

CHAPTER XI: GORENSTEIN RINGS, MATLIS DUALITY

§1: GORENSTEIN RINGS

(11.1) Definition: Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a local Artinian ring. The socle $\mathcal{Y}(R)$ of R is defined by $\mathcal{Y}(R) = \text{ann}(\mathfrak{m}) = \{a \in R \mid \mathfrak{m}a = 0\}$.

(11.2) Remark: If (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) is a local Artinian ring, then $\mathcal{Y}(R)$ is an ideal of R . Since $\text{Ass}_R(R) = \{\mathfrak{m}\}$, $\mathcal{Y}(R) \neq 0$ if $\mathfrak{m} \neq 0$. If $I \subseteq R$ is a nonzero ideal of R then $\text{Ass}_R(I) = \{\mathfrak{m}\}$ and $I \cap \mathcal{Y}(R) \neq 0$. Moreover, $\mathcal{Y}(R)$ is a finite dimensional k -vector space.

(11.3) Proposition: Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a local Noetherian CM-ring of dimension d and let $x_1, \dots, x_d \in \mathfrak{m}$ and $y_1, \dots, y_d \in \mathfrak{m}$ be maximal regular sequences of R . Then:
 $\dim_k(\mathcal{Y}(R/(x_1, \dots, x_d))) = \dim_k(\mathcal{Y}(R/(y_1, \dots, y_d)))$.

Proof: By induction on d : If $d=0$, there is nothing to show. If $d=1$, let $x, y \in R$ be regular elements of R . Then xy is regular and it suffices to show that $\dim_k(\mathcal{Y}(R/(x))) = \dim_k(\mathcal{Y}(R/(xy)))$. Let $a \in R - (x)$ with $\mathfrak{m}a \subseteq (x)$. Since y is regular, $ay \notin (xy)$ and $\mathfrak{m}ay \in (xy)$. Thus multiplication by y defines an injective k -linear map $\sigma: \mathcal{Y}(R/(x)) \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}(R/(xy))$ with $\sigma(a+(x)) = ay+(xy)$. We claim that σ is surjective. Let $b \in R - (xy)$ with $\mathfrak{m}b \in (xy)$. In particular, $xb \in (xy)$ and thus $b \in (y)$ since x is regular. Hence $b = yt$ with a unique $t \in R$. Since y is regular, $\mathfrak{m}ty \in (xy)$ implies $\mathfrak{m}t \in (x)$ and $t+(x) \in \mathcal{Y}(R/(x))$ with $\sigma(t+(x)) = yt+(xy) = b+(xy)$. σ is an isomorphism of k -vector spaces.

For the induction step suppose that the statement has been shown for local CM-rings of dimension $< d$. Let R be a local CM-ring of dimension d and let x_1, \dots, x_d and y_1, \dots, y_d be maximal regular sequences of R . Since x_d is regular on $R/(x_1, \dots, x_{d-1})$ and y_d is regular on $R/(y_1, \dots, y_{d-1})$, $\text{Ass}_R(R/(x_1, \dots, x_{d-1})) \cup \text{Ass}_R(R/(y_1, \dots, y_{d-1})) = \{P_1, \dots, P_s\}$ with $\mathfrak{m} \not\subseteq P_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$. Let $c \in \mathfrak{m} - (P_1 \cup \dots \cup P_s)$. Then c is regular on $R/(x_1, \dots, x_{d-1})$ and on

$R/(y_1, \dots, y_{d-1})$. In particular, x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}, c and y_1, \dots, y_{d-1}, c are regular sequences and so are c, x_1, \dots, x_{d-1} and c, y_1, \dots, y_{d-1} . Set $\bar{R} = R/(c)$. \bar{R} is a CM-ring of dimension $d-1$ with regular sequences x_1, \dots, x_{d-1} and y_1, \dots, y_{d-1} . By induction hypothesis:

$\dim_k(\mathfrak{J}(\bar{R}/(x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}))) = \dim_k(\mathfrak{J}(\bar{R}/(y_1, \dots, y_{d-1})))$. Let $R' = R/(x_1)$. Again by induction hypothesis: $\dim_k(\mathfrak{J}(R'/(x_2, \dots, x_d))) = \dim_k(\mathfrak{J}(R'/(x_2, \dots, x_{d-1}, c)))$. This implies:

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_k(\mathfrak{J}(R/(x_1, \dots, x_d))) &= \dim_k(\mathfrak{J}(R/(x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}, c))) \\ &= \dim_k(\mathfrak{J}(R/(y_1, \dots, y_{d-1}, c))) \\ &= \dim_k(\mathfrak{J}(R/(y_1, \dots, y_d))) \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows by a similar argument as above.

(11.4) Definition: Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a local Noetherian CM-ring. The number $r = \dim_k(\mathfrak{J}(R/(x_1, \dots, x_d)))$, where x_1, \dots, x_d is a SOP of R , is called the CM-type of R . R is called a Gorenstein ring if $r=1$. Any Noetherian ring R is a Gorenstein ring if $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is Gorenstein for all maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m} \in R$.

(11.5) Definition: Let R be a Noetherian ring. An ideal $I \in R$ is called irreducible if for all ideals $K, J \in R$ with $I = K \cap J$ it follows that $I = K$ or $I = J$.

(11.6) Lemma: Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a local Noetherian ring and $Q \in R$ an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal. Q is irreducible if and only if $\dim_k(\mathfrak{J}(R/Q)) = 1$.

Proof: Obviously, Q is irreducible in R if and only if (0) is irreducible in R/Q . Thus we may assume that R is a local Artinian ring and have to show that $(0) \in R$ is irreducible if and only if $\dim_k(\mathfrak{J}(R)) = 1$. If $(0) = K \cap J$ is reducible with $K \neq (0)$ and $J \neq (0)$, then $K \cap J(R) \neq 0$ and $J \cap J(R) \neq 0$ and $\dim_k(\mathfrak{J}(R)) > 1$. Conversely, if $\dim_k(\mathfrak{J}(R)) \geq 2$, let $K, J \in \mathfrak{J}(R)$ be two nonzero subspaces with $K \cap J = (0)$. Hence (0) is reducible.

(11.7) Definition: Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local Noetherian ring. An ideal $I \in R$ is called a parameter ideal if I is generated by a system of parameters of R .

Proof: Let $x \in \mathfrak{m} - P$. There is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow R/P \xrightarrow{x} R/P \rightarrow N = R/(P, x) \rightarrow 0$. For every $Q \in \text{Supp}(N)$ we have $P \not\subseteq Q$ and thus $\text{Ext}_R^{n+1}(R/Q, M) = 0$. By (11.10) $\text{Ext}_R^{n+1}(N, M) = 0$. From the long exact sequence we obtain: $\text{Ext}_R^n(R/P, M) \xrightarrow{x} \text{Ext}_R^n(R/P, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{n+1}(N, M) = 0$. Thus $\text{Ext}_R^n(R/P, M) = 0$ by Nakayama's Lemma.

(11.12) Proposition: Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a local Noetherian ring and $M \neq 0$ a finite R -module. Then $\text{injdim } M = \sup \{n \mid \text{Ext}_R^n(k, M) \neq 0\}$.

Proof: Use (11.9) and (11.11).

(11.13) Corollary: Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a local Noetherian ring, $M \neq 0$ a finite R -module with $\text{injdim } M < \infty$, and N a finite R -module with $\text{depth } N = 0$. Then $\text{injdim } M = \sup \{n \mid \text{Ext}_R^n(N, M) \neq 0\}$.

Proof: It suffices to show that if $t = \text{injdim } M < \infty$, then $\text{Ext}_R^t(N, M) \neq 0$. Since $\text{depth } N = 0$, we have $k = R/\mathfrak{m} \hookrightarrow N$ giving an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow k \rightarrow N \rightarrow U \rightarrow 0$. Thus $\text{Ext}_R^t(N, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^t(k, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{t+1}(U, M)$ is exact. Since $t = \text{injdim } M$, $\text{Ext}_R^{t+1}(U, M) = 0$ and $\text{Ext}_R^t(k, M) \neq 0$ by (11.12). Thus $\text{Ext}_R^t(N, M) \neq 0$.

(11.14) Lemma: Let R be a ring, M and N R -modules, and $x \in \text{ann}(N)$ a NZD on R and M . Then for all $n \geq 0$ $\text{Ext}_R^{n+1}(N, M) \cong \text{Ext}_{R/(x)}^n(N, M/xM)$. The isomorphism is natural in the first variable.

Proof: Let $F = \text{Hom}_R(-, M/xM)$ be the functor from the category of $R/(x)$ -modules into itself.

We want to show that $R^n F \cong \text{Ext}_R^{n+1}(-, M)$ as contravariant functors on $R/(x)$ -mod.

(1) $F \cong \text{Ext}_R^1(-, M)$. In order to prove this, consider the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{x} M \rightarrow M/xM \rightarrow 0$ and let N be an $R/(x)$ -module. Since $\text{Hom}_R(N, M) = 0$ we have an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(N, M/xM) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(N, M) \xrightarrow{x \cong 0} \text{Ext}_R^1(N, M).$$

(2) There is a long exact sequence $\text{Ext}_R^0(-, M)$ which is natural in the first variable.

(3) Let P be a free $R/(x)$ -module. Since x is a NZD on R , $\text{projdim}_R P \leq 1$ and thus $\text{Ext}_R^{n+1}(P, M) = 0$ for all $n+1 \geq 2$.

It follows now by induction on n that $R^n F \cong \text{Ext}_R^{n+1}(-, M)$.

(11.15) Proposition: Let R be a local Noetherian ring, M a finite R -module, and x a regular element on R and M . Then $\text{injdim}_{R/(x)} M/xM = \text{injdim}_R M - 1$.

Proof: Use (11.12) and (11.14)

Recall (9.30): If R is a local Noetherian ring and $M \neq 0$ a finite R -module of finite injective dimension, then $\dim M \leq \text{injdim } M = \text{depth } R$.

(11.16) Lemma: Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a local Noetherian ring, M a finite R -module, and $P \in \mathfrak{m}$ a prime ideal with $\text{ht}(M/P) = d$. If $\text{Ext}_R^{i+d}(k, M) = 0$, then $\text{Ext}_{R_P}^i(k(P), M_P) = 0$ where $k(P) = (R/P)_P$.

Proof: By (11.11) $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/P, M) = 0$ and by (7.89)(b) $\text{Ext}_{R_P}^i(k(P), M_P) \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(R/P, M)_P = 0$.

(11.17) Theorem: Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a local Noetherian ring of dimension n . The following are equivalent:

(a) $\text{injdim } R < \infty$

(b) $\text{injdim } R = n$

(c) $\text{Ext}_R^i(k, R) = 0$ for $i \neq n$ and $\text{Ext}_R^n(k, R) \cong k$

(d) $\text{Ext}_R^i(k, R) = 0$ for some $i > n$.

(e) $\text{Ext}_R^i(k, R) = 0$ for $i < n$ and $\text{Ext}_R^n(k, R) \cong k$

(f) R is a CM-ring and $\text{Ext}_R^n(k, R) \cong k$

(g) R is a CM-ring and every parameter ideal is irreducible

(h) R is a CM-ring and there is an irreducible parameter ideal

(k) R is a Gorenstein ring.

Proof: By (11.8) $(g) \Leftrightarrow (h) \Leftrightarrow (k)$. We will show: $(a) \Rightarrow (b) \Rightarrow (c) \Rightarrow (d) \Rightarrow (a)$ and $(c) \Rightarrow (e) \Rightarrow (f) \Rightarrow (k) \Rightarrow (c)$.

$(a) \Rightarrow (b)$: Suppose that $\text{injdim } R = r < \infty$. Let $P \subseteq R$ be a minimal prime ideal with $\text{ht}(m/P) = n$.

Then $PR_P \in \text{Ass}(R_P)$ and $\text{Hom}_{R_P}(k(P), R_P) \neq 0$. By (11.16) $\text{Ext}_R^n(k, R) \neq 0$ and by (11.12) $r \geq n$.

In order to show $r \leq n$ we proceed by induction on r . If $r = 0$, we are done. Since $\text{injdim } R = r$, the functor $T = \text{Ext}_R^r(-, R)$ is right exact by (7.43). Moreover, by (11.12) $\text{Ext}_R^r(k, R) \neq 0$. If

$m \in \text{Ass}(R)$ the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow k \rightarrow R$ yields an exact sequence $\text{Ext}_R^r(R, R) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^r(k, R) \rightarrow 0$.

Since $\text{Ext}_R^r(k, R) \neq 0$, we obtain that $\text{Ext}_R^r(R, R) \neq 0$, a contradiction since $r > 0$. Hence

$m \notin \text{Ass}(R)$ and there is a regular element $x \in m$. By (11.15) $S = R/(x)$ is a local Noetherian ring of injective dimension $r-1$. By induction hypothesis $r-1 \leq \text{dim } S \leq n-1$ and $r \leq n$.

$(b) \Rightarrow (c)$: By induction on n . If $n = 0$, then $m \in \text{Ass}(R)$ and there is an exact sequence

$0 \rightarrow k \rightarrow R$. Since $\text{injdim } R = 0$, the sequence $R \cong \text{Hom}_R(R, R) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(k, R) \rightarrow 0$ is exact. Thus

$\text{Hom}_R(k, R)$ is cyclic and $\text{Hom}_R(k, R) \cong k$. If $n > 0$, the same argument as in $(a) \Rightarrow (b)$ yields that

R contains a regular element $x \in m$. By (11.15) $S = R/(x)$ is a local Noetherian ring of injective dimension $n-1$. Thus by induction hypothesis and (11.14) $\text{Ext}_R^{iH}(k, R) \cong \text{Ext}_S^i(k, S) = 0$ for all

$i \neq n-1$ and $\text{Ext}_R^n(k, R) \cong \text{Ext}_S^{n-1}(k, S) \cong k$. Since x is a regular element on R , $\text{Hom}_R(k, R) = 0$.

$(c) \Rightarrow (d)$: trivial

$(d) \Rightarrow (a)$: By induction on n : If $n = 0$, let $\text{Ext}_R^i(k, R) = 0$ for some $i > 0$. Since m is the only prime ideal of R , by (11.9) $\text{injdim } R \leq i < \infty$. Let $n > 0$ and $i > n$ with $\text{Ext}_R^i(k, R) = 0$. We want

to show that $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/P, R) = 0$ for every prime ideal $P \subseteq R$. Then by (11.9) $\text{injdim } R \leq i$. Assume

that there is a prime ideal $P \subseteq R$ with $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/P, R) \neq 0$. Since R is Noetherian, we may

assume that $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$ is maximal with $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/P, R) \neq 0$. Then $P \neq m$ and for $x \in m - P$

consider the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow R/P \xrightarrow{x} R/P \rightarrow R/(P, x) \rightarrow 0$. This yields an exact sequence:

$\text{Ext}_R^i(R/(P, x), R) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(R/P, R) \xrightarrow{x} \text{Ext}_R^i(R/P, R)$. By assumption $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/Q, R) = 0$ for all

$Q \in \text{Supp}(R/(P, x))$. Thus by (11.10) $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/(P, x), R) = 0$ and x is regular on $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/P, R)$. On the

other hand, if $\text{ht}(m/P) = d$, by (11.16) $\text{Ext}_{R_P}^{i-d}(k(P), R_P) = 0$. Since $\text{dim } R_P \leq n-d < i-d$, by

induction hypothesis $\text{injdim } R_P < \infty$ and by '(a) \Rightarrow (c)': $\text{Ext}_{R_P}^i(k(P), R_P) \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(R/P, R)_P = 0$.

Since $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/P, R)$ is a finite R -module, there is an element $x \in m - P$ with $x \text{Ext}_R^i(R/P, R) = 0$.

Thus $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/P, R) = 0$.

(c) \Rightarrow (e): trivial

(e) \Rightarrow (f): Theorem 8.16

(f) \Rightarrow (k): Let x_1, \dots, x_n be a SOP of R , $I = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, and $S = R/I$. Since R is a CM-ring, x_1, \dots, x_n is a regular sequence of R . Repeated application of (11.14) yields: $\text{Ext}_R^n(k, R) \cong \text{Ext}_{R/(x_1)}^{n-1}(k, R/(x_1)) \cong \dots \cong \text{Ext}_S^0(k, S) = \text{Hom}_S(k, S) \cong k$. Thus $\dim_R \mathcal{Y}(S) = 1$ and R is Gorenstein.

(k) \Rightarrow (c): Since R is a CM-ring, by (8.16) $\text{Ext}_R^i(k, R) = 0$ for $i < n$. If $I = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \subseteq R$ is a parameter ideal of R and $S = R/I$, then by (11.14) $\text{Ext}_R^n(k, R) \cong \text{Ext}_S^0(k, S) = \text{Hom}_S(k, S) \cong k$, since R is Gorenstein. In order to show that $\text{Ext}_R^i(k, R) = 0$ for all $i > n$, let I and S be as above.

By (11.14) it suffices to show that $\text{Ext}_S^i(k, S) = 0$ for $i > 0$. Since $\dim S = 0$, by (11.9) $\text{Ext}_S^1(k, S) = 0$ implies that $\text{injdim } S \leq 1$ and it suffices to show that $\text{Ext}_S^1(k, S) = 0$.

Let $S = N_r \supseteq N_{r-1} \supseteq \dots \supseteq N_1 \supseteq N_0 = 0$ be an ascending chain of ideals with $N_i/N_{i-1} \cong k$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r-1$. This yields short exact sequences $0 \rightarrow N_i \rightarrow N_{i+1} \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r-1$ inducing long exact sequences:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(k, S) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(N_{i+1}, S) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(N_i, S) \xrightarrow{\delta_i} \text{Ext}_S^1(k, S).$$

Since S is Gorenstein, $\text{Hom}_S(k, S) \cong \text{Hom}_S(N_1, S) \cong k$ and by induction on i : $\ell_S(\text{Hom}_S(N_i, S)) \leq i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$. Moreover, $\ell_S(\text{Hom}_S(N_i, S)) = i \iff \delta_j = 0$ for all $j \neq i$. Since $\ell_S(\text{Hom}_S(S, S)) = \ell_S(S) = r$ it follows that $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = \dots = \delta_{r-1} = 0$. Thus the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow N_{r-1} \rightarrow N_r = S \rightarrow \dots$ yields a long exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_S^1(k, S) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_S^1(S, S) \rightarrow \dots$. Since $\text{Ext}_S^1(S, S) = 0$, $\text{Ext}_S^1(k, S) = 0$ and $\text{injdim } S \leq 1$.

(11.18) Corollary: Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a local Noetherian CM-ring of CM-type r and dimension n . Then $r = \dim_k(\text{Ext}_R^n(k, R))$.

Proof: Let $I = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a parameter ideal of R and $S = R/I$. By (10.14) $\text{Ext}_R^n(k, R) \cong \text{Hom}_S(k, S)$ and $r = \dim_k(\mathcal{Y}(S)) = \dim_k(\text{Hom}_S(k, S))$.

(11.19) Theorem: Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a local Gorenstein ring and $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$. Then R_P is a local Gorenstein ring.

Proof: Consider a finite injective resolution of R : $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow E_n \rightarrow 0$. By Homework $(E_i)_P$ is an injective R_P -module and $0 \rightarrow R_P \rightarrow (E_0)_P \rightarrow (E_1)_P \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow (E_n)_P \rightarrow 0$ is a finite injective resolution of R_P . Thus $\text{injdim } R_P < \infty$.

(11.20) Theorem: Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a local Noetherian ring and \hat{R} the \mathfrak{m} -adic completion of R . R is Gorenstein if and only if \hat{R} is Gorenstein.

Proof: By (7.55) R is CM if and only if \hat{R} is CM. Let $I = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a parameter ideal of R . Then $I\hat{R}$ is a parameter ideal of \hat{R} and $R/I \cong \hat{R}/I\hat{R}$.

Recall from (7.69): Let R be a Noetherian ring, M a finite R -module, and E^\bullet a minimal injective resolution of M . Then $E^i \cong \bigoplus_{P \in \text{Spec}(R)} E(R/P)^{\mu_i(P, M)}$, where $\mu_i(P, M) = \dim_{k(P)} \text{Ext}_{R_P}^i(k(P), M_P)$ is the i th Bass number of M with respect to P .

(11.21) Theorem: Let R be a Noetherian ring and E^\bullet a minimal injective resolution of R . R is Gorenstein if and only if for all $i \geq 0$: $E^i \cong \bigoplus_{\text{ht } P = i} E(R/P)$, or equivalently, for all $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$: $\mu_i(P, R) = \delta_{i, \text{ht } P}$.

Proof: " \Leftarrow ": Suppose that $\mu_i(P, R) = \delta_{i, \text{ht } P}$ for all $i \geq 0$ and all $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$. By Homework for all $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$, E_P^\bullet is a minimal injective resolution of R_P . Since E_P^\bullet is finite, $\text{injdim } R_P < \infty$ and R_P is Gorenstein.

" \Rightarrow ": Let $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$. By assumption R_P is Gorenstein, thus by (11.17) $\text{Ext}_{R_P}^i(k(P), R_P) = 0$ for $i \neq \dim R_P = \text{ht } P$ and $\text{Ext}_{R_P}^i(k(P), R_P) \cong k(P)$ if $i = \dim R_P = \text{ht } P$. Thus $\mu_i(P, R) = \delta_{i, \text{ht } P}$.

§2: MATLIS DUALITY

Let R be a Noetherian ring and E an injective R -module. Then by (7.64) $E \cong \bigoplus_{P \in \text{Spec}(R)} E(R/P)^{\mu_P}$ where $E(R/P)$ is the injective hull of R/P and $\mu_P = \dim_{k(P)} \text{Hom}_{R_P}(k(P), E_P)$. If (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) is a local Noetherian ring and $E = E(k)$ the injective hull of k , for an R -module M set $M' = \text{Hom}_R(M, E)$. Then $M'' = \text{Hom}_R(M, E)' = \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(M, E), E)$ and there is a natural map $\Theta: M \rightarrow M''$ defined by: for $x \in M$, $\Theta(x): \text{Hom}_R(M, E) \rightarrow E$ is given by $\Theta(x)(\varphi) = \varphi(x)$ for all $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_R(M, E)$. Note that Θ is R -linear.

(11.22) Proposition: Assumptions as above and suppose that $M \neq 0$.

- (a) For all $x \in M - \{0\}$ there is a $\varphi \in M'$ with $\varphi(x) \neq 0$. In particular, Θ is injective.
 (b) If M is an R -module of finite length, then $l_R(M) = l_R(M')$ and Θ is an isomorphism.

Proof: (a) The submodule Rx of M is isomorphic to $R/\text{ann}(x)$. Let f be the composition of maps $f: Rx \xrightarrow{\cong} R/\text{ann}(x) \xrightarrow{\text{nat}} k \hookrightarrow E$. Then $f(x) \neq 0$. Since E is injective, f extends to an R -linear map $\varphi: M \rightarrow E$ with $\varphi(x) \neq 0$.

(b) Let $M_1 \subseteq M$ be a submodule with $l_R(M_1) = n-1 = l_R(M) - 1$. The exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0$ yields an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow k' \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M'_1 \rightarrow 0$. Since E is an essential extension of k : $k' = \text{Hom}_R(k, E) \cong \text{Hom}_R(k, k) \cong k$ and thus $l_R(M') = l_R(M_1) + 1$. The statement follows by induction on $n = l_R(M)$.

(11.23) Proposition: Assumptions as above. Let \hat{R} be the \mathfrak{m} -adic completion of R .

- (a) E is an \hat{R} -module. Moreover, E is the injective hull of the \hat{R} -module k .
 (b) $\text{Hom}_R(E, E) = \text{Hom}_{\hat{R}}(E, E) \cong \hat{R}$.

Proof: (a) We claim that the natural map $\sigma: E \rightarrow E \otimes_R \hat{R}$ defined by $\sigma(x) = x \otimes 1$ is an isomorphism. Let $x \otimes \hat{a} \in E \otimes_R \hat{R}$. By (7.60) there is an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\mathfrak{m}^n x = 0$. Since R

is dense in \hat{R} , there is an $a_0 \in R$ so that $\hat{a} - a_0 \in m^n \hat{R}$. Thus $\hat{a} = a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i \hat{b}_i$ where $\gamma_i \in m^n \subseteq R$ and $\hat{b}_i \in \hat{R}$. Then $x \otimes \hat{a} = x \otimes (a_0 + \sum \gamma_i \hat{b}_i) = a_0 x \otimes 1 + \sum \gamma_i x \otimes \hat{b}_i = a_0 x \otimes 1$. Hence σ is surjective. In order to show that σ is injective consider the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & E \otimes_R \hat{R} \\ \varepsilon \uparrow & & \uparrow \delta = \varepsilon \otimes \hat{R} \\ k & \xrightarrow{g} & k \otimes_R \hat{R} \end{array}$$

ε and g are injective. Since \hat{R} is flat over R , δ is injective. Thus $\sigma \varepsilon = \delta g$ is injective. Since E is an essential extension of k , σ is injective.

Let F be the injective hull of E as an \hat{R} -module. Then F is the injective hull of \hat{R} -module k and by (7.60) every element of F is annihilated by some power of $m \hat{R}$. F is an R -module with $E \subseteq F$. Since E is injective over R , there is a submodule C of F with $F = E \oplus C$. Since every element of C is annihilated by some power of $m \hat{R}$, C is an \hat{R} -module. But F is indecomposable as \hat{R} -module. Thus $C = 0$ and $E = F$.

(b) For $\nu > 0$ set $E_\nu = \{x \in E \mid m^\nu x = 0\}$. E_ν is a module over R and \hat{R} , $E_\nu \subseteq E_{\nu+1}$, and $E = \bigcup E_\nu = \varinjlim E_\nu$. By (7.90) $\text{Hom}_R(E, E) = \text{Hom}_R(\varinjlim E_\nu, E) = \varprojlim \text{Hom}_R(E_\nu, E)$. Since $\text{Hom}_R(R/m^\nu, E) \cong E_\nu$, $\text{Hom}_R(E_\nu, E) = E'_\nu = (R/m^\nu)^n$. R/m^ν is an R -module of finite length, thus by (11.22): $R/m^\nu \cong (R/m^\nu)^n$. Thus $\varprojlim \text{Hom}_R(E_\nu, E) \cong \varprojlim R/m^\nu = \hat{R}$ as R -modules. Since E_ν is also an \hat{R} -module, the same argument shows that $\text{Hom}_{\hat{R}}(E, E) \cong \hat{R}$ as \hat{R} -modules.

(11.24) Remark: (11.23)(b) shows that every \hat{R} -linear map $f: E \rightarrow E$ is the multiplication by some $a \in \hat{R}$.

(11.25) Theorem: Let (R, m, k) be a local Noetherian ring and $E = E_R(k)$ the injective hull of k . E is an Artinian module over R and \hat{R} .

Proof: Note that every R -submodule of E is also an \hat{R} -submodule. Thus we may assume that R is complete. If $M \subseteq E$ is a submodule let $M^\perp = \text{ann}(M) = \{a \in R \mid aM = 0\}$

and if $I \subseteq R$ is an ideal set $I^\perp = 0:_{E} I = \{x \in E \mid Ix = 0\}$. Obviously, $M \in M^{\perp\perp}$. We want to show that $M^{\perp\perp} = M$. Consider the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E \rightarrow E/M \rightarrow 0$. Since E is injective, the sequence $0 \rightarrow (E/M)' \xrightarrow{(*)} E'$ is exact where $(E/M)' = \text{Hom}_R(E/M, E)$ and $E' = \text{Hom}_R(E, E) \cong \hat{R}$ (11.23). Thus for every $f \in \text{Hom}_R(E, E)$ there is an $\hat{a} \in \hat{R}$ so that $f(x) = \hat{a}x$ for all $x \in E$. Every $g \in \text{Hom}_R(E/M, E)$ is mapped under $(*)$ into an $f \in \text{Hom}_R(E, E)$ with $f|_M = 0$ and conversely, every $f \in \text{Hom}_R(E, E)$ with $f|_M = 0$ factors through a $g \in \text{Hom}_R(E/M, E)$. Thus $\text{Hom}_R(E/M, E) \cong M^\perp$ and the embedding $(*)$ corresponds to the embedding $0 \rightarrow M^\perp \hookrightarrow \hat{R}$. By (11.22) for all $x \in E - M$ there is an $\varphi \in (E/M)'$ with $\varphi(x+M) \neq 0$. Thus for all $x \in E - M$ there is an $a \in M^\perp$ with $ax \neq 0$. This shows that $M^{\perp\perp} \subseteq M$ and hence $M^{\perp\perp} = M$.

If $I \subseteq R$ is an ideal, the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/I \rightarrow 0$ yields an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow (R/I)' \xrightarrow{(\tilde{*})} R' = \text{Hom}_R(R, E) \cong E$. Under $(\tilde{*})$ $(R/I)'$ is mapped onto I^\perp . If $a \in R - I$, by (11.22) there is a $\varphi \in (R/I)'$ with $\varphi(a+I) \neq 0$. Let $x = \varphi(1+I) \in I^\perp$. Then $Ix = 0$ and $a \notin \text{ann}(x)$. Since $I^{\perp\perp} = \bigcap_{x \in I^\perp} \text{ann}(x)$ it follows that $I^{\perp\perp} \subseteq I$ and hence $I^{\perp\perp} = I$. This shows that ${}^\perp$ defines order-reversing bijections between the sets:

$$\{M \mid M \subseteq E \text{ a submodule}\} \xrightleftharpoons[{}^\perp]{{}^\perp} \{I \mid I \subseteq R \text{ an ideal}\}.$$

Since R is Noetherian, E is Artinian.

(11.26) Theorem: Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a complete local Noetherian ring and $E = E_R(k)$ the injective hull of k .

(a) If M is a Noetherian R -module, then $M' = \text{Hom}_R(M, E)$ is an Artinian R -module and $M'' \cong M$.

(b) If M is an Artinian R -module, then $M' = \text{Hom}_R(M, E)$ is a Noetherian R -module and $M'' \cong M$.

Proof: (a) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $R^n \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ exact. Since E is injective, the sequence $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow (R^n)' = \text{Hom}_R(R^n, E) \cong \text{Hom}_R(R, E)^n \cong E^n$ is exact. E^n is an Artinian R -module and so is every submodule of E^n . Thus M' is Artinian. Since $(E^n)' = \text{Hom}_R(E^n, E) =$

$\text{Hom}_R(E, E)^n \cong R^n$ there is a commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} R^n & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & 0 & & \\ \cong \downarrow \theta' & & \downarrow \theta & & & & \\ (E^n)^n & \longrightarrow & M^n & \longrightarrow & 0 & & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{By (11.22) } \theta \text{ is injective, hence} \\ \theta \text{ is an isomorphism.} \end{array}$$

(b) We claim that there is an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ so that M can be considered a submodule of E^n .

For all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ consider all R -linear maps $\tau: M \rightarrow E^m$. This yields a set Γ of submodules $\ker(\tau)$ of M . Since M is Artinian, there is an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and an R -linear map $\varphi: M \rightarrow E^n$ so that $\ker(\varphi)$ is minimal in Γ . If $\ker(\varphi) \neq 0$, let $x \in \ker(\varphi)$. By (11.22) there is an R -linear map $\sigma: M \rightarrow E$ with $\sigma(x) \neq 0$. Let $\rho: M \rightarrow E^{n+1}$ be defined by $\rho(y) = (\varphi(y), \sigma(y))$. Then $\ker(\rho) \subsetneq \ker(\varphi)$, a contradiction. Thus $\ker(\varphi) = 0$.

Let $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E^n$ be exact. Then $(E^n)' \rightarrow M' \rightarrow 0$ is exact and $(E^n)' \cong R^n$. M' is a Noetherian R -module. In order to show that $M^n \cong M$ note that every homomorphic image of an Artinian module is Artinian. The exact sequence

$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E^n \rightarrow E^n/M \rightarrow 0$ yields a commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & E^n & \longrightarrow & E^n/M & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \theta \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \bar{\theta} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M^n & \longrightarrow & (E^n)^n & \longrightarrow & (E^n/M)^n & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

By (11.22) θ and $\bar{\theta}$ are injective. Thus $\bar{\theta}$ is an isomorphism. Hence θ is an isomorphism by the five-lemma.

§3: THE CANONICAL MODULE

(11.27) Definition: Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a local Noetherian ring and M a finite R -module with $\text{depth } M = d$. The number $r(M) = \dim_k \text{Ext}_R^d(k, M)$ is called the type of M .

(11.28) Lemma: Let R be a Noetherian ring, M a finite R -module, and x_1, \dots, x_n an M -sequence. Suppose that N is an R -module with $I = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \subseteq \text{ann}(N)$. Then $\text{Hom}_R(N, M/IM) \cong \text{Ext}_R^n(N, M)$.

Proof: Set $M_0 = M$ and $M_i = M/(x_1, \dots, x_i)M$. We show by induction on i that $\text{Ext}_R^{n-i}(N, M_i) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{n-i-1}(N, M_{i+1})$. If $i=0$ the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{x_1} M \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow 0$ yields a long exact sequence $\text{Ext}_R^{n-1}(N, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{n-1}(N, M_1) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^n(N, M) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \text{Ext}_R^n(N, M)$ where φ is multiplication by x_1 . Since $x_1 \in \text{ann}(N)$, $\varphi = 0$ and $\text{Ext}_R^{n-1}(N, M) = 0$ by (8.14). For the induction step $i \Rightarrow i+1$ consider the exact sequence: $0 \rightarrow M_i \xrightarrow{x_{i+1}} M_i \rightarrow M_{i+1} \rightarrow 0$ and repeat the argument. Thus $\text{Hom}_R(N, M_n) \cong \text{Ext}_R^n(N, M)$.

(11.29) Remark: Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a local Noetherian ring, M a finite R -module and x_1, \dots, x_d a maximal M -sequence. Then $r(M) = \dim_k \text{Hom}_R(k, M/IM)$ where $I = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$. $\text{Hom}_R(k, M/IM) \cong 0 :_{M/IM} \mathfrak{m}$ is called the socle of M/IM .

Recall from Chapter VIII: Let R be a local Noetherian ring and M a finite R -module. M is a maximal CM-module (MCM) if $\text{depth } M = \dim R$.

(11.30) Definition: Let R be a local CM-ring. A finite R -module C is called a canonical module of R if C is a MCM, $r(C) = 1$, and $\text{injdim}_R C < \infty$.

(11.31) Examples: Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a local Noetherian ring.

(a) If R is Gorenstein then R is a canonical module of R .

(b) If R is Artinian then $E_R(k)$ is a canonical module of R . Conversely, every canonical module of R is isomorphic to $E_R(k)$.

Proof: (b) The Artinian local ring R is complete. Thus by Matlis duality (11.26) $R' = \text{Hom}_R(R, E_R(k)) \cong E_R(k)$ is a Noetherian R -module. Conversely, if C is a canonical module of R , then by (9.30) $\text{injdim } C \leq \text{depth } R = 0$ and C is injective. Now use (9.64).

(11.32) Lemma: Let R be a local Noetherian ring, $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ an R -linear map of finite R -modules, and x_1, \dots, x_n an N -regular sequence. Set $I = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$. If $\varphi \otimes R/I$ is an isomorphism then so is φ .

Proof: By Nakayama's Lemma φ is surjective. Thus there is an exact sequence $C: 0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\varphi} N \rightarrow 0$. Since x_1, \dots, x_n is an N -sequence, by Homework $C \otimes_R R/I$ is exact. Thus $U \otimes_R R/I \cong \ker(\varphi \otimes R/I) = 0$ and $U = 0$ by Nakayama's Lemma.

(11.33) Lemma: Let R be a local Noetherian ring and M a MCM R -module. Every R -regular sequence is M -regular.

Proof: Obviously, $M \neq 0$. If $P \in \text{Ass}_R(M)$ then by (8.21) $\dim R/P \geq \text{depth } M = \dim R$ and P is a minimal prime of R . Hence $P \in \text{Ass}(R)$ and every R -regular element x is M -regular. Since M/xM is a MCM $R/(x)$ -module, the assertion follows by induction.

(11.34) Proposition: Let R be a local CM-ring of dimension d , M a finite R -module, and C a MCM R -module.

(a) If M is CM of dimension t and $\text{injdim}_R C < \infty$ then $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, C) = 0$ for $i \neq d-t$ and $\text{Ext}_R^{d-t}(M, C)$ is CM of dimension t .

(b) If $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, C) = 0$ for all $i > 0$ then $\text{depth } \text{Hom}_R(M, C) \geq d$.

(c) If $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, C) = 0$ for all $i > 0$, M is MCM, and x_1, \dots, x_d is an R -regular sequence

then $\text{Hom}_R(M, C) \otimes_R R/(x) \cong \text{Hom}_{R/(x)}(M/(x)M, C/(x)C)$ via the natural map.

Proof: (a) By (8.20) $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, C) = 0$ for all $i < \text{depth } C - \dim M = d - t$. Since $\text{ann}(M) \subseteq \text{ann}(\text{Ext}_R^i(M, C))$, $\dim \text{Ext}_R^{d-t}(M, C) \leq \dim M$. We want to show by induction on t that $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, C) = 0$ for $i > d - t$ and that $\text{depth } \text{Ext}_R^{d-t}(M, C) = t$. If $t = \text{depth } M = 0$ then by (11.13) $\text{injdim } C = d = \max\{i \mid \text{Ext}_R^i(M, C) \neq 0\}$. Hence $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, C) = 0$ for $i > d$ and $\text{Ext}_R^d(M, C) \neq 0$. Moreover, $\text{depth } \text{Ext}_R^d(M, C) = 0$ since $\dim \text{Ext}_R^d(M, C) = 0$. If $t \geq 1$ let $x \in \mathfrak{m}_R$ be an M -regular element.

The exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{x} M \rightarrow M/xM \rightarrow 0$ yields a long exact sequence:

$$\dots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(M/xM, C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(M, C) \xrightarrow{x} \text{Ext}_R^i(M, C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{i+1}(M/xM, C) \rightarrow \dots$$

M/xM is a CM-module of dimension $t-1$. For $i \neq d-t$, by induction hypothesis

$\text{Ext}_R^{i+1}(M/xM, C) = 0$, hence by Nakayama's Lemma $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, C) = 0$. For $i = d-t$ we have

an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{d-t}(M, C) \xrightarrow{x} \text{Ext}_R^{d-t}(M, C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{d-t+1}(M/xM, C) \rightarrow 0$. Thus

$\text{Ext}_R^{d-t+1}(M/xM, C) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{d-t}(M, C) / x \text{Ext}_R^{d-t}(M, C)$. By induction hypothesis

$\text{depth } \text{Ext}_R^{d-t+1}(M/xM, C) = t-1$ and hence $\text{depth } \text{Ext}_R^{d-t}(M, C) = t$.

(b) Let F_\bullet be a finite free R -resolution of M . Since $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, C) = 0$ for all $i > 0$, the sequence

$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, C) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(F_0, C)$ is exact. This yields an exact sequence:

$$(*) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, C) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(F_0, C) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(F_{d-1}, C) \rightarrow B_d \rightarrow 0$$

with $\text{Hom}_R(F_i, C) \cong C^{b_i}$, $\text{depth } \text{Hom}_R(F_i, C) = d$, and $\text{depth } B_d \geq 1$. Splitting (*) into short

exact sequences $0 \rightarrow B_i \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(F_i, C) \rightarrow B_{i+1} \rightarrow 0$ and applying (8.22) yields that

$\text{depth } B_i \geq \min\{d, \text{depth } B_{i+1} + 1\}$. Thus $\text{depth } \text{Hom}_R(M, C) = d$.

(c) Let $x \in \mathfrak{m}_R$ be R -regular. By (11.33) x is M - and C -regular and M/xM , C/xC are MCM

over $R/(x)$. From the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{x} M \rightarrow M/xM \rightarrow 0$ we obtain:

$$0 = \text{Ext}_R^i(M, C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(M/xM, C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{i+1}(M, C) = 0 \text{ and thus } \text{Ext}_R^{i+1}(M/xM, C) = 0 \text{ for all}$$

$i \geq 1$. By (11.14) $\text{Ext}_{R/(x)}^i(M/xM, C/xC) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{i+1}(M/xM, C) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$ and the $R/(x)$ -modules

M/xM and C/xC satisfy the assumptions we proceed by induction on d . If $x_1 = x \in \mathfrak{m}_R$ is

R -regular, the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow C \xrightarrow{x} C \rightarrow C/xC \rightarrow 0$ induces the long exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, C) \xrightarrow{x} \text{Hom}_R(M, C) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, C/xC) = \text{Hom}_{R/(x)}(M/xM, C/xC) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(M, C) = 0.$$

Thus $\text{Hom}_{R/(x)}(M/xM, C/xC) \cong \text{Hom}_R(M, C) \otimes_R R/(x)$. This shows the case $d=1$. If $d > 1$,

Let x_1, \dots, x_d be an R -regular sequence. Then by induction hypothesis:

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{R/(x_i)}(M/(x_i)M, C/(x_i)C) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{R/(x_i)}(M/x_1M, C/x_1C) \otimes_{R/(x_i)} R/(x_i) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_R(M, C) \otimes_R R/(x_i).$$

(11.35) Theorem: Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local CM-ring of dimension d , $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_d$ an R -regular sequence, and C, C' canonical modules of R .

- (a) \underline{x} is regular on C and $C/(\underline{x})C \cong E_{R/(\underline{x})}(k)$
 (b) $C \cong C'$, in particular, the canonical module, denoted by ω_R , is unique up to isomorphism.

Proof: (a) By (11.33) \underline{x} is regular on C . Thus $r(C/(\underline{x})C) = r(C) = 1$ by (11.29) and $\operatorname{injdim}_{R/(\underline{x})} C/(\underline{x})C < \infty$ by (11.15). Hence $C/(\underline{x})C$ is a canonical module of the Artinian local ring $R/(\underline{x})$ and by (11.31) $C/(\underline{x})C \cong E_{R/(\underline{x})}(k)$.

(b) Set $\bar{R} = R/(\underline{x})$. C and C' are MCM modules and $\operatorname{injdim} C' < \infty$. By (11.34)(a) $\operatorname{Ext}_R^i(C, C') = 0$ for all $i > 0$ and by (11.34)(c) $\operatorname{Hom}_R(C, C') \otimes_R \bar{R} \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\bar{R}}(C/(\underline{x})C, C'/(\underline{x})C')$ via the natural map. By (a) there is an isomorphism $\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\bar{R}}(C/(\underline{x})C, C'/(\underline{x})C')$. Thus there is a $\psi \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(C, C')$ with $\psi \otimes_R \bar{R} = \varphi$. By (11.32) ψ is an isomorphism since \underline{x} is regular on C' .

(11.36) Theorem: Let R be a local Noetherian ring. The following are equivalent:

- (a) R is Gorenstein
 (b) R is CM, ω_R exists, and $\omega_R \cong R$.

Proof: By (11.18) R is Gorenstein if and only if R is CM, $r(R) = 1$, and $\operatorname{injdim}_R R < \infty$.

(11.37) Theorem: Let R be a local CM-ring of dimension d and C a finite R -module. The following are equivalent:

- (a) $C \cong \omega_R$
 (b) For every t , $0 \leq t \leq d$, and every CM R -module M of dimension t :
 (i) $\operatorname{Ext}_R^{d-t}(M, C)$ is a CM-module of dimension t

- (ii) $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, C) = 0$ for all $i \neq d-t$
- (iii) there is a natural isomorphism $M \cong \text{Ext}_R^{d-t}(\text{Ext}_R^{d-t}(M, C), C)$
- (c) For every MCM R -module M :
- (i) $\text{Hom}_R(M, C)$ is a MCM R -module
- (ii) $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, C) = 0$ for all $i > 0$
- (iii) the natural map $M \cong \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(M, C), C)$ is an isomorphism.
- (d) (i) C is a MCM module
- (ii) $\text{injdim}_R C < \infty$
- (iii) the natural map $R \cong \text{End}_R(C) = \text{Hom}_R(C, C)$ is an isomorphism

Proof: (a) \Rightarrow (b): (i) and (ii) follow from (11.34). In order to prove (iii) notice that $\text{ht ann}(M) = d-t$. Since R is CM, there is a regular sequence $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_{d-t} \in \text{ann}(M)$. By (11.33) \underline{x} is a regular sequence on C . We claim that $\mathcal{C}/(\underline{x})C$ is the canonical module of $R/(\underline{x})$. $\mathcal{C}/(\underline{x})C$ is a MCM $R/(\underline{x})$ -module of dimension t and by (11.14) $\text{Ext}_R^d(k, C) \cong \text{Ext}_{R/(\underline{x})}^t(k, \mathcal{C}/(\underline{x})C) \cong k$ and by (11.15) $\text{injdim}_{R/(\underline{x})}(\mathcal{C}/(\underline{x})C) < \infty$. Thus $\mathcal{C}/(\underline{x})C$ is the canonical module of $R/(\underline{x})$. By (11.28) $\text{Ext}_R^{d-t}(\text{Ext}_R^{d-t}(M, C), C) \cong \text{Hom}_R(\text{Ext}_R^{d-t}(M, C), \mathcal{C}/(\underline{x})C)$ and $\text{Ext}_R^{d-t}(M, C) \cong \text{Hom}_R(M, \mathcal{C}/(\underline{x})C)$. Thus there are natural isomorphisms: $\text{Ext}_R^{d-t}(\text{Ext}_R^{d-t}(M, C), C) \cong \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(M, \mathcal{C}/(\underline{x})C), \mathcal{C}/(\underline{x})C) \cong \text{Hom}_{R/(\underline{x})}(\text{Hom}_{R/(\underline{x})}(M/(\underline{x})M, \mathcal{C}/(\underline{x})C), \mathcal{C}/(\underline{x})C)$ and we may replace R by $R/(\underline{x})$ and assume M is a MCM R -module, i.e. $t=d$. Let $\varphi_M: M \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(M, C), C)$ be the natural map defined by $\varphi_M(m): \text{Hom}_R(M, C) \rightarrow C$ with $\varphi_M(m)(f) = f(m)$. Let $\underline{y} = y_1, \dots, y_d$ be a regular R -sequence and set $\overline{R} = R/(\underline{y})$. By (i) $\text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(M, C), C)$ is MCM and by (11.33) \underline{y} is a regular sequence on this module. By (11.32) it suffices to show that $\varphi_M \otimes \overline{R}$ is an isomorphism. By (ii) $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, C) = 0$ for all $i > 0$ and thus by (11.34)(c) $\text{Hom}_R(M, C) \otimes \overline{R} \cong \text{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(M/(\underline{y})M, \mathcal{C}/(\underline{y})C)$. Similarly, $\text{Hom}_R(M, C)$ is MCM and $\text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(M, C), C) \otimes \overline{R} \cong \text{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(\text{Hom}_R(M, C) \otimes \overline{R}, \mathcal{C}/(\underline{y})C)$. Thus $\text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(M, C), C) \otimes \overline{R} \cong \text{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(\text{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(M/(\underline{y})M, \mathcal{C}/(\underline{y})C), \mathcal{C}/(\underline{y})C)$ and $\varphi_M \otimes \overline{R} = \varphi_{M \otimes \overline{R}}$. By (11.35) $C \otimes \overline{R} \cong E_{\overline{R}}(k)$. The natural map $\varphi_{M \otimes \overline{R}}: M \otimes \overline{R} \rightarrow (M \otimes \overline{R})^{\#}$ is an isomorphism by (11.22).

(b) \Rightarrow (c): trivial

(c) \Rightarrow (d): (i) and (iii) follow from (c) applied to $M=R$. In order to prove (ii) let N be a finite R -module and let M be a (finite) d^{th} syzygy of N . By (8.22) M is a MCM R -module since R is CM of dimension d . By assumption (c) $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, C) = 0$ and by (7.37) $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, C) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{d+1}(N, C) = 0$ since M is a d^{th} syzygy of N . By (7.43) $\text{injdim}_R C \leq d < \infty$.

(d) \Rightarrow (a): It remains to show that $r(C) = 1$. Let $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_d$ be an R -sequence, $\bar{R} = R/(\underline{x})$, and $E = E_{\bar{R}}(k)$. Since C is MCM, \underline{x} is C -regular and $r(C) = r(C/(\underline{x})C)$ by (11.29). By (11.15) $\text{injdim}_{\bar{R}} C/(\underline{x})C < \infty$ and $C/(\underline{x})C$ is an injective \bar{R} -module, hence $C/(\underline{x})C \cong E^r$ with $r = r(C/(\underline{x})C)$. Since C is MCM, by (11.34)(a), (c) $R \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{End}_R(C)$ specializes to an isomorphism $\bar{R} \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{End}_{\bar{R}}(C/(\underline{x})C)$. But $\text{End}_{\bar{R}}(C/(\underline{x})C) \cong \text{Hom}_{\bar{R}}(E^r, E^r) \stackrel{(1)}{\cong} \text{Hom}_{\bar{R}}(E, E)^{r^2} \stackrel{(2)}{\cong} \bar{R}^{r^2}$ where (1) follows by (7.87) and (2) by (11.23). Thus $\bar{R} \cong \bar{R}^{r^2}$ and $r = 1$.

(11.37) shows that $\text{Ext}_R^{d-t}(-, \omega_R)$ is a contravariant functor on the category of finite CM-modules of dimension t and defines a duality on this category, in particular, $\text{Hom}_R(-, \omega_R)$ is a contravariant exact functor on the category of MCM R -modules and defines a duality on this category. Also, (11.36) and (11.37) show that among CM-rings Gorenstein rings are exactly those rings for which $\text{Hom}_R(-, R)$ is a contravariant exact functor and a duality on the category of MCM R -modules; in particular, over a local Gorenstein ring every MCM R -module is reflexive (i.e. the natural map $M \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(M, R), R)$ is an isomorphism).

Recall: If (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) is a local Noetherian ring and M a finite R -module, then $\mu(M) = \dim_R(k \otimes_R M)$ is the minimal number of generators of M .

(11.38) Proposition: Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a local CM-ring of dimension d with a canonical module ω_R .

(a) Let M be a CM R -module of dimension t , then $\mu(\text{Ext}_R^{d-t}(M, \omega_R)) = r(M)$ and $r(\text{Ext}_R^{d-t}(M, \omega_R)) = \mu(M)$.

(b) ω_R is a faithful R -module with $\mu(\omega_R) = r(R)$ and $r(\omega_R) = 1$.

Proof: (a) As in the proof of (11.37) we can reduce to the case where $\dim R = 0$. Then $\omega_R \cong E_R(k) = E$ and by (11.22) $\text{Hom}_R(M, E) = M'$ and $M'' = \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(M, E), E) \cong M$. By (6.24) $\mathcal{J}(M') \cong \text{Hom}_R(k, \text{Hom}_R(M, E)) \cong \text{Hom}_R(k \otimes_R M, E)$ and $r(M') = \ell_R(k \otimes_R M) = \mu(M)$. By (11.22): $r(M) = r((M')') = \mu(M')$.

(b) By (11.37) $\text{End}_R(\omega_R) \cong R$ and ω_R is faithful. The rest follows from (a) with $M = R$.

(11.39) Theorem: Let R be a local CM-ring with a canonical module ω_R and $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$. Then R_P has a canonical module and $\omega_{R_P} \cong (\omega_R)_P$.

Proof: The conditions of (11.37)(d) are preserved under localization.

(11.40) Lemma: Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a local CM-ring of dimension d and C a finite R -module.

The following are equivalent:

(a) C is a canonical module of R .

(b) $\mu_i(\mathfrak{m}, C) = \delta_{id}$ for all i .

Proof: By (7.67) $\mu_i(\mathfrak{m}, C) = \dim_k \text{Ext}_R^i(k, C)$ and by (8.19) C is MCM if and only if $\mu_i(\mathfrak{m}, C) = 0$ for $i < d$ and $\mu_d(\mathfrak{m}, C) \neq 0$. In this case $r(C) = \mu_d(\mathfrak{m}, C)$. Moreover, $\text{injdim}_R C < \infty$ if and only if $\text{injdim}_R C \leq d$ which is equivalent to $\mu_i(\mathfrak{m}, C) = 0$ for $i > d$ by (11.12).

(11.41) Theorem: Let R be a local CM-ring and C a finite R -module. The following are equivalent:

(a) $C \cong \omega_R$

(b) $\mu_i(P, C) = \delta_{i \geq d}$ for all $i \geq 0$ and all $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$

(c) Let I^\bullet be a minimal injective R -resolution of C . Then $I^\bullet = \bigoplus E_R(R/P)$, where P runs over all prime ideals of height i for all $i \geq 0$.

Proof: (a) \Leftrightarrow (b): By (11.39) $C \cong \omega_R$ if and only if $C_P \cong \omega_{R_P}$ for all $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$. By (11.40)

this is equivalent to $\mu_i(\mathbb{P}R_P, C_P) = \delta_i \dim R_P = \delta_i \text{ht } P = \mu_i(P, C)$.

(b) \Leftrightarrow (c): Use (7.69).

(11.42) Proposition: Let R be a local CM-ring and C a finite R -module.

(a) Let \underline{x} be a regular sequence on R and C . Then $C \cong \omega_R$ if and only if $C/(\underline{x})C \cong \omega_{R/(\underline{x})}$.

(b) $C \cong \omega_R$ if and only if $\hat{C} \cong \omega_{\hat{R}}$.

Proof: (a) Homework

(b) By (7.89) $\text{Ext}_R^i(\hat{R}/\hat{m}, \hat{C}) \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{m}, C) \otimes_R \hat{R}$. Thus $\mu_i(\hat{m}, \hat{C}) = \mu_i(\mathfrak{m}, C)$ and the assertion follows by (11.40).

(11.43) Theorem: Let $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$ be a local homomorphism of local CM-rings so that S is a finite R -module and $g = \dim R - \dim S$. If R has a canonical module, then so does S and $\omega_S \cong \text{Ext}_R^g(S, \omega_R)$.

Proof: Set $I = \ker \varphi$. Then $R/I \hookrightarrow S$ is an integral extension and $\dim R/I = \dim S$. Since R is CM, by (8.31) $\dim R = \text{ht } I + \dim R/I$ and $g = \dim R - \dim R/I = \text{ht } I$. Let $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_g \in I$ be an R -regular sequence. By (11.33) \underline{x} is a regular sequence on ω_R and by (11.14) $\text{Ext}_R^g(S, \omega_R) \cong \text{Hom}_{R/(\underline{x})}(S, \omega_{R/(\underline{x})})$. Moreover, by (11.42) $\omega_{R/(\underline{x})} \cong \omega_{R/(\underline{x})}$. $R/(\underline{x})$ is a CM-ring of dimension $\dim R - g = \dim S$. Thus we may replace R by $R/(\underline{x})$ and assume that $\dim R = \dim S$. We have to show that $\text{Hom}_R(S, \omega_R)$ is a canonical module of S .

Let $\underline{y} = y_1, \dots, y_d$ be a SOP of R . Then \underline{y} is a SOP of S , since φ is local, finite, and $\dim S = d$. Since S is CM, \underline{y} is a regular sequence on S . Thus S is a MCM R -module. By (11.34) $\text{Hom}_R(S, \omega_R)$ is a MCM R -module and by (11.33) \underline{y} is a regular sequence on $\text{Hom}_R(S, \omega_R)$. By (11.42)(a) it suffices to show that $\omega_S \cong \text{Hom}_R(S, \omega_R) \otimes_S \bar{S}$, where $\bar{S} = S/(\underline{y})$. Set $\bar{R} = R/(\underline{y})$. By (11.34) $\text{Hom}_R(S, \omega_R) \otimes_S \bar{S} \cong \text{Hom}_R(S, \omega_R) \otimes_R \bar{R} \cong \text{Hom}_{\bar{R}}(S \otimes_R \bar{R}, \omega_R \otimes_R \bar{R}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\bar{R}}(\bar{S}, \omega_{\bar{R}})$. Thus we may replace R, S by \bar{R}, \bar{S} and may assume that $\dim R = \dim S = 0$.

Let k, ℓ be the residue fields of R and S . Then $\omega_R = E_R(k)$ and we have to show that $E_S(\ell) \cong \text{Hom}_R(S, \omega_R)$ as S -modules. There is an adjoint isomorphism $\text{Hom}_S(M, \text{Hom}_R(S, \omega_R)) \cong \text{Hom}_R(M \otimes_S S, \omega_R)$ for all S -modules M by (6.24). Since ω_R is an injective R -module, $\text{Hom}_R(-, \omega_R)$ is exact. Thus $\text{Hom}_S(-, \text{Hom}_R(S, \omega_R))$ is exact and $\text{Hom}_R(S, \omega_R)$ is an injective S -module. Thus $\text{Hom}_R(S, \omega_R) \cong E_S(\ell)^r$. By (11.22) $\ell_R(\text{Hom}_R(S, \omega_R)) = \ell_R(S)$ and $\ell_R(E_S(\ell)^r) = r \ell_S(E_S(\ell))$. $\dim_k \ell = r \ell_S(E_S(\ell))$. $\dim_k \ell = r \ell_S(S) \dim_k \ell = r \ell_R(S)$. Thus $r=1$.

(11.44) Corollary: Every complete local CM-ring has a canonical module.

Proof: By (10.40) every complete local ring is factor ring of a RLR. Use (11.43).

(11.45) Examples: Let S be a local CM-ring.

- (a) Assume $S \cong R/I$ with R a local Gorenstein ring and I an R -ideal of grade g . Let $x = x_1, \dots, x_g \in I$ be an R -regular sequence. Then $\text{Ext}_R^g(S, R) \cong \text{Hom}_{R/(x)}(R/I, R/(x)) \cong \omega_S$.
- (b) Assume that S is complete and contains a field. Let k be a coefficient field of S , x_1, \dots, x_d a SOP of S , and set $R = k[[x_1, \dots, x_d]] \subseteq S$. Then R is a power series ring and S is finite over R . By (11.43) $\omega_S \cong \text{Hom}_R(S, R)$.

Let R be a ring and M an R -module. We construct a ring extension $R \subseteq R * M$ of R , called the trivial extension of R by M as follows: As an R -module $R * M = R \oplus M$ and multiplication is defined by $(a, x)(b, y) = (ab, ay + bx)$ for all $a, b \in R$ and $x, y \in M$. Note that $M \subseteq R * M$ is an ideal with $M^2 = 0$ and $R * M / M \cong R$.

(11.46) Theorem: Let R be a local CM-ring. Then R has a canonical module if and only if R is a factor ring of a local Gorenstein ring.

Proof: " \Rightarrow ": Use (11.43).

" \Leftarrow ": It is enough to show that $S = R * \omega_R$ is a local Gorenstein ring. Let $d = \dim R$.

Since $R \subseteq S$ is a finite ring extension, S is a Noetherian ring with $\dim S = d$. Since $w_R^2 = 0$ in S and $S/w_R \cong R$ local, the ring S is local. Let $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_d$ be an R -sequence. Then \underline{x} is regular on w_R and hence on $S = R * w_R$. Thus S is CM. It remains to show that $r(S) = 1$, or equivalently, $r(S/(\underline{x})S) = 1$. Since $S/(\underline{x})S \cong R/(\underline{x}) * w_R/(\underline{x})$ we may replace R by $R/(\underline{x})$ and assume that $\dim R = 0$. In this case $w_R = E_R(k)$. It remains to show that $r(S) = r(R * E_R(k)) = 1$.

Let $(a, x) \in \mathcal{J}(S)$. Then for all $b \in m$: $(b, 0)(a, x) = (ab, bx) = (0, 0)$ and $a \in \mathcal{J}(R)$ and $x \in \mathcal{J}(E_R(k))$. If $a \neq 0$ the exact sequence $R \xrightarrow{a} R \rightarrow R/(\underline{a}) \rightarrow 0$ induces an exact sequence: $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{R/(\underline{a})}(R/(\underline{a}), E_R(k)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R, E_R(k)) \xrightarrow{a} \text{Hom}_R(R, E_R(k))$. By a similar argument as in the proof of (11.43) (via the adjoint isomorphism) $\text{Hom}_{R/(\underline{a})}(R/(\underline{a}), E_R(k))$ is an injective $R/(\underline{a})$ -module. Since $\mathcal{J}(\text{Hom}_{R/(\underline{a})}(R/(\underline{a}), E_R(k))) \cong k$, we have that $\text{Hom}_{R/(\underline{a})}(R/(\underline{a}), E_R(k)) \cong E_{R/(\underline{a})}(k)$ and the sequence $0 \rightarrow E_{R/(\underline{a})}(k) \rightarrow E_R(k) \xrightarrow{a} E_R(k)$ is exact. Moreover, $\ell(E_{R/(\underline{a})}(k)) = \ell(R/(\underline{a})) < \ell(R) = \ell(E_R(k))$ and multiplication by a on $E_R(k)$ cannot be the zero map. Thus there is a $y \in E_R(k)$ with $ay \neq 0$ and $(0, y)(a, x) = (0, ay) \neq (0, 0)$. Thus $\mathcal{J}(R * E_R(k)) \cong \mathcal{J}(E_R(k))$ and $r(R * E_R(k)) = 1$.