Michigan State University
Department of Mathematics
Instructor Evaluation Forms
Fall Semester 2014

Job number 0100   SENDOVA, TSVET   MTH 310

| | Student Responses | | | | | | Section | | Course |
| | Excellent | | Above Avg | | Average | | | | |
	N	%	N	%	N	%	Mean	Std	Mean
1 - Ability to Explain	21	80.8	5	19.2	.	.	3.81	0.40	3.07
2 - Preparedness	23	88.5	2	7.7	1	3.8	3.85	0.46	3.60
3 - Organization	21	80.8	5	19.2	.	.	3.81	0.40	3.54
4 - Willingness to Help	23	88.5	3	11.5	.	.	3.88	0.33	3.51
5 - Overall Rating	23	88.5	3	11.5	.	.	3.88	0.33	3.14
6 - Course Difficulty	1	3.8	15	57.7	10	38.5	2.65	0.56	2.91

Instructor Evaluation Form
Department of Mathematics
Michigan State University

Instructor _Srdova_   **Course** _MTH 310_   **Semester** _F_

Format (Circle One)   (Lecture/Recitation)   Small Class   Year   _2014_

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

   Ability to explain mathematics

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

   Preparedness

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

   Organization

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

   Willingness to help

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding	demanding	moderately difficult	fairly easy	too easy
○	○	●	○	○

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Definitely, best math professor
at MSU that I've had.

Instructor Sendova   **Course** MTH 310-001   **Semester** FS

Format (Circle One)   Lecture/Recitation   (Small Class)   Year   14

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

    Ability to explain mathematics

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

    Preparedness

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

    Organization

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

    Willingness to help

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding	demanding	moderately difficult	fairly easy	too easy
○	●	○	○	○

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes. She was always very helpful during office hours and took the time to meet outside of office hours if you had more. She was also very patient with me when I didn't understand something. I do think that the course as a whole went kind of fast considering the difficulty of the material but she handled it well.

Instructor Evaluation Form
Department of Mathematics
Michigan State University

Instructor _Sendova_   **Course** _MTH 310 - 001_ **Semester** _F_

Format (Circle One)   (Lecture) Recitation   (Small Class)   **Year** _2014_

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

   ## Ability to explain mathematics

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

   ## Preparedness

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

   ## Organization

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

   ## Willingness to help

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
○	●	○	○	○

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding	demanding	moderately difficult	fairly easy	too easy
○	○	●	○	○

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

She is a good teacher!

Instructor Evaluation Form
Department of Mathematics
Michigan State University

Instructor _Seondover_ **Course** _310_ **Semester** _Sp 14_

Format (Circle One)   Lecture/Recitation   (Small Class)   Year _____

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories

   Ability to explain mathematics

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
☒	○	○	○	○

   Preparedness

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
☒	○	○	○	○

   Organization

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
☒	○	○	○	○

   Willingness to help

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
☒	○	○	○	○

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
☒	○	○	○	○

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding	demanding	moderately difficult	fairly easy	too easy
○	☒	○	○	○

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Wish the class was
small but it was
a great experience.

Instructor Evaluation Form
Department of Mathematics
Michigan State University

Instructor _Sendova_ **Course** MTH 310 **Semester** FS

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation (Small Class) Year 14

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

   Ability to explain mathematics

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

   Preparedness

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

   Organization

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

   Willingness to help

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding	demanding	moderately difficult	fairly easy	too easy
○	●	○	○	○

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes She's so nice and will spend the extra time to explain concepts to you until you get it. I wish the class wasn't so crowded though so there could have been more attention focused on each student. The class is very difficult for me but she tries to help me out in any way possible. She's an amazing professor and would take her for every math class I have if I could.

Instructor Evaluation Form
Department of Mathematics
Michigan State University

Instructor _Sendova_   **Course** _MTH 310_   **Semester** _Fall_

Format (Circle One)   Lecture/Recitation   (Small Class)   **Year** _2014_

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories

   Ability to explain mathematics

   excellent   above average ●   average   below average   poor

   Preparedness

   excellent   above average   average ●   below average   poor

   Organization

   excellent ●   above average   average   below average   poor

   Willingness to help

   excellent ●   above average   average   below average   poor

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

   excellent   above average ●   average   below average   poor

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

   too demanding   demanding ●   moderately difficult   fairly easy   too easy

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

The material was difficult, also a lot of work. The structure of the class could of been different, less quizzes.

Instructor Evaluation Form
Department of Mathematics
Michigan State University

Instructor Sendova **Course** MTH 310-001 **Semester** Fall

Format (Circle One)   Lecture/Recitation   (Small Class)   **Year** 2014

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

   Ability to explain mathematics

   excellent (●)   above average ○   average ○   below average ○   poor ○

   Preparedness

   excellent (●)   above average ○   average ○   below average ○   poor ○

   Organization

   excellent (●)   above average ○   average ○   below average ○   poor ○

   Willingness to help

   excellent (●)   above average ○   average ○   below average ○   poor ○

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

   excellent (●)   above average ○   average ○   below average ○   poor ○

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

   too demanding ○   demanding (●)   moderately difficult ○   fairly easy ○   too easy ○

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes. She explains things pretty clear and it's easy to follow her lecture.

Instructor Evaluation Form
Department of Mathematics
Michigan State University

Instructor _Sendova_ **Course** _MTH 310_ **Semester** _FS14_

Format (Circle One)   Lecture/Recitation   (Small Class)   Year _____

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

    Ability to explain mathematics

    excellent   above average   average   below average   poor

    Preparedness

    excellent   above average   average   below average   poor

    Organization

    excellent   above average   average   below average   poor

    Willingness to help

    excellent   above average   average   below average   poor

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

    excellent   above average   average   below average   poor

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

    too demanding   demanding   moderately difficult   fairly easy   too easy

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Instructor Evaluation Form
Department of Mathematics
Michigan State University

Instructor _Sandova_   **Course** _MTH 310 -001_   **Semester** FS

Format (Circle One)   Lecture/Recitation   Small Class   Year   _2014_

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

   Ability to explain mathematics

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

   Preparedness

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

   Organization

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

   Willingness to help

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding	demanding	moderately difficult	fairly easy	too easy
○	○	●	○	○

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes; excellent lectures, good mix of homework & quizzes
to contribute to understanding the material. Not overly
difficult while remaining incredibly informative

Only complaint is that the class content felt
repetitive; could have moved faster through material
which was similar to what was previously covered.

Instructor Evaluation Form
Department of Mathematics
Michigan State University

Instructor _Sendova_ **Course** _MTH 310_ **Semester** _Fall_

Format (Circle One)   Lecture/Recitation   Small Class   **Year** _2014_

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories

   Ability to explain mathematics

   excellent (●) above average ○ average ○ below average ○ poor ○

   Preparedness

   excellent (●) above average ○ average ○ below average ○ poor ○

   Organization

   excellent (●) above average ○ average ○ below average ○ poor ○

   Willingness to help

   excellent ○ above average (●) average ○ below average ○ poor ○

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

   excellent (●) above average ○ average ○ below average ○ poor ○

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

   too demanding ○ demanding (●) moderately difficult ○ fairly easy ○ too easy ○

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes.

Instructor Evaluation Form
Department of Mathematics
Michigan State University

Instructor _Sendova_   **Course** _MTH 310 - 001_   **Semester** _FS_

Format (Circle One)   Lecture/Recitation   (Small Class)   Year   _14_

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent ● | above average ○ | average ○ | below average ○ | poor ○

Preparedness

excellent ● | above average ○ | average ○ | below average ○ | poor ○

Organization

excellent ● | above average ○ | average ○ | below average ○ | poor ○

Willingness to help

excellent ● | above average ○ | average ○ | below average ○ | poor ○

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent ● | above average ○ | average ○ | below average ○ | poor ○

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding ○ | demanding ○ | moderately difficult ● | fairly easy ○ | too easy ○

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Excellent professor

Instructor Evaluation Form
Department of Mathematics
Michigan State University

Instructor Dr. Sendova **Course** mth 310 **Semester** FS

Format (Circle One)   Lecture/Recitation   (Small Class)   Year

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent ⬤   above average ○   average ○   below average ○   poor ○

Preparedness

excellent ⬤   above average ○   average ○   below average ○   poor ○

Organization

excellent ⬤   above average ○   average ○   below average ○   poor ○

Willingness to help

excellent ⬤   above average ○   average ○   below average ○   poor ○

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent ⬤   above average ○   average ○   below average ○   poor ○

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding ○   demanding ○   moderately difficult ⬤   fairly easy ○   too easy ○

Please respond to questions on back

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

yes. I transfered in around the 7 week point and Dr. Sendova was very helpful in getting caught up.

3/36

Instructor Evaluation Form
Department of Mathematics
Michigan State University

Instructor Sendova   **Course** Mth 310-001   **Semester** FS

Format (Circle One)   Lecture/Recitation   (Small Class)   **Year** 14

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

   Ability to explain mathematics

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

   Preparedness

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

   Organization

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

   Willingness to help

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding	demanding	moderately difficult	fairly easy	too easy
○	●	○	○	○

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

yes she bid a great job of teaching the material as well as acombating any biffuculties

Instructor Evaluation Form
Department of Mathematics
Michigan State University

Instructor _Pndova_ Course _310 -001_ Semester _FS_

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation (Small Class) Year _14_

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

    Ability to explain mathematics

    excellent   above average   average   below average   poor
    ○   ●   ○   ○   ○

    Preparedness

    excellent   above average   average   below average   poor
    ●   ○   ○   ○   ○

    Organization

    excellent   above average   average   below average   poor
    ○   ●   ○   ○   ○

    Willingness to help

    excellent   above average   average   below average   poor
    ●   ○   ○   ○   ○

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

    excellent   above average   average   below average   poor
    ●   ○   ○   ○   ○

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

    too demanding   demanding   moderately difficult   fairly easy   too easy
    ○   ●   ○   ○   ○

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

yes, this - course
is very difficult for
me, but every time
I met with her out
of class she helped
until I felt I understood
the material

Instructor Evaluation Form
Department of Mathematics
Michigan State University

Instructor _Sendova_   **Course** _MTH 310-001_   **Semester** _FS_

Format (Circle One)   Lecture/Recitation   Small Class   Year   _14_

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories

   Ability to explain mathematics

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
○	●	○	○	○

   Preparedness

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

   Organization

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

   Willingness to help

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
○	●	○	○	○

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding	demanding	moderately difficult	fairly easy	too easy
○	●	○	○	○

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes, she is hard but a ~~great~~ teacher. She just is a little tough grading wise.

Instructor Evaluation Form
Department of Mathematics
Michigan State University

Instructor Sendova   **Course** MTH 310-001   **Semester** FS

Format (Circle One)   Lecture/Recitation   (Small Class)   Year   2014

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of math-
ematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teach-
ing is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation,
and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be
made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to
the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

   Ability to explain mathematics

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

   Preparedness

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

   Organization

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

   Willingness to help

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding	demanding	moderately difficult	fairly easy	too easy
○	●	○	○	○

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

absolutely, she is a fantastic
professor, the best math professer
I have had yet by far
she does a great job.

Instructor Evaluation Form
Department of Mathematics
Michigan State University

Instructor _Sendova_ **Course** _MTH 310 - 001_ **Semester** FS

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation (Small Class) Year 14

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

   Ability to explain mathematics

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

   Preparedness

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

   Organization

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

   Willingness to help

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding	demanding	moderately difficult	fairly easy	too easy
○	●	○	○	○

Please respond to questions on back

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

I would definitely recommend this
instructor to another student. She
is extremely knowledgeable in the
subject and goes above and
beyond to be sure her students
feel comfortable with the material
My overall favorite instructor at
MSU

Instructor Evaluation Form
Department of Mathematics
Michigan State University

Instructor Sendova   **Course** MTH 310-001   **Semester** Fall

Format (Circle One)   Lecture/Recitation   (Small Class)   **Year** 2014

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

    Ability to explain mathematics

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

    Preparedness

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

    Organization

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
○	●	○	○	○

    Willingness to help

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding	demanding	moderately difficult	fairly easy	too easy
○	○	●	○	○

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes. Dr. Sendova clearly explained the material and was always willing to help. I thought the piazza forum was a great idea even though it was rarely used and she always responded in a timely manner.

Great teacher overall.

Instructor Evaluation Form
Department of Mathematics
Michigan State University

Instructor SENDOVA _____ **Course** 310-001 _____ **Semester** F

Format (Circle One)   Lecture/Recitation   (Small Class)   Year   2014

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

    ### Ability to explain mathematics
    excellent ●   above average ○   average ○   below average ○   poor ○

    ### Preparedness
    excellent ●   above average ○   average ○   below average ○   poor ○

    ### Organization
    excellent ●   above average ○   average ○   below average ○   poor ○

    ### Willingness to help
    excellent ●   above average ○   average ○   below average ○   poor ○

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?
    excellent ●   above average ○   average ○   below average ○   poor ○

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.
    too demanding ○   demanding ●   moderately difficult ○   fairly easy ○   too easy ○

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes, she explains the material well
and cares about wether or not the
class has an understanding of the
material.

Instructor Evaluation Form
Department of Mathematics
Michigan State University

Instructor Sendova **Course** MTH 310 **Semester** FS14

Format (Circle One)   Lecture/Recitation   (Small Class)   **Year** 2014

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

   Ability to explain mathematics

   excellent ● | above average ○ | average ○ | below average ○ | poor ○

   Preparedness

   excellent ● | above average ○ | average ○ | below average ○ | poor ○

   Organization

   excellent ● | above average ○ | average ○ | below average ○ | poor ○

   Willingness to help

   excellent ● | above average ○ | average ○ | below average ○ | poor ○

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

   excellent ● | above average ○ | average ○ | below average ○ | poor ○

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

   too demanding ○ | demanding ○ | moderately difficult ● | fairly easy ○ | too easy ○

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Certainly. I can tell that Sendova truly cares about her students and knows the subject extremely well.

Instructor Evaluation Form
Department of Mathematics
Michigan State University

Instructor T Sendeva   **Course** MTH 310 -001   **Semester** FS

Format (Circle One)   Lecture/Recitation   (Small Class)   Year   14

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of math-
ematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teach-
ing is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation,
and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be
made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to
the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

Preparedness

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

Organization

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
○	●	○	○	○

Willingness to help

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
○	●	○	○	○

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
○	●	○	○	○

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding	demanding	moderately difficult	fairly easy	too easy
○	○	●	○	○

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

yes

although sometimes taking notes is
hard because it goes fast

Instructor Evaluation Form
Department of Mathematics
Michigan State University

Instructor Tsvetanka Sendova **Course** MATH 310 **Semester** F14

Format (Circle One)   Lecture/Recitation   (Small Class)   Year   2014

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories

    ### Ability to explain mathematics
    excellent   above average   average   below average   poor

    ### Preparedness
    excellent   above average   average   below average   poor

    ### Organization
    excellent   above average   average   below average   poor

    ### Willingness to help
    excellent   above average   average   below average   poor

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?
    excellent   above average   average   below average   poor

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.
    too demanding   demanding   moderately difficult   fairly easy   too easy

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes, She is great and wants to help everyone if they want help. There isn't one much better at MSU.

Instructor Evaluation Form
Department of Mathematics
Michigan State University

Instructor **Sendova** Course **MTH 310-001** Semester **FS**

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation (Small Class) Year **14**

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

   Ability to explain mathematics

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

   Preparedness

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

   Organization

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

   Willingness to help

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding	demanding	moderately difficult	fairly easy	too easy
○	○	●	○	○

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Definitely; very helpful and clear. and makes sure everyone is following. Best math professor I've had.

Instructor Evaluation Form
Department of Mathematics
Michigan State University

Instructor _Sendova_ **Course** _MTH 310-001_ **Semester** _FS_

Format (Circle One)   Lecture/Recitation   Small Class   Year _14_

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

   Ability to explain mathematics

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

   Preparedness

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

   Organization

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

   Willingness to help

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding	demanding	moderately difficult	fairly easy	too easy
○	●	○	○	○

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes, Ms. Sendova is a very capable and willing teacher. I've been satisfied with the class, overall.

Instructor Evaluation Form
Department of Mathematics
Michigan State University

Instructor _Sendova_ **Course** _MTH 310_ **Semester** _FS_

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation (Small Class) **Year** _2014_

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

   Ability to explain mathematics

   excellent | above average ● | average | below average | poor

   Preparedness

   excellent | above average ● | average | below average | poor

   Organization

   excellent | above average ● | average | below average | poor

   Willingness to help

   excellent ● | above average | average | below average | poor

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

   excellent ● | above average | average | below average | poor

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

   too demanding | demanding | moderately difficult ● | fairly easy | too easy

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes, While the homework can sometimes get a little too demanding, the quizzes and in class lectures greatly help when studying for tests and understanding as a whole.

Instructor Evaluation Form
Department of Mathematics
Michigan State University

Instructor _Srdava_   **Course** _MTH 310_   **Semester** _FS_

Format (Circle One)   Lecture/Recitation   (Small Class)   Year _2014_

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories

   Ability to explain mathematics

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
○	●	○	○	○

   Preparedness

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
○	●	○	○	○

   Organization

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
○	●	○	○	○

   Willingness to help

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent	above average	average	below average	poor
●	○	○	○	○

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding	demanding	moderately difficult	fairly easy	too easy
○	●	○	○	○

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes, she is fast paced but genuinely cares
that you learn the subject, which is
invaluable in professors (especially mathematics Profs)