
Student Instructional Rating System Header Sheet



Mark Reflex® EM-243709-2:654321

SCANTRON

Michigan State University Department of Mathematics Instructor Evaluation Forms Fall Semester 2014

Job number 0099 SENDOVA, TSVET

MTH 299

		Student Responses	ent R	espo	nses				
	Excellent	llent	Ab A	Above Avg	Average	rage	Section	011	Course
	Z	%	2	% N % N	Z	%	Mean	Std	% Mean Std Mean
1 - Ability to Explain	12	12 70.6 2 11.8	2	11.8	3	17.6	3 17.6 3.53 0.80	0.80	3.36
2 - Preparedness	13	13 76.5		3 17.6	. 1	5.9	3.71 0.59	0.59	3.36
3 - Organization	12	12 70.6 4 23.5	4	23.5	1	5.9	3.65 0.61	0.61	3.26
4 - Willingness to Help	14	14 82.4		3 17.6			3.82	3.82 0.39	3.51
5 - Overall Rating	12	70.6		4 23.5	1	5.9	3.65	3.65 0.61	3.29
6 - Course Difficulty	3	3 17.6 7 41.2	7	41.2		41.2	7 41.2 2.76 0.75	0.75	2.78

In	structor _	Sen	deva	Cours	e MT	4 29	9_003	Seme	ster _
Fo	rmat (Cir	rcle On	e) Lecture	/Recitatio	Sma	all Clas	s Year	20	14
en ing an ma	natics to g is part o d variou	help e of the o s depa able to	he results of t valuate the te criteria used f irtmental and o the instructo	aching p or Promo universi	erforman tion and ty awards	ce of fa Tenure s. The	aculty. The q , annual Facu results of th	uality o ulty Eva is form	of teach- uluation, will be
1.	Evalu	ate th	is instructo	or in eac	h of the	follo	wing cate	gories	
	Ability exceller		xplain matl bove average		es verage	bel	low average		poor
	Prepa	redne	ess						
	exceller	nt a	bove average	av	verage	bel	ow average		poor
	Organ	nizatio	n						
	excellen	nt a	oove average	av	verage	bel	ow average		poor
	Willin	gness	to help						
	excellen	nt al	oove average	av	rerage	bel	ow average		poor
2.	What	is you	ır overall ra	ating of	this ins	tructo	r?		
	excellen	t al	oove average	av	erage	bel	ow average		poor
3.	Indica	te the	degree of	difficult	y of this	s cour	se.		
tod	demand	ding	demanding	moderat	ely difficu	ult f	airly easy	t	oo easy

4. Would you recomme	nd this instructor to ano	ther student? Explain.
		ì
The Michigan State University in the Academic Programs boo consistent with approved desc criteria, regular class attendance and term papers.	ok, holds all instructors to certa riptions, timely statement of co	in obligations: course content ourse objectives and grading
It is the policy of MSU to keep to However, certain SIRS ratings r		confidential to the extent possible. der state or federal law.
MSUPS 0-27817		Form adopted 1/26/93

Course MTH 299 - 003 Semester F

Instructor Sendova

For	mat (Circle	One) Lecture/R	ecitation Sma	all Class Year	Fall
em ing and ma	atics to help is part of the d various de	e evaluate the tead e criteria used for partmental and u	hing performand Promotion and I niversity awards	used by the Departn ce of faculty. The qua Fenure, annual Facult s. The results of this s have been assigned	ality of teach- y Evaluation, form will be
1.	Evaluate	this instructor	in each of the	following catego	ories.
	Ability to	explain mathe	matics		
	excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
	Prepared	ness			
		above average	average	below average	poor
	Organiza	tion			
	excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
	Willingne	ss to help			
	excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
	What is y	our overall rati	ng of this ins	tructor?	
	excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
	Indicate t	he degree of d	fficulty of this	s course.	
00	demanding	demanding n	noderately difficu	It fairly easy	too easy

I would definitely recommend professor Sendova to another student. This class was very challenging, but she made it Clearer and encouraged us to ask questions. She was always eager to help in office nows and actually scened to care about our well-being. I have nothing bad to say about professor Sendova, and I wish I could take more classes with her as my professor.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

	Michiga	n State U	niversity	
Instructor	sendova	Course MT	Ht 299-00	Semester <u>F</u>
Format (Circle	e One) (ecture)Re	ecitation Sm	all Class Year	2014
ematics to he ing is part of and various	elp evaluate the teacl the criteria used for l departmental and ur de to the instructor a	ning performan Promotion and inversity awards	e used by the Departn ce of faculty. The qua Tenure, annual Facult s. The results of this s have been assigne	ality of tead ty Evaluation form will
1. Evaluat	e this instructor i	n each of the	e following catego	ories.
Ability t	o explain mather	matics		
excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
Prepare	dness			
excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
Organiz	ation			
excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
Willingr	ess to help			
excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
2. What is	your overall ratir	ng of this ins	tructor?	
excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
3. Indicate	the degree of dif	ficulty of this	s course.	
too demandin	g demanding m	oderately difficu	llt fairly easy	too eas

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

I would recconnected her because

I hard from other people that

She teaches the course better

them the TA'S. I personally

hate the class, I feel not

chough examples are taught

so I have no class how to

so I have no class as a feel now to

so I have no class as a feel now to

the time or the examples she

she does not complete.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

Instructor SENDGVA Course MTH 299-03 Semester F

Fo	rmat (Circle (One) Lecture	e/Recitation	Small Class	Year	2014
ng ng an	natics to help g is part of th d various de	The results of the evaluate the telescope of the criteria used for partmental and to the instructors.	eaching perfor for Promotion I university av	rmance of fact and Tenure, a wards. The re	ulty. The qu innual Facul sults of this	ality of teach- ity Evaluation, form will be
	Evaluate	this instructo	or in each o	f the follow	ing categ	ories.
	Ability to	explain mat	hematics			
	excellent	above average	averag	ge belov	w average	poor
	Prepared	ness				
	excellent	above average	averag	ge belov	w average	poor
	Organizat	tion				
	excellent	above average	averag	e belov	w average	poor
	Willingne	ss to help				
	excellent	above average	averag	e belov	v average	poor
	What is y	our overall ra	ating of this	instructor?	,	
	excellent	above average	averag	e belov	v average	poor
	Indicate th	ne degree of	difficulty of	f this course	э.	
00	demanding	demanding	moderately o	lifficult fair	ly easy	too easy

He was its there for you want the was its there for you want to you want it

The sonly thing I recomend for her

The stongire How Back faster if

You can! or have How like in and

Frequence I get corrections back

but never in time for the next How.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

In	structor 5	ENPOVA	Course MT	1299-003	Semester
Fo	ormat (Circle	One) Lecture	e/Recitation Small	Class Year	2014
er in ar m	matics to help g is part of th nd various de	o evaluate the te ne criteria used f epartmental and	these forms will be u eaching performance for Promotion and Te I university awards. or after final grades I	of faculty. The qu nure, annual Facu The results of thi	uality of teach- ulty Evaluation, s form will be
1.	Evaluate	this instructo	or in each of the f	ollowing categ	gories.
	Ability to excellent	explain math above average		below average	poor
	Prepared excellent		average	below average	poor
	Organiza excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
	Willingne excellent	ess to help above average	average	below average	poor
2.	What is y	our overall ra	ating of this instru	uctor?	
	excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
3.			difficulty of this o		
too	demanding	demanding ©	moderately difficult	fairly easy	too easy

Yes, classtème was always beneficial and homework was constructive.

Often, assigned problems were very demanding and answers were not early found outside of office lours. More odd-numbered problems to ease the time constraint from 3-4 hrs/day to 2-3. hrs/day. w/similar results.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

In	structor <u>Je</u>	indo Va	Course MTH 2	199-003	Semester FS
Fo	ormat (Circle	One) Lecture	e/Recitation Small	Class Year	2014
er in ar m	natics to help g is part of th nd various de	o evaluate the te ne criteria used f epartmental and	these forms will be u eaching performance for Promotion and Te I university awards. or after final grades I	of faculty. The q nure, annual Fact The results of th	uality of teach- ulty Evaluation, is form will be
1.	Evaluate	this instructo	or in each of the f	ollowing cate	gories.
	Ability to	explain mat	hematics		
	excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
	Prepared	ness			
	excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
	Organiza	tion		*	
	excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
	Willingne	ss to help			
	excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
2.	What is y	our overall ra	ating of this instru	uctor?	
	excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
3.	Indicate tl	he degree of	difficulty of this o	ourse.	
toc	demanding	demanding	moderately difficult	fairly easy	too easy

Yes, Dr. Sendova is a great proffesor and makes herself extremely available to all students. She is great!

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

SENDOVA

Instructor _	SENDOVA	Course _	MTH >99	003	_ Semester	F
Format (Circ	ele One) Lecture	e/Recitation	Small Class	Year	2014	_
ematics to h ing is part of and various	ent: The results of telp evaluate the to the criteria used to departmental and ble to the instruct r.	eaching perfo for Promotion I university a	rmance of fac and Tenure, a wards. The re	culty. The cannual Fac esults of the	quality of tea ulty Evaluat nis form wil	ach- ion, I be
1. Evalua	te this instructo	or in each o	of the follow	ing cate	gories.	
Ability	to explain mat	hematics				
excellent	above average	averag	ge belo	w average	po	or)
Prepar	edness					
excellent	above average	averaç	je belo	w average	poo	or)
Organi	zation					
excellent	above average	averag	je belo	w average	poo	or)
Willing	ness to help					
excellent	above average	averaç	je belo	w average	poo	or)
2. What is	your overall r	ating of this	s instructor	?		
excellent	above average	averag	e belov	w average	poo	or)
3. Indicate	e the degree of	difficulty o	f this cours	e.		
too demandi	ng demanding	moderately	difficult fai	rly easy	too e	asy

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student Yes .	? Explain.
The Michigan State University CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY , win the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objective criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of and term papers. It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or feet	course content s and grading of examinations he extent possible.
MSUPS 0-27817	Form adopted 1/26/93

____Course __ 299 -003

Semester_

Instructor Sendova

Fo	ormat (Circle	One) Lecture/Re	ecitation Sma	all Class Year	2014
en ing an ma	natics to hel g is part of ti ld various d	t: The results of the p evaluate the teach he criteria used for l epartmental and ur e to the instructor a	hing performand Promotion and T niversity awards	ce of faculty. The Fenure, annual Fa s. The results of	quality of teach- aculty Evaluation, this form will be
1.	Evaluate	this instructor i	n each of the	following cat	egories.
	Ability to	explain mather	matics		
	excellent	above average	average	below averag	e poor
	Prepared	Iness			
	excellent	above average	average	below average	e poor
	Organiza	tion -			
	excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
	Willingne	ess to help			
	excellent	above average	average .	below average	poor
2.	What is y	our overall ratir	ng of this inst	ructor?	
	excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
3.	Indicate t	he degree of dif	ficulty of this	course.	
too		demanding mo			too easy

Yes. It's a very difficult course, but I helieve this instructor is very suited to this course. If a person likes math, I'd recommend them to here.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

In	structor_	Sendova	Course	H 299-003	Semester_
Fo	ormat (Circle	One) Lecture	e/Recitation §mall	Class Year	2014
er in ar m	matics to he g is part of t nd various o	Ip evaluate the te the criteria used f departmental and le to the instructe	these forms will be u eaching performance for Promotion and Te I university awards. or after final grades I	of faculty. The qu nure, annual Facu The results of thi	uality of teach- lity Evaluation, s form will be
1.	Evaluate	e this instructo	or in each of the f	ollowing categ	ories.
	Ability t	o explain mat	hematics		
	excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
	Prepare	dness			
	excellent	above average	average	below average	poor-
	Organiza	ation			
	excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
	Willingn	ess to help			
	excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
2.	What is	your overall ra	ating of this instru	uctor?	
	excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
3.	Indicate	the degree of	difficulty of this o	ourse.	
too	demanding	g demanding	moderately difficult	fairly easy	too easy

Yes

As she is very willing to help. Can try to explain things in different ways. Try to motivate learning and independent thinking.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

In	structor	ndava	Course 1	299	Semester
F	ormat (Circle	One) Lecture	e/Recitation Small	Class Year	OLOGO CAROLINA CAROLI
in ar m	matics to help g is part of th nd various de	o evaluate the te ne criteria used f epartmental and	these forms will be useaching performance for Promotion and Tell university awards. For after final grades I	of faculty. The quarter, annual Faculties of this results of this	uality of teach- ulty Evaluation, is form will be
1.	Evaluate	this instructo	or in each of the f	ollowing categ	jories.
	Ability to	explain mat	hematics		
	excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
	Prepared	ness			
	excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
	Organiza	tion			
	excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
	Willingne	ess to help			
	excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
2.	What is y	our overall ra	ating of this instru	ictor?	
	excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
3.	Indicate t	he degree of	difficulty of this o	ourse.	
too	demanding	demanding	moderately difficult	fairly easy	too easy

Yes, she is very enthusiastic about what she does However, this class is not enjoyable at all and I feel that it needs to be evaluated again. It is too rigorous with the work load and the exams are very time-consuming t difficult.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

Instructor 5	endova	Course MTH	299-003	Semester E
Format (Circle	One) Lecture	e/Recitation Small	Class Year	2014
ematics to he ing is part of t and various of	lp evaluate the te he criteria used f lepartmental and	these forms will be u eaching performance for Promotion and Te I university awards. or after final grades I	of faculty. The quarter, annual Facults of the	uality of teach- ulty Evaluation, is form will be
		or in each of the f	ollowing categ	jories.
	o explain mat			
excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
Prepared	dness			
excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
Organiza	ation			
excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
Willingn	ess to help			
excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
. What is	our overall ra	ating of this instru	ictor?	
excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
. Indicate	the degree of	difficulty of this o	ourse.	
	demanding		fairly easy	too easy

I would highly recommend this instructor to another immediate student be cause of prof. Sendova's ability and millingness to explain the challenging material to students.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

Ins	structor _	Sendova	Course	MTH299	-003	_Semeste	r_F
Fo	rmat (Circ	cle One) Lecture	e/Recitation	Small Clas	SS Year	2014	
em ing an ma	natics to h g is part o d various	ent: The results of nelp evaluate the to the criteria used departmental and able to the instruct r.	eaching per for Promotic d university	formance of factors on and Tenure awards. The	aculty. The c e, annual Fac results of th	quality of t ulty Evalu nis form w	each- ation, vill be
1.	Evalua	te this instruct	or in each	of the follo	wing cate	gories.	
	Ability	to explain mat	hematics				
	excellent	above average	avei	rage be	elow average	р	oor
	Prepar	redness					
	excellent	above average	aver	rage be	low average	p	oor
	Organi	zation					
	excellent	above average	aver	age be	low average	þ	oor
	Willing	ness to help					
	excellent	above average	aver	age be	low average	q)	oor
2.	What is	s your overall r	ating of th	nis instructo	or?		
	excellent	above average	aver	age be	low average	þ)	oor
3.	Indicat	e the degree of	difficulty	of this cou	rse.		
too	demand	ing demanding	moderatel	y difficult f	fairly easy	too (easy

Yes! She really cares about her students doing well. She is fautastic at explaining concepts in mather the workload she gives is reasonable, but still enforces the concepts from class. I always felt prepared for exams.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

Instructor Isventanka Sendovacourse 299-003

In	structor 1508	intanka Send	OMcourse 299	-003	Semester <u>F</u> S
Fo	ormat (Circle	One) Lecture,	Recitation Small	Class Year	2014
en ing an m	matics to help g is part of th nd various de	o evaluate the te se criteria used fo epartmental and	hese forms will be us aching performance or Promotion and Ter university awards. T or after final grades h	of faculty. The q nure, annual Facu The results of th	uality of teach- ulty Evaluation, is form will be
1.	Evaluate	this instructo	r in each of the fo	ollowing cate	gories.
	Ability to	explain math	nematics		
	excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
	Prepared	ness			
	excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
	Organiza	tion			
	excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
	Willingne	ess to help			
	excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
2.	What is y	our overall ra	nting of this instru	ictor?	
	excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
3.	Indicate t	he degree of	difficulty of this c	ourse.	
to	o demanding	demanding	moderately difficult	fairly easy	too easy

Pr. Sendova is a fentastic mathematics instructor whose enthusiasm and passion for the subject comes across in all meetings. She is consistently willing to help, and explains advanced concepts with great clarity. I would abole heartedly recommend her to other students.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

In	structor <u>Se</u>	ndova	Course _MTF	299	Semester F
Fo	rmat (Circle (One) Lecture/	Recitation Small	Class Year	2014
en ing an ma	natics to help g is part of th d various de	evaluate the te e criteria used fo partmental and	hese forms will be us aching performance or Promotion and Ter university awards. ⁷ r after final grades h	of faculty. The course, annual Fac The results of the	quality of teach- ulty Evaluation, nis form will be
1.	Evaluate	this instructo	r in each of the f	ollowing cate	gories.
	Ability to	explain math	nematics		
	excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
	Prepared	ness			
	excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
	Organizat	tion			
	excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
	Willingne	ss to help			
	excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
2.	What is y	our overall ra	ting of this instru	ıctor?	
	excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
3.		_	difficulty of this of moderately difficult	fairly easy	too easy

Yes I would, best professor for this course by far, explains material very well. Only thing I would change is by lassigning odd-numbered homework problems to we can at least thek any answers in the back of the back.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

	structor	Sendo One) Lecture	Jecourse 29/Recitation Small	G _ o o o o	Semester F
er in ar m	matics to help g is part of th nd various de	o evaluate the te ne criteria used fo epartmental and	hese forms will be us aching performance or Promotion and Ter university awards. T or after final grades h	of faculty. The q nure, annual Facu The results of th	uality of teach- ulty Evaluation, is form will be
1.	Evaluate	this instructo	r in each of the fo	ollowing cate	gories.
	Ability to	explain math	nematics		
	excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
	Prepared	ness			
	excellent		average	below average	poor
	Organiza	tion			
	excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
	Willingne	ess to help	SE .		
	excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
2.	What is y	our overall ra	ting of this instru	ictor?	
	excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
3.	Indicate t	he degree of	difficulty of this c	ourse.	
			moderately difficult	fairly easy	too easy

the grady was a Gelle too hard.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

Instructor Seniova Course MTH 299-003 Semester F Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2014							
1. Evaluat	e this instructor i	n each of the f	ollowing catego	ries.			
Ability t	o explain mathe	matics					
excellent	above average	average	below average	poo			
Prepare	dness						
excellent	above average	average	below average	poor			
Organiz	ation						
excellent	above average	average	below average	poor			
Willingr	less to help						
excellent	above average	average	below average	poor			
2. What is	your overall ratir	ng of this instr	uctor?				
excellent	above average	average	below average	poor			
3. Indicate	the degree of dif	fficulty of this	course.				
		oderately difficult	fairly easy				

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another stydent? Explain.
Yes - Sendova is a very good instructor and always willing to go over things again, answer questions, and provide well outside of class.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

Ins	structor	endour	CourseM T	# 299	Semester F	
Fo	rmat (Circle	One) Lecture	Recitation Small	Class Year	2019	
To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teing is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evalua and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and set the Registrar.						
1.	Evaluate	this instructo	r in each of the f	ollowing categ	jories.	
	Ability to	o explain math	nematics			
	excellent	above average	average	below average	poor	
	Prepared	dness				
	excellent	above average	average	below average	poor	
	Organiza	ation				
	excellent	above average	average	below average	poor	
	Willingn	ess to help				
	excellent	above average	average	below average	poor	
2.	What is	your overall ra	ting of this instru	uctor?		
	excellent	above average	average	below average	poor	
3.	Indicate	the degree of	difficulty of this o	ourse.		
too			moderately difficult	fairly easy	too eas	

Jes-she was clearly adept and the course was wew Former, educational, and sufficiently of charactery. Her delivery of the moderal was informative as well as clear.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.