

*Michigan State University
Department of Mathematics
Instructor Evaluation Forms
Fall Semester 2015*

Job number 0111 SENDOVA, TSVET MTH 299

	Student Responses								Section		Course
	Excellent		Above Avg		Average		Below Avg				
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Mean	Std	Mean
1 - Ability to Explain	15	71.4	5	23.8	1	4.8	.	.	3.67	0.58	3.55
2 - Preparedness	15	71.4	6	28.6	3.71	0.46	3.63
3 - Organization	13	61.9	7	33.3	1	4.8	.	.	3.57	0.60	3.52
4 - Willingness to Help	20	95.2	1	4.8	3.95	0.22	3.81
5 - Overall Rating	13	61.9	7	33.3	1	4.8	.	.	3.57	0.60	3.60
6 - Course Difficulty	3	14.3	12	57.1	5	23.8	1	4.8	2.81	0.75	2.91

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor Tsveta Sendova Course MTH299 Semester Fall 2015
Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year _____

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent above average average below average poor

Preparedness

excellent above average average below average poor

Organization

excellent above average average below average poor

Willingness to help

excellent above average average below average poor

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent above average average below average poor

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding demanding moderately difficult fairly easy too easy

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes- the course was difficult but Tsveta was extremely helpful. I transitioned to this class a few weeks into the semester but Tsveta made it a very easy transition.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor T. Sendova Course MTH 299 Semester F

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent above average average below average poor

Preparedness

excellent above average average below average poor

Organization

excellent above average average below average poor

Willingness to help

excellent above average average below average poor

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent above average average below average poor

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding demanding moderately difficult fairly easy too easy

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Sendova does everything in her power to make this class easier, it still sucks, but it could suck a lot more.

Love Office hours.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor Sendova Course MTH 299 Semester F

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2015

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent above average average below average poor

Preparedness

excellent above average average below average poor

Organization

excellent above average average below average poor

Willingness to help

excellent above average average below average poor

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent above average average below average poor

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding demanding moderately difficult fairly easy too easy

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Course is structured very nicely
lots of opportunities for out of class
assistance
Highly recommend this professor to
others

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor Tsveta Sendova Course MTH 299 Semester F

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2015

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent above average average below average poor

Preparedness

excellent above average average below average poor

Organization

excellent above average average below average poor

Willingness to help

excellent above average average below average poor

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent above average average below average poor

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding demanding moderately difficult fairly easy too easy

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Sure because
almost everything
in the course
was
pretty good.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor Sweta Sandhu Course 284 Semester 1
Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year FR-2015

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent above average average below average poor

Preparedness

excellent above average average below average poor

Organization

excellent above average average below average poor

Willingness to help

excellent above average average below average poor

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent above average average below average poor

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding demanding moderately difficult fairly easy too easy

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes. Linear Algebra related topics are easy now. Only regret is not joining sooner.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor Semakova Course MTH 299 Semester F2015
Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year Senior

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent above average average below average poor

Preparedness

excellent above average average below average poor

Organization

excellent above average average below average poor

Willingness to help

excellent above average average below average poor

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent above average average below average poor

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding demanding moderately difficult fairly easy too easy

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes. Although I thought the organization in regards to the assignments were introduced and how they were introduced could be better. Provided many examples but the HW was large leaps from the problems used in class.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor Sendova Course 299 Semester 3
Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2015

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent above average average below average poor

Preparedness

excellent above average average below average poor

Organization

excellent above average average below average poor

Willingness to help

excellent above average average below average poor

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent above average average below average poor

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding demanding moderately difficult fairly easy too easy

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Very engaging, never had a dull
moment, easy to understand.

A+ 😊

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor T. S. Anderson Course MTH 299 Semester Fall

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2015

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent above average average below average poor

Preparedness

excellent above average average below average poor

Organization

excellent above average average below average poor

Willingness to help

excellent above average average below average poor

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent above average average below average poor

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding demanding moderately difficult fairly easy too easy

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes, I would. She is able to take fairly difficult subjects and make them more clear, as well as giving me opportunities to get good grades."

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor Tsoeta Course MTH 299 Semester _____

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year _____

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent above average average below average poor

Preparedness

excellent above average average below average poor

Organization

excellent above average average below average poor

Willingness to help

excellent above average average below average poor

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent above average average below average poor

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding demanding moderately difficult fairly easy too easy

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes, she loves the material, and is very enthusiastic about it.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor Iveta Sendova Course MTH 299 003 Semester F15

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2015

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent above average average below average poor

Preparedness

excellent above average average below average poor

Organization

excellent above average average below average poor

Willingness to help

excellent above average average below average poor

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent above average average below average poor

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding demanding moderately difficult fairly easy too easy

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes. She is great at explaining
the concepts

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor Sendova Course MTH 299 Semester F

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2015

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent above average average below average poor

Preparedness

excellent above average average below average poor

Organization

excellent above average average below average poor

Willingness to help

excellent above average average below average poor

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent above average average below average poor

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding demanding moderately difficult fairly easy too easy

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes. she's friendly, willing to help and really know the materials

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor T. Sendova Course MTH 299 Semester F5

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2015

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent above average average below average poor

Preparedness

excellent above average average below average poor

Organization

excellent above average average below average poor

Willingness to help

excellent above average average below average poor

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent above average average below average poor

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding demanding moderately difficult fairly easy too easy

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Definitely would. She explains things very well and is overly willing to help her students understand the material. By far my favorite prof. at MSU since I've been here

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor Sendova Course MTH 299 Semester F15

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent above average average below average poor

Preparedness

excellent above average average below average poor

Organization

excellent above average average below average poor

Willingness to help

excellent above average average below average poor

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent above average average below average poor

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding demanding moderately difficult fairly easy too easy

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Absolutely. She did a wonderful job explaining key concepts and was always willing to help students understand homework and example problems.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor Sendova Course MTH 299 Semester F

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2015

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent above average average below average poor

Preparedness

excellent above average average below average poor

Organization

excellent above average average below average poor

Willingness to help

excellent above average average below average poor

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent above average average below average poor

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding demanding moderately difficult fairly easy too easy

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes, She is very helpful. Wants you to understand the material, explains everything very well, she wants you to learn. Office hours are great lots of extra help. One of the best math professors especially for diversity of course.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor Sendova Course MTH 299 Semester F

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2015

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent above average average below average poor

Preparedness

excellent above average average below average poor

Organization

excellent above average average below average poor

Willingness to help

excellent above average average below average poor

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent above average average below average poor

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding demanding moderately difficult fairly easy too easy

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes, Pr. Sendova wants students to succeed and always holds her office hours.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor Tsveta Sendova Course MTH 299 Semester 1

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2015

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent above average average below average poor

Preparedness

excellent above average average below average poor

Organization

excellent above average average below average poor

Willingness to help

excellent above average average below average poor

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent above average average below average poor

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding demanding moderately difficult fairly easy too easy

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes, Professor Sendova definitely tries to help as much as possible.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor Tsveta Sendova Course MTH 299 Semester Fall
Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2015

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent above average average below average poor

Preparedness

excellent above average average below average poor

Organization

excellent above average average below average poor

Willingness to help

excellent above average average below average poor

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent above average average below average poor

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding demanding moderately difficult fairly easy too easy

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes and No. Yes because she prepares you for exams by making the homework harder than the exams itself. No, because we have to type the homework in LaTeX when it would be easier to just write it.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor Sendova Course MTH 299 Semester F

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2005

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent above average average below average poor

Preparedness

excellent above average average below average poor

Organization

excellent above average average below average poor

Willingness to help

excellent above average average below average poor

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent above average average below average poor

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding demanding moderately difficult fairly easy too easy

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

I would definitely recommend her to another student! Sendona is a great teacher, she has the willingness to help & explain this course to her students probably better than most other instructors.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor T. Sendava Course MTH 299 Semester F

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2015

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent above average average below average poor

Preparedness

excellent above average average below average poor

Organization

excellent above average average below average poor

Willingness to help

excellent above average average below average poor

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent above average average below average poor

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding demanding moderately difficult fairly easy too easy

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form
Department of Mathematics
Michigan State University

Instructor sendora Tsvetanki Course MTH 299 Semester FS15
Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2015

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent above average average below average poor

Preparedness

excellent above average average below average poor

Organization

excellent above average average below average poor

Willingness to help

excellent above average average below average poor

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent above average average below average poor

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding demanding moderately difficult fairly easy too easy

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor _____ Course Math 299 Semester Fall

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2013

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent above average average below average poor

Preparedness

excellent above average average below average poor

Organization

excellent above average average below average poor

Willingness to help

excellent above average average below average poor

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent above average average below average poor

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding demanding moderately difficult fairly easy too easy

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.