

10/25/2017 Limit Arithmetic IV.3

• we explore how continuity of limits ~~work~~ for functions valued in our favorite metric space: \mathbb{R} .

• Given a metric space (E, d) and considering \mathbb{R} w/ the usual metric, we consider real-valued functions

$$f, g: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

We can then consider:

$$(f + g)(x) = f(x) + g(x)$$

$$(f - g)(x) = f(x) - g(x)$$

$$(f * g)(x) = f(x) \cdot g(x)$$

$$(f/g)(x) = f(x)/g(x) \text{ (provided } g(x) \neq 0 \text{)}$$

Prop Let (E, d) be a metric space and equip \mathbb{R} w/ the usual metric. Suppose

$$f, g: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

are cts at $x_0 \in E$. Then so are $f + g$, $f - g$, $f * g$. If $g(x_0) \neq 0$ and $\exists \delta > 0$ s.t.

$g(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in B(x_0, \delta)$, then f/g

is also cts at x_0 .

Pf: Use charact. of cty in terms of sequences and apply previous ~~Prop~~ Prop for sequences. \square

Cor: Let $x_0 \in E$ be a cluster point of E .

If $f, g: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ both have limits at x_0 , then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} (f + g)(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) + \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} g(x)$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} (f - g)(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) - \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} g(x)$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} (f * g)(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) \cdot \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} g(x)$$

If $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} g(x) \neq 0$, then $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} (f/g)(x) = \frac{\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x)}{\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} g(x)}$

For next
we now consider special functions

$\pi_j: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ $j=1, \dots, n$
~~(which) \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{R} are equipped (with the) (metric)~~
defined by

$$\pi_j(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = x_j$$

π_j is called the j th-coordinate function / projection.

Lemma: \mathbb{R}^n with (\mathbb{R}^n, d) the n -dim'd Euclidean metric space and \mathbb{R} equipped with the usual metric, $\pi_j: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is cts.

Pf: We have essentially observed this previously many times. Fix

$$\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

and let $\epsilon > 0$. setting $\delta = \epsilon$, if $\vec{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies

$$d(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) < \delta$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} |\pi_j(\vec{x}) - \pi_j(\vec{y})| &= |x_j - y_j| = \sqrt{(x_j - y_j)^2} \\ &\leq \sqrt{(x_1 - y_1)^2 + \dots + (x_n - y_n)^2} \\ &= d(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) < \delta = \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence π_j is cts at \vec{x} . □

Prop: Let (E, d) be a metric space and let (\mathbb{R}^n, d_n) be n -dim'd Euclidean metric space. Then

$$f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$$

is cts at $x_0 \in E$ if and only if

$$\pi_j \circ f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

is cts at x_0 for each $j=1, \dots, n$.
Pf (\Rightarrow) If f is cts^{at x_0} , then $\pi_j \circ f$ is cts^{at x_0} for each $j=1, \dots, n$ as the composition of two cts functions.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose each $\pi_j \circ f, j=1, \dots, n$ are cts at x_0 .
 Let $\epsilon > 0$. Then for each $j=1, \dots, n$, $\exists \delta_j > 0$ s.t.

***** $|\pi_j \circ f(x) - \pi_j \circ f(x_0)| < \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{n}}$
 whenever $x \in E$ satisfies $d(x, x_0) < \delta_j$.

Set $\delta = \min \{ \delta_1, \dots, \delta_n \}$. If $x \in E$ satisfies $d(x, x_0) < \delta$, then (*) holds for each coordinate $j=1, \dots, n$. Hence

$$d_n(f(x), f(x_0)) = \sqrt{(\pi_1 \circ f(x) - \pi_1 \circ f(x_0))^2 + \dots + (\pi_n \circ f(x) - \pi_n \circ f(x_0))^2}$$

$$< \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon^2}{n} + \dots + \frac{\epsilon^2}{n}} = \epsilon.$$

Thus f is cts at x_0 . □

Continuous Functions on Compact Sets, IV.4

Thm: Let (E, d) and (E', d') be metric spaces, and let $f: E \rightarrow E'$ be a cts function. If $S \subseteq E$ is compact, then so is $f(S)$.

Pf: Let $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ be an open cover of $f(S) \subseteq E'$. Since f is cts,

$f^{-1}(U_i) \subseteq E$ is open for each $i \in I$. Moreover,

$$S \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} f^{-1}(U_i)$$

Indeed, if $x \in S$, then $f(x) \in f(S)$ and so $\exists i_0 \in I$ s.t. $f(x) \in U_{i_0}$. But then $x \in f^{-1}(U_{i_0})$. So the claimed inclusion holds.

We have shown that $\{f^{-1}(U_i)\}_{i \in I}$