

①

Test 1 Review Solutions

$$1. \begin{cases} -3y + z = 1 \\ x + y - 2z = 2 \\ x - 2y - z = 3 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 0 & -3 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -2 & 2 \\ 1 & -2 & -1 & 3 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{R_1 \leftrightarrow R_2} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 1 & -2 & 2 \\ 0 & -3 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 & -1 & 3 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{-R_1 + R_2 \rightarrow R_2} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 1 & -2 & 2 \\ 0 & -3 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

$$\xrightarrow{-R_2 + R_3 \rightarrow R_3} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 1 & -2 & 2 \\ 0 & -3 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{-\frac{1}{3}R_2 \rightarrow R_2} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 1 & -2 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{-R_2 + R_1 \rightarrow R_1} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & -\frac{5}{3} & \frac{7}{3} \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

$$\text{Solution Set} = \{(7/3 + 5/3r, -1/3 + 1/3r, r) \mid r \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$$

This is line in \mathbb{R}^3 $\Rightarrow \{(7/3, -1/3, 0) + r(5/3, 1/3, 1) \mid r \in \mathbb{R}\}$

3. $\{\vec{0}\}$ is linearly dependent.

Proof $1\vec{0} = \vec{0}$ and $1 \neq 0$, so $\{\vec{0}\}$ not LI.

4. Part If $\{\vec{v}, \vec{w}\}$ lin indep, then $\{\vec{v}, \vec{w}, \vec{x}\}$ lin indep iff $\vec{x} \notin \text{span}\{\vec{v}, \vec{w}\}$.
One can give examples where $\{\vec{v}, \vec{w}, \vec{x}\}$ is LI and ones where it is Lin Dep.

b. If $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ spans V , then $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n, \vec{v}_{n+1}\}$ spans V .

Proof let $\vec{w} \in V$. Since $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ spans V , there exist r_1, \dots, r_n st

$$\vec{w} = r_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + r_n \vec{v}_n.$$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{w} = r_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + r_n \vec{v}_n + 0 \vec{v}_{n+1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{w} \in \text{span}\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n, \vec{v}_{n+1}\}. \quad \square$$

$$5. r_1[x^2] + r_2[4x^2] + r_3[1] = \begin{bmatrix} 0x^2 \\ +0x \\ +0 \cdot 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$\{x^2, 4x^2 - 2, 1\}$ is Lin Dep, and $1 \in \text{span}\{x^2, 4x^2 - 2\}$

Above row reduction shows $\{x^2, 4x^2 - 2\}$ is LI.

6.(a) $S = \{ f \in D^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}) \mid x^4 f'' - f^2 = 0 \}$ Not a Subspace (2)

Closure since $x^4(0)'' - (0)^2 = 0 - 0 = 0 \Rightarrow 0 \in S$.

Not Closed under Addition Assume $f, g \in S$. Then $(f+g)$ satisfies

$$x^4(f+g)'' - (f+g)^2 = x^4(f'' + g'') - (f^2 + 2fg + g^2)$$

$$= (x^4f'' - f^2) + (x^4g'' - g^2) + 2fg = 0 + 0 + 2fg = 2fg.$$

∴ If there is any $f \neq 0$ st $f \in S$, S not closed under addition.
(In fact, $y = 2x^2 \notin S$, so S not closed. I won't make you find a non-trivial

solution to an ODE on a test though).

Not closed under Scalar Mult Assume $f \in S$, $r \in \mathbb{R}$. Then (rf) satisfies

$$x^4(rf)'' - (rf)^2 = x^4(rf'') - r^2f^2 = r(x^4f'' - f^2), \text{ and we don't expect this to be } 0 \text{ (since it is } x^4f'' - f^2 = 0 \text{ we know).}$$

⑥ $S = \{ f \in D^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}) \mid x^4 f'' - e^{-x^2} f = 0 \}$ is a subspace

Proof $S \neq \emptyset$ since $x^4(0)'' - e^{-0^2}(0) = 0 \Rightarrow 0 \in S$.

Closed under Add, Scalar Mult Let $f, g \in S$ and $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$(rf + sg) \in S \quad \text{b/c}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x^4(rf + sg)'' - e^{-x^2}(rf + sg) &= x^4(rf'' + sg'') - e^{-x^2}(rf + sg) \\ &= r(x^4f'' - e^{-x^2}f) + s(x^4g'' - e^{-x^2}g) \stackrel{f, g \in S}{=} r(0) + s(0) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

⑦ $S = \{ p \in P_n \mid p(1) = 0 \}$ is a subspace. □

Proof $S \neq \emptyset$ Let $p \equiv 0$, then $p(1) = 0 \Rightarrow 0 \in S$.

Closed under +, Scalar Mult Let $p_1, p_2 \in S$ and $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$(rp_1 + sp_2)(1) \stackrel{\text{defn}}{=} r(p_1(1)) + s(p_2(1)) \stackrel{p_1, p_2 \in S}{=} r0 + s0 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow rp_1 + sp_2 \in S. \quad \boxed{}$$

7. If $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3\}$ is a basis for V , then
 $\{\vec{v}_1 + \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_1 - \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3\}$ is a basis for V . (3)

Proof We know $\dim V = 3$, so the Comparison Thm implies
 $\{\vec{v}_1 + \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_1 - \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3\}$ is LI iff it spans V . We check LI.

If $r_1(\vec{v}_1 + \vec{v}_2) + r_2(\vec{v}_1 - \vec{v}_2) + r_3 \vec{v}_3 = \vec{0}$, then

$$(r_1 + r_2)\vec{v}_1 + (r_1 - r_2)\vec{v}_2 + r_3 \vec{v}_3 = \vec{0}$$

$$\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3\} \text{ is LI, so } \begin{cases} r_1 + r_2 = 0 \\ r_1 - r_2 = 0 \\ r_3 = 0 \end{cases} \quad \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right] \rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right] \rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow r_1 = r_2 = r_3 = 0.$$

Hence $\{\vec{v}_1 + \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_1 - \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3\}$ is LI and spans $V \Rightarrow$ it is a basis for V . □

8. $V_1 = \{(x, y, z) \mid -3y + z = 0\}$

$$V_2 = \{(x, y, z) \mid x + y - 2z = 0\}$$

$\Rightarrow V_1 \cap V_2$ is solution set of $\begin{cases} -3y + z = 0 \\ x + y - 2z = 0 \end{cases}$

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 0 & -3 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -2 & 0 \end{array} \right] \rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 1 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right] \rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & -5/3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1/3 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

$$V_1 \cap V_2 = \{(5/3r, 1/3r, r) \mid r \in \mathbb{R}\} = \text{span}\{(5/3, 1/3, 1)\}$$

$\because (5/3, 1/3, 1) \neq \vec{0}$, so $\{(5/3, 1/3, 1)\} \subset \text{LI} \Rightarrow$ Basis for $V_1 \cap V_2$.

9. a. $\vec{V} + (\vec{0} + -\vec{V}) = \vec{0}$ (4)

Proof $\vec{V} + (\vec{0} + -\vec{V}) = (\vec{V} + \vec{0}) + -\vec{V}$ $\left. \begin{matrix} \text{Add Assoc} \\ \text{Add Ident} \end{matrix} \right\}$

$$= \vec{V} + -\vec{V} \quad \text{(Add Ident)}$$

$$= \vec{0} \quad \text{(Add Inverse)} \quad \boxed{\square}$$

b. If $\vec{V} + \vec{W} = \vec{0}$, then $\vec{W} = -\vec{V}$

Proof. Assume $\vec{V} + \vec{W} = \vec{0}$. Then

$$\vec{V} + \vec{W} = \vec{0}$$

$$-\vec{V} + (\vec{V} + \vec{W}) = -\vec{V} + \vec{0} \quad \text{(Adding same things to both sides)}$$

$$(-\vec{V} + \vec{V}) + \vec{W} = -\vec{V} \quad \text{(Add Assoc, Add Ident)}$$

$$(\vec{V} + -\vec{V}) + \vec{W} = -\vec{V} \quad \text{(Add Commut)}$$

$$\vec{0} + \vec{W} = -\vec{V} \quad \text{(Add Inverse)}$$

$$\vec{W} + \vec{0} = -\vec{V} \quad \text{(Add Comm)}$$

$$\underline{\vec{W} = -\vec{V}} \quad \text{(Add Id)} \quad \boxed{\square}$$

$D_0 \stackrel{S}{=} \{ ax^2 + bx + c \mid a+b-2c = 0 \}$ is a subspace of P_2 .

Proof $S \neq \emptyset$ $0x^2 + 0x + 0c \in S$ since $0+0-2 \cdot 0 = 0$.

Closed under +, scalar Let $P_1, P_2 \in S$ where $P_1 = a_1 x^2 + b_1 x + c_1$,

$$P_2 = a_2 x^2 + b_2 x + c_2.$$

Then $(rP_1 + sP_2) = (ra_1 + sa_2)x^2 + (rb_1 + sb_2)x + (rc_1 + sc_2) \in S$ b/c

$$(ra_1 + sa_2) + (rb_1 + sb_2) - 2(rc_1 + sc_2) =$$

$$= r(a_1 + b_1 - 2c_1) + s(a_2 + b_2 - 2c_2) = r \cdot 0 + s \cdot 0 = 0.$$
□

*Note: This is conceptually harder than what will be on Test. (5)

10 b. First, let's work solutions to eqn $a+b-2c=0$ [1 1 -2]
Solutions = $\{(r, s, r+2s) \mid r, s \in \mathbb{R}\}$
 $= \{r(-1, 1, 0) + s(2, 0, 1) \mid r, s \in \mathbb{R}\}$
 $\therefore S = \{r(-x^2+x) + s(2x^2+1) \mid r, s \in \mathbb{R}\}$
 $= \text{span} \{-x^2+x, 2x^2+1\}$

These are clearly LI (not a multiple of each other) \Rightarrow
 $\{-x^2+x, 2x^2+1\}$ is a basis for \mathbb{P}

C. Basis given by $\{-x^2+x, 2x^2+1\}$ $\Rightarrow \dim S = 2$.
2 polys

11. @ P.V., $\dim \mathbb{R}^3 = 3$,
Comparison Thm states that (# Lin Indep Vectors) $\leq \dim V$.
Since $\dim \mathbb{R}^3 = 3$ we can't have 4 Lin Indep vectors
 $\Rightarrow \{(1, 2, 3), (0, 1, 2), (-1, 4, -8), (3, 0, 9)\}$ is Lin Dep.

B) Does $\{(1, 1, 0), (1, 0, 1), (0, 1, 1)\}$ span \mathbb{R}^3 ? Yes

Proof $\dim \mathbb{R}^3 = 3$, So 3 vectors span $\mathbb{R}^3 \Leftrightarrow$ they are LI in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Check LI:

$$\begin{aligned} r_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + r_2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + r_3 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} &\Rightarrow r_1 = r_2 = r_3 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \{(1, 1, 0), (1, 0, 1), (0, 1, 1)\}$ LI \Rightarrow span \mathbb{R}^3 .

D