RIESZ COMPOSITION OPERATORS

Paul S. Bourdon and Joel H. Shapiro

ABSTRACT. We give a sufficient condition for a univalently induced composition operator on the Hardy space H^2 to be a Riesz operator. We then establish that every Riesz composition operator has a Koenigs model and explore connections our work has with the model theory and spectral theory of composition operators.

1 Introduction

If φ is a holomorphic function on the unit disc U with $\varphi(U) \subset U$ then the composition operator C_{φ} induced by φ is the linear map:

 $C_{\varphi}f = f \circ \varphi$ (f holomorphic on U).

Although C_{φ} is initially defined on the full space H(U) of functions holomorphic on U, a famous result of J.E. Littlewood shows that it takes the Hardy space H^2 into itself, and its restriction to H^2 is a bounded operator [9]. The connection between operator-theoretic properties of C_{φ} and function-theoretic properties of the inducing map φ has led to a rapidly expanding body of research, some major threads of which you can find developed in the recent books [6] and [16].

Much of the recent interest in composition operators arises from connections with function theory that emerge from the study of *compactness* of these operators (see for example [11], [15] and [17]). In this paper the motivating concept from operator theory is the closely related concept of "Rieszness".

A Riesz operator on a Hilbert space is a bounded operator whose essential spectrum is the singleton $\{0\}$. We will discuss this condition in more detail in the next section, but right now it is enough to know that the class of Riesz operators includes all operators that have a compact positive power, and that the Riesz operators are "spectrally indistinguishable" from the compact ones in that their nonzero spectral points occur as isolated, finite-multiplicity eigenvalues.

We show here that if C_{φ} is a Riesz operator on H^2 then the mapping φ has to arise from the geometric model associated with Koenigs's solution to Schroeder's functional equation (the eigenfunction equation for C_{φ}). The corresponding result for compact composition operators has long been known, and forms the basis for the investigation begun in [17] connecting the compactness problem with the geometry of Schroeder-Koenigs models. Our work suggests that in this study it is the question of *Rieszness*, rather than of compactness that should be the main operator-theoretic issue.

The research of both authors was supported in part by the National Science Foundation

Our interest in Riesz operators began with a question posed by Michael Neumann, who asked if the Hardy space H^2 supports a Riesz composition operator that is not power-compact. We answer Neumann's question affirmatively by deriving an easily checked sufficient condition for a univalently induced composition operator on H^2 to be Riesz. The connection with Schroeder-Koenigs models arises when we apply our condition to show that certain non-power-compact examples that motivated the work of [17] are in fact Riesz operators. These matters occupy sections 3 and 4, with the necessary background material collected for the reader's convenience in section 2.

In section 5 we make the general connection between Riesz operators and Schroeder-Koenigs models by showing that if C_{φ} is Riesz, then φ must have an attractive fixed point *a* in *U*. Koenigs' work ([8]) on Schroeder's equation then shows that if, in addition, φ is univalent, then φ has the following model:

$$\varphi = \sigma^{-1} \circ (\varphi'(a)\sigma),$$

where σ is a univalent mapping on U, which is uniquely determined (up to a constant multiple). Our work suggests that the geometry of $\sigma(U)$ in this Koenigs model for φ determines Rieszness; more specifically it leads us to conjecture that the "no-twisted-sectors" property introduced by Shapiro, Smith, and Stegenga in [17] is equivalent to Rieszness. (After this work was completed Pietro Poggi-Corradini established the validity of this conjecture [12].)

In the final section of this paper we discuss the "no-twisted-sectors" conjecture in more detail, and point out a connection between our work and a recent result of Cowen and MacCluer [5, Corollary 19] concerning composition-operator spectra.

2 Background

For completeness of exposition we summarize here some necessary prerequisites.

2.1 The Hardy space H^2 . This is the space of functions that are analytic in the unit disc U and whose Taylor coefficients in the expansion about the origin are square summable. Thus H^2 is a Hilbert space isomorphic to ℓ^2 , where the norm is defined by:

$$\|f\|^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(n)|^2,$$

with $\hat{f}(n)$ denoting the *n*-th Taylor coefficient of f.

2.2 Riesz operators. For our purposes the class of Riesz operators is best defined to be those bounded operators T on Hilbert space for which the distance between T^n and the compacts tends to zero super-exponentially. To be more precise it helps to recall that the distance (in the operator norm) from an operator T to the space of compact operators is called the *essential norm* of T, denoted by $||T||_e$. To say that T is a *Riesz operator* means that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|T^n\|_e^{1/n} = 0.$$

This definition makes it clear that every power-compact operator is Riesz.

2.3 The essential spectrum. Suppose H is a Hilbert space. The quotient \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{K} of the algebra \mathcal{B} of bounded operators on H by the closed ideal \mathcal{K} of compact operators is called the *Calkin Algebra* of H. The essential norm of an operator $T \in \mathcal{B}$ is thus revealed as the Calkin Algebra norm of the coset $T + \mathcal{K}$. The spectrum of this coset is called the essential spectrum of T. The spectral radius formula, applied to the Calkin Algebra, reveals a spectral interpretation of our definition of Riesz operator:

An operator is Riesz if and only if its essential spectrum is the singleton $\{0\}$.

This interpretation, along with a little operator theory, leads to the assertion made in the Introduction that Riesz operators are spectrally indistinguishable from compacts (see [7, Chapter 3] or [18]).

2.4 Essential norms and angular derivatives. We rely heavily on the formula obtained in [15] for the essential norm of a composition operator. We will discuss this in more detail in section 3, but for now it is enough to know that if φ is univalent then this formula reduces to:

$$||C_{\varphi}||_{e} = \liminf_{|z| \to 1-} \left[\frac{1 - |\varphi(z)|}{1 - |z|}\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

This equation relates the study of composition operators with classical work on boundary properties of holomorphic self-maps of the disc. In these studies φ is said to have an *angular derivative* at a point $\zeta \in \partial U$ if two things happen:

- (a) $\varphi'(z)$ has a finite angular (nontangential) limit as $z \to \zeta$, and
- (b) The angular limit of φ (which necessarily exists at ζ because of condition (a)) has modulus 1.

We denote the angular limit in (a) by $\varphi'(\zeta)$. More generally, whenever f is a complexvalued function defined on U that has an angular limit at $\zeta \in \partial U$, then we denote this limit by $f(\zeta)$. The Julia-Carathéodory theorem asserts that φ has an angular derivative at $\zeta \in \partial U$ if and only if

$$\liminf_{z \to \zeta} \frac{1 - |\varphi(z)|}{1 - |z|} < \infty. \tag{1}$$

The theorem also asserts that when the difference quotient in (1) is finite, then:

- The left-hand side of (1) is precisely $|\varphi'(\zeta)|$, and
- The angular limit of the difference quotient exists, and equals $|\varphi'(\zeta)|$

(see e.g. $[2, \S298-300]$, [6, page 51] or [16, page 57] for the full story).

The Julia-Carathéodory theorem allows us to think of $|\varphi'(\zeta)|$ as a function on ∂U with values in the extended interval $[0, \infty]$. Just think of $|\varphi'(\zeta)|$ as defined by the left-hand side of (1), and make the connection with angular limits of the derivative by interpreting " $|\varphi'(\zeta)| = \infty$ " to mean "the angular limit of φ' either doesn't exist at ζ , or it exists and the corresponding angular limit of φ has modulus < 1." Note that that $|\varphi'|$ is bounded away from zero on ∂U : for example, if $\varphi(0) = 0$ then by the Schwarz Lemma and (1), $|\varphi'| \ge 1$ on ∂U .

2.5 Lemma. The function $|\varphi'| : \partial U \to (0, \infty]$ is lower semicontinuous.

PROOF. We have to show that for each M > 0 the set $\{|\varphi'| > M\}$ is open, or equivalently,

$$E_M = \{ \zeta \in \partial U : |\varphi'(\zeta)| \le M \}$$

is closed.

To this end suppose $\{\zeta_n\}$ is a sequence of points in E_M that converges to ζ . We have to show $\zeta \in E_M$. Given $\epsilon > 0$, the Julia-Carathéodory Theorem guarantees that for each n there exists a point $z_n \in U$ at distance less than 1/n from ζ_n such that

$$\frac{1-|\varphi(z_n)|}{1-|z_n|} < M+\epsilon \ .$$

Since $z_n \to \zeta$, another application of the Julia-Carathéodory theorem shows that the angular derivative of φ exists at ζ , and shows that $|\varphi'(\zeta)| \leq M + \epsilon$. Since ϵ is an arbitrary positive number, $|\varphi'(\zeta)| \leq M$, hence $\zeta \in E_M$.

Angular derivatives of iterates of φ may be computed via the usual chain rule.

2.6 Lemma. (The Chain Rule) Suppose φ is a holomorphic self-map of U and $\zeta \in U$. Suppose further that for some positive integer n the iterate φ_n has an angular derivative at ζ . Then:

- (a) For each $1 \leq j \leq n$ the iterate φ_j has an angular derivative at ζ .
- (b) φ has an angular derivative at $\varphi_j(\zeta)$ for $1 \le j < n$.
- (c) Letting $\zeta_j = \varphi_j(\zeta)$, we have $\varphi'_n(\zeta) = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \varphi'(\zeta_j)$.

We omit the proof, which is a routine exercise in applying the Julia-Carathéodory Theorem. Note that the "j = n" part of statement (a) is just the hypothesis on φ_n , and that existence of the angular limits $\zeta_j = \varphi_j(\zeta)$ used in (c) is insured by (a), as is the fact that these limits lie on the unit circle.

2.7 The Denjoy-Wolff Theorem. If a holomorphic self-map φ of U fixes a point $a \in U$ then the Schwarz Lemma guarantees that $|\varphi'(a)| \leq 1$, with equality if and only if φ is an elliptic automorphism (i.e. conformally equivalent to a rotation). In case $|\varphi'(a)| < 1$ the fixed point is *attractive* in the sense that the sequence $(\varphi_n : n \geq 1)$ converges to the constant function a uniformly on compact subsets of U.

The Denjoy-Wolff Theorem asserts that something similar happens even if φ fixes no point of U. In this case there is a unique point $\alpha \in \partial U$, called the Denjoy-Wolff point of φ such that $\varphi_n \to \alpha$ uniformly on compact subsets of U. In addition, φ has remarkable regularity at α . For example, $\varphi(\alpha) = \alpha$ in the sense of angular limits, so α serves as a "boundary fixed point" for φ . Moreover, φ has some degree of smoothness at α in the sense that the angular derivative exists there. Although not required for the sequel, it is worth noting the angular derivative of φ at the Denjoy-Wolff point is a strictly positive number that is ≤ 1 . For complete details see e.g. [16, Chapter 5].

3 Sufficient Condition for Rieszness

As usual, the symbol φ denotes a holomorphic self-map of U, and as in the proof of Lemma 2.5, $E_M = \{\zeta \in \partial U : |\varphi'(\zeta)| \leq M\}$. We also continue with the convention of extending φ to ∂U by defining $\varphi(\zeta)$ to be the angular limit of φ at $\zeta \in \partial U$, whenever this limit exists. Recall that by Fatou's theorem, this limit exists at (Lebesgue) almost every point of ∂U , and (as pointed out in the preceding section) at every point where the map φ has an angular derivative.

3.1 Theorem. Suppose φ is univalent, and that for every M > 0 there exists a positive integer N = N(M) such that

$$n \ge N \quad \Rightarrow \quad \varphi_n(E_M) \cap E_M = \emptyset .$$

Then C_{φ} is a Riesz operator (i.e., its essential spectrum is $\{0\}$).

PROOF. We know from [15] that the essential norm of C_{φ} on H^2 is given by the formula

$$\|C_{\varphi}\|_{e}^{2} = \limsup_{|w| \to 1^{-}} \frac{N_{\varphi}(w)}{\log \frac{1}{|w|}}$$
(2)

where N_{φ} is the Nevanlinna counting function of φ :

$$N_{\varphi}(w) = \sum_{z \in \varphi^{-1}\{w\}} \log \frac{1}{|z|}$$

the sum being interpreted as 0 when $w \notin \varphi(U)$. Now if φ is univalent, then the sum has just one term; hence, using the notation $w = \varphi(z)$ (and interpreting $\log(1/|z|)$ to be 0 whenever $w \notin \varphi(U)$), we have, as advertised in section 2.4,

$$\|C_{\varphi}\|_{e}^{2} = \limsup_{|w| \to 1^{-}} \frac{\log \frac{1}{|z|}}{\log \frac{1}{|w|}} = \limsup_{|z| \to 1^{-}} \frac{1 - |z|}{1 - |\varphi(z)|} = \left[\liminf_{|z| \to 1^{-}} \frac{1 - |\varphi(z)|}{1 - |z|}\right]^{-1}$$

Upon applying the Julia-Carathéodory Theorem to the term on the right, and noting that by lower semicontinuity $|\varphi'|$ attains its infimum on ∂U , we obtain

$$\|C_{\varphi}\|_{e}^{2} = \left[\min_{\zeta \in \partial U} |\varphi'(\zeta)|\right]^{-1} .$$
(3)

Our goal is to show that the essential spectral radius of C_{φ} is zero, i.e.;

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|C_{\varphi}^n\|_e^{1/n} = \{0\},\$$

which in view of (3) above, means

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \min_{\zeta \in \partial U} |\varphi'_n(\zeta)|^{1/n} = \infty .$$
(4)

To prove (4) for our mapping φ , fix M > 1 and recall that by hypothesis there exists a positive integer N such that $\varphi_n(E_M)$ is disjoint from E_M whenever $n \ge N$.

Now fix $n \ge 2N$. We are going to show that the quantity on the left-hand side of (4) is $> \sqrt{M}$, which will establish (4), and prove that C_{φ} is a Riesz operator.

To this end, we need only consider those $\zeta \in \partial U$ for which $|\varphi'_n(\zeta)| < \infty$. The idea is to use the Chain Rule (part (c) of Lemma 2.6) to compute $|\varphi'_n(\zeta)|$. At this point it is convenient to assume that $\varphi(0) = 0$, so that (as we observed just before stating Lemma 2.5) $|\varphi'| \ge 1$ on ∂U . We will show in Theorem 5.3 that no loss of generality results from the assumption $\varphi(0) = 0$.

To estimate the size of the product on the right-hand side of the chain rule formula, let

$$J(\zeta) = \{ 0 \le j < n : \varphi_j(\zeta) \notin E_M \} .$$

That is, $J(\zeta)$ is the set of indices in [0, n-1] for which $|\varphi'_j(\zeta)| > M$. Observe that our choice of N guarantees that the number of indices in $J(\zeta)$ is $\geq n-N$ for every $\zeta \in \partial U$. Thus by the Chain Rule (using the notation $\zeta_i = \varphi_i(\zeta)$, and writing $J = J(\zeta)$),

$$|\varphi_n'(\zeta)| = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} |\varphi'(\zeta_j)| \ge \prod_{j \in J} |\varphi'(\zeta_j)| \ge M^{n-N}$$

from which follows

$$|\varphi_n'(\zeta)|^{1/n} \ge M^{1-\frac{N}{n}}$$

so $|\varphi'_n(\zeta)|^{1/n} \ge \sqrt{M}$ if $n \ge 2N$, as promised.

Here is an easy-to-use special case of Theorem 3.1 that we will apply in the next section to construct a Riesz composition operator that is not power-compact.

3.2 Corollary. Suppose φ is a holomorphic self-map of U that is univalent on U and extends continuously to \overline{U} (the closed unit disc). Suppose further that

- (a) At each fixed point on the unit circle, φ does not have an angular derivative, and
- (b) If $\zeta \in \partial U$ is not a fixed point of φ then $\varphi_n(\zeta) \in U$ for some positive integer n.

Then C_{φ} is a Riesz operator on H^2 .

///

PROOF. Let FP denote the (possibly empty) set of fixed points of φ on ∂U . Fix M > 0 and note that by hypothesis (a) we have $E_M \subset \partial U \setminus FP$. Note that for each nonnegative integer n, the set

$$G_n = \{\zeta \in \partial U : \varphi_n(\zeta) \in U\} = \varphi_n^{-1}(U) \cap \partial U$$

is open (continuity of φ), and that (G_n) is an increasing sequence whose union contains $\partial U \setminus FP$ (by hypothesis (b)). Thus this union contains the compact set E_M and there is a positive integer N such that $G_n \supset E_M$ whenever $n \ge N$. In particular:

$$n \ge N \quad \Rightarrow \quad |\varphi'| \equiv \infty \quad on \quad \varphi_n(E_M) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \varphi_n(E_M) \cap E_M = \emptyset,$$

so C_{φ} is Riesz by Theorem 3.1.

4 A Non-Power-Compact Riesz Composition Operator

4.1 A strip with a bulge. Our example is a holomorphic self-map of U that played a key role in the work of [17]. Let G be the union of the open unit disc and the open horizontal strip of unit width whose lower boundary is the real axis. So G is a strip with a bulge that contains the origin, and $\frac{1}{2}G \subset G$. Let σ be the univalent holomorphic mapping of U onto G that fixes the origin and has derivative > 0 there (Riemann Mapping Theorem). Define φ on U by

$$\varphi(z) = \sigma^{-1}(\frac{1}{2}\sigma(z)) \qquad (z \in U).$$
(5)

We think of φ in the following way: its action on U is conformally similar, via σ , to the action of the mapping $M_{1/2}$ of "multiplication by 1/2" acting on G. We think of $M_{1/2}: G \to G$ as a "model" for $\varphi: U \to U$, and deduce the properties of φ from properties of the model. In particular, by going back and forth between U and G it is easy to check that φ extends continuously to \overline{U} and has two fixed points on ∂U , which correspond to "the points at plus and minus infinity on the boundary of G".

4.2 Theorem. For the mapping φ just described, C_{φ} is a Riesz operator on H^2 that is not power-compact.

PROOF. The fact that C_{φ} is not power-compact was noted in [17]. For completeness we review the argument. Let L denote the half-line $(2, \infty)$ on the real axis, and note that $M_{1/2}(L) \subset \partial G$. Let $I = \sigma^{-1}(L)$, an open arc of ∂U . The behavior of the model translates into the fact that $\varphi(I) \subset \partial U$, in particular φ has radial limits of modulus one on the arc I. It is a standard result about composition operators that whenever the inducing map has radial limits of modulus one on a subset of ∂U having positive measure, the induced composition operator is not compact (see e.g. [17, §2.5, page 32]). Thus our operator C_{φ} is not compact.

Now if n is a positive integer, then the n-th iterate φ_n of φ is obtained by changing the multiplier 1/2 in the definition (5) of φ to $1/2^n$. The same analysis shows that $C_{\varphi}^n = C_{\varphi_n}$ is not compact. Thus C_{φ} is not power-compact.

///

To show that C_{φ} is a Riesz operator we check that φ satisfies the hypotheses of Corollary 3.2. We have already noted that φ is univalent on U and extends continuously to \overline{U} . Since every (finite) point of ∂G is eventually taken into G by successive multiplications by 1/2, we see that each non-fixed point of ∂U is taken into U by some iterate of φ , so hypothesis (b) of Corollary 3.2 is satisfied.

It remains to show that hypothesis (a) is satisfied, i.e. that φ does not have an angular derivative at either of its boundary fixed points. For this we require a crucial result of [17], which states that whenever a self-map φ of U defined by a formula like (5) has an angular derivative at a boundary fixed point, then the "model domain" G contains a "twisted sector"

$$S_{\epsilon}(\Gamma) = \bigcup_{w \in \Gamma} \{ z : |z - w| < \epsilon |w| \},\$$

for some $\epsilon > 0$ and some simple arc Γ that connects the origin to ∞ ([17, Proposition 3.3], see also [16, §9.6]). We will say more about twisted sectors in the final section, but for now it is enough to note that the strip-like region G in our present example doesn't contain one. Thus φ does not have an angular derivative at either of its boundary fixed points.

We have shown that C_{φ} satisfies all the hypotheses of Corollary 3.2, so it is therefore a Riesz operator. ///

4.3 Remarks. The construction of φ can be refined to create non-power-compact Riesz composition operators whose inducing functions have infinitely many fixed points on the unit circle. For this, take a sequence of rays emanating from the origin, and making angles with the real axis that decrease to zero. For definiteness, suppose also that these angles are $\langle \pi/2 \rangle$ (you can also symmetrize the situation with respect to the real axis to increase its aesthetic appeal). Make each ray the top edge of a little open strip, taking care to make the strips thin enough so that they don't intersect outside the unit disc. Let G be the union of this countable collection of strips and the unit disc, and proceed just as before.

A bit more work, using Theorem 3.1 directly, shows that the examples of nonpower-compact composition operators constructed in [17] from "jellyfish models" also induce Riesz operators.

5 Riesz Operators and Koenigs Models

5.1 Koenigs Models. The method we used in §4 to construct our non-powercompact Riesz composition operator is actually part of a much more general scheme. If λ is any complex number of modulus < 1 and G a simply connected plane domain with $0 \in G$ and $\lambda G \subset G$, then the Riemann Mapping Theorem provides a univalent map σ of U onto G with $\sigma(0) = 0$, and just as in the last section we can use σ to define a holomorphic self-map φ of U. This time the formula is

$$\varphi(z) = \sigma^{-1}(\lambda \sigma(z)) \qquad (z \in U) . \tag{6}$$

Once again σ establishes a conformal similarity between the action of φ on U and that of the mapping M_{λ} of "multiplication-by- λ " on G. We call $M_{\lambda} : G \to G$ the Koenigs Model for φ , and observe that the subtleties of how φ acts on U are now coded into the geometry of G.

Classical work of Gabriel Koenigs [8, 1884] shows that:

Every univalent self-map of U with an attractive fixed point in U has a Koenigs model, which is uniquely determined (up to a constant multiple of the model domain G).

More generally Koenigs showed that if φ is any holomorphic self-map of U with a fixed point in U, say $\varphi(0) = 0$ without loss of generality, and if $0 < |\varphi'(0)| < 1$, then there is a holomorphic function σ on U such that

$$\sigma(\varphi(z)) = \varphi'(0)\sigma(z) \qquad (z \in U). \tag{7}$$

Koenigs showed further that σ is unique up to multiplication by a constant, and is univalent whenever φ is univalent (see e.g., [16, §6.1] for an exposition of these matters). Thus if φ is univalent then (7), which is usually called *Schroeder's equation*, can be rewritten in the form (6), with $\lambda = \varphi'(0)$. Note that the Schwarz Lemma guarantees that $|\varphi'(0)| \leq 1$ for any holomorphic self-map of U that fixes the origin by insisting on strict inequality we simply prevent φ from being a rotation.

5.2 Koenigs eigenfunctions. We continue to assume that φ is a holomorphic self-map of U that fixes the origin, and that $0 < |\varphi'(0)| < 1$. The map σ discussed above is often called the *Koenigs eigenfunction* of φ . This terminology comes from observing that equation (7) can be rewritten

$$C_{\varphi}\sigma = \varphi'(0)\sigma ,$$

hence σ is an eigenfunction of the operator $C_{\varphi} : H(U) \to H(U)$. The essential uniqueness of σ says that the eigenvalue $\varphi'(0)$ has multiplicity one. Upon raising both sides of (7) to the *n*-th power we see that σ^n is an eigenfunction and $\varphi'(0)^n$ the corresponding eigenvalue (n = 0, 1, 2, ...). Koenigs showed that all these eigenvalues have multiplicity one.

Caughran and Schwartz [3] (proof of their Theorem 3) showed that the point $\varphi'(0)^n$ always belongs to the spectrum of $C_{\varphi} : H^2 \to H^2$ (see also [4, Theorem 4.1] and [1]). The subtlety here is that in general σ^n may not belong to H^2 , so $\varphi'(0)^n$ need not always be an eigenvalue of $C_{\varphi} : H^2 \to H^2$. However if C_{φ} is a Riesz operator on H^2 , then $\varphi'(0)^n$, being a non-zero spectral point, must be an eigenvalue—of multiplicity one by the work of Koenigs. This forces the corresponding eigenfunction σ^n to lie in H^2 . So if C_{φ} is Riesz, then $\sigma^n \in H^2$ for every positive integer n. Equivalently:

$$C_{\varphi} \text{ Riesz on } H^2 \Rightarrow \sigma \in \bigcap_{p < \infty} H^p.$$
 (8)

For power-compact operators this result is implicit in the work of Caughran and Schwartz [3, Theorem 3].

In the context of Riesz operators no restriction is imposed by assuming, as we have done above, that φ has an attractive fixed point in U. We show now that this condition is, in fact, necessary for C_{φ} to be Riesz. Consequently:

Every univalently induced Riesz composition operator has a Koenigs model.

5.3 Theorem. Suppose φ is a holomorphic self-map of U and C_{φ} is a Riesz operator on H^2 ; then φ fixes a point $a \in U$, and $|\varphi'(a)| < 1$.

PROOF. Suppose first that φ does not fix any point of U. Will show that the essential norm of C_{φ}^n does not go to zero super-exponentially, and so C_{φ} is not a Riesz operator on H^2 (section 2.2).

For this we employ the boundary fixed point α guaranteed for φ by the Denjoy-Wolff Theorem (see section 2.7). Recall that φ has an angular derivative at α , and so by the Julia-Carathéodory Theorem (section 2.4)

$$\lim_{r \to 1^{-}} \frac{\log \frac{1}{r}}{\log \frac{1}{|\varphi(r\alpha)|}} = \lim_{r \to 1^{-}} \frac{1 - r}{1 - |\varphi(r\alpha)|} = \frac{1}{|\varphi'(\alpha)|}$$
(9)

For $0 \leq r < 1$ write $w(r) = \varphi(r\alpha)$, so that

$$N_{\varphi}(w(r)) \ge \log \frac{1}{r} . \tag{10}$$

The essential-norm formula (2) combined with (9) and (10) yields:

$$\|C_{\varphi}\|_{e}^{2} = \limsup_{|w| \to 1-} \frac{N_{\varphi}(w)}{\log \frac{1}{|w|}} \ge \lim_{r \to 1-} \frac{\log \frac{1}{r}}{\log \frac{1}{|w(r)|}} = \frac{1}{|\varphi'(\alpha)|}$$
(11)

Now for each positive integer n we have $C_{\varphi}^n = C_{\varphi_n}$. Since the Denjoy-Wolff point of φ is the limit of the sequence of successive iterates of φ , we see that φ_n also does not fix any points of U, and that α must be *its* Denjoy-Wolff point. Thus φ_n has an angular derivative at α , and our Chain Rule (Lemma 2.6) shows that $\varphi'_n(\alpha) = \varphi'(\alpha)^n$. This allows us to apply the essential-norm estimate (11) to φ_n , obtaining

$$||C_{\varphi}^{n}||_{e}^{2} = ||C_{\varphi_{n}}||_{e}^{2} \ge \frac{1}{|\varphi'(\alpha)|^{n}},$$

from which follows

$$\lim_{n} \|C_{\varphi}^{n}\|_{e}^{1/n} \geq \frac{1}{|\varphi'(\alpha)|^{1/2}} > 0.$$

Thus, by our definition (section 2.2), C_{φ} is not a Riesz operator.

Summary: If φ has no fixed point in U then C_{φ} is not a Riesz operator on H^2 .

Suppose now that C_{φ} is a Riesz operator. Then φ fixes a point of U, which by a standard similarity argument we may assume to be the origin: $\varphi(0) = 0$. It remains to show that $|\varphi'(0)| < 1$.

The Schwarz Lemma insures that $|\varphi'(0)| \leq 1$, and in case of equality that φ has to be a rotation of U. Thus if we do not have the desired strict inequality on $\varphi'(0)$, then C_{φ} must be a unitary operator on H^2 . We claim this contradicts the assumption that C_{φ} is Riesz.

More generally:

Every linear isometry of Hilbert space into itself has essential spectral radius = 1.

This is well-known, but to keep our exposition complete, here is a proof. Suppose T is a Hilbert-space isometry. We begin by showing that the essential norm of T is 1. Since the essential norm is just the distance to the subspace of compact operators, it is clear that $||T||_e \leq ||T|| \leq 1$. To prove the opposite inequality, fix a compact operator K, and an orthonormal sequence (e_n) . Since T is an isometry, $||Te_n|| = 1$ for each n, so it follows that for each positive integer n:

$$||T - K|| \ge ||(T - K)e_n|| \ge ||Te_n|| - ||Ke_n|| = 1 - ||Ke_n|| .$$
(12)

Now every orthonormal sequence converges weakly to zero, so because K is compact, $||Ke_n|| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Thus upon letting $n \to \infty$ in the right-hand side of (12), we see that $||T - K|| \ge 1$, hence $||T||_e \ge 1$, as desired.

Since every Hilbert-space isometry T has essential norm one, and since every power of T is again an isometry, it follows from the last paragraph that $||T^n||_e = 1$ for every positive integer n; hence, T cannot be a Riesz operator. In particular, no rotation of the disc can induce a Riesz composition operator. Thus, if φ fixes the origin and induces a Riesz composition operator, then $|\varphi'(0)| < 1$. ///

5.4 Remarks. (a) The argument given in the first part of Theorem 5.3 shows that if φ fixes no point of U, the essential spectral radius of $C_{\varphi} : H^2 \to H^2$ is bounded below by $|\varphi'(\alpha)|^{-1/2}$, where $\alpha \in \partial U$ is the Denjoy-Wolff point of φ . For this we could also have referenced [1, Lemma 5.3], where we showed that for this situation $r_e(C_{\varphi})$ is precisely $|\varphi'(\alpha)|^{-1/2}$.

However the argument given above, in addition to offering a more self-contained exposition, can be easily modified to show that for any holomorphic self-map φ of U:

$$r_e(C_{\varphi}) \ge \max_{\zeta \in FP} |\varphi'(\zeta)|^{-1/2}$$
,

where on the right, FP denotes the collection of boundary fixed points of φ . For this we only need to use the general version of the Chain Rule given in [16, Chapter 4, Exercise 10, page 74] to show that if φ has an angular derivative at a boundary fixed point ζ then so does every iterate φ_n , and $\varphi'_n(\zeta) = \varphi'(\zeta)^n$.

Thus if C_{φ} is a Riesz operator on H^2 then φ cannot have an angular derivative at any boundary fixed point. Since every positive power of a Riesz operator is also Riesz, the same holds for each iterate of φ . Now boundary fixed points of iterates of φ are, in an obvious way, "boundary periodic points" of φ , so we have: If $C_{\varphi}: H^2 \to H^2$ is a Riesz operator, then φ does not have an angular derivative at any boundary periodic point.

Poggi-Corradini [13] has recently proved the converse of this result for univalent maps φ . In general the converse is false, as shown by the fact that there exist inner functions with no angular derivative at any point of ∂U (see [16, section 10.2] for example)—the last part of the proof of Theorem 5.3 shows that no inner function can induce a Riesz composition operator. (Every inner function with a fixed point in U is conjugate, via a conformal disc automorphism, to an inner function that vanishes at the origin, and by [10, section 1] such inner functions induce isometries on H^2 . Thus every composition operator induced by an inner function is similar to an isometry, and therefore is not Riesz.)

(b) There is a parallel between the results discussed here and the corresponding ones for compactness. Theorem 5.3 was first obtained in the context of power-compact operators by Caughran and Schwartz [3, 1975]. It has long been known that nonexistence of the angular derivative at every point of ∂U is necessary for C_{φ} to be compact on H^2 , and that this condition is sufficient if φ is univalent (see [16, Chapter 2] for details and historical notes). Our results, along with those of Poggi-Corradini show that the same is true for *Rieszness* if non-existence of the angular derivative is required not everywhere on the boundary, but only at the *boundary periodic points* of the inducing map.

(c) Shapiro and Taylor [19, 1973] proved that for 0 , a composition $operator is compact on <math>H^p$ if and only if it is compact on H^2 . The same is true for Riesz operators. The notions of essential norm and essential spectral radius, make sense for any Banach space, and more generally for any *p*-Banach space where 0 . Thus Riesz operators can be defined in these more general settings, andit turns out that all the spectral theory that makes Riesz-operator spectra identicalwith compact-operator spectra still holds (see [18]).

Now in [1] we have shown that for any holomorphic self-map φ of U, and any 0 ,

$$r_e(C_{\varphi}: H^p \to H^p) = \left[r_e(C_{\varphi}: H^2 \to H^2)\right]^{2/p}$$

It follows from this identity that for 0 :

 C_{φ} is a Riesz operator on H^p if and only if it is a Riesz operator on H^2 .

6 Connections and Conjectures

In [17] Shapiro, Smith, and Stegenga study the relationship between the geometry of Koenigs models and compactness of composition operators on H^2 . They establish, for example, that if the image $\sigma(U)$ of a (univalent) Koenigs function σ contains a twisted sector, then σ cannot belong to H^p for all $p < \infty$. Thus in view of the work of the previous section:

If C_{φ} is Riesz, then the image $\sigma(U)$ of its Koenigs eigenfunction cannot contain a twisted sector.

On the other hand, in [11] Pietro Poggi-Corradini shows that if $\sigma(U)$ contains no twisted sectors, then $\sigma \in H^p$ for all $p < \infty$, and raises the problem of describing an operator-theoretic property of C_{φ} that is equivalent to $\sigma(U)$'s containing no twisted sectors. As we have seen, Rieszness of C_{φ} is sufficient to ensure no twisted sectors lie inside $\sigma(U)$. Analysis of models presented in [17] provides some evidence that the Riesz property is also necessary: each model having the *no-twisted-sector property* (including the "bulging-strip" model we discussed in detail in Section 4) can be shown by application of Theorem 3.1 to yield a Riesz composition operator on H^2 . This observation and further results in papers [1], [17], and [11] lead us to conjecture the following:

Suppose φ is univalent and σ is the Koenigs eigenfunction of φ ; then the composition operator C_{φ} on H^2 is Riesz if and only if $\sigma(U)$ does not contain a twisted sector.

More generally, we conjecture that for an arbitrary holomorphic self-map $\varphi(U)$ (satisfying $\varphi(0) = 0$ and $0 < |\varphi'(0)| < 1$), the implication (8) goes both ways:

 $C_{\varphi}: H^2 \to H^2$ is Riesz if and only if $\sigma \in H^p$ for all $p < \infty$.

As we mentioned in the Introduction, Poggi-Corradini [12] has recently established the first of these conjectures. We have just learned that he has proved the second as well [14].

We conclude by noting a connection between our work and the study of composition-operator spectra. In [5], Cowen and MacCluer characterize the spectrum of C_{φ} given that φ is univalent but not an automorphism, and $\varphi(0) = 0$; in particular they show that the spectrum of C_{φ} must contain the disc $\{z : |z| \leq r_e(C_{\varphi})\}$, where $r_e(C_{\varphi})$ denotes the essential spectral radius of C_{φ} on H^2 . Our Theorem 3.1 provides a sufficient condition for this disc to be degenerate (i.e. = $\{0\}$), while Theorem 4.2 shows that this can happen non-trivially.

References

- [1] P. S. Bourdon and J. H. Shapiro, *Mean growth of Koenigs eigenfunctions*, Journal Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.
- [2] C. Carathéodory, Theory of Functions of a Complex Variable, Vol. 2, Chelsea, New York, 1954.
- [3] J. G. Caughran and H. J. Schwartz, Spectra of compact composition operators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 51 (1975) 127–130.
- [4] C. C. Cowen, Composition operators on H^2 , J. Operator Th. 9 (1983), 77–106.
- [5] C. C. Cowen and B. D. MacCluer, Spectra of some composition operators, J. Functional Analysis 125 (1994), 223–251.

- [6] C. C. Cowen and B. D. MacCluer, Composition Operators on Spaces of Analytic Functions, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1995.
- [7] H. Dowson, Spectral Theory of Linear Operators, Academic Press 1978.
- [8] G. Koenigs, Recherches sur les intégrales de certaines équationes functionelles, Annales Ecole Normale Superior (3) 1 (1884), Supplément, 3–41.
- [9] J. E. Littlewood, On inequalities in the theory of functions, Proc. London Math. Soc. 23 (1925), 481–519.
- [10] E. Nordgren, Composition operators, Canadian J. Math. 20 (1968), 442–449.
- [11] P. Poggi-Corradini, Hardy spaces and twisted sectors for geometric models, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 348 (1996), 2503–2518..
- [12] P. Poggi-Corradini, The Hardy class of geometric models and the essential spectral radius of composition operators, preprint.
- [13] P. Poggi-Corradini, Riesz composition operators and their Königs eigenfunctions, preprint.
- [14] P. Poggi-Corradini, The Hardy class of Kœnigs maps, preprint.
- [15] J. H. Shapiro, The essential norm of a composition operator, Annals of Math. 125 (1987), 375–404.
- [16] J. H. Shapiro, Composition Operators and Classical Function Theory, Springer-Verlag, 1993.
- [17] J. H. Shapiro, W. Smith, and D. A. Stegenga, Geometric models and compactness of composition operators, J. Functional Analysis, 127 (1995) 21–62.
- [18] J. H. Shapiro, Essential Fredholm Theory, in preparation.
- [19] J. H. Shapiro and P. D. Taylor, Compact, nuclear, and Hilbert-Schmidt composition operators on H^p, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 23 (1973), 471–496.

Department of Mathematics Washington and Lee University Lexington, VA 24450

Department of Mathematics Michigan State University East Lansing, MI 48824