## Math 930 Problem Set 5

## Due Wednesday, October 8

**Problem 5.1** An orthonormal moving frame on an open set U in a Riemannian manifold is a set  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$  of  $n = \dim M$  vector fields on U that is everywhere orthonormal, i.e.  $g(e_i, e_j) = \delta_{ij} \quad \forall i, j$ . In calculations, it is often more convenient to use moving frames instead of local coordinates.

- (a) Prove that each  $p \in M$  has a neighborhood U such that there is an ON moving frame  $\{e_i\}$  on U with  $(\nabla_{e_i}e_j)_p = 0$  for all i, j. (Hint: Normal coordinates and Gram-Schmidt).
- (b) Let  $\{e_i\}$  be an ON moving frame. Show that the dual frame  $\{e^i\}$  is an orthonormal set of 1-forms when the metic on  $T^*M$  is defined by  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \sum_i \alpha(e_i)\beta(e_i)$ .
- (c) Given a moving frame, we define the connection 1-forms  $\omega_i^{\mathcal{I}}$

$$\nabla_{e_i} e^j = \sum_k \omega^j_{\ k}(e_i) \ e^k$$

Show that the connection is compatible with the metric if and only if  $\omega_i^j = -\omega_j^i$ , i.e.  $\omega_j^i$  is a 1-form with values in the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{so}(n)$  of  $n \times n$  skew-symmetric matrices.

**Problem 5.2** Let N be a closed embedded submanifold of a complete Riemannnian manifold M. For any point  $p \in M \setminus N$ , we define the distance from p to N to be

$$d(p, N) = \inf \{ d(p, x) \mid x \in N \}.$$

- (a) Use the continuity of d(x, y) to prove that there is a point  $q \in N$  that realizes this distance.
- (b) The Hopf-Rinow Theorem then implies that there is a minimizing geodesic  $\gamma$  from p to q. Use the first variational formula (set up the variation carefully) to prove that  $\gamma$  intersects N orthogonally.

**Problem 5.3** Do Problem 6.6 on page 113 of the textbook.

**Problem 5.4** Let  $E \to M$  be a vector bundle with connection  $\nabla$ . The *covariant section* derivative of a section  $\xi \in \Gamma(E)$  in the direction of vector fields X and Y is defined by

$$\nabla_{X,Y}^2 \xi = \nabla_X \nabla_Y \xi - \nabla_{\nabla_X Y} \xi.$$

Prove that  $\nabla^2$  is tensorial in X and Y, i.e.  $\nabla^2_{fX,Y}\xi = f\nabla^2_{X,Y}\xi$  and  $\nabla^2_{X,fY}\xi = f\nabla^2_{X,Y}\xi$  for all  $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ .