Distance-preserving graphs

Mohammad Hosein Khalife Michigan State University

Bruce Sagan Michigan State University www.math.msu.edu/~sagan

Emad Zahedi Michigan State University

October 4, 2015

Basic definitions

Simplicial vertices

Products

Let G = (V, E) be a graph and let d or d_G denote its distance function. A subgraph $H \subseteq G$ is *isometric*, written $H \leq G$, if for every $u, v \in V(H)$ we have

$$d_H(u,v)=d_G(u,v)$$



Then $H \leq G$. But $H' \leq G$ since $d_{H'}(u, w) = 3$ and $d_G(u, w) = 2$.

Call a connected graph G distance preserving (dp) if it has an isometric subgraph with k vertices for all k with $1 \le k \le |V(G)|$.

Ex. From the previous example, C_5 is not dp since it has no isometric subgraph with 4 vertices. On the other hand, trees are dp: If T is a tree and v is a leaf then T - v is an isometric subgraph of T. So by repeatedly removing leaves, one can find isometric subgraphs of T with any number of vertices.

Roughly, cycles cause obstructions to being dp.

Conjecture (Nussbaum-Esfahanian)

Almost all connected graphs are dp. That is, if d_n and c_n are the number of dp graphs and connected graphs on n vertices, respectively, then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{d_n}{c_n}=1.$$

We will provide various techniques for constructing larger dp graphs from smaller ones.

The *neighborhood* of a vertex v of G = (V, E) is

$$N(v) = \{w \mid vw \in E\}.$$

Call v simplicial if N(v) is the vertex set of a clique (complete subgraph) of G. Ex. Consider



Then *u* is simplicial since $N(u) = \{v, w, x\}$, the vertices of a triangle. But *y* is not simplicial since $N(y) = \{w, z\}$ and $wz \notin E$.

Theorem (Z)

Let v be simplicial in G. Then G' = G - v is isometric in G.

Proof. Consider $x, y \in V(G')$. It suffices to show that no x-y geodesic (x-y path of minimum length) in G goes through v. Suppose, towards a contradiction, that there is such a geodesic

$$P: x = v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_s = v, \ldots, v_t = y.$$

Since v is simplicial $v_{s-1}v_{s+1} \in E(G)$. So P - v is a shorter path from x to y, a contradiction.

A graph G is *chordal* if every cycle $C \subseteq G$ of length at least 4 has an edge of G joining two vertices not adjacent along C.

Corollary

Chordal graphs, and hence trees, are dp

Proof. If *G* is chordal, then it has a simplicial vertex *v* with G - v chordal. The result now follows by induction.

Let G, H be graphs. Products of G and H have vertex set $V(G) \times V(H)$. Their (*Cartesian*) product, $G \Box H$, has edge set $E(G \Box H) = \{(a, x)(b, y) \mid x = y \& ab \in E(G), \text{ or } a = b \& xy \in E(H)\}.$ Their lexicographic product, G[H], has edge set $E(G[H]) = \{(a, x)(b, y) \mid ab \in E(G), \text{ or } a = b \& xy \in E(H)\}.$



Theorem (HSZ)

Let G be dp with at least two vertices. Then G[H] is dp for any graph H.

Call *G* sequentially dp if its vertex set can be ordered v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n so that the subgraphs

$$G, G - \{v_1\}, G - \{v_1, v_2\}, \ldots$$

are all isometric in G **Ex.** Trees are sequentially dp by the same argument as before. Clearly G sequentially dp implies G dp. The converse is false. Theorem (HSZ) The product $G\Box H$ is sequentially dp if and only if G and H are sequentially dp.

Conjecture (HSZ)

If G and H are dp then so is $G\Box H$.

Note that the converse of this conjecture is false.

THANKS FOR LISTENING!