

work has dealt with the existence of a differentiable conjugacy between a diffeomorphism  $f$  with irrational rotation number  $\lambda$  and  $R_\lambda$ . Arnol, Moser, and Herman have obtained results (see [Melo and Strien \(1993\)](#) for a discussion of this results and references).

## Acknowledgments

The author was supported in part by CNPq-Brazil, and was partially supported by FAPESP Grant # TEMÁTICO 03/03107-9.

See also: Chaos and Attractors; Ergodic Theory; Generic Properties of Dynamical Systems; Random Dynamical Systems; Wavelets: Mathematical Theory.

## Further Reading

- Denjoy A (1932) Sur les courbes définies par les équations différentielles a la surface du tore. *Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées* 11(9): 333–375.
- Herman M (1979) Sur les conjugation différentiable des difféomorphismes du cercle à des rotations. *Publ. Math. IHES* 49: 5–233.
- Kahane JP (1970) *Séries de Fourier Absolument Convergentes*, p. 84. Berlin: Springer.
- Kahane JP (1983) Quatre Leçons sur les Homéomorphismes du Circle et les Series de Fourier. In: *Topics in Modern Harmonic Analysis*, Proceedings of a Seminar Held in Torino and Milano, May–June 1982, vol. II, pp. 955–990. Roma.

- Katok A and Hasselblatt B (1995) *Introduction to the Modern Theory of Dynamical Systems*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Katznelson Y (1976) *An Introduction to Harmonic Analysis*, 2nd edn, p. 217. New York: Dover.
- Melo W and Strien S (1993) *One-Dimensional Dynamics*, ch. 1. Berlin: Springer.
- Poincaré H (1881) Mémoire Sur les courbes définies par les équations différentielles I. *Journal of Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées* 3(7): 375–422.
- Poincaré H (1882) Mémoire Sur les courbes définies par les équations différentielles II. *Journal de Math. Pure et Appl.* 8: 251–286.
- Poincaré H (1885) Mémoire Sur les courbes définies par les équations différentielles III. *Journal de Math. Pure et Appl.* 4(series 1): 167–244.
- Poincaré H (1886) Mémoire Sur les courbes définies par les équations différentielles IV. *Journal de Math. Pure et Appl.* 2: 151–217.
- Robinson C (1962) *Dynamical Systems: Stability, Symbolic Dynamics, and Chaos*. London: CRC Press.
- Robinson C (1999) *Dynamical Systems: Stability, Symbolic Dynamics, and Chaos*. London: CRC Press.
- Rudin W (1962) *Fourier Analysis on Groups*, ch. 4. New York: Wiley.
- Stein EM (1986) In: Stein EM (ed.) *Oscillatory Integral and Fourier Analysis*, Beijing Lectures in Harmonic Analysis, pp. 307–355. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Stein EM and Wainger S (1970) The estimation of an integral arising in multiplier transformation. *Studia Math.* 35: 101–104.
- Zumpano A (1998) Infinite type homeomorphisms of the circle and convergence of Fourier series. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 350(10): 4023–4040.

## Homoclinic Phenomena

**S E Newhouse**, Michigan State University,  
E. Lansing, MI, USA

© 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## Introduction

Homoclinic orbits (or motions) were first defined by Poincaré in his treatise on the “restricted three-body problem.” (Poincaré 1987) Further advances were made by Birkhoff ([Birkhoff 1960](#)) in the 1930s, and, by Smale in the 1960s. Since that time, they have been studied by many people and have been shown to be intimately related to our understanding of nonlinear dynamical systems. There are many systems which possess homoclinic orbits. In one striking example (as discussed in the book of [Moser \(1973\)](#), they can be used to account for the unbounded oscillatory motion discovered by Sitnikov in the three-body problem. They also commonly occur in two-dimensional mappings derived from periodically forced oscillations (e.g., see the book by [Guckenheimer and Holmes \(1983\)](#)).

Roughly speaking, a homoclinic orbit is an orbit of a mapping or differential equation which is both forward and backward asymptotic to a periodic orbit which satisfies a certain nondegeneracy condition called “hyperbolicity.” On its own, such an orbit is only of mild interest. However, these orbits induce quite interesting structures among nearby orbits, and this latter fact is responsible for the main importance of homoclinic orbits. In addition, when homoclinic orbits are created in a parametrized system, many interesting and unexpected phenomena arise.

In this article, we first describe the history and basic properties of homoclinic orbits. Next, we consider some simple polynomial diffeomorphisms of the plane (the so-called Hénon family) which exhibit homoclinic orbits. Subsequently, we discuss a general theorem due to Katok which gives sufficient conditions for the existence of such orbits. Finally, we briefly consider issues related to homoclinic bifurcations and some of their consequences.


### Homoclinic Orbits in Diffeomorphisms

Consider a discrete dynamical system given by a  $C^r$  diffeomorphism  $f : M \rightarrow M$  where  $M$  is a  $C^\infty$  manifold and  $r$  is a positive integer. That is,  $f$  is bijective and both  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are  $r$ -times continuously differentiable. Given a point  $x \in M$ , set  $x_0 = x$ . For non-negative integers  $n$  we inductively define  $x_{n+1} = f(x_n)$  and  $x_{-n-1} = f^{-1}(x_{-n})$ . We also write  $f^n(x) = x_n$  for  $n$  in the set  $Z$  of all integers. The “orbit” of  $x$  is the set  $O(x) = \{f^n(x); n \in Z\}$ .

A “periodic point”  $p$  of  $f$  is a point such that there is a positive integer  $N > 0$  such that  $f^N(p) = p$ . The least such number  $\tau(p)$  is called the “period” of  $p$ . If  $\tau(p) = 1$ , we call  $p$  a “fixed point.” The periodic point  $p$  with period  $\tau$  is called “hyperbolic” if all eigenvalues of the derivative  $Df^\tau(p)$  at  $p$  have absolute value different from 1. For convenience, we refer to the eigenvalues of  $Df^\tau(p)$  as eigenvalues associated to  $p$ . If  $p$  is a hyperbolic periodic point all of whose associated eigenvalues have norm less than one, we call  $p$  a “sink” or “attracting periodic point.” The opposite case in which all associated eigenvalues have norm larger than one is called a “source.” A hyperbolic periodic point  $p$  which is neither a source nor a sink is called a “saddle” or “hyperbolic saddle.”

Given a saddle  $p$  of period  $\tau$ , we consider the set  $W^s(p) = W^s(p, f)$  of points  $y \in M$  which are forward asymptotic to  $p$  under the iterates  $f^{n\tau}$ . That is, the points  $y \in M$  such that  $f^{n\tau}(y) \rightarrow p$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This is called the “stable set” of  $p$ . Similarly, we consider the “unstable set” of  $p$  which we may define as  $W^u(p) = W^u(p, f) = W^s(p, f^{-1})$ . The stable manifold theorem guarantees that  $W^s(p)$  and  $W^u(p)$  are injectively immersed submanifolds of  $M$  whose dimensions add up to  $\dim M$ . In these cases, they are called the stable and unstable manifolds of  $p$ , respectively. A point  $q \in W^s(p) \cap W^u(p) \setminus \{p\}$  is called a “homoclinic point” of  $p$  (or of the pair  $(f, p)$ ). If the submanifolds  $W^s(p)$  and  $W^u(p)$  meet transversely at  $q$ , then  $q$  is called a “transverse homoclinic point.” Otherwise,  $q$  is called a “homoclinic tangency.”

In the special case when  $M$  is a two-dimensional manifold, the stable and unstable manifolds of a saddle periodic point  $p$  are injectively immersed curves in  $M$ . A transverse homoclinic point  $q$  of  $p$  is a point of intersection off  $p$  where the curves are not tangent to each other. This is depicted in **Figure 1** for the case of a saddle fixed point for the map  $H(x, y) = (7 - x^2 - y, x)$ , a member of the so-called Hénon family, which we will discuss later. The figure was made using the numerical package “Dynamics” which comes with the book by Nusse and Yorke (1998).



**Figure 1** Stable and unstable manifolds in the map  $H(x, y) = (7 - x^2 - y, x)$  for the fixed point  $p \approx (-3.83, -3.83)$ .

One easily sees that every point in the orbit of a transverse homoclinic point  $q$  of a hyperbolic saddle fixed point  $p$  is again a transverse homoclinic point of  $p$ . Also, the curves  $W^u(p)$  and  $W^s(p)$  are invariant; that is,  $f(W^u(p)) = W^u(p)$  and  $f(W^s(p)) = W^s(p)$ . This implies that the curves  $W^u(p)$  and  $W^s(p)$  extend, wind around, and accumulate on each other forming a complicated web.

Upon seeing this complicated structure in the restricted three-body problem, Poincaré very poetically wrote (p. 389, Poincaré 1987)

Que l’on cherche à se représenter la figure formée par ces deux courbes et leurs intersections en nombre infini dont chacune correspond à une solution doublement asymptotique, ces intersections forment une sorte de treillis, de tissu, de réseau à mailles infiniment serrées; chacune des deux courbes ne doit jamais se recouper elle-même, mais elle doit se replier sur elle-même d’une manière très complexe pour venir recouper une infinité de fois toutes les mailles du réseau.

On sera frappé de la complexité de cette figure, que je ne cherche même pas à tracer. Rien n’est plus propre à nous donner une idée de la complication du problème des trois corps et en général de tous les problèmes de Dynamique où il n’y a pas d’intégrale uniforme ...

The next major advance concerning homoclinic orbits was made by Birkhoff (1960), who proved that in every neighborhood of a transverse homoclinic point of a surface diffeomorphism, one can find infinitely many distinct periodic points. Birkhoff also presented a symbolic description of the nearby orbits and noticed the analogy with Hadamard’s description of geodesics on a surface. Birkhoff’s analysis was generalized by Smale to arbitrary dimension, and, in addition, Smale gave a simpler analysis of the associated nearby orbits in terms of compact zero-dimensional

symbolic spaces which we now call “shift spaces” or “topological Markov chains.”

Once one knows that a diffeomorphism  $f$  has a transverse homoclinic point for a saddle periodic point  $p$ , it is interesting to consider the closure of the orbits of all such homoclinic points. This turns out to be a closed invariant set containing a dense orbit and a countable dense set of periodic saddle points (Newhouse 1980). It is usually called a “homoclinic closure” or  $h$ -closure. These sets form the basis of chaotic or irregular motions in nonlinear systems.

### The Smale Horseshoe Map and Associated Symbolic System

To understand the geometric picture discovered by Smale, it is best to start with a concrete example of a diffeomorphism of the plane known as the “Smale horseshoe diffeomorphism.”

Given any homeomorphism  $f : X \rightarrow X$  on a space  $X$  and a subset  $U \subset X$ , let us define  $I(f, U)$  to be the set of points  $x \in X$  such that  $f^n(x) \in U$  for every integer  $n$ . Thus, we have

$$I(f, U) = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f^n(U)$$

We call  $I(f, U)$  the invariant set of  $f$  in  $U$ , or, alternatively, the invariant set of the pair  $(f, U)$ .

We now construct a special diffeomorphism  $f$  of the Euclidean plane to itself in which  $U = Q$  is the unit square and for which  $I(f, U)$  has a very interesting structure. It is this map which is usually known as the Smale horseshoe map.

Let  $Q = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$  be the unit square in the plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Let  $0 < \alpha < 1/2$ , and consider a diffeomorphism  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  which is a composition of two diffeomorphisms  $f = T_2 \circ T_1$  as follows. The map  $T_1(x, y) = (\alpha^{-1}x, \alpha y)$  contracts vertically, expands horizontally, and maps  $Q$  to the thin rectangle  $Q_1 = \{(x, y) : 0 \leq x \leq \alpha^{-1}, 0 \leq y \leq \alpha\}$  which is short and wide. The map  $T_2$  bends the right side of  $Q_1$  up and around so that  $T_2(Q_1) = f(Q)$  has the shape of a “horseshoe” or “rotated arch.” We arrange for  $T_2$  to take the lower-right corner of  $Q_1$  up to the upper-left corner of  $Q$  in such a way that  $f(Q)$  meets  $Q$  in two full width subrectangles which we call  $R_1$  and  $R_2$ . This can be done in such a way that the preimages  $R_1^{-1} = T_1^{-1}(R_1)$  and  $R_2^{-1} = T_1^{-1}(T_2^{-1}(R_2))$  are both full-height subrectangles of  $Q$ , and the restricted maps  $f_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f|_{R_1^{-1}}$  and  $f_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f|_{R_2^{-1}}$  are both affine. Thus, we arrange that  $f_1$  is simply the restriction of  $T_1$  to  $R_1^{-1}$ , and the map  $f_2$  can be expressed in formulas as  $f_2(x, y) = (-\alpha^{-1}x + \alpha^{-1}, -\alpha y + 1)$ . This construction implies that  $f$  will have the origin  $p = (0, 0)$  as a




Figure 2 The horseshoe map.

hyperbolic fixed point. We label the upper-left corner  $(0, 1)$  of  $Q$  with the letter  $q$ . It follows that the bottom and left edges of  $Q$  will be in the unstable and stable manifolds of  $p$ , respectively, and we have indicated this in Figure 2 with small arrows.

The above construction gives us a diffeomorphism  $f$  of the plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $Q_1^+ \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f(Q) \cap Q = R_1 \cup R_2$  is the union of two full-width subrectangles of  $Q$ . We wish to describe  $I(f, Q)$ . We begin with the sets  $Q^+ = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} f^n(Q)$  and  $Q^- = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} f^{-n}(Q)$ . Thus,  $Q^+$  is simply the set of points in  $Q$  whose backward orbits stay in  $Q$ , and  $Q^-$  is the set of points whose forward orbits stay in  $Q$ . For  $i = 1, 2$ , each rectangle  $R_i$  is mapped to a thin horseshoe in  $f(Q)$  which meets  $Q$  in two full-width subrectangles. Combining these for  $i = 1, 2$  gives four full-width rectangles as shaded in Figure 3. Thus,  $Q \cap f(Q) \cap f^2(Q)$  consists of these four subrectangles. Figure 3 shows the sets  $f^2(Q), f^{-2}(Q)$  as well as the shaded rectangles we just mentioned.

Continuing in this way, one sees that, for each  $n > 0$ , the set  $Q_n^+ = Q \cap f(Q) \cap \dots \cap f^n(Q)$  consists of  $2^n$  full-width subrectangles of  $Q$ , each with height




Figure 3 The sets  $f^2(Q)$  and  $f^{-2}(Q)$  for the horseshoe map  $f$ .

$\alpha^n$ . It follows that  $Q^+ = \bigcap_n f^n(Q)$  is an interval times a Cantor set. Analogously,  $Q^-$  is a Cantor set times an interval, and the set  $I(f, Q)$  is a Cantor set in the plane. Let us recall the definition of a Cantor set  $C$  in a metric space  $X$ . We first define a Cantor space  $C$  to be a compact, perfect, totally disconnected metric space. That is,  $C$  is a compact metric space, whose connected components are points such that every point  $x$  in  $C$  is a limit point of  $C \setminus \{x\}$ . A Cantor set  $C$  in a metric space  $X$  is a subset which is a Cantor space in the induced subspace (relative) topology.

The dynamics of  $f$  on the invariant set  $I(f, Q)$  can be conveniently described as follows.

Let  $\Sigma_2 = \{1, 2\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  be the set of doubly infinite sequences of 1's and 2's. Writing elements  $a \in \Sigma_2$  as  $a = (a_i) = (a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , we define a metric  $\rho$  on  $\Sigma_2$  by

$$\rho(a, b) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{2^{|n|}} |a_i - b_i|$$

The pair  $(\Sigma_2, \rho)$ , then, is a Cantor space.

The “left-shift automorphism” on  $\Sigma_2$  is the map  $\sigma: \Sigma_2 \rightarrow \Sigma_2$  defined by  $\sigma(a)_i = a_{i+1}$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . This is a homeomorphism from  $\Sigma_2$  to itself. It has a dense orbit and a dense set of periodic points.

For a point  $x \in I(f, Q)$ , define an element  $\phi(x) = a = (a_i) \in \Sigma_2$  by  $a_i = j$  if and only if  $f^i(x) \in R_j$ . It turns out that the map  $\phi: I(f, Q) \rightarrow \Sigma_2$  is a homeomorphism such that  $\sigma\phi = \phi f$ .


In general, given two discrete dynamical systems  $f: X \rightarrow X$ , and  $g: Y \rightarrow Y$ , a homeomorphism  $h: X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $gh = hf$  is called a topological conjugacy from the pair  $(f, X)$  to the pair  $(g, Y)$ . When such a conjugacy exists, the two systems have virtually the same dynamical properties.

In the present case, one sees that the dynamics of  $f$  on  $I(f, Q)$  is completely described by that of  $\sigma$  on  $\Sigma_2$ .

It turns out the the Smale horseshoe map contains essentially all of the geometry necessary to describe the orbit structures near homoclinic orbits. To begin to see this, recall that the left and bottom boundaries of  $Q$  were in the stable and unstable manifolds of  $p$ . Extending these curves as in **Figure 4**, one sees that the three corners of  $Q$  different from  $p$  are, in fact, all transverse homoclinic points of  $p$ .

It was a great discovery of Smale that, in the case of a general transverse homoclinic point, one sees the above geometric structure after taking some power  $f^N$  of the diffeomorphism  $f$ . Thus, we have

**Theorem 1 (Smale).** *Let  $f: M \rightarrow M$  be a  $C^1$  diffeomorphism of a manifold  $M$  with a hyperbolic periodic point  $p$  and a transverse homoclinic point  $q$  of the pair  $(f, p)$ . Then, one can find a positive*



**Figure 4** Stable and unstable manifolds in the horseshoe map.


*integer  $N$  and a compact neighborhood  $U$  of the points  $p$  and  $q$  such that the pair  $(f^N, I(f^N, U))$  is topologically conjugate to the full 2-shift  $(\sigma, \Sigma_2)$ .*

In modern language, we can assert that more is true. Let  $\Lambda(f) = \bigcup_{0 \leq j < N} f^j(I(f^N, U))$  be the  $f$ -orbit of the set  $I(f^N, U)$ . Then,  $\Lambda(f)$  is a compact zero-dimensional hyperbolic basic set for  $f$  with  $V \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigcup_{0 \leq j < N} f^j(U)$  as an “adapted” or “isolating” neighborhood. This means that  $\Lambda(f) = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z f^n(V)$  is a compact, zero-dimensional hyperbolic set (see [Robinson \(1999\)](#) for definitions and related references) contained in the interior of  $V$  and  $f|_{\Lambda(f)}$  has a dense orbit. If  $g$  is  $C^1$  near  $f$ , then  $\Lambda(g) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z g^n(V)$  is a hyperbolic basic set for  $g$  and the pairs  $(f, \Lambda(f))$  and  $(g, \Lambda(g))$  are topologically conjugate.

To get some appreciation for the magnitude of the contribution here, one might note the complicated arguments employed by Poincaré at the end of Poincaré (1987) to show that so-called heteroclinic points (intersections between stable and unstable manifolds of saddles with different orbits) existed. Birkhoff found a symbolic description (using infinitely many symbols) of the orbits near a transverse homoclinic orbit from which the existence of both infinitely many periodic and heteroclinic points is obvious. Smale extended the treatment of transverse homoclinic points to all dimensions, and found the symbolic description (using two symbols for some iterate of the map) given above. Moreover, Smale proved the “robustness” of these structures: they persist under small  $C^1$  perturbations. Note that Poincaré’s discovery of homoclinic points was in 1899, Birkhoff’s results came in 1935, and Smale’s results came in 1965. Thus, the above advances took over 65 years!

One can understand the geometry of Smale’s construction fairly easily in the two-dimensional case. Let  $q$  be the transverse homoclinic point of the saddle fixed point  $p$  of the  $C^r$  diffeomorphism  $f$  on the plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Given a small neighborhood  $\tilde{U}$  of  $p$ , let






**Figure 5** The curves  $l_1 \subset W^s(p)$  and  $l_2 \subset W^u(p)$ .

$W^s(p, \tilde{U})$  denote connected component of  $W^s(p) \cap \tilde{U}$  containing  $p$ , and define  $W^u(p, \tilde{U})$  similarly. We may choose  $C^r$  coordinates  $(x, y)$  so that in some small neighborhood  $\tilde{U}$  of  $p$ , the point  $p$  corresponds to  $(0, 0)$ , the set  $W^u(p, \tilde{U})$  corresponds to  $(y=0)$ , and the set  $W^s(p, \tilde{U})$  corresponds to  $(x=0)$ . We assume that  $\tilde{U}$  is small enough that  $f$  in  $\tilde{U}$  is closely approximated by its derivative  $Df_{(0,0)}$ . Hence,  $f$  nearly contracts vertical directions and expands horizontal directions in  $\tilde{U}$ .

Take compact arcs  $I_1 \subset W^s(p)$  and  $I_2 \subset W^u(p)$  both containing the points  $p$  and  $q$  as in **Figure 5**.

Let  $D$  be a curvilinear rectangle which is a slight thickening of  $I_1$ . The forward iterates  $f^i(D)$  will stay near  $I_1$  for a while and then start to approach  $I_2$ . If we choose  $D$  appropriately, we can arrange for some high iterate  $f^N(D)$  to be a slight thickening of  $I_2$  as in **Figure 6**. This looks geometrically like the horseshoe map. Let  $A_1$  be the connected component of the intersection  $D \cap f^N(D)$  containing  $p$ , and let  $A_2$  be the connected component of the intersection  $D \cap f^N(D)$  containing  $q$ . These sets (which are shaded in **Figure 6**) play the role of the rectangles  $R_1$  and  $R_2$ , respectively, in the horseshoe construction. We use the set  $A_1 \cup A_2$  for  $U$  in **Theorem 1**.



**Figure 6** The curvilinear rectangle  $D$  and its  $N$ th iterate  $f^N(D)$  are geometrically like the horseshoe map.

### The Hénon Family

To give explicit formulas for the horseshoe map above is somewhat tedious, and it is of interest to note that similar properties occur in maps with simple formulas. Indeed, such properties occur quite often in a well-known family of maps known as the “Hénon family.” As we have mentioned, the map in **Figure 1** provides an example.

One may simply define a Hénon map as a diffeomorphism  $H = (H_1(x, y), H_2(x, y))$  with inverse  $G(x, y) = (G_1(x, y), G_2(x, y))$  such that all the maps  $F_i(x, y), G_i(x, y)$  are polynomials of degree at most two. It is known (see, e.g., **Friedland and Milnor (1989)**) that such maps  $H$  have constant Jacobian determinant, and, up to affine conjugacy, may be represented in the form  $H = H_{a,b}(x, y) = (a - x^2 - by, x)$  with  $a, b$  constants and  $b \neq 0$ . This makes sense when all the terms are real or complex. In the real case, we speak of the real Hénon family and, in the complex case, we speak of the complex Hénon family.

The real Hénon family was first presented by the physicist M Hénon in 1976 as perhaps the simplest nonlinear diffeomorphism of the plane exhibiting a so-called “strange attractor.” These mappings in the real and complex cases have been the focus of much attention. Our interest here is that, at least for certain parameters  $a, b$ , they provide concrete globally defined maps whose dynamics are analogous to that of the horseshoe diffeomorphism. In fact, **Devaney and Nitecki (1979)** proved (in the real case) that for fixed  $b \neq 0$ , there is a constant  $a_0 > 0$  such that if  $a > a_0$ , then the set  $B_{a,b}$  of bounded orbits of  $H_{a,b}$  is a compact zero-dimensional set and the pair  $(H_{a,b}, B_{a,b})$  is topologically conjugate to  $(\sigma, \Sigma_2)$ . In addition, it can be shown that the invariant set  $B_{a,b}$  is a single hyperbolic  $b$ -closure. Analogous results are true for the complex Hénon family and proofs were originally given in the thesis of Ralph Oberste-Vorth (unpublished) under the supervision of John Hubbard at Cornell University. More recent proofs are in **Newhouse (2004)** and **Hruska (2004)**. Many interesting results have been obtained for the complex Hénon map by Bedford and Smillie and Sibony and Fornæss (see the references in **Hruska (2004)**).

### Homoclinic Points in Systems with Positive Topological Entropy

There is an invariant of topological conjugacy which is known as the topological entropy. In a certain sense, this gives a quantitative measurement of the amount of complicated or chaotic motion in the system.

Let  $f: X \rightarrow X$  be a continuous self-map of the compact metric space  $(X, d)$ . For a positive integer  $n > 0$ , we define an  $n$ -orbit to be a finite sequence  $O(x, n) = \{x, f(x), \dots, f^{n-1}(x)\}$ . Given a positive real number  $\epsilon > 0$ , we say that two  $n$ -orbits  $O(x, n)$  and  $O(y, n)$  are “ $\epsilon$ -distinguishable” if there is a  $0 \leq j < n$  such that  $d(f^j x, f^j y) > \epsilon$ . Another way to look at this is the following. Define the so-called  $d_n$ -metric on  $X$  by setting  $d_n(x, y) = \max_{0 \leq j < n} d(f^j x, f^j y)$ . Then, the two  $n$ -orbits  $O(x, n), O(y, n)$  are  $\epsilon$ -distinguishable if and only if  $d_n(x, y) > \epsilon$ . It follows from compactness of  $X$  and the uniform continuity of each of the maps  $f^j, 0 \leq j < n$ , that the number  $r(n, \epsilon, f)$  of  $\epsilon$ -distinguishable  $n$ -orbits is finite for each given  $\epsilon > 0$  and each positive integer  $n$ . We define the number

$$h(f) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log r(n, \epsilon, f)$$

This means that, for some sequence of integers  $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$ , the map  $f$  has roughly  $e^{nh(f)}$   $\epsilon$ -distinguishable  $n_i$ -orbits for  $i$  large and  $\epsilon$  small.

The number  $h(f)$  is called the topological entropy of the map  $f$ . It may be infinite for homeomorphisms, but it is always finite for smooth maps on finite-dimensional manifolds. The number  $h(f)$  has many nice properties. For instance,  $h(f^N) = Nh(f)$  for every positive integer  $N$ , and, if  $f$  is a homeomorphism, then  $h(f^{-1}) = h(f)$ . Further, if  $f$  and  $g$  are topologically conjugate, then  $h(f) = h(g)$ . The so-called “variational principle for topological entropy” asserts that  $h(f)$  is the supremum of the measure-theoretic entropies of the invariant probability measures for  $f$ . Our interest in this invariant here is the following theorem of Katok.

**Theorem 2**(Katok). *Let  $f$  be a  $C^2$  diffeomorphism of a compact two-dimensional manifold  $M$  to itself with positive topological entropy. Then,  $f$  has transverse homoclinic points.*

In fact, Katok extended this theorem (see the supplement in Hasselblatt and Katok (1995)) to show that, if  $h(f) > 0$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , then there is a compact zero-dimensional hyperbolic basic set  $\Lambda$  for  $h$  such that  $h(f, \Lambda) > h(f) - \epsilon$ . Thus, one can find nice invariant topologically transitive sets for  $f$  (i.e., sets with dense orbits) on which the topological entropies of restriction of  $f$  are arbitrarily close to that of  $f$ .

This theorem has the interesting consequence that the map  $f \rightarrow h(f)$  is lower-semicontinuous on the space of  $C^2$  diffeomorphisms of a surface. It was proved in Newhouse (1989) (and, independently by Yomdin (1987)) that the map  $f \rightarrow h(f)$  is upper-semicontinuous on the space of  $C^\infty$  diffeomorphisms

of any compact manifold. Combining these results gives the theorem that the map  $f \rightarrow h(f)$  is continuous on the space of  $C^\infty$  diffeomorphisms on a compact surface, and that positivity of  $h(f)$  implies the existence of transverse homoclinic points.

It is also worth noting that, for any continuous self-map  $f: M \rightarrow M$  on a compact manifold  $M$ , one has the inequality  $h(f) \geq \log |\mu|$  where  $\mu$  is the eigenvalue of largest norm of the induced map  $f_*$  on the first real homology group (Manning 1975). Putting this together with Theorem 2 gives the fact that there are whole homotopy classes of diffeomorphisms on surfaces all of whose elements have transverse homoclinic points. For instance, consider a  $2 \times 2$  matrix

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

with integer entries, determinant 1, and eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  with  $0 < |\lambda_1| < 1 < |\lambda_2|$ . Let  $\tilde{L}: T^2 \rightarrow T^2$  be the induced diffeomorphism on the two-dimensional torus  $T^2$ . This is an example of what is called an “Anosov” diffeomorphism. In this case the number  $\mu$  above is simply  $\lambda_2$ , and this holds for any diffeomorphism  $\tilde{f}$  of  $T^2$  which can be continuously deformed into  $\tilde{L}$ . Hence, any such  $f$  must have transverse homoclinic points.

### Homoclinic Tangencies

Let  $\{f_\lambda, \lambda \in [0, 1]\}$  be a parametrized family of  $C^r$  diffeomorphisms of the plane with  $\lambda$  an external parameter. It frequently occurs that there is a hyperbolic saddle fixed point  $p_\lambda$  for each parameter  $\lambda$  moving continuously with  $\lambda$  such that, at some value  $\lambda_0$ , a homoclinic tangency is created at a point  $q_0$ . This means that there are an  $\epsilon > 0$ , a small neighborhood  $U$  of  $q_0$ , and curves  $\gamma_\lambda^u \subset W^u(p_\lambda), \gamma_\lambda^s \subset W^s(p_\lambda)$  such that  $\gamma_\lambda^s \cap \gamma_\lambda^u = \emptyset$  for  $\lambda_0 - \epsilon < \lambda < \lambda_0, \gamma_{\lambda_0}^s \cap \gamma_{\lambda_0}^u = \{q_0\}$ , and  $\gamma_\lambda^s \cap \gamma_\lambda^u$  consists of two distinct points for  $\lambda_0 < \lambda < \lambda_0 + \epsilon$ . In most cases, the tangency of  $\gamma_{\lambda_0}^u$  and  $\gamma_{\lambda_0}^s$  at  $q_0$  will be of the second order, and we will assume that occurs here. The geometry is as in Figure 7.




Figure 7 Creation of a homoclinic tangency.

The creation of homoclinic tangencies is part of the general subject of “homoclinic bifurcations.” A recent survey of this subject is in the book by Bonatti *et al.* (2005). Typical results are the following. If  $p = p_{\lambda_0}$  is a saddle fixed point whose derivative is area-decreasing (i.e.,  $|\text{Det}(Df(p))| < 1$ ), then there are infinitely many parameters  $\lambda$  near  $\lambda_0$  for which each transverse homoclinic point of  $p_\lambda$  is a limit of periodic sinks (asymptotically stable periodic orbits) (Newhouse 1979, Robinson 1983). In addition, so-called strange attractors and SRB measures appear (Mora and Viana 1993).

Finally, we mention that recently it has been shown that, generically in the  $C^r$  topology for  $r \geq 2$ , homoclinic closures associated to a homoclinic tangency (in dimension 2) have maximal Hausdorff dimension (Theorem 1.6 in Downarowicz and Newhouse (2005)).

*See also:* Chaos and Attractors; Fractal Dimensions in Dynamics; Generic Properties of Dynamical Systems; Hyperbolic Dynamical Systems; Lyapunov Exponents and Strange Attractors; Saddle Point Problems; Singularity and Bifurcation Theory; Solitons and Other Extended Field Configurations.

## Further Reading

- Birkhoff GD (1960) Nouvelles recherches sur les systèmes dynamiques. In: *George David Birkhoff, Collected Mathematical Papers, Vol. II*, pp. 620–631. New York: American Mathematical Society.
- Bonatti C, Diaz L, and Viana M (2005) *Dynamics Beyond Uniform Hyperbolicity*, Encyclopedia of Mathematical Sciences, Subseries: Mathematical Physics III, vol. 102, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York: Springer.
- Devaney R and Nitecki Z (1979) Shift automorphism in the henon mapping. *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 67: 137–148.
- Downarowicz T and Newhouse S (2005) Symbolic extensions in smooth dynamical systems. *Inventiones Mathematicae* 160(3): 453–499.

- Friedland S and Milnor J (1989) Dynamical properties of plane polynomial automorphisms. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*. 9: 67–99.
- Guckenheimer J and Holmes P (1983) *Nonlinear Oscillations, Dynamical Systems, and Bifurcation of Vector Fields*, Applied Mathematical Sciences, vol. 42, New York: Springer.
- Hasselblatt B and Katok A (1995) *Introduction to the Modern Theory of Dynamical Systems*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications, vol. 54, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Hruska SL (2004) A numerical method for proving hyperbolicity in complex hénon mappings (<http://arxiv.org>).
- Manning A (1975) Topological entropy and the first homology group. In: Manning A (ed.) *Dynamical Systems – Warwick 1974*, Lecture Notes in Math. vol. 468, pp. 567–573. New York: Springer.
- Moser J (1973) *Stable and Random Motions in Dynamical Systems*, Annals of Mathematical Studies, Number 77. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Mora L and Viana M (1993) Abundance of strange attractors. *Acta Mathematica* 171: 1–71.
- Newhouse S (1979) The abundance of wild hyperbolic sets and non-smooth stable sets for diffeomorphisms. *Publications Mathématiques de l’I.H.E.S.* 50: 101–151.
- Newhouse S (1980) Lectures on dynamical systems. In: Coates J and Helgason S (eds.) *Dynamical Systems, CIME Lectures, Bressanone, Italy, June 1978*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 8, pp. 1–114. Cambridge, MA: Birkhäuser.
- Newhouse S (1989) Continuity properties of entropy. *Annals of Mathematics* 129: 215–235.
- Newhouse S (2004) Cone-fields, domination, and hyperbolicity. In: Brin M, Hasselblatt B, and Pesin Y (eds.) *Modern Dynamical Systems and Applications*, pp. 419–432. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Nusse HE and Yorke JA (1998) *Dynamics: Numerical Explorations*. Applied Mathematical Sciences, vol. 101, New York: Springer.
- Poincaré H (1899) *Les methodes nouvelles de la Mecanique Celeste*–Tome 3. Guathier-Villars, Original publication (New Printing: Librairie Scientifique et Technique Albert Blanchard 9, Rue Medecin 75006, Paris, 1987).
- Robinson C (1983) Homoclinic bifurcation to infinitely many sinks. *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 90: 433–459.
- Robinson C (1999) *Dynamical Systems, Stability, Symbolic Dynamics, and Chaos*, Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 2nd edn. New York: CRC Press.
- Yomdin Y (1987) Volume growth and entropy. *Israel Journal of Mathematics* 57: 285–300.

## Hopf Algebra Structure of Renormalizable Quantum Field Theory

D Kreimer, IHES, Bures-sur-Yvette, France

© 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

### Overview

Renormalization theory is a venerable subject put to daily use in many branches of physics. Here, we focus on its applications in quantum field theory, where a standard perturbative approach is provided through an expansion in Feynman diagrams. Whilst

the combinatorics of the Bogoliubov recursion, solved by suitable forest formulas, has been known for a long time, the subject regained interest on the conceptual side with the discovery of an underlying Hopf algebra structure behind these recursions.

Perturbative expansions in quantum field theory are organized in terms of one-particle irreducible (1PI) Feynman graphs. The goal is to calculate the corresponding 1PI Green functions order by order in the coupling constants of the theory, by applying Feynman rules to these 1PI graphs of a