequence-based approaches offer good predictions of mutational impacts on proteins, structure-based methods outperform other approaches.³⁸ In machine-learning-assisted directed evolution and protein engineering and machine-learning-based PPI and protein folding stability predictions, mutant structures are typically not available and are conventionally created by computational means for the machine learning predictions,^{19,20,28,36,37} which is a source of errors. It is interesting and important to quantify such errors. Fortunately, since SARS-COV-2 variants are some of the most studied subjects, some of their three-dimensional (3D) structures are available in the literature, which offers an opportunity for in-depth analysis and comparison.

Our objectives for this work are three-fold. We are interested in both the structural changes of the wild type RBD induced by mutations and the structural changes of the wild type RBD or mutant RBDs induced by their binding to ACE2. To quantify structural changes, we first perform alignment of structures and calculate the distances between corresponding atoms (e.g., C_{α}). Then, we compute PTLs of different structures to further characterize their structural changes. Finally, we study how the difference between experimentally determined mutant structures and computationally generated mutant structures affects PTL-based machine learning and topological deep learning predictions of PPIs. This is important because we want to understand machine learning models' stability with respect to structural perturbations and approximations. To this end, we utilize the 3D structures of SARS-CoV-2 RBD-ACE2 complexes of the wild type and mutants such as Alpha, Beta, Gamma, and Omicron BA1 and BA2. We also employed the 3D spike protein structures

of the wild type and mutants such as Alpha, Beta, and Omicron BA.1 and BA.2. PL and PSL are tested in our studies. To quantitatively analyze the influence of computationally generated structures on machine learning models, we used two topological machine learning models, namely TopLapGBT and TopLap-Net²² and a deep mutational scanning (DMS) dataset based on Omicron BA.2.³⁹ We found that for this dataset, the effects introduced by computationally generated structure on TopLapGBT are not significant. However, they may slightly reduce the accuracy of TopLapNet.

2. RESULTS

2.1. PTL analysis of RBD structural changes induced by mutations

To understand the structural differences of RBD between the wild type and mutants in RBD-ACE2 complex, we align the RBDs of SARS-CoV-2 variants Alpha (PDB ID: 8DLK⁴⁰), Beta (PDB ID: 8DLN⁴⁰), Gamma (PDB ID: 8DLQ⁴⁰), BA.1 (PDB ID: 7T9L⁴¹), and BA.2 (PDB ID: 7XB0⁴²) along with the wild type RBD (PDB ID: 6M0J⁴³) in Figs. 2 and 3. For Alpha, Beta, Gamma, BA.1, and BA.2, the maximal distances between corresponding atoms of mutant RBDs and the wild type



Fig. 1. (Color online) Sequence alignment of RBDs of the wild type, Alpha, Beta, Gamma, BA.1, and BA.2. Alpha has one RBD mutation N501Y. Beta has three RBD mutations K417N, E484K, and N501Y. Gamma has three RBD mutations K417T, E484K, and N501Y. BA.1 has 15 RBD mutations G339D, S371L, S373P, S375F, K417N, N440K, G446S, S477N, T478K, E484A, Q493R, G496S, Q498R, N501Y, and Y505H. BA.2 has 16 RBD mutations G339D, S371F, S373P, S375F, T376A, D405N, R408S, K417N, N440K, S477N, T478K, E484A, Q493R, Q498R, N501Y, and Y505H.



Fig. 2. (a) (Color online) Wild type RBD-ACE2 complex. The RBD is colored by light gray and mutated residues in Alpha, Beta, Gamma, BA.1 and BA.2 are marked. (b), (c), (d), (e), (f) Atoms of the wild type RBD are colored by their distances to corresponding atoms in a mutant RBD. Subfigures (a), (b), (c), (d), and (f) corresponds to the Alpha, Beta, Gamma, BA.1, and BA.2 variants, respectively. Pink and red correspond to 0 Åand 14.32 Å, respectively. For each mutant, we record the residues that have at least one atom whose distance to the corresponding atom in the wild type RBD is larger than 7.16 Å. In Alpha, Beta, and Gamma, such residue is R346. In BA.1, such residue is K386. In BA.2, such residues are N370, A372, K378, and K386. These residues are marked in (g). (Plots generated by ChimeraX.⁴⁶)

RBD are 9.14 Å, 9.33 Å, 9.87 Å, 7.44 Å, and 14.32 Å, respectively. For each mutant, the residues are recorded if they have at least one atom whose distance to the corresponding atom in wild type RBD is more than 7.16 Å, which is half of the maximal distance, 14.32 Å. For variants Alpha, Beta, and Gamma, such a residue is R346, while in BA.1 such residue is K386. BA.2 has most such residues, which are N370, A372, K378, and K386, containing atoms deviating from the wild type. However, these residues are not in the receptor-binding motif (RBM, residues 438–506) that interacts directly with ACE2.

Alternatively, for Alpha, Beta, Gamma, and BA.1 variants, we also change the threshold from 7.16 Å to the half of maximal distance (4.57 Å, 4.67 Å, 4.94 Å, and 3.72 Å, respectively). Then in the Alpha variant, such residues are T333, R346, K378, K386, R408, and N450. In Beta and Gamma variants, such residues are T333, R346, K378, K386, and R408. In BA.1 such residues are T333, N334, E340, R346, N360, D364, Y369, K378, K386, F392, R408, K424, N450, K462, and H519. Also most large C_{α} structural changes occur at the coil regions of the RBD. For the BA.2 variant, the

half of maximal distance is 7.16 Å and we have recorded such residues that have at least one atom whose distance to the corresponding atom in the wild type RBD is more than 7.16 Å.

To quantify the total structural differences between the wild type and mutants, we calculate the sum of squares of distances between corresponding C_{α} atoms. The results of Alpha, Beta, Gamma, BA.1, and BA.2 are 69 Å², 70 Å², 67 Å², 93 Å², and 255 Å², respectively, as shown in Fig. 4. The large values for BA.1 and BA.2 are consistent with the fact that BA.1 and BA.2 are strongly antibody disruptive.^{44,45} The large structural changes induced by BA.2 mutations create significant mismatch between antibodies and antigens, making BA.2 one of the most antibody resistant variants.⁴⁵ Arguably, the amount of mutation-induced structural changes in RBD-ACE2 complexes also strongly correlates with viral infectivity changes.

We are also interested in the topological characterization of the mutation-induced conformational changes. To this end, we employ PL and PSL to examine the local RBD structural changes induced by the mutation N501Y (a common mutation that exists in Alpha, Beta,



Fig. 3. (Color online) Atoms of the wild type RBD are colored by their distances to corresponding atoms in a mutant RBD. Subfigures (a)–(d) correspond to the Alpha, Beta, Gamma, and BA.1, variants, respectively. Each alignment has its own color range. For each mutant, we record the residues that have at least one atom whose distance to the corresponding atom in the wild type RBD is more than half of the maximal distance (4.57 Å, 4.67 Å, 4.94 Å, and 3.72 Å) between corresponding atoms. In Alpha, such residues are T333, R346, K378, K386, R408, and N450. In Beta and Gamma, such residues are T333, R346, K378, K386, and R408. In BA.1, such residues are T333, N334, E340, R346, N360, D364, Y369, K378, K386, F392, R408, K424, N450, K462, and H519. These residues are marked in (e). (Plots generated by ChimeraX.⁴⁶)



Fig. 4. (Color online) The total structural changes of RBD between the wild type and mutants in RBD-ACE2 complex. Given an alignment of a mutant RBD to the wild type RBD, the total structural changes are defined to be the sum of squares of distances between corresponding C_{α} atoms in RBD.

Gamma, BA.1, and BA.2). For the wild type and mutants, the residue 501 mutation site is defined as the set of neighborhood heavy atoms (C, N, and O) in RBD such that the distance of any atom in the set to the residue 501 C_{α} is smaller than 10 Å. We calculate PLs and PSLs for mutation sites of the wild type and variants and compare the persistent (sheaf) Betti number and the smallest nonzero eigenvalues of spectra at different filtration values. PLs and PSLs can be calculated as either element nonspecifically or element specifically (i.e., considering carbon, nitrogen, and oxygen atoms separately). We first employ the element nonspecific approach and compare the results of the wild type and variants. The results of PL and PSL are shown



Fig. 5. (Color online) Illustration of persistent (sheaf) Betti numbers of element nonspecific PL and PSL of the residue 501 mutation site at different filtration values, i.e., radii (unit: Å). The wild type (PDB ID: 6M0J) and Alpha (PDB ID: 8DLK) are given in the first row. The Beta (PDB ID: 8DLN) and Gamma (PDB ID: 8DLQ) are given in the second row. BA.1 (PDB ID: 7T9L) and BA.2 (PDB ID: 7XB0) are given the third row.



Fig. 6. (Color online) Illustration of the first nonzero eigenvalues of element nonspecific PL and PSL of the residue 501 mutation site at different filtration values, i.e., radii (unit: Å). The wild type (PDB ID: 6M0J) and Alpha (PDB ID: 8DLK) are given in the first row. The Beta (PDB ID: 8DLN) and Gamma (PDB ID: 8DLQ) are given in the second row. BA.1 (PDB ID: 7T9L) and BA.2 (PDB ID: 7XB0) are given the third row.

in Figs. 5 and 6. The *x*-axis represents the filtration values of Rips filtration, such that at a filtration value *r* the Rips complex is constructed by considering balls of radius *r*. The sudden changes of persistent (sheaf) Betti number and the first nonzero eigenvalues near r = 0.65 Å reflect the fact that most neighboring atoms are about 1.3 Å away from each other. In Fig. 5, the number of atoms is reflected in the initial 0th Betti numbers. The 0th Betti number dramatically decreases around 0.65 Å because covalent bond distances are about 1.5 Å. The 0th Betti number decreases further from 1.2 Å to 1.7 Å due to many other noncovalent bonds.

In Fig. 6, the results of the wild type and mutants almost coincide, except that the first nonzero

eigenvalues of PSLs of BA.1 and BA.2 near r = 0.65 Å have very different values. The results of PLs are quite different from those of PSLs at large filtration values. The significant changes around r = 0.65 Å are due to the topological changes.

We are also interested in understanding whether higher-dimensional PLs can offer an additional characterization of biomolecules. Figure 7 presents the higher-dimensional PL analysis of the wide type RBD near the N501 residue. Obviously, higher-dimensional PL offers significant structural information about the distribution of circles and cavities in a macromolecule. Most dimension-1 circles occur in the range of 1.5– 2.4 Å, whereas most 2D cavities locate around 1.8– 2.8 Å. 2D cavities are short-lived in the filtration,



Fig. 7. (Color online) Illustration of persistent Betti numbers (red line) and the first nonzero eigenvalues (blue line) of element nonspecific PLs of the wild type N501 mutation site at different filtration values, i.e., radii (unit: Å). Alpha filtration is used. The graphs from top to bottom represent the results of dimension-0, dimension-1, and dimension-2 Laplacians.

indicating the lack of multiple large cavities in the structure (at most one large cavity in the structure). This distribution can be used to understand interaction forces. For example, the length of hydrogen bonds ranges from 2 Å to 3.6 Å (corresponding to 1–1.8 Å in the filtration radii). This information is valuable for the design of machine learning representations, including the selection of the set of filtration intervals. We also note that the peak of $\lambda_2^{r,0}$ is at the left of $\beta_2^{r,0}$. It's possible that when *r* is in the range of 1.2–1.5 Å, many 2-simplices are born but no 2-cycles are formed yet.

The element specific results of the residue 501 mutation site of the wild type, and variants Alpha, Beta, Gamma, BA.1, and BA.2 are shown in Figs. 8 and 9, as well as in Figs. A.1 and A.2 in Appendix A. We observe that the difference between the first nonzero eigenvalues is much more obvious. For instance, in Fig. 8 there is a higher spike near 0.7 Å in the graph of Alpha carbon atoms, and two spikes near 1.3 Å and 1.7 Å disappear in the graph of the Alpha variant's oxygen atoms. In Fig. 8, all results of carbon atoms have similar shapes, implying a relatively stable RBD carbon atom structure. In the results of nitrogen atoms, we notice that the results of Alpha, Beta, and Gamma variants resemble each other, and the same can be said of the results of BA.1 and BA.2 variants. In the results of oxygen atoms, the results of Alpha, Beta, and Gamma still resemble each other, but the results of BA.1 and BA.2 are quite different. The results of the wild type are unique in the sense that it has one or two spikes near 1.3 Å or 1.7 Å. These results indicate that element specific PLs and element specific PSLs are better approaches in characterizing SARS-CoV-2 variants than element-nonspecific ones. We know that nitrogen



Fig. 8. (Color online) Illustration of the first nonzero eigenvalues of element specific PL of the residue 501 mutation site at different filtration values, i.e., radii (unit: Å). The wild type (PDB ID: 6M0J) and Alpha (PDB ID: 8DLK) are given in the first row. The Beta (PDB ID: 8DLN) and Gamma (PDB ID: 8DLQ) are given in the second row. BA.1 (PDB ID: 7T9L) and BA.2 (PDB ID: 7XB0) are given the third row.



Fig. 9. (Color online) Illustration of the first nonzero eigenvalues of element specific PSL of the residue 501 mutation site at different filtration values, i.e., radii (unit: Å). The wild type (PDB ID: 6M0J) and Alpha (PDB ID: 8DLK) are given in the first row. The Beta (PDB ID: 8DLN) and Gamma (PDB ID: 8DLQ) are given in the second row. BA.1 (PDB ID: 7T9L) and BA.2 (PDB ID: 7XB0) are given the third row.

and oxygen atoms are sparser in a protein, so if we use element nonspecific approach, nitrogen atoms and oxygen atoms will first form edges with neighboring carbon atoms, and we are not able to infer distances between nitrogen atoms or oxygen atoms. This explains why element specific approach outperforms element nonspecific approach.

2.2. PTL analysis of RBD structural changes induced by its binding to ACE2

We investigate how binding to ACE2 changes the spike protein RBD structure from the closed state to the open state for the wild type, Alpha, Beta, BA.1, and BA.2 variants. The PDB IDs of the spike protein of wild type, Alpha, Beta, BA.1 and BA.2 used in this section are 7DF3,47 7LWS,48 7LYM,48 7TF849 and 7XIX.50 The analysis of the Gamma variant is eliminated due to the lack of experimental structure. We first align each of the three RBDs in the closed-state spike protein to the RBD in the RBD-ACE2 complex. The maximal distances between corresponding atoms in the RBM of the three alignments of BA.1 are 8.76 Å, 13.49 Å, and 9.44 Å, which are larger than those of alignments of the wild type and other mutants. For each alignment, we record the RBM residues that have at least one atom whose distance to the corresponding atom is larger than 5.28 Å, i.e., half of the mean maximal distances between corresponding atoms in RBM of the three alignments of BA.1. In wild type RBD, such residues are K444 and K458. In Alpha there are no such residues; In Beta, chains A and B have K458; chain C has T478 and P479. In BA.1, each chain has different such residues: chain A has K440, Y453, K458, K478, and F486; chain B has K440, Y453, R457, K458, R466, Y473, Q474, K478, F486, F490, R493; and chain C has K440, Y453, Y473, K478, F486. In BA.2 such residues are E465, K478, and G482.

We also calculate the total structural changes of the RBM between the closed state RBD and the open state RBD induced by its binding to the human ACE2. Here, the total structural changes are defined to be the sum of squares of distances between C_{α} atoms in the RBM. Since spike protein is a trimer, we calculate the total structural changes for each chain and report the average (see Fig. 10). It turns out that the average total structural changes induced by binding to ACE2 do not increase too much with respect to the number of RBD mutations.

Now, we calculate PLs and PSLs for the RBD binding site in the closed state spike protein and the RBD-ACE2 complex. For the wild type and mutants, we define the RBD binding site as the set of RBD residues whose $C_{\alpha}s$ are within 10 Å from the $C_{\alpha}s$ of ACE2 residues. We choose 10 Å as the cutoff distance because if we used 11 Å then the RBD binding site



Fig. 10. (Color online) The total structural changes of the RBM between the closed state RBD and the open state RBD induced by ACE2 binding. Here the total structural changes are defined to be the sum of squares of distances between C_{α} atoms in the RBM.



Fig. 11. (Color online) Illustration of persistent Betti numbers (red line) and the first nonzero eigenvalues (blue line) of PL of the RBD binding site of the wild type RBD-ACE2 complex (PDB ID: 6M0J) and closed state spike protein (PDB ID: 7DF3, Chain ID: A) at different filtration values, i.e., radii (unit: Å). The graphs from top to bottom represent the results of carbon atoms, nitrogen atoms, and oxygen atoms, respectively.

would include nonRBM residues. Spike protein as a trimer has three chains. In the results of alignments, the recorded residues of the wild type, Alpha, and BA.2 are the same for the three chains. Therefore, for the wild type, Alpha and BA.2 we only use chain A, and for Beta and BA.1, we use all three chains. The study was carried out in an element specific manner for carbon atoms, nitrogen atoms, and oxygen atoms. The results of the wild type are shown in Fig. 11. We noted that persistent Betti numbers cannot distinguish two structures. However, the first nonzero eigenvalues of the PL capture the difference, demonstrating the advantage of PL over persistent homology in protein structure analysis.

Additional analysis is presented in Figs. A.3–A.12 in Appendix A. In Fig. A.3, the results of the wild type, Alpha, Beta, BA.1, and BA.2 RBD binding sites are quite similar except that the wild type RBD binding site has relatively lower first nonzero eigenvalues near r = 0.7 Å. It is seen that peak appears or disappears in the graph of the nitrogen atoms, whereas for BA.1 and BA.2, the results of the nitrogen atoms resemble each other, sometimes even coincide.

In general, the first nonzero eigenvalues of the PL are able to distinguish the structural difference before and after the complex formation in various variants. In contrast, the harmonic spectra, or equivalently, persistent homology, cannot always capture the structural changes.

The results of PLs and PSLs are similar in this work. However, this similarity is due to the specific implementation of PSLs. In general, PSLs enable embedding of nongeometric chemical and physical information of biomolecules in topological and spectral representations.

2.3. Impacts of computationally generated mutant structures on PTL-based topological deep learning predictions

The understanding of PPIs is a vital task in computational biology. With the availability of large amounts of good quality data, machine learning approaches have demonstrated their unique capability.²⁸ This is specifically true for the prediction of SARS-CoV-2 infectivity and antibody resistance using topological deep learning.⁴⁴ In this work, we explore the impact of computationally generated structures on the predictive accuracy of our topological deep learning framework. We use a BA.2 RBD deep mutational scanning dataset which involves the systematical mutations of each residue on the BA.2 RBD to 19 others and records corresponding binding affinity changes.³⁹

The deep mutational scanning covers the RBD residues from 333 to 527. In order to apply machine learning models, such as TopLapGBT and TopLap-Net,²² to this dataset, BA.2 RBD mutants need to be computationally generated based on a BA.2 RBD structure and the choice of the BA.2 RBD structure can affect the performance of machine learning models. We can employ either an experimentally determined BA.2 RBD-ACE2 complex structure or a BA.2 RBD-ACE2 complex structure computationally generated based on an experimentally determined BA.1 RBD-ACE2 complex structure. These two complexes are systematically mutated to all possible mutants in the deep mutational scanning dataset.³⁹ Two deep learning models, namely TopLapGBT and TopLapNet, are used to predict the binding affinity changes induced by all BA.2 RBD mutations. The results from these complexes are compared to examine the performance of computationally generated mutants. Here, we computationally generate mutant structures for mutations L371F, T376A, D405N, R408S, S446G, and S496G.

When the given BA.2 RBD structure is experimentally determined (PDB ID: 7XB0), the resulting models are referred to as ExpTopLapGBT (experimental TopLapGBT) and ExpTopLapNet. When the BA.2 RBD structure is computationally generated from BA.1 RBD (PDB ID: 7T9L) by Jackal,⁵¹ the resulting model is referred to as ComTopLapGBT (computational TopLapGBT) or ComTopLapNet. The distances of corresponding atoms between the experimentally determined RBD (PDB ID: 7XB0) and the RBD generated computationally from BA.1 RBD (PDB ID: 7T9L) is shown in Fig. 12.

To evaluate the validity of computationally generated BA.2 complex structure, we compare the results of two topological deep learning methods, ExpTopLapGBT and ComTopLapGBT, on the predictions of the RBD deep mutational scanning dataset. We split the dataset into 10 folds, and for each fold, we use the other 9 folds as the training set to build a machine learning model, which is used to predict ACE2-binding affinity changes for the fold. Therefore, for a given 10fold splitting we get the ExpTopLapGBT and Com-TopLapGBT predictions of RBD-ACE2 binding affinity changes for the deep mutational scanning dataset. We denote $R_p(\text{Exp}, \text{True})$ the Pearson correlation coefficient between ExpTopLapGBT predicted binding affinity changes and experimental binding affinity changes. Similarly, $R_p(\text{Com}, \text{True})$ (or $R_p(\text{Exp}, \text{Com})$)



Fig. 12. (Color online) Atoms of BA.2 RBD (PDB ID: 7XB0) are colored by their distances to corresponding atoms in the computationally generated structure. Blue, white, and red correspond to 0 Å, 7.57 Å, and 15.14 Å, respectively. We record the residues that have at least one atom whose distance to the corresponding atom in wild type RBD is more than 7.57 Å. Such residues are 370, 375, 378, 386, 387, and 519.

Table 1. $R_p(\mathrm{Exp},\mathrm{True})$ is the correlation coefficient between predictions of ExpTopLapGBT (or ExpTopLapNet) and true affinity changes. Here, $R_p(\mathrm{Com},\mathrm{True})$ is the correlation coefficient between predictions of ComTopLapGBT (or ComTopLapNet) and true affinity changes. $R_p(\mathrm{Exp},\mathrm{Com})$ is Pearson the correlation coefficient between the predictions of ExpTopLapGBT and ComTopLapGBT (or between ExpTopLapNet and ComTopLapNet). A random state affects the 10-fold splitting and the training of GBT and neural networks.

Method	$R_p(\mathrm{Exp},\mathrm{True})$	$R_p(\mathrm{Com},\mathrm{True})$	$R_p(\mathrm{Exp},\mathrm{Com})$
TopLapGBT	0.901	0.898	0.990
TopLapNet	0.879	0.849	0.925

is the Pearson correlation coefficient between Com-TopLapGBT predicted binding affinity changes and experimental binding affinity changes (or ExpTopLapGBT predicted binding affinity changes).

The results of TopLapGBT and TopLapNet are shown in Table 1. Generally, the performance of models using experimentally determined structures is better than that of models using the computationally generated structure. This is not surprising since the computationally generated structure is an approximation of the experimental structure. The performance of ExpTopLapGBT and ComTopLapGBT is extremely close, whereas the performance of ComTopLapNet differs very much from that of ExpTopLapNet. We also see that ExpTopLapGBT outperforms ExpTopLapNet.

3. THEORIES AND METHODS

3.1. Persistent topological Laplacians

PTLs are a family of TDA methods that are topological, multiscale, and spectral. Loosely speaking, their kernel space dimensions coincide with the topological invariants or Betti numbers in each topological dimension and their nonharmonic spectra describe homotopic shape evolution during filtration or multiscale analysis. Various discrete PTLs, e.g., PL,¹⁵ PSL,²⁹ persistent path Laplacian,³⁴ and persistent directed hypergraph Laplacian³⁵ have been proposed for point cloud data. For volumetric data, evolutionary de Rham-Hodge method has been developed,³³ which is defined on a family of evolving manifolds. Evolutionary de Rham-Hodge method is based on differential geometry, algebraic topology, multiscale analysis, and partial differential equations. In this work, we focus on PL and PSL.

Suppose K and L are two simplicial complexes and K is a subset of L. We denote by C^{K} and C^{L} the simplicial chain complexes of K and L with real

coefficients. As a chain group C_q in a simplicial chain complex is formally generated by simplices, it is naturally a finite-dimensional inner product space, and the adjoint of boundary map ∂_q is well defined. Let $C_{q+1}^{L,K}$ be the subspace $\{c \in C_{q+1}^L | \partial_{q+1}^L(c) \in C_q^K\}$ of C_{q+1}^L and $\partial_{q+1}^{L,K}$ the restriction of ∂_{q+1}^L to $C_{q+1}^{L,K}$. The *q*th PL $\Delta_q^{K,L}$ is defined by

$$\partial_{q+1}^{L,K} (\partial_{q+1}^{L,K})^* + (\partial_q^K)^* \partial_q^K. \tag{1}$$

Before we define the PSL, we need to explain what a cellular sheaf is first. A cellular sheaf \mathscr{S} is a simplicial complex X (viewed as a cell complex) with an assignment to each cell σ of X a finite-dimensional vector space $\mathscr{S}(\sigma)$ (referred to as the stalk of \mathscr{S} over σ) and to each face relation $\sigma \leq \tau$ (i.e., $\sigma \subset \overline{\tau}$) a linear morphism of vector spaces denoted by $\mathscr{S}_{\sigma \leq \tau}$ (referred to as the restriction map of the face relation $\sigma \leq \tau$), satisfying the rule

$$\rho \leq \sigma \leq \tau \Rightarrow \mathscr{S}_{\rho \leq \tau} = \mathscr{S}_{\sigma \leq \tau} \mathscr{S}_{\rho \leq \sigma}$$

and $\mathscr{S}_{\sigma \leq \sigma}$ is the identity map of $\mathscr{S}(\sigma)$. Like a simplicial complex, a cellular sheaf gives rise to a sheaf cochain complex. The *q*th sheaf cochain group $C^q_{\mathscr{S}}$ is the direct sum of stalks over *q*-dimensional cells. To define coboundary maps, we can globally orient the simplicial complex *X* and obtain a signed incidence relation, i.e., an assignment to each $\sigma \leq \tau$ an integer $[\sigma:\tau]$. Then the coboundary map $d^q: C^q_{\mathscr{S}} \to C^{q+1}_{\mathscr{S}}$ is defined by

$$d^q|_{\mathscr{S}(\sigma)} = \sum_{\sigma \leq \tau} [\sigma:\tau] \mathscr{S}_{\sigma \leq \tau}$$

Now, suppose we have two cellular sheaves \mathscr{S} on K and \mathscr{T} on L such that $K \subseteq L$ and stalks and restriction maps of K are identical to those of L.



Let $C_{\mathscr{S},\mathscr{T}}^{q+1} = \{c \in C_{\mathscr{T}}^{q+1} | (d_{\mathscr{T}}^q)^*(c) \in C_{\mathscr{S}}^q\}$. We denote the adjoint map of $(d_{\mathscr{T}}^q)^*|_{C_{\mathscr{S},\mathscr{T}}^{q+1}}$ as $d_{\mathscr{S},\mathscr{T}}^q$ and define the *q*th PSL $\Delta_q^{\mathscr{S},\mathscr{T}}$ as

$$\Delta_q^{\mathscr{S},\mathscr{T}} = (d_{\mathscr{S},\mathscr{T}}^q)^* d_{\mathscr{S},\mathscr{T}}^q + d_{\mathscr{S}}^{q-1} (d_{\mathscr{S}}^{q-1})^*$$

Of course, to define adjoint maps, cochain groups need to be inner product spaces. In this work, cellular sheaves are constructed in the same way as in Sec. 2.4 of Ref. 29. The nongeometrical information we consider is the set of atomic partial charges. We employ partial charges from the PDB2PQR package.⁵² We build a Rips filtration of graphs. For each simplicial complex X, we denote each vertex by v_i , the edge connecting v_i and v_j by e_{ij} and the partial charges q_i . Then the cellular sheaf is such that each stalk is \mathbb{R} , and for face relation $v_i \leq e_{ij}$ the morphism is the multiplication by q_j/r_{ij} , where r_{ij} is the length of e_{ij} . Spectra of PSLs are not used in TopLapGBT and TopLapNet.

3.2. TopLapGBT and TopLapNet

TopLapGBT and TopLapNet²² have been employed to study mutational effects on PPI. Gradient boosted trees are employed in TopLapGBT, whereas, TopLapNet is based on artificial neural networks. Both models are constructed by using PLs. These methods require the 3D structures of both wide-type PPI complexes and mutant complexes. For instance, the AB-Bind S645 dataset⁵³ includes 645 mutants with experimentally determined BFE changes across 29 antibody-antigen complexes. Mutant structures can be computationally generated based on experimentally determined structures of the wild type antibody-antigen complexes and mutation information (chain id, residue id, mutant residue, etc.) Representation of mutant structures, including the persistent homology and PL representations, and other auxiliary representations, can be used as feature vectors to train machine learning models (such as gradient boosting trees and deep neural networks) that can predict mutation-induced BFE changes.

When we apply persistent homology and PL to the study of PPIs, we always extract the atoms within a certain cutoff distance r of the binding site and construct a distance matrix such that if two atoms are in the same protein then the distance between them is an extremely large constant number. If we want to further characterize the interaction between atoms of certain elements E_1 and E_2 , we can consider the point cloud formed by the atoms of an element E_1 of protein A within r of the binding site, and the atoms of element E_2 of protein B within r of the binding site. After the calculation of persistent homology and PL, the next step is to transform the barcodes of persistent homology or spectra of PLs into vector representations of fixed lengths. For barcodes, there are at least two ways: either we divide the interval [0, r] into bins of even length and count the occurrence of bars, birth values, and death values in each bin, or we simply compute

statistics such as sum, maximum, minimum, mean, and standard deviation for bar lengths, birth values, and death values. The former method is often applied to 0D barcodes and the latter to 1D and 2D barcodes. For the spectrum of a PL, we separate zero eigenvalues (harmonic spectra) and nonzero eigenvalues (nonharmonic spectra). We use the number of zero eigenvalues, the sum, the minimum, the maximum, the mean, the standard deviation, the variance, and the sum of squares of nonzero eigenvalues.

In this study, we use scikit-learn to build a gradient boosting tree whose parameters are n_estimators = 20,000, learning_rate = 0.005, max_features = 'sqrt', max_depth = 9, min_samples_split = 3, subsample = 0.4, and n_iter_no_change = 500. Additionally, we use PyTorch to build a neural network with 7 hidden layers and each layer has 8000 neurons.

4. CONCLUDING REMARKS

PTLs are a class of newly proposed multiscale topological spectral approaches in data science. These methods can be used either in a discrete setting for point cloud data^{15,29,34} or in a continuous setting for volumetric data.³³ Their mathematical underpinnings for discrete formulations are algebraic topology, sheaf theory, and combinatorial graphs, while those for the continuous formulations is algebraic topology, differential geometry, and partial differential equation. Among mutants Alpha, Beta, Gamma, Omicron BA.1, and Omicron BA.2, BA.2 has the largest total structural changes from the wild type, which agrees with the significant antibody escape of the Omicron BA.2 variant. As to the total structural changes of a closed state RBD induced by its binding to ACE2, total structural changes of Alpha, Beta, Omicron BA.1, and Omicron BA.2 do not differ too much. It is noted that most large structural changes of C_{α} occur at flexible random coil regions at the epitope.

We also demonstrate how to use PTLs to characterize structural changes induced by SARS-CoV-2 variant spike protein RBD mutations and by its binding to human angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2). Two PTLs, namely PL and PSL, are utilized in our work. We also analyze two implementations, i.e., element nonspecific and element specific Laplacian models of PL and PSL. We show that PL and PSL provide similar results. These methods capture homotopic shape evolution information, which persistent homology cannot offer. We expect other PTLs, such as persistent path Laplacian,³⁴ can uncover similar information. Additionally, element specific approaches reveal more information than element-nonspecific ones as shown in Ref. 20.

More specifically, the results of PL and PSL indicate that at the residue 501 mutation site, the structure of RBD carbon atoms is less affected by mutations than that of RBD nitrogen atoms and RBD oxygen atoms, partially due to the fact that the bond nature of carbon atoms is mostly covalent, whereas the distances among oxygen atoms are mostly noncovalent. The topological Betti numbers of oxygen atoms are associated with possible hydrogen bonds. Additionally, structural similarity of mutation sites is observed in the spectra of PL and PSL.

As for the RBD structural changes induced by its binding to ACE2, for wild type, Alpha and Beta, a significant difference can be observed in the result of nitrogen atoms, whereas for BA.1 and BA.2, a significant difference can be observed in the result of oxygen atoms. We show that the nonharmonic spectra (the first nonzero eigenvalues) of PL are more sensitive to structural changes than the harmonic spectra. Therefore, PL has an advantage over persistent homology in protein analysis.

Finally, we test how a computationally generated structure impacts the prediction of PTL-based machine learning models, i.e., TopLapGBT and TopLapNet.²² The results indicate that a computationally generated structure harms the performance of TopLapGBT and TopLapNet. However, TopLapGBT is much less affected by a computationally generated structure than TopLapNet, implying a resistance to the structural approximation from computations. TopLapNet is more affected by a computationally generated structure probably because neural networks are more prone to overfitting than gradient-boosted trees.

This work reveals that PTLs are a class of powerful new methods for TDA or more precisely, spectral data analysis (SDA). These methods can certainly be applied to the data analysis in other fields and disciplines, including image science, physical science, medical science, social science, engineering, financial industrial, musical science,³² etc.

FUNDING INFORMATION

This work was supported in part by the NIH grants R01GM126189 and R01AI164266, NSF grants DMS-2052983, DMS-1761320, and IIS-1900473, NASA grant 80NSSC21M0023, MSU Foundation, Bristol-Myers Squibb 65109, and Pfizer.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The corresponding author (G.W.) declares that, as an advisory editor of the present journal, there is a conflict of interest regarding this manuscript. However, the corresponding author confirms that he was not involved in the peer review process of this manuscript, and therefore, he has not had any influence on the editorial decision-making process. The conflict of interest was disclosed to the editorial team, and appropriate steps have been taken to ensure that the peer review and decision-making process for this manuscript were handled impartially and transparently.

APPENDIX A

In the appendix, we provide the information of PDB structures we used and additional topological analysis

using PL and PSL. The information of PDB structures is given in Table A.1. PTL results are given in Figs. A.1–A.12.

Specifically, Figs. A.1 and A.2 are element specific analysis of the wide type, Alpha, Beta, Gamma, BA.1, and BA.2 using LP and PSL, respectively. Figure A.3 presents carbon specific analysis of the wide type, Alpha, Beta, Gamma, BA.1, and BA.2. Figures A.4–A.7 demonstrate the PL analysis of Alpha, Beta, BA.2, and BA.2, respectively. The spectral analysis of three major types of elements, namely carbon atoms, nitrogen atoms, and oxygen atoms, is presented in these figures. Finally, Figs. A.8–A.12 illustrate the PSL analysis of the wide type, Alpha, Beta, BA.2, and BA.2, respectively. These figures display the spectral analysis of three major types of elements, namely carbon atoms, nitrogen atoms, and oxygen atoms.

Table A	.1. I	Information	of	PDB	3D	structures	used	in	this	work.

PDB ID	Method	Resolution (unit: Å)	Description
6M0J ⁴³	X-ray diffraction	2.45	wild type RBD-ACE2
8DLK ⁴⁰	Electron microscopy	3.04	Alpha RBD-ACE2
8DLN ⁴⁰	Electron microscopy	3.04	Beta RBD-ACE2
8DLQ ⁴⁰	Electron microscopy	2.77	Gamma RBD-ACE2
$7T9L^{41}$	Electron microscopy	2.66	BA.1 RBD-ACE2
7XB0 ⁴²	X-ray diffraction	2.90	BA.2 RBD-ACE2
7DF3 ⁴⁷	Electron microscopy	2.70	wild type spike
7LWS ⁴⁸	Electron microscopy	3.22	Alpha spike
7LYM ⁴⁸	Electron microscopy	3.57	Beta spike
7TF8 ⁴⁹	Electron microscopy	3.36	BA.1 spike
7XIX ⁵⁰	Electron microscopy	3.25	BA.2 spike



Fig. A.1. (Color online) Illustration of persistent Betti numbers of element specific PL of the residue 501 mutation site at different filtration values, i.e., radii (unit: Å). The wild type (PDB ID: 6M0J) and Alpha (PDB ID: 8DLK) are given in the first row. The Beta (PDB ID: 8DLN) and Gamma (PDB ID: 8DLQ) are given in the second row. The BA.1 (PDB ID: 7T9L) and BA.2 (PDB ID: 7XB0) are given the third row.



Fig. A.2. (Color online) Illustration of persistent Betti numbers of element specific PSL of the residue 501 mutation site at different filtration values, i.e., radii (unit: Å). The wild type (PDB ID: 6M0J) and Alpha (PDB ID: 8DLK) are given in the first row. The Beta (PDB ID: 8DLN) and Gamma (PDB ID: 8DLQ) are given in the second row. The BA.1 (PDB ID: 7T9L) and BA.2 (PDB ID: 7XB0) are given the third row.



Fig. A.3. (Color online) Illustration of persistent Betti numbers (red line) and the first nonzero eigenvalues (blue line) of PL of carbon atoms of the RBD binding site in RBD-ACE2 complex of the wild type (PDB ID: 6M0J), Alpha (PDB ID: 8DLK), Beta (PDB ID: 8DLN), BA.1 (PDB ID: 7T9L), and BA.2 (PDB ID: 7XB0) at different filtration values, i.e., radii (unit: Å). The graphs from top to bottom represent the results of the wild type, Alpha, Beta, BA.1, and BA.2 variants, respectively.



Fig. A.4. (Color online) Illustration of persistent Betti numbers (red line) and the first nonzero eigenvalues (blue line) of PL of the RBD binding site of Alpha RBD-ACE2 complex (PDB ID: 8DLK) and closed state spike protein (PDB ID: 7LWS, Chain ID: A) at different filtration values, i.e., radii (unit: Å). The graphs from top to bottom represent the results of carbon atoms, nitrogen atoms, and oxygen atoms, respectively.



Fig. A.5. (Color online) Illustration of persistent Betti numbers (red line) and the first nonzero eigenvalues (blue line) of PL of the RBD binding site of Beta RBD-ACE2 complex (PDB ID: 8DLN) and closed state spike protein (PDB ID: 7LYM, Chain ID: A, B, C) at different filtration values, i.e., radii (unit: Å). The graphs from top to bottom represent the results of carbon atoms, nitrogen atoms, and oxygen atoms, respectively.



Fig. A.6. (Color online) Illustration of persistent Betti numbers (red line) and the first nonzero eigenvalues (blue line) of PL of the RBD binding site of BA.1 RBD-ACE2 complex (PDB ID: 7T9L) and closed state spike protein (PDB ID: 7TF8, Chain ID: A, B, C) at different filtration values, i.e., radii (unit: Å). The graphs from top to bottom represent the results of carbon atoms, nitrogen atoms, and oxygen atoms, respectively.



Fig. A.7. (Color online) Illustration of persistent Betti numbers (red line) and the first nonzero eigenvalues (blue line) of PL of the RBD binding site of BA.2 RBD-ACE2 complex (PDB ID: 7XB0) and closed state spike protein (PDB ID: 7XIX, Chain ID: A) at different filtration values, i.e., radii (unit: Å). The graphs from top to bottom represent the results of carbon atoms, nitrogen atoms, and oxygen atoms, respectively.



Fig. A.8. (Color online) Illustration of persistent sheaf Betti numbers (red line) and the first nonzero eigenvalues (blue line) of PSL of the RBD binding site of the wild type RBD-ACE2 complex (PDB ID: 6M0J) and closed state spike protein (PDB ID: 7DF3, Chain ID: A) at different filtration values, i.e., radii (unit: Å). The graphs from top to bottom represent the results of carbon atoms, nitrogen atoms, and oxygen atoms, respectively.



Fig. A.9. (Color online) Illustration of persistent sheaf Betti numbers (red line) and the first nonzero eigenvalues (blue line) of PSL of the RBD binding site of Alpha RBD-ACE2 complex (PDB ID: 8DLK) and closed state spike protein (PDB ID: 7LWS, Chain ID: A) at different filtration values, i.e., radii (unit: Å). The graphs from top to bottom represent the results of carbon atoms, nitrogen atoms, and oxygen atoms, respectively.



Fig. A.10. (Color online) Illustration of persistent sheaf Betti numbers (red line) and the first nonzero eigenvalues (blue line) of PSL of the RBD binding site of Beta RBD-ACE2 complex (PDB ID: 8DLN) and closed state spike protein (PDB ID: 7LYM, Chain ID: A, B, C) at different filtration values, i.e., radii (unit: Å). The graphs from top to bottom represent the results of carbon atoms, nitrogen atoms, and oxygen atoms, respectively.



Fig. A.11. (Color online) Illustration of persistent sheaf Betti numbers (red line) and the first nonzero eigenvalues (blue line) of PSL of the RBD binding site of BA.1 RBD-ACE2 complex (PDB ID: 7T9L) and closed state spike protein (PDB ID: 7TF8, Chain ID: A, B, C) at different filtration values, i.e., radii (unit: Å). The graphs from top to bottom represent the results of carbon atoms, nitrogen atoms, and oxygen atoms, respectively.



Fig. A.12. (Color online) Illustration of persistent sheaf Betti numbers (red line) and the first nonzero eigenvalues (blue line) of PSL of the RBD binding site of BA.2 RBD-ACE2 complex (PDB ID: 7XB0) and closed state spike protein (PDB ID: 7XIX, Chain ID: A) at different filtration values, i.e., radii (unit: Å). The graphs from top to bottom represent the results of carbon atoms, nitrogen atoms, and oxygen atoms, respectively.

References

- Chen, J.; Wang, R.; Wang, M.; Wei, G.-W. Mutations Strengthened SARS-CoV-2 Infectivity. *J. Molecular Biol.* 2020, 432 (19), 5212–5226.
- Wang, R.; Chen, J.; Wei, G.-W. Mechanisms of SARS-CoV-2 Evolution Revealing Vaccine-Resistant Mutations in Europe and America. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 2021, *12*, 11850–11857.
- Hoffmann, M. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 Cell Entry Depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and is Blocked by a Clinically Proven Protease Inhibitor. *Cell* 2020, *181* (2), 271–280.
- Walls, A. C.; Park, Y.-J.; Tortorici, M. A.; Wall, A.; McGuire, A. T.; Veesler, D. Structure, Function, and Antigenicity of the SARS-CoV-2 Spike Glycoprotein. *Cell* 2020, 181 (2), 281–292.
- Wang, R.; Chen, J.; Gao, K.; Wei, G.-W. Vaccine-Escape and Fast-Growing Mutations in the United Kingdom, the United States, Singapore, Spain, India, and Other COVID-19-Devastated Countries. *Genomics* 2021, *113* (4), 2158–2170.
- Wang, M.; Cang, Z.; Wei, G.-W. A Topology-based Network Tree for the Prediction of Protein–Protein Binding Affinity Changes Following Mutation. *Nature Mach. Intell.* 2020, 2 (2), 116–123.
- Carlsson, G. Topology and Data. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 2009, 46 (2), 255–308.
- Edelsbrunner, H.; Letscher, D.; Zomorodian, A. Topological Persistence and Simplification. in *Proc. 41st Annual Symp. Foundations of Computer Science*, IEEE, 2000, pp. 454–463.
- Frosini, P. Measuring Shapes by Size Functions. in *Intelligent Robots and Computer Vision X: Algorithms and Techniques*, Vol. 1607, International Society for Optics and Photonics, 1992, pp. 122–133.
- Kovacev-Nikolic, V.; Bubenik, P.; Nikolić, D.; Heo, G. Using Persistent Homology and Dynamical Distances to Analyze Protein Binding. *Stat. Appl. Genet. Molecular Biol.* 2016, 15 (1), 19–38.
- Liu, J.; Chen, D.; Pan, F.; Wu, J. Neighborhood Path Complex for the Quantitative Analysis of the Structure and Stability of Carboranes. *J. Comput. Biophys. Chem.* 2023, https://doi.org/10.1142/S2737416523500229.
- 12. Townsend, J.; Micucci, C. P.; Hymel, J. H.; Maroulas, V.; Vogiatzis, K. D. Representation of Molecular Structures with Persistent Homology for Machine Learning Applications in Chemistry. *Nature Commun.* **2020**, *11* (1), 1–9.
- Xia, K. L.; Wei, G. W. Persistent Homology Analysis of Protein Structure, Flexibility and Folding. *Int. J. Numer. Methods Biomed. Eng.* 2014, 30, 814–844.
- Zomorodian, A.; Carlsson, G. Computing Persistent Homology. *Discrete Comput. Geom.* 2005, 33 (2), 249–274.
- Wang, R.; Nguyen, D. D.; Wei, G.-W. Persistent Spectral Graph. *Int. J. Numer. Methods Biomed. Eng.* 2020, 36 (9), e3376.

- 16. Mémoli, F.; Wan, Z.; Wang, Y. Persistent Laplacians: Properties, Algorithms and Implications. *SIAM J. Math. Data Sci.* **2022**, 4 (2), 858–884.
- Wang, R.; Zhao, R.; Ribando-Gros, E.; Chen, J.; Tong, Y.; Wei, G.-W. HERMES: Persistent Spectral Graph Software. *Found. Data Sci.* 2020, 3 (1), 67–97.
- Wei, X.; Wei, G.-W. Homotopy Continuation for the Spectra of Persistent Laplacians. *Found. Data Sci.* 2021, 3 (4), 677.
- Cang, Z.; Mu, L.; Wei, G.-W. Representability of Algebraic Topology for Biomolecules in Machine Learning Based Scoring and Virtual Screening. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 2018, 14 (1), e1005929.
- Cang, Z.; Wei, G.-W. TopologyNet: Topology Based Deep Convolutional and Multi-task Neural Networks for Biomolecular Property Predictions. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 2017, 13 (7), e1005690.
- 21. Meng, Z.; Xia, K. Persistent Spectral-Based Machine Learning (perspect ml) for Protein-Ligand Binding Affinity Prediction. *Sci. Adv.* **2021**, *7* (19), eabc5329.
- 22. Chen, J.; Qiu, Y.; Wang, R.; Wei, G.-W. Persistent Laplacian Projected Omicron BA.4 and BA.5 to Become New Dominating Variants. *Comput. Biol. Med.* **2022**, *151*, 106262.
- 23. Wee, J.; Xia, K. Persistent Spectral Based Ensemble Learning (perspect-el) for Protein–Protein Binding Affinity Prediction. *Brief. Bioinform.* **2022**, *23* (2).
- Nguyen, D. D.; Cang, Z.; Wu, K.; Wang, M.; Cao, Y.; Wei, G.-W. Mathematical Deep Learning for Pose and Binding Affinity Prediction and Ranking in D3R Grand Challenges. *J. Comput.-Aided Molecular Des.* 2019, 33 (1), 71–82.
- 25. Ameneyro, B.; Siopsis, G.; Maroulas, V. Quantum Persistent Homology, arXiv:2202.12965.
- 26. Grbic, J.; Wu, J.; Xia, K.; Wei, G. Aspects of Topological Approaches for Data Science. *Found. Data Science* **2022**.
- 27. Liu, J.; Xia, K.-L.; Wu, J.; Yau, S. S.-T.; Wei, G.-W. Biomolecular Topology: Modelling and Analysis. *Acta Math. Sin., English Ser.* **2022**, *38* (10), 1901–1938.
- Liu, X.; Feng, H.; Wu, J.; Xia, K. Hom-Complex-based Machine Learning (HCMl) for the Prediction of Protein–Protein Binding Affinity Changes Upon Mutation. *J. Chem. Inform. Model.* 2022, 62 (17), 3961–3969.
- 29. Wei, X.; Wei, G.-W. Persistent Sheaf Laplacians. arXiv:2112.10906.
- Hansen, J.; Ghrist, R. Toward a Spectral Theory of Cellular Sheaves. J. Appl. Comput. Topol. 2019, 3 (4), 315–358.
- 31. Curry, J. Sheaves, Cosheaves and Applications. The University of Pennsylvania, 2014.
- 32. Wei, G.-W. Topological Data Analysis Hearing the Shapes of Drums and Bells. arXiv:2301.05025.
- Chen, J.; Zhao, R.; Tong, Y.; Wei, G.-W. Evolutionary de Rham-Hodge Method. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B* 2021, 26 (7), 3785.

- 34. Wang, R.; Wei, G.-W. Persistent Path Laplacian. *Found. Data Sci.* **2023**, 5 (1), 26–55.
- 35. Chen, D.; Liu, J.; Wu, J.; Wei, G.-W. Persistent Topological Hypergraph and Hyperdigraph Laplacians. *in preparation*, **2023**.
- Chen, J.; Gao, K.; Wang, R.; Wei, G.-W. Prediction and Mitigation of Mutation Threats to COVID-19 Vaccines and Antibody Therapies. *Chem. Sci.* 2021, *12* (20), 6929–6948.
- 37. Chen, J.; Gao, K.; Wang, R.; Wei, G.-W. Revealing the Threat of Emerging SARS-CoV-2 Mutations to Antibody Therapies. *J. Molecular Biol.* **2021**, *433* (7744).
- Qiu, Y.; Wei, G.-W. Persistent Spectral Theory-Guided Protein Engineering. *Nature Comput. Sci.* 2023, *3*, 149– 163.
- Starr, T. N.; Greaney, A. J.; Stewart, C. M.; Walls, A. C.; Hannon, W. W.; Veesler, D.; Bloom, J. D. Deep Mutational Scans for Ace2 Binding, RBD Expression, and Antibody Escape in the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron BA.1 and BA.2 Receptor-Binding Domains. *PLoS Pathogens* 2022, 18 (11), e1010951.
- Mannar, D. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 Variants of Concern: Spike Protein Mutational Analysis and Epitope for Broad Neutralization. *Nature Commun.* 2022, *13* (1), 1–12.
- Mannar, D.; Saville, J. W.; Zhu, X.; Srivastava, S. S.; Berezuk, A. M.; Tuttle, K. S.; Marquez, A. C.; Sekirov, I.; Subramaniam, S. SARS-CoV-2 Omicron Variant: Antibody Evasion and Cryo-EM Structure of Spike Protein–ACE2 Complex. *Science* 2022, 375 (6582), 760–764.
- Li, L. *et al.* Structural Basis of Human ACE2 Higher Binding Affinity to Currently Circulating Omicron SARS-CoV-2 Sub-Variants BA. 2 and BA. 1.1. *Cell* 2022, 185 (16), 2952–2960.
- Lan, J. *et al.* Structure of the SARS-CoV-2 Spike Receptor-Binding Domain Bound to the ACE2 Receptor. *Nature* 2020, 581 (7807), 215–220.

- 44. Chen, J.; Wang, R.; Gilby, N. B.; Wei, G.-W. Omicron Variant (B.1.1.529): Infectivity, Vaccine Breakthrough, and Antibody Resistance. *J. Chem. Inform. Model.* **2022**, *62* (2), 412–422.
- 45. Chen, J.; Wei, G.-W. Omicron BA. 2 (B. 1.1. 529.2): High Potential for Becoming the Next Dominant Variant. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. **2022**, 13 (17), 3840–3849.
- Pettersen, E. F.; Goddard, T. D.; Huang, C. C.; Meng, E. C.; Couch, G. S.; Croll, T. I.; Morris, J. H.; Ferrin, T. E. UCSF Chimerax: Structure Visualization for Researchers, Educators, and Developers. *Protein Sci.* 2021, *30* (1), 70–82.
- 47. Xu, C. *et al.* Conformational Dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 Trimeric Spike Glycoprotein in Complex with Receptor ACE2 Revealed by Cryo-EM. *Science Adv.* **2021**, *7* (1), eabe5575.
- 48. Gobeil, S. M.-C. *et al.* Effect of Natural Mutations of SARS-CoV-2 on Spike Structure, Conformation, and Antigenicity. *Science* **2021**, *373* (6555), eabi6226.
- 49. Gobeil, S. M.-C. *et al.* Structural Diversity of the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron Spike. *Molecular Cell* **2022**.
- Cao, Y. *et al.* BA.2.12.1, BA.4 and BA.5 Escape Antibodies Elicited by Omicron Infection. *Nature* 2022, 608 (7923), 593–602.
- Xiang, Z.; Honig, B. Extending the Accuracy Limits of Prediction for Side-Chain Conformations. J. Molecular Biol. 2001, 311 (2), 421–430.
- 52. Jurrus, E. *et al.* Improvements to the APBS Biomolecular Solvation Software Suite. *Protein Sci.* **2018**, *27* (1), 112–128.
- Sirin, S.; Apgar, J. R.; Bennett, E. M.; Keating, A. E. AB-Bind: Antibody Binding Mutational Database for Computational Affinity Predictions. *Protein Sci.* 2016, 25 (2), 393–409.