

5: DIRECT LIMITS

(7.69) Definition: (a) A directed set is a partially ordered set Λ such that for all $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ there is an element $\nu \in \Lambda$ with $\lambda \leq \nu$ and $\mu \leq \nu$.

(b) Let A be a ring, Λ a directed set, and $\{M_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ a family of A -modules. Suppose that for each pair $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ with $\lambda \leq \mu$ there is given an A -linear map $f_{\lambda\mu}: M_\lambda \rightarrow M_\mu$ so that (i) $f_{\lambda\lambda} = \text{id}_{M_\lambda}$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and (ii) $f_{\nu\mu} f_{\mu\lambda} = f_{\nu\lambda}$ whenever $\lambda \leq \mu \leq \nu$. Then $\{M_\lambda, f_{\lambda\mu}\}$ is called a direct system (of A -modules) over the directed set Λ .

Note that one can define direct systems of sets, groups, rings, etc. accordingly.

(7.70) Definition: (a) Let $\{M_\lambda, f_{\lambda\mu}\}$ and $\{M'_\lambda, f'_{\lambda\mu}\}$ be direct systems of A -modules over the directed set Λ . A morphism (or an A -linear map) $\varphi: \{M_\lambda, f_{\lambda\mu}\} \rightarrow \{M'_\lambda, f'_{\lambda\mu}\}$ is a family of A -linear maps $\varphi = \{\varphi_\lambda: M_\lambda \rightarrow M'_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda \text{ and } \varphi_\lambda \text{ } A\text{-linear}\}$ so that for all $\lambda \leq \mu$, $f'_{\lambda\mu} \circ \varphi_\lambda = \varphi_\mu \circ f_{\lambda\mu}$, that is, the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_\lambda & \xrightarrow{f_{\lambda\mu}} & M_\mu \\ \varphi_\lambda \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi_\mu \\ M'_\lambda & \xrightarrow{f'_{\lambda\mu}} & M'_\mu \end{array} \quad \text{commutes.}$$

(b) Let $\{M_\lambda, f_{\lambda\mu}\}$ be a direct system of A -modules over Λ and X an A -module. A morphism (or A -linear map) $\varphi: \{M_\lambda, f_{\lambda\mu}\} \rightarrow X$ is a family of A -linear maps $\varphi = \{\varphi_\lambda: M_\lambda \rightarrow X \mid \lambda \in \Lambda \text{ and } \varphi_\lambda \text{ } A\text{-linear}\}$ so that for all $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ with $\lambda \leq \mu$, $\varphi_\lambda = \varphi_\mu \circ f_{\lambda\mu}$, that is, the diagram: $M_\lambda \xrightarrow{\varphi_\lambda} X$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f_{\lambda\mu} \downarrow & \nearrow \varphi_\mu \\ M_\mu & & \end{array} \quad \text{commutes.}$$

(c) Let $\{M_\lambda, f_{\lambda\mu}\}$ be a direct system of A -modules over Λ . An A -module M_∞ together with an A -linear map $\varphi: \{M_\lambda, f_{\lambda\mu}\} \rightarrow M_\infty$ is called a direct limit of $\{M_\lambda, f_{\lambda\mu}\}$ if for every A -linear map $\psi: \{M_\lambda, f_{\lambda\mu}\} \rightarrow X$ there is a unique A -linear map $h: M_\infty \rightarrow X$ so that $\psi_\lambda = h \circ \varphi_\lambda$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$, that is, the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \varphi_\lambda & & \\
 & M_\lambda & \xrightarrow{\quad f_{\mu\lambda} \quad} & M_\mu & \\
 & \downarrow & \varphi_\mu & \nearrow & h \\
 & M_\mu & & & x
 \end{array}$$

Notation: $M_\infty = \varinjlim M_\lambda = \varprojlim_\lambda M_\lambda$

(7.71) Theorem: Let $\{M_\lambda, f_{\mu\lambda}\}$ be a direct system of A -modules over Λ . Then $\varinjlim M_\lambda$ exists.

Proof: Let $C = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} M_\lambda$ be the direct sum of the M_λ and $i_\lambda: M_\lambda \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mu \in \Lambda} M_\mu$ the natural embedding. Let $D \subseteq C$ be the submodule which is generated by:

$$\{i_\lambda(a) - i_\mu(f_{\mu\lambda}(a)) \mid a \in M_\lambda, \lambda \leq \mu\}.$$

Set $M_\infty = C/D$ and define $\varphi: \{M_\lambda, f_{\mu\lambda}\} \rightarrow M_\infty$ by $\varphi_\lambda(a) = i_\lambda(a) + D$. Obviously, $\varphi_\lambda = \varphi_\mu f_{\mu\lambda}$ for $\lambda \leq \mu$.

Let $\varphi: \{M_\lambda, f_{\mu\lambda}\} \rightarrow X$ be an A -linear map. By the universal property of the direct sum there is a unique A -linear map $g: C = \bigoplus M_\lambda \rightarrow X$ so that $g \circ i_\lambda = \varphi_\lambda$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Then for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and all $a \in M_\lambda$:

$$g(i_\lambda(a) - i_\mu(f_{\mu\lambda}(a))) = (g i_\lambda)(a) - (g i_\mu)(f_{\mu\lambda}(a)) = \varphi_\lambda(a) - \varphi_\mu f_{\mu\lambda}(a) = 0.$$

g factors through M_∞ : $C \xrightarrow{g} X$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \varpi & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \\
 & M_\infty & \xrightarrow{h} & & \\
 & \downarrow & \varphi_\lambda & & \\
 M_\lambda & \xrightarrow{i_\lambda} & C & \xrightarrow{\varpi} & M_\infty
 \end{array}$$

for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ the diagram

where ϖ is the canonical map. Moreover, for all

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \varpi & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \\
 M_\lambda & \xrightarrow{i_\lambda} & C & \xrightarrow{\varpi} & M_\infty \\
 & \downarrow g & \downarrow & & \downarrow h \\
 & & X & &
 \end{array}$$

commutes.

The uniqueness of h follows since M_∞ is generated by $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \varphi_\lambda(M_\lambda)$. Thus $M_\infty = \varinjlim M_\lambda$ together with φ is a direct limit of $\{M_\lambda, f_{\mu\lambda}\}$.

(7.72) Proposition: The direct limit $\varinjlim M_\lambda$ of a direct system $\{M_\lambda, f_{\mu\lambda}\}$ is unique up to isomorphism.

Proof: Homework

- (7.73) Examples: (a) Let M be an A -module, $M_\lambda \subseteq M$ submodules, $\lambda \leq \mu$ if and only if $M_\lambda \subseteq M_\mu$, and $f_{\mu\lambda}: M_\lambda \rightarrow M_\mu$ the inclusion. Then $\varinjlim M_\lambda \cong \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} M_\lambda$.
- (b) Let M be an A -module and $\{M_\lambda, \text{incl.}\}$ the direct system of all finitely generated submodules of M . Then $M \cong \varinjlim M_\lambda$ is a direct limit of finitely generated A -modules.
- (c) Let A be a ring and $\{A_\lambda, \text{incl.}\}$ the direct system of all finitely generated \mathbb{Z} -subalgebras of A . Then $A \cong \varinjlim A_\lambda$ is a direct limit of Noetherian rings.

(7.74) Remark: Let $\{M_\lambda, f_{\mu\lambda}\}$ and $\{M'_\lambda, f'_{\mu\lambda}\}$ be direct systems of A -modules over the directed set Λ and let $\varphi: \{M_\lambda, f_{\mu\lambda}\} \rightarrow \{M'_\lambda, f'_{\mu\lambda}\}$ be an A -linear map. Then there is a unique A -linear map $\varinjlim \varphi_\lambda: \varinjlim M_\lambda \rightarrow \varinjlim M'_\lambda$ with $\varphi_\lambda = \varinjlim \varphi_\lambda \circ \varphi$. (where $\varphi: \{M_\lambda, f_{\mu\lambda}\} \rightarrow \varinjlim M_\lambda$, $\varphi': \{M'_\lambda, f'_{\mu\lambda}\} \rightarrow \varinjlim M'_\lambda$ are the natural maps.)

Proof: By the definition of the direct limit (7.70)(c).

(7.75) Proposition: Let $\{M_\lambda, f_{\mu\lambda}\}$ be a direct system with direct limit $\varinjlim M_\lambda$ and natural maps $\varphi_\lambda: M_\lambda \rightarrow \varinjlim M_\lambda$.

- (a) For all $m \in \varinjlim M_\lambda$ there is a $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and an element $m_\lambda \in M_\lambda$ with $\varphi_\lambda(m_\lambda) = m$.
- (b) If $m_\lambda \in M$ with $\varphi_\lambda(m_\lambda) = 0$ then there is a $\mu \geq \lambda$ with $f_{\mu\lambda}(m_\lambda) = 0$.

Proof: (a) Let $m \in \varinjlim M_\lambda$. Since $\varinjlim M_\lambda$ is generated by $\{\varphi_\lambda(M_\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ we can write $m = \sum_{i=1}^r \varphi_{\tau_i}(m_{\tau_i})$. Let $\lambda \in \Lambda$ with $\tau_i \leq \lambda$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$. Then $\varphi_{\tau_i}(m_{\tau_i}) = \varphi_\lambda(f_{\lambda\tau_i}(m_{\tau_i}))$ and with $m_\lambda = \sum \varphi_\lambda(f_{\lambda\tau_i}(m_{\tau_i}))$ we have that $m = \varphi_\lambda(m_\lambda)$.

(b) Let $i_\lambda: M_\lambda \rightarrow C = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} M_\lambda$ be the canonical injections, $D \subseteq C$ the submodule generated by $\{i_\lambda(a) - i_\mu(f_{\mu\lambda}(a)) \mid a \in M_\lambda; \lambda \leq \mu\}$. By construction of $\varinjlim M_\lambda = C/D$, $\varphi_\lambda(m_\lambda) = 0$ implies that:

$$(*) \quad i_\lambda(m_\lambda) = \sum_{\tau} (i_{\mu(\tau)} f_{\mu(\tau)\lambda}(m_\tau) - i_\tau(m_\tau))$$

Let $\sigma \in \Lambda$ be larger than any index which occurs in (τ) . In the following we write μ instead of $\mu(\tau)$ with μ dependent on τ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} i_\sigma f_{\sigma\lambda}(m_\lambda) &= (i_\sigma f_{\sigma\lambda}(m_\lambda) - i_\lambda(m_\lambda)) + i_\lambda(m_\lambda) \\ &= (i_\sigma f_{\sigma\lambda}(m_\lambda) - i_\lambda(m_\lambda)) + \sum_{\tau} (i_\mu f_{\mu\tau}(m_\tau) - i_\tau(m_\tau)) \end{aligned}$$

For each τ :

$$\begin{aligned} i_\mu f_{\mu\tau}(m_\tau) - i_\tau(m_\tau) &= (i_\sigma f_{\sigma\tau}(m_\tau) - i_\tau(m_\tau)) + \\ &\quad + [i_\sigma f_{\sigma\mu}(-f_{\mu\tau}(m_\tau)) - i_\mu(-f_{\mu\tau}(m_\tau))] \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can write:

$$i_\sigma f_{\sigma\lambda}(m_\lambda) = \sum_{\tau} (i_\sigma f_{\sigma\tau}(n_\tau) - i_\tau(n_\tau)) \in \bigoplus M_\lambda$$

where σ is independent of τ . If $\tau \neq \sigma$, then $i_\tau(n_\tau) = 0$ and $n_\tau = 0$. This implies:

$$i_\sigma f_{\sigma\lambda}(m_\lambda) = i_\sigma f_{\sigma\sigma}(n_\sigma) - i_\sigma(n_\sigma) = 0$$

since $f_{\sigma\sigma} = \text{id}$. Therefore $f_{\sigma\lambda}(m_\lambda) = 0$.

(7.76) Theorem: Let $\{M'_\lambda, f'_{\lambda\mu}\}$, $\{M_\lambda, f_{\lambda\mu}\}$, $\{M''_\lambda, f''_{\lambda\mu}\}$ be direct systems of A -modules over the directed set Λ and let $\{\alpha_\lambda\}: \{M'_\lambda, f'_{\lambda\mu}\} \rightarrow \{M_\lambda, f_{\lambda\mu}\}$ and $\{\beta_\lambda\}: \{M_\lambda, f_{\lambda\mu}\} \rightarrow \{M''_\lambda, f''_{\lambda\mu}\}$ be A -linear maps so that for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ the sequence $M'_\lambda \xrightarrow{\alpha_\lambda} M_\lambda \xrightarrow{\beta_\lambda} M''_\lambda$ is exact. Then the sequence:

$$\varinjlim M'_\lambda \xrightarrow{\varinjlim \alpha_\lambda} \varinjlim M_\lambda \xrightarrow{\varinjlim \beta_\lambda} \varinjlim M''_\lambda \quad \text{is exact.}$$

Proof: Let $\gamma_\lambda: M_\lambda \rightarrow \varinjlim M_\lambda$ and $\gamma'_\lambda, \gamma''_\lambda$, respectively, denote the canonical maps into the direct limits. Set $\alpha_\infty = \varinjlim \alpha_\lambda$ and $\beta_\infty = \varinjlim \beta_\lambda$. For all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ we have commutative diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M'_\lambda & \xrightarrow{\alpha_\lambda} & M_\lambda \\ \gamma'_\lambda \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma_\lambda \\ \varinjlim M'_\lambda & \xrightarrow{\alpha_\infty} & \varinjlim M_\lambda \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} M_\lambda & \xrightarrow{\beta_\lambda} & M''_\lambda \\ \gamma_\lambda \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma''_\lambda \\ \varinjlim M_\lambda & \xrightarrow{\beta_\infty} & \varinjlim M''_\lambda \end{array}$$

$$(1) \beta_\infty \alpha_\infty = 0.$$

Pf(1): Let $z' \in \varinjlim M'_\lambda$. Then there is a $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and an $m'_\lambda \in M'_\lambda$ with $\gamma'_\lambda(m'_\lambda) = z'$. Thus $\alpha_\infty(z') = \alpha_\infty \gamma'_\lambda(m'_\lambda) = \gamma_\lambda \alpha_\lambda(m'_\lambda)$ and $\beta_\infty \alpha_\infty(z') = \beta_\infty \gamma_\lambda \alpha_\lambda(m'_\lambda) = \gamma''_\lambda \beta_\lambda \alpha_\lambda(m'_\lambda) = 0$.

$$(2) \ker(\beta_{\infty}) \subseteq \text{im}(\alpha_{\infty})$$

Prf (2): Let $z \in \varprojlim M_{\lambda}$ with $\beta_{\infty}(z) = 0$ and let $m_{\lambda} \in M_{\lambda}$ with $\gamma_{\lambda}(m_{\lambda}) = z$. Then $\beta_{\infty} \gamma_{\lambda}(m_{\lambda}) = \gamma_{\lambda}'' \beta_{\lambda}(m_{\lambda}) = 0$. By (7.75) there is a $\mu \in \Lambda$ with $\lambda \leq \mu$ so that $f_{\mu\lambda}'' \beta_{\lambda}(m_{\lambda}) = 0$. Since $f_{\mu\lambda}'' \beta_{\lambda} = \beta_{\mu} f_{\mu\lambda}$, we obtain $\beta_{\mu}(f_{\mu\lambda}(m_{\lambda})) = 0$ and therefore $f_{\mu\lambda}(m_{\lambda}) \in \ker(\beta_{\mu}) = \text{im}(\alpha_{\mu})$. Let $m'_{\mu} \in M'_{\mu}$ with $\alpha_{\mu}(m'_{\mu}) = f_{\mu\lambda}(m_{\lambda})$. Then $\alpha_{\infty} \gamma'_{\mu}(m'_{\mu}) = \gamma_{\mu} \alpha_{\mu}(m'_{\mu}) = \gamma_{\mu} f_{\mu\lambda}(m_{\lambda}) = \gamma_{\lambda}(m_{\lambda}) = z$.

(7.77) Theorem: Let A be a ring, N an A -module, and $\{M_{\lambda}, f_{\mu\lambda}\}$ a direct system of A -modules over Λ . Then $\{M_{\lambda} \otimes_A N, f_{\mu\lambda} \otimes \text{id}\}$ is a direct system of A -modules over Λ and

$$\varinjlim (M_{\lambda} \otimes N) \cong (\varinjlim M_{\lambda}) \otimes_A N$$

i.e. the tensor product commutes with direct limits.

Proof: Obviously, $\{M_{\lambda} \otimes_A N, f_{\mu\lambda} \otimes \text{id}\}$ is a direct system of A -modules. There is an isomorphism $\bigoplus (M_{\lambda} \otimes N) \xrightarrow{\cong} (\bigoplus M_{\lambda}) \otimes_A N$ which maps $i_{\lambda}(a \otimes b)$ to $i_{\lambda}(a) \otimes b$. Under this map, $i_{\lambda}(a \otimes b) - i_{\mu}((f_{\mu\lambda} \otimes \text{id})(a \otimes b))$ is mapped to $(i_{\lambda}(a) - i_{\mu}(f_{\mu\lambda}(a))) \otimes b$. Let D be the submodule of $\bigoplus M_{\lambda}$ generated by $\{i_{\lambda}(a) - i_{\mu}(f_{\mu\lambda}(a)) \mid a \in M_{\lambda}, \lambda \leq \mu\}$. The sequence

$$D \otimes_A N \xrightarrow{h} (\bigoplus M_{\lambda}) \otimes_A N \longrightarrow (\bigoplus M_{\lambda})_D \otimes_A N \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact and under the isomorphism $(*)$, the submodule \tilde{D} of $\bigoplus (M_{\lambda} \otimes_A N)$ which is generated by $\{i_{\lambda}(a \otimes b) - i_{\mu}(f_{\mu\lambda} \otimes \text{id})(a \otimes b) \mid a \otimes b \in M_{\lambda} \otimes N, \lambda \leq \mu\}$ is mapped onto $\text{im}(h)$. This shows the assertion.

§6: INVERSE SYSTEMS

(7.78) Definition: Let A be a ring, Λ a directed set, and $\{M_\lambda, \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ a family of A -modules. Suppose that for each pair $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ with $\lambda \leq \mu$ there is given an A -linear map $f_{\lambda\mu}: M_\mu \rightarrow M_\lambda$ so that (i) $f_{\lambda\lambda} = \text{id}_{M_\lambda}$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and (ii) $f_{\lambda\mu} f_{\mu\nu} = f_{\lambda\nu}$ whenever $\lambda \leq \mu \leq \nu$. Then $\{M_\lambda, f_{\lambda\mu}\}$ is called an inverse system of A -modules over Λ . Inverse systems of sets, groups, rings etc. are defined accordingly.

(7.79) Definition: (a) Let $\{M_\lambda, f_{\lambda\mu}\}$ and $\{M'_\lambda, f'_{\lambda\mu}\}$ be inverse systems of A -modules over Λ . A morphism (or an A -linear map) $\varphi: \{M_\lambda, f_{\lambda\mu}\} \rightarrow \{M'_\lambda, f'_{\lambda\mu}\}$ is a family of A -linear maps $\varphi = \{\varphi_\lambda: M_\lambda \rightarrow M'_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda \text{ and } \varphi_\lambda \text{ } A\text{-linear}\}$ so that for all $\lambda \leq \mu$: $f'_{\lambda\mu} \varphi_\mu = \varphi_\lambda f_{\lambda\mu}$, that is, the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_\mu & \xrightarrow{\varphi_\mu} & M'_\mu \\ f_{\lambda\mu} \downarrow & & \downarrow f'_{\lambda\mu} \\ M_\lambda & \xrightarrow{\varphi_\lambda} & M'_\lambda \end{array} \quad \text{commutes.}$$

(b) Let $\{M_\lambda, f_{\lambda\mu}\}$ be an inverse system of A -modules over Λ and X an A -module. A morphism (or an A -linear map) $\varphi: X \rightarrow \{M_\lambda, f_{\lambda\mu}\}$ is a family of A -linear maps $\varphi = \{\varphi_\lambda: X \rightarrow M_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda \text{ and } \varphi_\lambda \text{ } A\text{-linear}\}$ so that for all $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ with $\lambda \leq \mu$, $\varphi_\lambda = f_{\lambda\mu} \varphi_\mu$, that is, the diagram:
$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\varphi_\mu} & M_\mu \\ & \searrow \varphi_\lambda & \downarrow f_{\lambda\mu} \\ & & M_\lambda \end{array} \quad \text{commutes.}$$

(c) Let $\{M_\lambda, f_{\lambda\mu}\}$ be an inverse system of A -modules over Λ . An A -module M_∞ together with an A -linear map $\varphi: M_\infty \rightarrow \{M_\lambda, f_{\lambda\mu}\}$ is called an inverse limit of $\{M_\lambda, f_{\lambda\mu}\}$ if for every A -linear map $\varphi: X \rightarrow \{M_\lambda, f_{\lambda\mu}\}$ there is a unique A -linear map $h: X \rightarrow M_\infty$ so that $\varphi_\lambda = \varphi_\lambda h$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$, that is, for all $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ with $\lambda \leq \mu$ the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & M_\mu & & \\ & \swarrow \varphi_\mu & & \searrow f_{\lambda\mu} & \\ X & \xrightarrow{h} & M_\infty & \xrightarrow{\varphi_\lambda} & M_\lambda \\ & \searrow \varphi_\lambda & & \swarrow f_{\lambda\mu} & \\ & & & & M_\lambda \end{array} \quad \text{commutes.}$$

Notation: $M_\infty = \varprojlim M_\lambda = \varprojlim M'_\lambda$.

(7.80) Theorem: Let $\{M_\lambda, f_{\lambda\mu}\}$ be an inverse system of A -modules over Λ . The inverse limit $\varprojlim M_\lambda$ exists.

Proof: For all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ let $p_\lambda: \prod M_\lambda \longrightarrow M_\lambda$ be the λ -th projection. Set

$$M_\infty = \{x \in \prod M_\lambda \mid p_\lambda(x) = f_{\lambda\mu}(p_\mu(x)) \text{ whenever } \lambda \leq \mu\}.$$

M_∞ is a submodule of $\prod M_\lambda$. For all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ let $\psi_\lambda: M_\infty \longrightarrow M_\lambda$ be the restriction of p_λ to M_∞ . For all $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ with $\lambda \leq \mu$ and all $x \in M_\infty$: $\psi_\lambda(x) = p_\lambda(x) = f_{\lambda\mu}(p_\mu(x)) = f_{\lambda\mu}(\psi_\mu(x))$. Thus $\psi_\lambda = f_{\lambda\mu} \psi_\mu$ and $\{\psi_\lambda\}: M_\infty \longrightarrow \{M_\lambda, f_{\lambda\mu}\}$ is an A -linear map. We claim that M_∞ together with $\{\psi_\lambda\}$ is an inverse limit of $\{M_\lambda, f_{\lambda\mu}\}$.

Let $\varphi = \{\varphi_\lambda\}: X \longrightarrow \{M_\lambda, f_{\lambda\mu}\}$ be an A -linear map, that is, $f_{\lambda\mu} \varphi_\mu = \varphi_\lambda$ whenever $\lambda \leq \mu$. By the universal property of the direct product there is an A -linear map $g: X \longrightarrow \prod M_\lambda$ with $p_\lambda g = \varphi_\lambda$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. If $\lambda \leq \mu$, then

$$p_\lambda(g(x)) = \varphi_\lambda(x) = f_{\lambda\mu} \varphi_\mu(x) = f_{\lambda\mu}(p_\mu(g(x)))$$

and $g(x) \in M_\infty$. Thus g induces an A -linear map $h: X \longrightarrow M_\infty$ with $\psi_\lambda h = \varphi_\lambda$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. The uniqueness of g yields the uniqueness of h .

Note: The inverse limit $\varprojlim M_\lambda$ is uniquely determined up to isomorphism.

(7.81) Example: Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ be a prime number. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 1$, let $f_{n+k,n}: \mathbb{Z}/(p^{n+k}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/(p^n)$ be the canonical surjection. The set $\{\mathbb{Z}/(p^n), f_{n+k,n}\}$ is an inverse system. Its inverse limit $\varprojlim \mathbb{Z}/(p^n) = \mathbb{Z}_p$ is the ring of p -adic numbers (integers).

(7.82) Remark: Let $\varphi = \{\varphi_\lambda\}: \{M_\lambda, f_{\lambda\mu}\} \longrightarrow \{M'_\lambda, f'_{\lambda\mu}\}$ be an A -linear map of inverse systems. Suppose that $\psi_\lambda: \varprojlim M_\lambda \longrightarrow M_\lambda$ and $\psi'_\lambda: \varprojlim M'_\lambda \longrightarrow M'_\lambda$ are the structure maps of the inverse limits. For all $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ with $\lambda \leq \mu$ we have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & M_{\lambda} & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\lambda}} & M'_{\lambda} \\
 \varprojlim M_{\lambda} & \swarrow \varphi_{\lambda} & & & \downarrow f'_{\lambda} \\
 & & M_{\lambda} & \xrightarrow{f_{\lambda}} & M'_{\lambda} \\
 & \searrow \varphi'_{\lambda} & & & \downarrow f'_{\lambda \mu} \\
 & & M'_{\mu} & \xrightarrow{\varphi'_{\mu}} & M'_{\mu}
 \end{array}$$

This induces an A-linear map $\varprojlim \varphi_{\lambda}: \varprojlim M_{\lambda} \longrightarrow \varprojlim M'_{\lambda}$.

There is a different way to think of $\varprojlim \varphi_{\lambda}$. We have that $\varprojlim M_{\lambda} \subseteq \prod M_{\lambda}$ and $\varprojlim M'_{\lambda} \subseteq \prod M'_{\lambda}$. $\varphi = \{\varphi_{\lambda}\}$ induces an A-linear map $\prod \varphi_{\lambda}$ on the direct products:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \prod M_{\lambda} & \xrightarrow{\prod \varphi_{\lambda}} & \prod M'_{\lambda} \\
 \text{VI} & & \text{VI} \\
 \varprojlim M_{\lambda} & \xrightarrow{\varprojlim \varphi_{\lambda}} & \varprojlim M'_{\lambda}
 \end{array}$$

Then $\varprojlim \varphi_{\lambda} = \prod \varphi_{\lambda}|_{\varprojlim M_{\lambda}}$.

(7.83) Theorem: Let $\{M_{\lambda}, f_{\lambda \mu}\}$, $\{M'_{\lambda}, f'_{\lambda \mu}\}$, $\{M''_{\lambda}, f''_{\lambda \mu}\}$ be inverse systems of A-modules over $\Lambda = \mathbb{N}$.

Suppose that $\alpha = \{\alpha_{\lambda}\}: \{M'_{\lambda}, f'_{\lambda \mu}\} \longrightarrow \{M_{\lambda}, f_{\lambda \mu}\}$ and $\beta = \{\beta_{\lambda}\}: \{M_{\lambda}, f_{\lambda \mu}\} \longrightarrow \{M''_{\lambda}, f''_{\lambda \mu}\}$ are A-linear maps of inverse systems with $0 \rightarrow M'_{\lambda} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\lambda}} M_{\lambda} \xrightarrow{\beta_{\lambda}} M''_{\lambda}$ exact for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then $0 \rightarrow \varprojlim M'_{\lambda} \xrightarrow{\varprojlim \alpha_{\lambda}} \varprojlim M_{\lambda} \xrightarrow{\varprojlim \beta_{\lambda}} \varprojlim M''_{\lambda}$ is exact.

Proof: Remark (7.82) implies that $\varprojlim \alpha_{\lambda}$ is injective and that $\varprojlim \beta_{\lambda} \varprojlim \alpha_{\lambda} = 0$.

Let $x \in \varprojlim M_{\lambda}$ with $(\varprojlim \beta_{\lambda})(x) = 0$. Then for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ $p_{\lambda}((\varprojlim \beta_{\lambda})(x)) = \beta_{\lambda}(p_{\lambda}(x)) = 0$ and there is an $y_{\lambda} \in M'_{\lambda}$ with $\alpha_{\lambda}(y_{\lambda}) = p_{\lambda}(x)$. Let $y \in \prod M'_{\lambda}$ with $p'_{\lambda}(y) = y_{\lambda}$.

We claim that $y \in \varprojlim M'_{\lambda}$. For all $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M'_{\lambda+\mu} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\lambda+\mu}} & M_{\lambda+\mu} \\
 \downarrow f'_{\lambda, \lambda+\mu} & & \downarrow f_{\lambda, \lambda+\mu} \\
 M'_{\lambda} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\lambda}} & M_{\lambda}
 \end{array}$$

Thus $\alpha_{\lambda} f'_{\lambda, \lambda+\mu}(y_{\lambda+\mu}) = f_{\lambda, \lambda+\mu} \alpha_{\lambda+\mu}(y_{\lambda+\mu}) = f_{\lambda, \lambda+\mu}(p_{\lambda+\mu}(x)) = p_{\lambda}(x) = \alpha_{\lambda}(y_{\lambda})$.

Since α_{λ} is injective, $y_{\lambda} = f_{\lambda, \lambda+\mu}(y_{\lambda+\mu})$. Thus $y \in \varprojlim M'_{\lambda}$ with $(\varprojlim \alpha_{\lambda})(y) = x$.

(7.84) Definition: Let $\{M_{\lambda}, f_{\lambda \mu}\}$ be an inverse system of A-modules over $\Lambda = \mathbb{N}$. We say that $\{M_{\lambda}, f_{\lambda \mu}\}$ satisfies the Mittag-Leffler condition ML if for every λ the

decreasing chain of submodules $\{f_{\lambda\mu}(M_\mu) \mid \mu \geq \lambda\}$ stabilizes, i.e. there is an $n_\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $f_{\lambda n_\lambda}(M_{n_\lambda}) = f_{\lambda\mu}(M_\mu)$ for all $\mu \geq n_\lambda$.

Note: Let $\{M_\lambda, f_{\lambda\mu}\}$ be an inverse system over $\Lambda = \mathbb{N}$ with $f_{\lambda\mu}: M_\mu \rightarrow M_\lambda$ surjective for all $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\{M_\lambda, f_{\lambda\mu}\}$ satisfies ML.

(7.85) Proposition: Let $\{M_\lambda\}$ be a direct (an inverse) system of A -modules (rings) over \mathbb{N} and let (n_i) be a strictly increasing sequence of integers. Then

$$\varinjlim M_\lambda \cong \varinjlim M_{n_i} \quad \text{and} \quad \varprojlim M_\lambda = \varprojlim M_{n_i}.$$

Proof: Homework.

(7.86) Theorem: Let $\{M_\lambda, f_{\lambda\mu}\}$, $\{M'_\lambda, f'_{\lambda\mu}\}$, $\{M''_\lambda, f''_{\lambda\mu}\}$ be inverse systems of A -modules over \mathbb{N} with $f'_{\lambda\mu}: M'_\mu \rightarrow M'_\lambda$ surjective for all $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda \leq \mu$. Suppose that $\alpha = \{\alpha_\lambda\}: \{M'_\lambda, f'_{\lambda\mu}\} \rightarrow \{M_\lambda, f_{\lambda\mu}\}$ and $\beta = \{\beta_\lambda\}: \{M_\lambda, f_{\lambda\mu}\} \rightarrow \{M''_\lambda, f''_{\lambda\mu}\}$ are A -linear maps of inverse systems with $0 \rightarrow M'_\lambda \xrightarrow{\alpha_\lambda} M_\lambda \xrightarrow{\beta_\lambda} M''_\lambda \rightarrow 0$ exact for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$0 \longrightarrow \varinjlim M'_\lambda \xrightarrow{\varinjlim \alpha_\lambda} \varinjlim M_\lambda \xrightarrow{\varinjlim \beta_\lambda} \varinjlim M''_\lambda \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact.

Proof: We only have to show that $\varinjlim \beta_\lambda$ is surjective. Let $z = (z_\lambda) \in \varinjlim M''_\lambda$. We construct an $x = (x_\lambda) \in \varinjlim M_\lambda$ inductively so that $x \in \varinjlim M_\lambda$ and $(\varinjlim \beta_\lambda)(x) = z$. Pick $x_0 \in M_0$ with $\beta_0(x_0) = z_0$ and suppose that $x_i \in M_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ have been constructed with

(a) $\beta_i(x_i) = z_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$

(b) $f_{i+1,i}(x_i) = x_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$.

Consider the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M'_n & \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} & M_n & \xrightarrow{\beta_n} & M''_n \\ f'_{n-1,n} \downarrow & & \downarrow f_{n-1,n} & & \downarrow f''_{n-1,n} \\ M'_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{n-1}} & M_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\beta_{n-1}} & M''_{n-1} \end{array}$$

Let $\tilde{x}_n \in M_n$ with $\beta_n(\tilde{x}_n) = z_n$. Then $\beta_{n-1}(f'_{n-1,n}(\tilde{x}_n) - x_{n-1}) = \beta_{n-1}f'_{n-1,n}(\tilde{x}_n) - \beta_{n-1}(x_{n-1}) = f'_{n-1,n}(z_n) - \beta_{n-1}(x_{n-1}) = z_{n-1} - z_{n-1} = 0$. Hence there is an $y_{n-1} \in M'_{n-1}$ with $\alpha_{n-1}(y_{n-1}) = f'_{n-1,n}(\tilde{x}_n) - x_{n-1}$. Since $f'_{n-1,n}$ is surjective there is an $y_n \in M'_n$ so that $f'_{n-1,n}(y_n) = y_{n-1}$. Set $x_n = \tilde{x}_n - \alpha_n(y_n)$. Then:

$$(a) \beta_n(x_n) = \beta_n(\tilde{x}_n) - \beta_n\alpha_n(y_n) = \beta_n(\tilde{x}_n) = z_n$$

$$\begin{aligned} (b) f'_{n-1,n}(x_n) &= f'_{n-1,n}(\tilde{x}_n - \alpha_n(y_n)) = f'_{n-1,n}(\tilde{x}_n) - f'_{n-1,n}\alpha_n(y_n) \\ &= f'_{n-1,n}(\tilde{x}_n) - \alpha_{n-1}f'_{n-1,n}(y_n) \\ &= f'_{n-1,n}(\tilde{x}_n) - \alpha_{n-1}(y_{n-1}) = x_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus with $x = (x_n) \in \prod M_n$, $x \in \varprojlim M_n$ and $(\varprojlim \beta_n)(x) = z$.

(7.87) Remark: Theorem (7.86) also holds true under the (weaker) assumption that $\{M'_n, f'_{n-1,n}\}$ satisfies ML.

§ 7: SOME IDENTITIES

In this section we list some homological identities. The proofs, if not provided, can be found in most books on homological algebra, for example: J. Rotman: An introduction to homological algebra.

(7.88) Remark: Let $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of A -modules and N an A -module. Then

$$(a) \text{Hom}_A(\bigoplus M_i, N) \cong \prod \text{Hom}_A(M_i, N)$$

$$(b) \text{Hom}_A(N, \prod M_i) \cong \prod \text{Hom}_A(N, M_i)$$

We know from (6.37) that the tensor product commutes with direct sums:

$$(c) (\bigoplus M_i) \otimes_A N \cong \bigoplus (M_i \otimes_A N)$$

Since \bigoplus and \prod commute with the formation of homology, the identities of (7.88) extend to the derived functors:

(7.89) Proposition: Let $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of A -modules and N an A -module.

$$(a) \text{Ext}_A^i(\bigoplus_{j \in I} M_j, N) \cong \prod_{j \in I} \text{Ext}_A^i(M_j, N)$$

$$(b) \text{Ext}_A^i(N, \prod_{j \in I} M_j) \cong \prod_{j \in I} \text{Ext}_A^i(N, M_j)$$

$$(c) \text{Tor}_i^A(\bigoplus_{j \in I} M_j, N) \cong \bigoplus_{j \in I} \text{Tor}_i^A(M_j, N).$$

(7.90) Theorem: Let $A \rightarrow B$ be a homomorphism of rings so that B is a flat A -module and let M and N be A -modules. Then:

$$(a) \text{Tor}_i^B(B \otimes_A M, B \otimes_A N) \cong B \otimes_A \text{Tor}_i^A(M, N)$$

(b) If A is Noetherian and M is finitely generated, then

$$\text{Ext}_B^i(B \otimes_A M, B \otimes_A N) \cong B \otimes_A \text{Ext}_A^i(M, N)$$

Proof: We only prove (b). Since M is finitely generated and A is Noetherian, M has a free resolution F_* with F_j finite, say $F_j \cong A^{n_j}$. Thus by (7.89):

$$\begin{aligned}
 B \otimes_A \text{Hom}_A(F_j, N) &\cong B \otimes_A \text{Hom}_A(A^{n_j}, N) \cong B \otimes_A \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n_j} \text{Hom}_A(A, N) \cong B \otimes_A \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n_j} N \cong \\
 &\cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n_j} B \otimes_A N \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n_j} \text{Hom}_B(B, B \otimes_A N) \cong \text{Hom}_B(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n_j} B, B \otimes_A N) \cong \\
 &\cong \text{Hom}_B(B \otimes_A F_j, B \otimes_A N),
 \end{aligned}$$

and this isomorphism is natural. Hence there is an isomorphism of complexes $B \otimes_A \text{Hom}_A(F_i, N) \cong \text{Hom}_B(B \otimes_A F_i, B \otimes_A N)$. Since B is A -flat, $B \otimes_A F_i$ is a free B -resolution of $B \otimes_A M$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Ext}_B^i(B \otimes_A M, B \otimes_A N) &= H^i(\text{Hom}_B(B \otimes_A F_i, B \otimes_A N)) \\
 &\cong H^i(B \otimes_A \text{Hom}_A(F_i, N)) \\
 &\cong B \otimes_A H^i(\text{Hom}_A(F_i, N)) \quad (\text{since } B \text{ is } A\text{-flat}) \\
 &= B \otimes_A \text{Ext}_A^i(M, N).
 \end{aligned}$$

From the universal property of inverse limits one can derive the following properties:

(7.91) Proposition: Let $\{M_i\}$ be a direct (inverse) system of A -modules, N an A -module.

- (a) $\text{Hom}_A(\varinjlim M_i, N) \cong \varinjlim \text{Hom}_A(M_i, N)$
- (b) $\text{Hom}_A(N, \varprojlim M_i) \cong \varprojlim \text{Hom}_A(N, M_i)$.

Let $I \subseteq A$ be an ideal and $\Gamma_I(-)$ the torsion functor. Notice that $\Gamma_I(-)$ is an additive functor. For every A -module M :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Gamma_I(M) &= \{m \in M \mid I^n m = 0 \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\} \\
 &= \varinjlim (0 :_M I^n) \\
 &\cong \varinjlim \text{Hom}_A(A/I^n, M).
 \end{aligned}$$

The right derived functors of $\Gamma_I(-)$ are the local cohomology functors. Notation:

$$H_I^i(-) = R^i \Gamma_I(-). \quad H_I^i(-)$$
 are additive functors with $H_I^0(-) = \Gamma_I(-)$.

(7.92) Proposition: $H_I^i(M) \cong \varinjlim \text{Ext}_A^i(R/I^n, M)$ and this isomorphism is natural.

$$\text{Proof: } H_I^i(M) \cong H_I^i(\Gamma_I(I_M)) \cong H^i(\varinjlim \text{Hom}_A(R/I^n, I_M))$$

$$\cong \varinjlim H^i(\text{Hom}_A(A/I^n, I_M)) \quad \text{since } \varinjlim \text{ preserves exactness}$$

$$\cong \varinjlim \text{Ext}_A^i(A/I^n, M).$$

(7.93) Theorem: If $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence of A -modules, then there is a long exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow H_I^0(M') = \Gamma_I(M') \rightarrow H_I^0(M) = \Gamma_I(M) \rightarrow H_I^0(M'') = \Gamma_I(M'') \rightarrow H_I^1(M') \rightarrow H_I^1(M) \rightarrow \dots$$

Furthermore this exact sequence is natural.

§ 8: MORE ON FLATNESS

A homomorphism of rings $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ is called flat, faithfully flat, if B as an A -module is flat, faithfully flat, respectively. Equivalently one says that B is a flat, faithfully flat A -algebra.

(7.94) Proposition: Let B be an A -algebra and M an B -module.

- (a) If B is flat (faithfully flat) over A and M is flat (faithfully flat) over B , then M is flat (faithfully flat) over A .
- (b) Let M be faithfully flat over B . Then B is flat (faithfully flat) over A if and only if M is flat (faithfully flat) over A .

Proof: Let $\mathcal{L}: \dots \rightarrow N' \rightarrow N \rightarrow N'' \rightarrow \dots$ be a sequence of A -modules and A -linear maps. Then $(\mathcal{L} \otimes_B M) \otimes_B M \cong \mathcal{L} \otimes_A (B \otimes_B M) \cong \mathcal{L} \otimes_A M$.

(7.95) Lemma: Let $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ be a homomorphism of rings, $q \in \text{Spec}(B)$, $p = \varphi^{-1}(q)$, M an B -module and N an A -module. Then $\text{Tor}_i^A(M, N)_q \cong \text{Tor}_i^{A_p}(M_q, N_p)$.

Proof: Let F_\bullet be a free A -resolution of N . Since A_p is A -flat, $A_p \otimes_A F_\bullet$ is a free A_p -resolution of $A_p \otimes_A N \cong N_p$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Tor}_i^A(M, N)_q &\cong B_q \otimes_B \text{Tor}_i^A(M, N) \\
 &= B_q \otimes_B H_i(M \otimes_A F_\bullet) \\
 &\cong H_i(B_q \otimes_B (M \otimes_A F_\bullet)) \quad \text{since } B_q \text{ is } B\text{-flat} \\
 &\cong H_i(M_q \otimes_A F_\bullet) \\
 &\cong H_i((M_q \otimes_{A_p} A_p) \otimes_A F_\bullet) \\
 &\cong H_i(M_q \otimes_{A_p} (A_p \otimes_A F_\bullet)) \\
 &\cong \text{Tor}_i^{A_p}(M_q, N_p).
 \end{aligned}$$

By (6.67) an A -module M is flat if and only if M_m is A_m -flat for all $m \in m\text{-}\operatorname{Spec}(A)$.

(7.96) Proposition: Let $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ be a homomorphism of rings, M an B -module, and for $Q \in \operatorname{Spec}(B)$ write $P = \varphi^{-1}(Q) \in \operatorname{Spec}(A)$. The following are equivalent:

- (a) M is A -flat
- (b) M_Q is A_P -flat for all $Q \in \operatorname{Spec}(B)$
- (c) M_Q is A_P -flat for all $Q \in m\text{-}\operatorname{Spec}(B)$.

Proof: (a) \Rightarrow (b): By (7.47) we have to show that for every A_P -ideal \mathfrak{j} , $\operatorname{Tor}_1^{A_P}(M_Q, A_P/\mathfrak{j}) = 0$. Since $\mathfrak{j} = I_p$ for some A -ideal I , by (7.95) $\operatorname{Tor}_1^{A_P}(M_Q, A_P/I) \cong \operatorname{Tor}_1^{A_P}(M_Q, (A/I)_P) \cong \operatorname{Tor}_1^A(M, A/I)_Q$. The latter module vanishes by (7.47).

(c) \Rightarrow (a): By (7.47) we have to show that for every A -ideal I , $\operatorname{Tor}_1^A(M, A/I) = 0$.

By (7.95) for every $Q \in m\text{-}\operatorname{Spec}(B)$, $\operatorname{Tor}_1^A(M, A/I)_Q \cong \operatorname{Tor}_1^{A_P}(M_Q, A_P/I_p) = 0$ by (7.47). Since the B -module $\operatorname{Tor}_1^A(M, A/I)$ vanishes locally at every maximal ideal of B , by the Local-global principle $\operatorname{Tor}_1^A(M, A/I) = 0$.

Let $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ be a homomorphism of rings and let ${}^\alpha\varphi: \operatorname{Spec}(B) \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ denote the induced map given by ${}^\alpha\varphi(Q) = \varphi^{-1}(Q)$. Note that ${}^\alpha\varphi$ is a continuous map. For $P \in \operatorname{Spec}(A)$, write $k(P) = A_P/P_A$. Then $k(P) \otimes_A B \cong S^{-1}B/PS^{-1}B$ where $S = A - P$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Spec}(k(P) \otimes_A B) &= \{ Q | (k(P) \otimes_A B) \mid Q \in \operatorname{Spec}(B), Q \cap \varphi(S) = \emptyset, Q \supseteq \varphi(P) \} \\ &= \{ Q | (k(P) \otimes_A B) \mid Q \in \operatorname{Spec}(B), \varphi^{-1}(Q) = P \} \\ &\stackrel{\text{homeo}}{\cong} \{ Q | Q \in \operatorname{Spec}(B), \varphi^{-1}(Q) = P \} = ({}^\alpha\varphi)^{-1}(P). \end{aligned}$$

$\operatorname{Spec}(k(P) \otimes_A B)$ is called the fiber over P .

(7.97) Theorem: Let $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ be a homomorphism of rings and let M be an B -module.

(a) If M is faithfully flat over A , then ${}^\alpha\varphi(\operatorname{Supp}(M)) = \operatorname{Spec}(A)$.

(b) Let M be a finitely generated B -module. M is faithfully flat over A if and

only if M is A -flat and $m\text{-}\text{Spec}(A) \subseteq {}^a\varphi(\text{Supp}_B M)$.

Proof.: Let $P \in \text{Spec}(A)$ and let $T = k(P) \otimes_A B$. Then $T \otimes_B M = k(P) \otimes_A B \otimes_B M \cong k(P) \otimes_A M$ and $k(P) \otimes_A M \neq 0$, since M is faithfully flat over A . Thus for the T -module $T \otimes_B M$: $\text{Supp}_T(k(P) \otimes_A M) \neq \emptyset$. Pick $Q_0 \in \text{Supp}_T(k(P) \otimes_A M)$ and let Q be the preimage of Q_0 in B . Then $P = \varphi^{-1}(Q)$. Since $(k(P) \otimes_A M)_{Q_0}$ is a homomorphic image of M_Q , we have that $M_Q \neq 0$ and $Q \in \text{Supp}_B(M)$.

(b) We have to show that if M is flat over A and $m\text{-}\text{Spec}(A) \subseteq {}^a\varphi(\text{Supp}(M))$ then M is faithfully flat over A . By (6.55) it suffices to show that for every $m \in m\text{-}\text{Spec}(A)$ $M \neq mM$. By assumption there is a $Q \in \text{Spec}(B)$ with $\varphi^{-1}(Q) = m$ and $M_Q \neq 0$. Suppose that $M = mM$, then $M = QM$, since $\varphi(m) \subseteq Q$, and therefore $M_Q = QM_Q$. M_Q is a finitely generated module over the local ring B_Q and by Nakayama's lemma $M_Q = 0$, a contradiction.

(7.98) Corollary: Let $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ be a homomorphism of rings. The following are equivalent:

- (a) B is faithfully flat over A .
- (b) B is flat over A and φ satisfies lying over (i.e. ${}^a\varphi$ is surjective).
- (c) B is flat over A and for all $m \in m\text{-}\text{Spec}(A)$ there exists $Q \in \text{Spec}(B)$ lying over m .

Let (A, m) and (B, n) be local rings. A homomorphism of rings $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ is called local if $\varphi(m) \subseteq n$. Then $\varphi^{-1}(n) = m$. By (7.98), a local homomorphism is faithfully flat if and only if it is flat.

A homomorphism of rings $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ is said to satisfy going down if for every chain $P_0 > P_1 > \dots > P_n$ with $P_i \in \text{Spec}(A)$ and every $Q_0 \in \text{Spec}(B)$ with $\varphi^{-1}(Q_0) = P_0$ there exists a chain $Q_0 > Q_1 > \dots > Q_n$ with $Q_i \in \text{Spec}(B)$ and $\varphi^{-1}(Q_i) = P_i$. If φ satisfies going down then for every A -ideal I , $\text{ht}IB \geq \text{ht}I$.

(7.99) Theorem: Let $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ be a flat homomorphism of rings. Then φ satisfies

going down.

Proof: By induction it suffices to consider a chain of length one, $P_0 > P_1$, $P_i \in \text{Spec}(A)$. Let $Q_0 \in \text{Spec}(B)$ with $\varphi^{-1}(Q_0) = P_0$. By (7.96) B_{Q_0} is flat over A_{P_0} . Since the homomorphism $A_{P_0} \rightarrow B_{Q_0}$ is local, it is faithfully flat and satisfies lying over. Thus there is a $Q'_1 \in \text{Spec}(B_{Q_0})$ lying over $P_1 A_{P_0}$. Let Q_1 be the preimage of Q'_1 in B . Then $Q_1 \in \text{Spec}(B)$, Q_1 lies over P_1 , and $Q_1 \subset Q_0$.

(7.100) Theorem:

- (a) Let A be a ring, M a flat A -module, N an A -module and N_1, N_2 submodules of N . Then as submodules of $M \otimes N$ one has $(N_1 \cap N_2) \otimes M = (N_1 \otimes M) \cap (N_2 \otimes M)$.
- (b) Let $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ be a flat homomorphism of rings and I_1, I_2 A -ideals. Then $(I_1 \cap I_2)B = I_1 B \cap I_2 B$.
- (c) If in addition I_2 is finitely generated, then $(I_1 : I_2)B = I_1 B : I_2 B$.

Proof: (a) Consider the A -linear map $f: N \rightarrow N/N_1 \oplus N/N_2$ defined by $f(x) = (x + N_1, x + N_2)$. Then $\ker(f) = N_1 \cap N_2$ and $0 \rightarrow N_1 \cap N_2 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{f} N/N_1 \oplus N/N_2$ is exact. Since M is flat, the sequence $0 \rightarrow (N_1 \cap N_2) \otimes M \rightarrow N \otimes M \xrightarrow{\text{tors}} N \otimes M / (N_1 \otimes M) \oplus N \otimes M / (N_2 \otimes M)$ is exact. Thus $(N_1 \cap N_2) \otimes M = (N_1 \otimes M) \cap (N_2 \otimes M)$.

(b) If B is flat over A , for every A -ideal I the natural map $I \otimes_A B \rightarrow IB$ is an isomorphism. The statement follows from (a).

(c) First consider the case where $I_2 = (a)$ is principal. Define $f: A \xrightarrow{a} A/I_1$ by $f(x) = ax + I_1$. Then $\ker(f) = I_1 : (a)$. Thus $0 \rightarrow I_1 : (a) \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{a} A/I_1$ is exact.

Tensoring with $\otimes_A B$ and using the A -flatness of B yields an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow (I_1 : (a))B \rightarrow B \xrightarrow{a} B/I_1 B. \text{ Thus } (I_1 : (a))B = I_1 B : (a)B.$$

If $I_2 = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is a finitely generated A -ideal, then $I_1 : I_2 = \bigcap_{i=1}^n (I_1 : (a_i))$.

By the above case: $(I_1 : (a_i))B = I_1 B : (a_i)B$ and therefore with (b): $(I_1 : I_2)B = (\bigcap_{i=1}^n (I_1 : (a_i)))B = \bigcap_{i=1}^n (I_1 : (a_i))B = \bigcap_{i=1}^n (I_1 B : (a_i)B) = I_1 B : I_2 B$.

(7.101) Theorem: Let $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ be a faithfully flat homomorphism of rings.

- (a) For every A -module M , the map $M \cong M \otimes_A A \xrightarrow{M \otimes \varphi} M \otimes_A B$ is injective.
- (b) φ is injective.
- (c) $\varphi^{-1}(IB) = I$ for every A -ideal I .

Proof: (a) Let $\varphi: M \rightarrow M \otimes_A B$ be given by $\varphi(m) = m \otimes 1$ and let $U = \ker \varphi$. Since B is flat over A , $U \otimes_A B \subseteq M \otimes_A B$ and $U \otimes_A B = 0$ by definition of U . Since B is faithfully flat over A , $U = 0$ and φ is injective.

(b) Apply (a) with $M = A$.

(b) Apply (a) with $M = A/I$. Then $\varphi: A/I \rightarrow S/IS$ is injective with $0 = \ker \varphi = \varphi^{-1}(IS)/I$.

(7.102) Theorem: Let M be an A -module. The following are equivalent:

- (a) M is flat
- (b) $\text{Tor}_1^A(M, A/I) = 0$ for every finitely generated A -ideal I .
- (c) $I \otimes_A M \xrightarrow{\sim} IM$ via the natural map for every finitely generated A -ideal I .

Proof: (a) \Rightarrow (b): (7.46)

(b) \Rightarrow (c): Follows from the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow A \rightarrow A/I \rightarrow 0$

(c) \Rightarrow (a): Let $I \subseteq A$ be any ideal. By Homework, it suffices to show that $I \otimes_A M \xrightarrow{\sim} IM$ via the natural map. Since $I = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} I_\lambda$ with $\{I_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ the set of all finitely generated A -ideals contained in I . $\{I_\lambda \mid \text{incl.}\}$ is a direct system with $I \cong \varinjlim I_\lambda$. Also, $\{A_\lambda = A; \text{id}\}$ is a direct system with $A \cong \varinjlim A_\lambda$. By assumption (c), the natural maps $I_\lambda \otimes_A M \rightarrow A_\lambda \otimes_A M \cong M$ are injective. Thus the induced map $\varinjlim (I_\lambda \otimes_A M) \rightarrow \varinjlim (A_\lambda \otimes_A M)$ is injective by (7.76). By (7.77):

$$\varinjlim (I_\lambda \otimes_A M) \cong (\varinjlim I_\lambda) \otimes_A M \cong I \otimes_A M \quad \text{and} \quad \varinjlim (A_\lambda \otimes_A M) \cong (\varinjlim A_\lambda) \otimes_A M \cong A \otimes_A M \cong M.$$

Thus the natural map $I \otimes_A M \rightarrow M$ is injective and $I \otimes_A M \xrightarrow{\sim} IM$ via the natural map.

(7.103) Theorem: (Equitorial criterion for flatness) Let M be an A -module. Consider the following condition (*): Given an $r \times n$ system of linear equations:

$$\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j = 0, \quad a_{ij} \in A \text{ and } x_j \in M$$

there exists an integer s , elements $y_k \in M$ with $1 \leq k \leq s$, and elements $b_{jk} \in A$ with $1 \leq j \leq r, 1 \leq k \leq s$ so that

$$\sum_{j=1}^r a_{ij} b_{jk} = 0 \text{ for every } k \text{ and } x_j = \sum_{k=1}^s b_{jk} y_k$$

i.e. every solution in M is a linear combination of solutions in A with coefficients in M . Then:

- (a) If M is flat then (*) holds.
- (b) If (*) holds for $r=1$, then M is flat.

Proof: Consider $\varphi: A^n \rightarrow A^r$ given by the $r \times n$ matrix (a_{ij}) and let $K = \ker(\varphi) \subseteq A^n$. The vector $(b_1, \dots, b_n) \in A^n$ is in K if and only if $\sum a_{ij} b_j = 0$. Consider $\varphi \otimes M: A^n \otimes M = M^n \rightarrow A^r \otimes M = M^r$. Then $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in M^n$ is in $\ker(\varphi \otimes M)$ if and only if $\sum a_{ij} x_j = 0$. (*) means that $\ker(\varphi \otimes M)$ is contained in the image of $K \otimes M$ in $A^n \otimes M \cong M^n$. Thus (*) is equivalent to (**): If $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow A^n \rightarrow A^r$ is exact, then $K \otimes M \rightarrow A^n \otimes M \rightarrow A^r \otimes M$ is exact.

- (a) If M is flat (***) holds.
- (b) By (7.102) we have to show that $I \otimes M \xrightarrow{\sim} IM$ via the natural map for every finitely generated A -ideal I . Let I be generated by n elements. Then there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow A^n \rightarrow A \rightarrow A/I \rightarrow 0$. By (**) for $r=1$ the sequence $K \otimes M \rightarrow M^n \rightarrow M$ is exact. Thus $K \otimes M \xrightarrow{f} M^n \rightarrow IM \rightarrow 0$ is exact. From $K \rightarrow A^n \rightarrow I \rightarrow 0$ and the right exactness of $\otimes_A M$ we obtain an exact sequence $K \otimes M \xrightarrow{f} M^n \rightarrow I \otimes M \rightarrow 0$. Thus $I \otimes M \cong \operatorname{coker} f \cong IM$.