

Student Instructional Rating System Header Sheet

Instructions:

- Use a #2 pencil.
- Print clearly in ALL text areas (Name and Course).
- Fill bubbles carefully and completely.

SECTION			
Number of Students Enrolled in this Course		005	
25 or less	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	1	<input type="radio"/>
26-50	<input type="radio"/>	2	<input type="radio"/>
51-100	<input type="radio"/>	3	<input type="radio"/>
101-300	<input type="radio"/>	4	<input type="radio"/>
301 or more	<input type="radio"/>	5	<input type="radio"/>
Rank of Major Instructor		9	
Teaching Assistant		0	
		1	
		2	
		3	
		4	
		5	
		6	
		7	
		8	
		9	

Michigan State University
Department of Mathematics
Instructor Evaluation Forms
Spring Semester 2014

Job number 0092 SENDOVA, TSVET MTH 299

	Student Responses								Section		Course
	Excellent		Above Avg		Average		Below Avg				
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Mean	Std	
1 - Ability to Explain	11	73.3	4	26.7	3.73	0.46	3.51
2 - Preparedness	12	80.0	3	20.0	3.80	0.41	3.63
3 - Organization	12	80.0	3	20.0	3.80	0.41	3.49
4 - Willingness to Help	14	93.3	1	6.7	3.93	0.26	3.74
5 - Overall Rating	12	80.0	3	20.0	3.80	0.41	3.46
6 - Course Difficulty	1	6.7	5	33.3	8	53.3	1	6.7	2.40	0.74	2.80

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes, because she teaches so that students can understand, instead of solely to say that she provided the information to them. She has definitely made this class a successful learning environment for those who choose to engage.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor Sendova Course MT4299 Sec 005 Semester SPR

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2014

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent ☒
 above average ☐
 average ☐
 below average ☐
 poor ☐

Preparedness

excellent ☐
 above average ☒
 average ☐
 below average ☐
 poor ☐

Organization

excellent ☒
 above average ☐
 average ☐
 below average ☐
 poor ☐

Willingness to help

excellent ☒
 above average ☐
 average ☐
 below average ☐
 poor ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent ☒
 above average ☐
 average ☐
 below average ☐
 poor ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding ☐
 demanding ☒
 moderately difficult ☐
 fairly easy ☐
 too easy ☐

Please respond to questions on back.

4. would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes, she's an outstanding teacher who's more than willing to help you if you get stuck. She notices your efforts and is always constructive about any mistakes you've made. I would definitely recommend her, and hope to have her again in the future.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor T. Sendova Course 299-005 Semester Sp

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2014

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Preparedness

excellent ☐ above average ☒ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Organization

excellent ☐ above average ☒ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Willingness to help

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding ☐ demanding ☐ moderately difficult ☒ fairly easy ☐ too easy ☐

Please respond to questions on back.

4. would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

This course was quite a bit different than most math classes I've taken, so things were uncertain for me. But this instructor made quite an effort to explain and clarify questions we might have. Her office was open a lot, and she always stayed after class to help those with questions. She's also one of the younger, friendlier, and least formal instructors I've ever had. This made it very easy to talk to her about problems with the material, much easier than most professors. Rather than just marking homework problems wrong, she would take time to show us where we went wrong. Maybe it was just because she isn't too busy, but this is one of the best and most recommended instructors I've ever had.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor T. Sandberg Course MTH 299-005 Semester S
 Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2014

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent above average average below average poor
☐ ☒ ☐ ☐ ☐

Preparedness

excellent above average average below average poor
☐ ☒ ☐ ☐ ☐

Organization

excellent above average average below average poor
☐ ☒ ☐ ☐ ☐

Willingness to help

excellent above average average below average poor
☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent above average average below average poor
☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding demanding moderately difficult fairly easy too easy
☐ ☒ ☐ ☐ ☐

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes. Very clear and helpful. Probably the best professor I've had. However, the course material gets pretty challenging later on in the semester. But this is due to course content, not the instructor. I would recommend Professor Sendora to anyone for any mathematics course.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor T. Sendra Course 299-OK Semester 5
 Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2014

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Preparedness

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Organization

excellent ☐ above average ☒ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Willingness to help

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding ☐ demanding ☐ moderately difficult ☒ fairly easy ☐ too easy ☐

Please respond to questions on back.

11. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes, Sendova is the best math instructor I've ever had. She works so hard and cares so much about her students. Math has never made so much sense to me. There is absolutely nothing I would change about this course.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor Sandova Course Mth 299 Semester SS2014

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2014

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Preparedness

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Organization

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Willingness to help

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding ☐ demanding ☐ moderately difficult ☐ fairly easy ☒ too easy ☐

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor T. Sendova Course MTH 299 Semester SS 2014
 Format (Circle One) Lecture Recitation Small Class Year 2014

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Preparedness

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Organization

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Willingness to help

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding ☐ demanding ☐ moderately difficult ☒ fairly easy ☐ too easy ☐

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes. The note handouts and her teaching style are very efficient and straightforward. She makes an overall confusing subject relatively easy to understand.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor P. Sendova Course MTH 299 - 005 Semester S

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2014

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent above average average below average poor

☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

Preparedness

excellent above average average below average poor

☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

Organization

excellent above average average below average poor

☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

Willingness to help

excellent above average average below average poor

☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent above average average below average poor

☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding demanding moderately difficult fairly easy too easy

☐ ☐ ☒ ☐ ☐

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

She is very nice but often grades a tad harsh. Also the assigned problems in the homework are often difficult to start and associate with what was learned in class that week.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor T. Sendova Course MTH 299 Semester S

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2019

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent above average average below average poor

☐ ☒ ☐ ☐ ☐

Preparedness

excellent above average average below average poor

☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

Organization

excellent above average average below average poor

☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

Willingness to help

excellent above average average below average poor

☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent above average average below average poor

☐ ☒ ☐ ☐ ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding demanding moderately difficult fairly easy too easy

☐ ☐ ☒ ☐ ☐

Please respond to questions on back.

4. would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes I would recommend this instructor to another student. Professor Sadava explains mathematics very well and does a very good job at clearing up my difficult topics.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor Serdar Course MTH 299 005 Semester 5

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2014

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Preparedness

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Organization

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Willingness to help

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding ☐ demanding ☐ moderately difficult ☒ fairly easy ☐ too easy ☐

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

YES! Sendova is not only a great professor but also a great person. She has went out of her way to help me multiple times.

I would suggest keeping the exact same lecture/recitation format as it really helped me along with many other students.

The only thing I would add is possible group work.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor T. Scudone Course MTH 299 Semester SS14

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2014

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent ☒
 above average ☐
 average ☐
 below average ☐
 poor ☐

Preparedness

excellent ☒
 above average ☐
 average ☐
 below average ☐
 poor ☐

Organization

excellent ☒
 above average ☐
 average ☐
 below average ☐
 poor ☐

Willingness to help

excellent ☒
 above average ☐
 average ☐
 below average ☐
 poor ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent ☒
 above average ☐
 average ☐
 below average ☐
 poor ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding ☐
 demanding ☒
 moderately difficult ☐
 fairly easy ☐
 too easy ☐

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes I would recommend this instructor to another student. She has an exceptional understanding of the material and encourages you to ask for help and visit her office hours. She takes time and effort to make sure that all students in the class are capable of reciprocating what we have learned and is an overall great teacher.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor T. Sendova Course MTH 299-005 Semester Spring

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2014

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent above average average below average poor

☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

Preparedness

excellent above average average below average poor

☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

Organization

excellent above average average below average poor

☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

Willingness to help

excellent above average average below average poor

☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent above average average below average poor

☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding demanding moderately difficult fairly easy too easy

☐ ☐ ☒ ☐ ☐

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes, she is thorough in her explanations and I can tell she wants to help students as much as possible. Also, she's very reasonable with circumstances that happen regarding homework and etc.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor T. Spivey Course MTH 299 Semester Spring

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year _____

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent above average average below average poor

☐ ☒ ☐ ☐ ☐

Preparedness

excellent above average average below average poor

☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

Organization

excellent above average average below average poor

☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

Willingness to help

excellent above average average below average poor

☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent above average average below average poor

☐ ☒ ☐ ☐ ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding demanding moderately difficult fairly easy too easy

☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

Please respond to questions on back.

7. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes, she is very good at explaining the topics at hand and making sure you understand the material. The homework assignments are significantly more difficult than the quizzes and exams which make me nervous and slightly discouraged inbetween units. But I would definitely recommend the professor.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor T. Sendova Course MTH 299, Sec. 005 Semester Spring

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2014

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent above average average below average poor

☐ ☒ ☐ ☐ ☐

Preparedness

excellent above average average below average poor

☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

Organization

excellent above average average below average poor

☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

Willingness to help

excellent above average average below average poor

☐ ☒ ☐ ☐ ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent above average average below average poor

☐ ☒ ☐ ☐ ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding demanding moderately difficult fairly easy too easy

☐ ☒ ☐ ☐ ☐

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yeah, I would do that for every of my friend who ~~wants~~ wants to take 299. This a great class teaching by T. sen down It's help me to understand mathematical proof method. book!!!

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor T. Sendova Course 299-005 Semester Spring
 Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2011

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Preparedness

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Organization

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Willingness to help

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding ☐ demanding ☐ moderately difficult ☒ fairly easy ☐ too easy ☐

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes. She is very organized and knows the material really well. She is very approachable and willing to help if you need it. She answers any questions you may have. Overall, if you take the class I would definitely recommend Professor Sendova.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor T. Sendova Course MTH 299-005 Semester 6

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2014

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Preparedness

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Organization

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Willingness to help

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding ☐ demanding ☒ moderately difficult ☐ fairly easy ☐ too easy ☐

Please respond to questions on back.