

Student Instructional Rating System Header Sheet

SCANTRON
Mark Reflex® EM-243709-2-854521 ED06

Michigan State University
Department of Mathematics
Instructor Evaluation Forms
Fall Semester 2013

| | Job number 0091 | SENDOVA, TSVET | MTH 299 | Student Responses | | | | | | | | | | Section | | Course | |
|-------------------------|-----------------|----------------|---------|-------------------|------|-----------|------|---------|------|-----------|------|---|---|---------|------|--------|------|
| | | | | Excellent | | Above Avg | | Average | | Below Avg | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | Mean | Std | Mean | Mean |
| 1 - Ability to Explain | | | | 13 | 76.5 | 4 | 23.5 | . | . | . | . | . | . | 3.76 | 0.44 | | 3.12 |
| 2 - Preparedness | | | | 12 | 70.6 | 5 | 29.4 | . | . | . | . | . | . | 3.71 | 0.47 | | 3.24 |
| 3 - Organization | | | | 13 | 76.5 | 3 | 17.6 | 1 | 5.9 | . | . | . | . | 3.71 | 0.59 | | 3.08 |
| 4 - Willingness to Help | | | | 15 | 88.2 | 2 | 11.8 | . | . | . | . | . | . | 3.88 | 0.33 | | 3.44 |
| 5 - Overall Rating | | | | 15 | 88.2 | 2 | 11.8 | . | . | . | . | . | . | 3.88 | 0.33 | | 3.11 |
| 6 - Course Difficulty | | | | . | . | 7 | 41.2 | 7 | 41.2 | 3 | 17.6 | | | 2.24 | 0.75 | | 2.87 |

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

yes,

She is willing to help at all times.
and makes an effort to make difficult
topics easier to understand. She
also allows ample time for questions
in class.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor SENDOVA Course MTH 299 section 003 Semester Fall

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2002

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent above average average below average poor

☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

Preparedness

excellent above average average below average poor

☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

Organization

excellent above average average below average poor

☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

Willingness to help

excellent above average average below average poor

☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent above average average below average poor

☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding demanding moderately difficult fairly easy too easy

☐ ☐ ☒ ☐ ☐

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes, she was always very helpful and could find multiple ways to explain the material until everyone understood. One of the best math instructors I have ever had!

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form
Department of Mathematics
Michigan State University

Instructor Sendova Course MTH 244 - 003 Semester F

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2013

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

| | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| excellent | above average | average | below average | poor |
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

Preparedness

| | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| excellent | above average | average | below average | poor |
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

Organization

| | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| excellent | above average | average | below average | poor |
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

Willingness to help

| | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| excellent | above average | average | below average | poor |
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

| | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| excellent | above average | average | below average | poor |
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

| | | | | |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| too demanding | demanding | moderately difficult | fairly easy | too easy |
| <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes.

Well preparing and clear explaining.

the lecture is easy to follow.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor Sendova Course 299 Semester Fall

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2013

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Preparedness

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Organization

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Willingness to help

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding ☐ demanding ☐ moderately difficult ☐ fairly easy ☒ too easy ☐

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

I would recommend this instructor to another student because she is an excellent educator. She really takes the time to make sure you understand the material in the course. If you are willing to put in the time to ask for help. I have really enjoyed having her as a mathematics professor.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form
Department of Mathematics
Michigan State University

Instructor Sendova Course MTH 299 Semester 003

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year _____

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent above average average below average poor
☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

Preparedness

excellent above average average below average poor
☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

Organization

excellent above average average below average poor
☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

Willingness to help

excellent above average average below average poor
☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent above average average below average poor
☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding demanding moderately difficult fairly easy too easy
☐ ☐ ☒ ☐ ☐

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

She is very approachable and wants her students to succeed. However, the homework sometimes is really tricky and difficult to figure out. Also, the topics are random and don't really flow very well into the next topic.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor Sendova Course MTH 299-002 Semester F

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2013

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent above average average below average poor

☐ ☒ ☐ ☐ ☐

Preparedness

excellent above average average below average poor

☐ ☒ ☐ ☐ ☐

Organization

excellent above average average below average poor

☐ ☐ ☒ ☐ ☐

Willingness to help

excellent above average average below average poor

☐ ☒ ☐ ☐ ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent above average average below average poor

☐ ☒ ☐ ☐ ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding demanding moderately difficult fairly easy too easy

☐ ☒ ☐ ☐ ☐

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes, She teaches well. She goes over things if needed.
Nice lady.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor Sandova Course MTH 299 Semester F13
 Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2013

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Preparedness

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Organization

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Willingness to help

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding ☐ demanding ☒ moderately difficult ☐ fairly easy ☐ too easy ☐

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Absolutely!

Professor Sendova is one of the best instructors I have had at MSU. She explains the material in a manner that makes even the most difficult topics understandable. Also, she is very nice and always willing to help.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form
Department of Mathematics
Michigan State University

Instructor Sendova Course 299 Semester Fall
Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2013

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Preparedness

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Organization

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Willingness to help

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding ☐ demanding ☒ moderately difficult ☐ fairly easy ☐ too easy ☐

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

I would definitely recommend her to another student. She wants to see you succeed and is very helpful during office hours and even after class.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form
Department of Mathematics
Michigan State University

Instructor Scudlora Course MTH 299-003 Semester F

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2013

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent above average average below average poor
☐ ☒ ☐ ☐ ☐

Preparedness

excellent above average average below average poor
☐ ☒ ☐ ☐ ☐

Organization

excellent above average average below average poor
☐ ☒ ☐ ☐ ☐

Willingness to help

excellent above average average below average poor
☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent above average average below average poor
☐ ☒ ☐ ☐ ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding demanding moderately difficult fairly easy too easy
☐ ☐ ☒ ☐ ☐

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

I would recommend professor Jendova to another student. She teaches math very well and makes things easy to understand. She is also willing to help outside of class, which I find very beneficial to my learning.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor Sondova Course MTH 299 Semester F

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2013

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Preparedness

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Organization

excellent ☐ above average ☒ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Willingness to help

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding ☐ demanding ☐ moderately difficult ☐ fairly easy ☒ too easy ☐

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

I would recommend this instructor to another student because she really knows what she is talking about when she explains math. She also explains the concepts in a simple manner so you can understand and if you are having trouble then she also helps you out really well and explains things even more simply.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form
Department of Mathematics
Michigan State University

Instructor Mrs. Sendova Course UTH299-003 Semester FS13

Format (Circle One) Lecture Recitation Small Class Year 2013

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent above average average below average poor

☐ ☒ ☐ ☐ ☐

Preparedness

excellent above average average below average poor

☐ ☒ ☐ ☐ ☐

Organization

excellent above average average below average poor

☐ ☒ ☐ ☐ ☐

Willingness to help

excellent above average average below average poor

☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent above average average below average poor

☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding demanding moderately difficult fairly easy too easy

☐ ☒ ☐ ☐ ☐

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes! She's the best math instructor

I've had at MSU. She explains

concepts thoroughly and is very helpful,

patient, and motivating. I wish

she was the professor for the

rest of my math classes

here at MSU.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form
Department of Mathematics
Michigan State University

Instructor Sendova Course 299 Semester F

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2013

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent above average average below average poor

☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

Preparedness

excellent above average average below average poor

☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

Organization

excellent above average average below average poor

☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

Willingness to help

excellent above average average below average poor

☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent above average average below average poor

☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding demanding moderately difficult fairly easy too easy

☐ ☐ ☒ ☐ ☐

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

no

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor Sendo va Course MTH 299 Semester 003

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2013 Fall

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Preparedness

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Organization

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Willingness to help

excellent ☐ above average ☒ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding ☐ demanding ☐ moderately difficult ☐ fairly easy ☒ too easy ☐

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

I DEFINITELY WOULD RECOMMEND THIS PROFESSOR.
She has been one of the most personable
professors I have had and she is incredibly
helpful and approachable.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor TSENG, LVA Course MT4 249 Semester Fall 2013

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2013

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent above average average below average poor

☐ ☒ ☐ ☐ ☐

Preparedness

excellent above average average below average poor

☐ ☒ ☐ ☐ ☐

Organization

excellent above average average below average poor

☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

Willingness to help

excellent above average average below average poor

☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent above average average below average poor

☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding demanding moderately difficult fairly easy too easy

☐ ☒ ☐ ☐ ☐

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes. One of the best math
instructors I have ever had.

Impressive ability to explain concepts
clearly and concisely.

I wish I had her for MTH 309.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form
Department of Mathematics
Michigan State University

Instructor Sendova Course MTH 299 Semester F

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 13

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Preparedness

excellent ☐ above average ☒ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Organization

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Willingness to help

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding ☐ demanding ☐ moderately difficult ☒ fairly easy ☐ too easy ☐

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Absolutely! She is probably the best professor I've had at MSU. She does a great job of explaining things. She's also very helpful and very personable and makes herself available for us. She's great!

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor Senciova Course MTH 299 Semester Fall

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 13

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent above average average below average poor

☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

Preparedness

excellent above average average below average poor

☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

Organization

excellent above average average below average poor

☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

Willingness to help

excellent above average average below average poor

☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent above average average below average poor

☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding demanding moderately difficult fairly easy too easy

☐ ☐ ☒ ☐ ☐

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes, I would recommend this instructor.

She is able to explain concepts in a way that students can understand.

She also offers many office hours and she seems like she truly wants her students to succeed.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor Sendova Course ATH 299 Semester F

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2013

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Preparedness

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Organization

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Willingness to help

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding ☐ demanding ☒ moderately difficult ☐ fairly easy ☐ too easy ☐

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

ABSOLUTELY.

SHE IS MORE THAN WILLING TO HELP
AND DISCUSS PROBLEMS OR QUESTIONS
BEFORE AND AFTER CLASS.

THE WAY SHE EXPLAINS THE CONCEPTS
IS VERY CLEAR AND EASY TO
UNDERSTAND.

SHE IS ALSO VERY KIND!

I WOULD MOST DEFINITELY RECOMMEND
HER TO MY PEERS.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor SENDOVA Course MTH 299 Semester F
 Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2013

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Preparedness

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Organization

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Willingness to help

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding ☐ demanding ☐ moderately difficult ☒ fairly easy ☐ too easy ☐

Please respond to questions on back.