

Michigan State University
Department of Mathematics
Instructor Evaluation Forms
Fall Semester 2013

Job number 0090 SENDOVA, TSVET MTH 132

	Student Responses										Section		Course	
	Excellent		Above Avg		Average		Below Avg							
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Mean	Std	Mean	
1 - Ability to Explain	14	63.6	6	27.3	2	9.1	.	.	.	3.55	0.67	2.78		
2 - Preparedness	14	63.6	7	31.8	1	4.5	.	.	.	3.59	0.59	3.25		
3 - Organization	9	42.9	9	42.9	3	14.3	.	.	.	3.29	0.72	3.08		
4 - Willingness to Help	17	77.3	3	13.6	2	9.1	.	.	.	3.68	0.65	3.12		
5 - Overall Rating	14	63.6	6	27.3	2	9.1	.	.	.	3.55	0.67	2.83		
6 - Course Difficulty	1	4.5	2	9.1	10	45.5	9	40.9	1.77	0.81	2.36			

Student Instructional Rating System Header Sheet

TSENDOVA
DO
NOT
MARK
IN THIS
AREA

Print and Mark as Much of Your Name as Possible		LAST NAME		FIRST NAME		TSVET	
S	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
E	B	A	B	A	B	A	B
C	C	A	C	A	C	A	C
D	D	A	D	A	D	A	D
E	E	A	E	A	E	A	E
F	F	A	F	A	F	A	F
G	G	A	G	A	G	A	G
H	H	A	H	A	H	A	H
I	I	A	I	A	I	A	I
J	J	A	J	A	J	A	J
K	K	A	K	A	K	A	K
L	L	A	L	A	L	A	L
M	M	A	M	A	M	A	M
N	N	A	N	A	N	A	N
O	O	A	O	A	O	A	O
P	P	A	P	A	P	A	P
Q	Q	A	Q	A	Q	A	Q
R	R	A	R	A	R	A	R
S	S	A	S	A	S	A	S
T	T	A	T	A	T	A	T
U	U	A	U	A	U	A	U
V	V	A	V	A	V	A	V
W	W	A	W	A	W	A	W
X	X	A	X	A	X	A	X
Y	Y	A	Y	A	Y	A	Y
Z	Z	A	Z	A	Z	A	Z

COURSE		SUBJECT CODE	NUMBER
MTH		132	
A	A	0	0
B	B	1	1
C	C	2	2
D	D	3	3
E	E	4	4
F	F	5	5
G	G	6	6
H	H	7	7
I	I	8	8
J	J	9	9
K	K	A	A
L	L	B	B
M	M	C	C
N	N	D	D
O	O	E	E
P	P	F	F
Q	Q	G	G
R	R	H	H
S	S	I	I
T	T	J	J
U	U	K	K
V	V	L	L
W	W	M	M
X	X	N	N
Y	Y	O	O
Z	Z	P	P
		Q	Q
		R	R
		S	S
		T	T
		U	U
		V	V
		W	W
		X	X
		Y	Y
		Z	Z

Instructions:

- Use a #2 pencil.
- Print clearly in ALL text areas (Name and Course).
- Fill bubbles carefully and completely.

[illegible]

Number of Students Exposed to this Course	
25 or less	<input type="radio"/>
26-50	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
51-100	<input type="radio"/>
101-300	<input type="radio"/>
301 or more	<input type="radio"/>

Rank of Major Instructor
Teaching Assistant ○
Instructor ●
Assistant Professor ○
Associate Professor ○
Professor ○

DO NOT MARK HERE	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	

7. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor Sandova Course 132 040 Semester 1

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2013

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Preparedness

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Organization

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Willingness to help

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding ☐ demanding ☒ moderately difficult ☐ fairly easy ☐ too easy ☐

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes. Kinda hard to
Understand but knows
her stuff and how to
teach it.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

section 04C

Instructor T. Sander Course MTH 132 Semester Fall

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2013

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent ☐
 above average ☒
 average ☐
 below average ☐
 poor ☐

Preparedness

excellent ☐
 above average ☒
 average ☐
 below average ☐
 poor ☐

Organization

excellent ☐
 above average ☒
 average ☐
 below average ☐
 poor ☐

Willingness to help

excellent ☒
 above average ☐
 average ☐
 below average ☐
 poor ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent ☐
 above average ☐
 average ☒
 below average ☐
 poor ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding ☐
 demanding ☐
 moderately difficult ☒
 fairly easy ☐
 too easy ☐

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes! She's super helpful and does her best to make sure everyone understands everything.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor Sendova Course MT14 132, 040 Semester FS13

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2013

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Preparedness

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Organization

excellent ☐ above average ☒ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Willingness to help

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding ☐ demanding ☐ moderately difficult ☐ fairly easy ☒ too easy ☐

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes, She is very nice and is good at teaching the math. One thing that is hard is her explaining of the math and how fast she explains it

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor Sendova Course 132 sec 040 Semester 1
 Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 1st/2013

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent above average average below average poor
☐ ☒ ☐ ☐ ☐

Preparedness

excellent above average average below average poor
☐ ☒ ☐ ☐ ☐

Organization

excellent above average average below average poor
☐ ☒ ☐ ☐ ☐

Willingness to help

excellent above average average below average poor
☐ ☒ ☐ ☐ ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent above average average below average poor
☐ ☒ ☐ ☐ ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding demanding moderately difficult fairly easy too easy
☐ ☒ ☐ ☐ ☐

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes, she is willing to help students and is very encouraging.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor Sendova Course Mth 132 Semester 1st

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2012

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent above average average below average poor

☐ ☒ ☐ ☐ ☐

Preparedness

excellent above average average below average poor

☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

Organization

excellent above average average below average poor

☐ ☐ ☒ ☐ ☐

Willingness to help

excellent above average average below average poor

☐ ☒ ☐ ☐ ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent above average average below average poor

☐ ☒ ☐ ☐ ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding demanding moderately difficult fairly easy too easy

☐ ☐ ☒ ☐ ☐

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor Sendova Course 132-040 Semester F

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2013

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent above average average below average poor

☐ ☐ ☒ ☐ ☐

Preparedness

excellent above average average below average poor

☐ ☐ ☒ ☐ ☐

Organization

excellent above average average below average poor

☐ ☐ ☒ ☐ ☐

Willingness to help

excellent above average average below average poor

☐ ☒ ☐ ☐ ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent above average average below average poor

☐ ☒ ☐ ☐ ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding demanding moderately difficult fairly easy too easy

☐ ☐ ☒ ☐ ☐

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes, because she is so nice

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor Sendova Course 132 - 040 Semester fall
 Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation (Small Class) Year 2013

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Preparedness

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Organization

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Willingness to help

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding ☐ demanding ☐ moderately difficult ☐ fairly easy ☒ too easy ☐

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor Sandora Course MTh 132 Semester F
 Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2013

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Preparedness

excellent ☐ above average ☒ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Organization

excellent ☐ above average ☒ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Willingness to help

excellent ☐ above average ☐ average ☒ below average ☐ poor ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding ☐ demanding ☐ moderately difficult ☐ fairly easy ☒ too easy ☐

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor SENDOVA Course 132 040 Semester Fall

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2013

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Preparedness

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Organization

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Willingness to help

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding ☐ demanding ☐ moderately difficult ☒ fairly easy ☐ too easy ☐

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor SENDOVA Course 132 040 Semester F

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2013

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Preparedness

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Organization

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Willingness to help

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding ☐ demanding ☐ moderately difficult ☐ fairly easy ☒ too easy ☐

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

SURE -

She's patient and nice!

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor SENDOVA ^{MTH} Course 132 ^{Section} 040 Semester F
 Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year Fall

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Preparedness

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Organization

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Willingness to help

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding ☐ demanding ☐ moderately difficult ☐ fairly easy ☒ too easy ☐

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes, because she's very willing to help students who don't understand what is going on.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor Sendova Course 132-040 Semester 1
 Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2013

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Preparedness

excellent ☐ above average ☒ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Organization

excellent ☐ above average ☒ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Willingness to help

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding ☐ demanding ☐ moderately difficult ☒ fairly easy ☐ too easy ☐

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes, she explains everything very well & will answer any questions you have. She knows all the theories very well & doesn't just give you problems but explains why/how they work & where they come from.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor Sendover Course 132 640 Semester 1

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2013

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent ☐ above average ☒ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Preparedness

excellent ☐ above average ☒ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Organization

excellent ☐ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Willingness to help

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding ☐ demanding ☐ moderately difficult ☒ fairly easy ☐ too easy ☐

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes! Her first priority is helping her students understand concepts and ideas. It is clear that she really wants her students to do well

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor Sendova Course 132 040 Semester 1

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2013

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Preparedness

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Organization

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Willingness to help

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding ☐ demanding ☐ moderately difficult ☒ fairly easy ☐ too easy ☐

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes I would. I feel there is a good explanation of what we are doing in class.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor SenDona Course Mth 132 sec 040 Semester Fall

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2013

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent ☐ above average ☒ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Preparedness

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Organization

excellent ☐ above average ☒ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Willingness to help

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent ☐ above average ☒ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding ☐ demanding ☐ moderately difficult ☐ fairly easy ☒ too easy ☐

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes, very helpful and explains mathematical concepts very well. IF question is presented in class, she is very helpful & encouraging.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor Sendova Course MTH 132 640 Semester F

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2013

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Preparedness

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Organization

excellent ☐ above average ☒ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Willingness to help

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding ☐ demanding ☐ moderately difficult ☐ fairly easy ☒ too easy ☐

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor SENDOVIA Course MTH 132 940 Semester F 2013
 Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2013

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Preparedness

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Organization

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Willingness to help

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding ☐ demanding ☐ moderately difficult ☒ fairly easy ☐ too easy ☐

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor Sendova Course 132 sec. 040 Semester Fall

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2013

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Preparedness

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Organization

excellent ☐ above average ☒ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Willingness to help

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding ☐ demanding ☐ moderately difficult ☒ fairly easy ☐ too easy ☐

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

yes, she does a good job of explaining the concepts and is always willing to give extra help if necessary.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor Sendova Course 132-40 Semester 1

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2013

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent ☐ above average ☒ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Preparedness

excellent ☐ above average ☒ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Organization

excellent ☐ above average ☒ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Willingness to help

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent ☐ above average ☒ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding ☒ demanding ☐ moderately difficult ☐ fairly easy ☐ too easy ☐

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

Yes, She gives good assignments
works hard, Cares about
the Students and will
Open her door to questions
if needed.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor Sendova Course Calc (132:040) Semester I
 Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2013

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Preparedness

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Organization

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Willingness to help

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding ☐ demanding ☐ moderately difficult ☒ fairly easy ☐ too easy ☐

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

of course. She is so nice and
wants to help students

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor SENDOVA Course 132 - 040 Semester Fall

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation Small Class Year 2013

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Preparedness

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Organization

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

Willingness to help

excellent ☒ above average ☐ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent ☐ above average ☒ average ☐ below average ☐ poor ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding ☐ demanding ☐ moderately difficult ☐ fairly easy ☒ too easy ☐

Please respond to questions on back.

4. Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Explain.

The Michigan State University **CODE OF TEACHING RESPONSIBILITY**, which is stated in the Academic Programs book, holds all instructors to certain obligations: course content consistent with approved descriptions, timely statement of course objectives and grading criteria, regular class attendance, published office hours, and timely return of examinations and term papers.

It is the policy of MSU to keep faculty members' SIRS ratings confidential to the extent possible. However, certain SIRS ratings may be subject to disclosure under state or federal law.

Instructor Evaluation Form

Department of Mathematics

Michigan State University

Instructor Serdova Course 132-040 Semester Fall

Format (Circle One) Lecture/Recitation (Small Class) Year 2013

To the student: The results of these forms will be used by the Department of mathematics to help evaluate the teaching performance of faculty. The quality of teaching is part of the criteria used for Promotion and Tenure, annual Faculty Evaluation, and various departmental and university awards. The results of this form will be made available to the instructor after final grades have been assigned and sent to the Registrar.

1. Evaluate this instructor in each of the following categories.

Ability to explain mathematics

excellent above average average below average poor

☐ ☐ ☒ ☐ ☐

Preparedness

excellent above average average below average poor

☐ ☒ ☐ ☐ ☐

Organization

excellent above average average below average poor

☐ ☐ ☒ ☐ ☐

Willingness to help

excellent above average average below average poor

☐ ☐ ☒ ☐ ☐

2. What is your overall rating of this instructor?

excellent above average average below average poor

☐ ☐ ☒ ☐ ☐

3. Indicate the degree of difficulty of this course.

too demanding demanding moderately difficult fairly easy too easy

☐ ☐ ☐ ☒ ☐