

*Michigan State University  
Department of Mathematics  
Instructor Evaluation Forms  
Spring Semester 2016*

**Job number 0096      SENDOVA, TSVET B      MTH 320**

|                         | Student Responses |      |           |      |         |      |           |      |      |      |      |
|-------------------------|-------------------|------|-----------|------|---------|------|-----------|------|------|------|------|
|                         | Excellent         |      | Above Avg |      | Average |      | Below Avg |      |      |      |      |
|                         | N                 | %    | N         | %    | N       | %    | N         | %    | Mean | Std  | Mean |
| 1 - Ability to Explain  | 14                | 77.8 | 3         | 16.7 | 1       | 5.6  | .         | .    | 3.72 | 0.57 | 3.30 |
| 2 - Preparedness        | 13                | 72.2 | 3         | 16.7 | 2       | 11.1 | .         | .    | 3.61 | 0.70 | 3.26 |
| 3 - Organization        | 12                | 66.7 | 3         | 16.7 | 3       | 16.7 | .         | .    | 3.50 | 0.79 | 3.02 |
| 4 - Willingness to Help | 16                | 88.9 | 1         | 5.6  | 1       | 5.6  | .         | .    | 3.83 | 0.51 | 3.38 |
| 5 - Overall Rating      | 15                | 83.3 | 2         | 11.1 | 1       | 5.6  | .         | .    | 3.78 | 0.55 | 3.16 |
| 6 - Course Difficulty   | 2                 | 11.1 | 9         | 50.0 | 5       | 27.8 | 2         | 11.1 | 2.61 | 0.85 | 2.66 |

## SIRS

# Student Instructional Rating System Header Sheet

TSENDOVA

DO NOT MARK IN THIS AREA

| Print and Mark as Much of Your Name as Possible |   |   |   |               |   |   |  |
|---|---|---|---|---------------|---|---|--|
| LAST NAME                                       |   |   |   | FIRST NAME MI |   |   |  |
| S   | E | N | D | O             | V | A |  |
| T   | S | V | E | T             | B |   |  |

| COURSE       |        |   |
|--------------|--------|---|
| SUBJECT CODE | NUMBER |   |
| MTH          | 320    |   |
| A            | A      | 0 |
| B            | B      | 1 |
| C            | C      | 2 |
| D            | D      | 3 |
| E            | E      | 4 |
| F            | F      | 5 |
| G            | G      | 6 |
| H            | H      | 7 |
| I            | I      | 8 |
| J            | J      | 9 |
| K            | K      | A |
| L            | L      | B |
| M            | M      | C |
| N            | N      | D |
| O            | O      | E |
| P            | P      | F |
| Q            | Q      | G |
| R            | R      | H |
| S            | S      | I |
| T            | T      | J |
| U            | U      | K |
| V            | V      | L |
| W            | W      | M |
| X            | X      | N |
| Y            | Y      | O |
| Z            | Z      | P |
|              |        | Q |
|              |        | R |
|              |        | S |
|              |        | T |
|              |        | U |
|              |        | V |
|              |        | W |
|              |        | X |
|              |        | Y |
|              |        | Z |

## Instructions:

- Use a #2 pencil.
- Print clearly in ALL text areas (Name and Course).
- Fill bubbles carefully and completely.

SECTION

001

0

1

2

3

4

5






6

7

8

9

| Number of Students Enrolled in this Course |                                  |
|--|----------------------------------|
| 25 or less                                 | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| 26-50                                      | <input type="radio"/>            |
| 51-100                                     | <input type="radio"/>            |
| 101-300                                    | <input type="radio"/>            |
| 301 or more                                | <input type="radio"/>            |

| Rank of<br>Major Instructor |   |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Teaching Assistant          |  |
| Instructor                  |  |
| Assistant Professor         |  |
| Associate Professor         |  |
| Professor                   |  |

[illegible]



**Instructor Evaluation Form**  
**Department of Mathematics**  
**Michigan State University**

Instructor Tsveta Sardon Course 320-001 Semester Spring 6

Format (Circle One)    Lecture/Recitation    Small Class    Year 2016

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent    above average    average    below average    poor  
☒    ☐    ☐    ☐    ☐

Preparedness

excellent    above average    average    below average    poor  
☒    ☐    ☐    ☐    ☐

Organization

excellent    above average    average    below average    poor  
☒    ☐    ☐    ☐    ☐

Willingness to help

excellent    above average    average    below average    poor  
☒    ☐    ☐    ☐    ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent    above average    average    below average    poor  
☒    ☐    ☐    ☐    ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding    demanding    moderately difficult    fairly easy    too easy  
☐    ☒    ☐    ☐    ☐

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes Good teacher.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.



**Instructor Evaluation Form**  
**Department of Mathematics**  
**Michigan State University**

Instructor Sendova Course Mth 320<sup>-001</sup> Semester SP

Format (Circle One)    Lecture/Recitation    Small Class    Year Senior  
'16

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent    above average    average    below average    poor  
☐    ☒    ☐    ☐    ☐

Preparedness

excellent    above average    average    below average    poor  
☐    ☒    ☐    ☐    ☐

Organization

excellent    above average    average    below average    poor  
☒    ☐    ☐    ☐    ☐

Willingness to help

excellent    above average    average    below average    poor  
☒    ☐    ☐    ☐    ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent    above average    average    below average    poor  
☒    ☐    ☐    ☐    ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding    demanding    moderately difficult    fairly easy    too easy  
☐    ☒    ☐    ☐    ☐

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Absolutely, she is extremely friendly and cares about her students.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

**Instructor Evaluation Form**  
**Department of Mathematics**  
**Michigan State University**

Instructor Sendova Course MTH 320-001 Semester S

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2016

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Preparedness

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Organization

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Willingness to help

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding ☐ demanding ☒ moderately difficult ☐ fairly easy ☐ too easy ☐

Please respond to questions on back.



4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes. Great instructor & enthusiasm for the class. Wants to see her students do well & is very encouraging. 10/10 would recommend.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

**Instructor Evaluation Form**  
**Department of Mathematics**  
**Michigan State University**

Instructor Tsveta Sendova Course MTH 320 Semester Spring  
Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2016

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Preparedness

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Organization

excellent ☐ above average ☒ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Willingness to help

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding ☐ demanding ☐ moderately difficult ☒ fairly easy ☐ too easy ☐

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes, this instructor is detail-oriented ~~and~~, well-prepared, patient to help students.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.



**Instructor Evaluation Form**  
**Department of Mathematics**  
**Michigan State University**

Instructor Tsveta Sendova Course MTH 320 Semester SS

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2016

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Preparedness

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Organization

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Willingness to help

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding ☐ demanding ☒ moderately difficult ☐ fairly easy ☐ too easy ☐

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Absolutely. She is the best math instructor that I have had in all 4 years at MSU, by far. Her commitment to each student having a deep, intuitive understanding of the course topics was impressive and a very significant motivation for me to work harder in 320.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

**Instructor Evaluation Form**  
**Department of Mathematics**  
**Michigan State University**

Instructor Tsveta Sendova Course MTH 320-001 Semester Spring  
Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2016

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Preparedness

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Organization

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Willingness to help

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding ☐ demanding ☒ moderately difficult ☐ fairly easy ☐ too easy ☐

Please respond to questions on back.



4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes.

Because she uses different ways to explain things and connect different concepts well into a big picture.

It will be more helpful if solutions of homework or quiz or midterms can be posted.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

**Instructor Evaluation Form**  
**Department of Mathematics**  
**Michigan State University**

Instructor Tsveta Sendova Course MTH 320 Semester SS16

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2016

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Preparedness

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Organization

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Willingness to help

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding ☐ demanding ☐ moderately difficult ☒ fairly easy ☐ too easy ☐

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes, very good explanation during the class and is willing to help after class. Reasonable grading for the homeworks and exams. She really cares what students learn.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.



**Instructor Evaluation Form**  
**Department of Mathematics**  
**Michigan State University**

Instructor Tsveta Sendova Course MTH 120-001 Semester 5

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2016

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent    above average    average    below average    poor  
☐    ☒    ☐    ☐    ☐

Preparedness

excellent    above average    average    below average    poor  
☐    ☒    ☐    ☐    ☐

Organization

excellent    above average    average    below average    poor  
☐    ☒    ☐    ☐    ☐

Willingness to help

excellent    above average    average    below average    poor  
☐    ☒    ☐    ☐    ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent    above average    average    below average    poor  
☐    ☒    ☐    ☐    ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding    demanding    moderately difficult    fairly easy    too easy  
☐    ☒    ☐    ☐    ☐

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Only to those who like group study and her teaching style. She is doing good. Although I am not very adaptable to some of her organization. I prefer more individual study.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

**Instructor Evaluation Form**  
**Department of Mathematics**  
**Michigan State University**

Instructor Tsueti Sendova Course MTH 320 Semester SS16

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2016

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Preparedness

excellent ☐ above average ☒ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Organization

excellent ☐ above average ☐ average ☒ below average ☐ poor ☐

Willingness to help

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding ☐ demanding ☒ moderately difficult ☐ fairly easy ☐ too easy ☐

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes, Prof. Sentera is exceptional at teaching this material. My only criticism is that she can often get disorganized and messy on the chalkboard during lecture.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.



**Instructor Evaluation Form**  
**Department of Mathematics**  
**Michigan State University**

Instructor Tsveta Sendova Course MTH 320-001 Semester     

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year     

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Preparedness

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Organization

excellent ☐ above average ☒ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Willingness to help

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding ☐ demanding ☒ moderately difficult ☐ fairly easy ☐ too easy ☐

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Absolutely!

Professor Sendova is easily the best Professor I have had in the math department.

She explains things clearly and concisely which is quite the challenge in Analysis.

She ensures each student in the class never falls behind.

She strongly encourages class participation which is extremely helpful.

The only issue I have had is she tends to get a little too excited and her lectures get chaotic towards the end of classes.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

**Instructor Evaluation Form**  
**Department of Mathematics**  
**Michigan State University**

Instructor Sendova Course MTH 320 Semester Spring

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2016

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Preparedness

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Organization

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Willingness to help

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding ☐ demanding ☐ moderately difficult ☐ fairly easy ☒ too easy ☐

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes.

Piazza (idk if I spelled right)  
was playing a role & works good.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

**Instructor Evaluation Form**  
**Department of Mathematics**  
**Michigan State University**

Instructor Tsveta Sendova Course MTH 320-001 Semester Spring  
Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2016

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Preparedness

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Organization

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Willingness to help

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding ☐ demanding ☐ moderately difficult ☐ fairly easy ☒ too easy ☐

Please respond to questions on back.



4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes.

very willing to help and very helpful.

Actually care if student comprehend the materials.

Homework can be difficult sometimes, but exams and quizzes are very easy. This gives students good practice and does not upset students.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

**Instructor Evaluation Form**  
**Department of Mathematics**  
**Michigan State University**

Instructor Tsveta Pendova Course MTH 320-001 Semester Spring

Format (Circle One)    Lecture/Recitation    Small Class    Year 2016

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent    above average    average    below average    poor  
☒    ☐    ☐    ☐    ☐

Preparedness

excellent    above average    average    below average    poor  
☒    ☐    ☐    ☐    ☐

Organization

excellent    above average    average    below average    poor  
☒    ☐    ☐    ☐    ☐

Willingness to help

excellent    above average    average    below average    poor  
☒    ☐    ☐    ☐    ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent    above average    average    below average    poor  
☒    ☐    ☐    ☐    ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding    demanding    moderately difficult    fairly easy    too easy  
☐    ☐    ☒    ☐    ☐

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Hell yes. If Tsveta teaches any other math course I would take it. She is very concerned about if you grasp the material and is very skilled in her explanation of the material. Even the homework she assigns is very helpful in learning the material. She also gave suggests extra problems for practice even though they are not to be turned in. It pains me to say this, but since I can't take a course with my father, Tsveta is hands down the best mathematics professor I have had.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

**Instructor Evaluation Form**  
**Department of Mathematics**  
**Michigan State University**

Instructor Tsveta Sendova Course 320-001 Semester Spring

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2016

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Preparedness

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Organization

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Willingness to help

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding ☐ demanding ☐ moderately difficult ☒ fairly easy ☐ too easy ☐

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes. I appreciate the amount of effort Dr. Sendova puts into lecture. She explains topics clearly and thoroughly rather than just copying theorems from the book onto the board. This is the type of lecture I learn well from and would recommend to others — clear, logical, and engaging.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.



**Instructor Evaluation Form**  
**Department of Mathematics**  
**Michigan State University**

Instructor

Sendova

Course

Mth 320-001

Semester

Spring

Format (Circle One)

Lecture/Recitation

Small Class

Year

2016

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent

above average

average

below average

poor



Preparedness

excellent

above average

average

below average

poor



Organization

excellent

above average

average

below average

poor



Willingness to help

excellent

above average

average

below average

poor



2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent

above average

average

below average

poor



3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding

demanding

moderately difficult

fairly easy

too easy



Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes, by far the best math professor at MSU. She makes this very difficult class much more enjoyable and really helps you through it if you put in the time and effort. We need more math professors like Sendan at MSU. ☺

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

**Instructor Evaluation Form**  
**Department of Mathematics**  
**Michigan State University**

Instructor T. Sendova Course MTH320-001 Semester 2016 Spring

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2016

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent    above average    average    below average    poor  
☐    ☐    ☒    ☐    ☐

Preparedness

excellent    above average    average    below average    poor  
☐    ☐    ☒    ☐    ☐

Organization

excellent    above average    average    below average    poor  
☐    ☐    ☒    ☐    ☐

Willingness to help

excellent    above average    average    below average    poor  
☐    ☐    ☒    ☐    ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent    above average    average    below average    poor  
☐    ☐    ☒    ☐    ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding    demanding    moderately difficult    fairly easy    too easy  
☐    ☐    ☒    ☐    ☐

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

There were some time that Instructor start class earlier than 10:20. Thus I would like instructor to start class on time.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

**Instructor Evaluation Form**  
**Department of Mathematics**  
**Michigan State University**

Instructor T. Sendova Course Mth 320 - 001 Semester Spring 2016

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year \_\_\_\_\_

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Preparedness

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Organization

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Willingness to help

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding ☒ demanding ☐ moderately difficult ☐ fairly easy ☐ too easy ☐

Please respond to questions on back.



4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Excellent.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

**Instructor Evaluation Form**  
**Department of Mathematics**  
**Michigan State University**

Instructor Tsveta Gencheva Course MTH 320 Sec 1 Semester Spring

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation (Small Class) Year 2016

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent    above average    average    below average    poor  
☐    ☒    ☐    ☐    ☐

Preparedness

excellent    above average    average    below average    poor  
☐    ☐    ☒    ☐    ☐

Organization

excellent    above average    average    below average    poor  
☐    ☐    ☒    ☐    ☐

Willingness to help

excellent    above average    average    below average    poor  
☒    ☐    ☐    ☐    ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent    above average    average    below average    poor  
☐    ☒    ☐    ☐    ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding    demanding    moderately difficult    fairly easy    too easy  
☐    ☒    ☐    ☐    ☐

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

She's nice pro.  
and wellness to help  
~~for~~ students solve problems &  
questions

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.