Quantum graphs and colorings

Priyanga Ganesan

University of California, San Diego

GOALS workshop 2022



Quantum graphs

G = (Vertex set, Edge set, Adjacency matrix)

Classical reflexive graph

• $V = \{1, 2, 3\}$

•
$$E = \{(1,1), (2,2), (3,3), (1,2), (1,3)\}$$

$$\bullet A_G = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * \\ * & * & 0 \\ * & 0 & * \end{bmatrix}$$

$$S_G := \left\{ egin{bmatrix} st & st & st \ st & st & 0 \ st & 0 & st \end{bmatrix}$$
 where $st \in \mathbb{C}
ight\} \subseteq M_3(\mathbb{C})$

Operator generalization of classical graphs

$$S_G := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * \\ * & * & 0 \\ * & 0 & * \end{bmatrix} \text{ where } * \in \mathbb{C} \right\} \subseteq M_3(\mathbb{C})$$

Properties of S_G :

- Linear subspace
- Self-adjointness $(A \in S_G \iff A^* \in S_G)$
- Contains identity



Operator generalization of classical graphs

$$S_G := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * \\ * & * & 0 \\ * & 0 & * \end{bmatrix} \text{ where } * \in \mathbb{C} \right\} \subseteq M_3(\mathbb{C})$$

Properties of S_G :

- Linear subspace
- Self-adjointness $(A \in S_G \iff A^* \in S_G)$
- Contains identity
- S_G is an operator system!



Operator generalization of classical graphs

$$S_{G} := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * \\ * & * & 0 \\ * & 0 & * \end{bmatrix} \text{ where } * \in \mathbb{C} \right\} \subseteq M_{3}(\mathbb{C})$$

Properties of S_G :

- Linear subspace
- Self-adjointness $(A \in S_G \iff A^* \in S_G)$
- Contains identity
- S_G is an operator system!

Operator System

A subspace
$$S \subseteq B(H)$$
 is called an operator system if

$$\bullet \ A \in S \implies A^* \in S$$



Graph Operator System

Let G = (V, E) be a classical graph on *n* vertices. The graph operator system S_G associated to *G* is defined as

$$S_G = \operatorname{span} \{ e_{ij} : (i,j) \in E \text{ or } i = j, \ \forall i,j \in V \} \subseteq \mathbb{M}_n ,$$

where e_{ij} are matrix units in \mathbb{M}_n .

Graph Operator System

Let G = (V, E) be a classical graph on *n* vertices. The graph operator system S_G associated to *G* is defined as

$$S_G = \operatorname{span} \{ e_{ij} : (i,j) \in E \text{ or } i = j, \ \forall i,j \in V \} \subseteq \mathbb{M}_n ,$$

where e_{ij} are matrix units in \mathbb{M}_n .

More generally,

Matrix Quantum Graph

An operator system S in \mathbb{M}_n is called a matrix quantum graph.

Graph Operator System

Let G = (V, E) be a classical graph on *n* vertices. The graph operator system S_G associated to *G* is defined as

$$S_G = \operatorname{span} \{ e_{ij} : (i,j) \in E \text{ or } i = j, \ \forall i,j \in V \} \subseteq \mathbb{M}_n ,$$

where e_{ij} are matrix units in \mathbb{M}_n .

More generally,

Matrix Quantum Graph

An operator system S in \mathbb{M}_n is called a matrix quantum graph.

 $S = S_G \iff D_n S D_n \subseteq S,$

where D_n is the diagonal subalgebra in \mathbb{M}_n .

Motivation from information theory

• Generalize the confusability graph of classical channels.

Motivation from information theory

• Generalize the confusability graph of classical channels.

Classical confusability graph

Classical channel $\Phi : \{x_1, x_2, \dots x_m\} \longrightarrow \{y_1, y_2, \dots y_n\}$









Motivation from information theory

• Generalize the confusability graph of classical channels.

Classical confusability graph

Classical channel
$$\Phi : \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\} \longrightarrow \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$$



Classical channels -> classical confusability graphs
 Quantum channels -> quantum graphs

Quantum graphs as non-commutative confusability graphs

Quantum channel

Quantum communication channel take quantum states to quantum states.

$$\Phi: B(H_A) \stackrel{\text{linear}}{\longrightarrow} B(H_B)$$

- Trace preserving (TP): $Tr(\rho) = Tr(\Phi(\rho))$.
- Completely positive (CP): Φ is positive and all extensions $\Phi \otimes I_E$ are also positive.

Quantum graphs as non-commutative confusability graphs

Quantum channel

Quantum communication channel take quantum states to quantum states.

$$\Phi: B(H_A) \stackrel{\text{linear}}{\longrightarrow} B(H_B)$$

• Trace preserving (TP): $Tr(\rho) = Tr(\Phi(\rho))$.

• Completely positive (CP): Φ is positive and all extensions $\Phi \otimes I_E$ are also positive.

Krauss representation: $\Phi(\rho) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} K_i \rho K_i^*$, where $K_i \in B(H_A, H_B)$, $\sum_{i=1}^{r} K_i^* K_i = I_A$

Quantum graphs as non-commutative confusability graphs

Quantum channel

Quantum communication channel take quantum states to quantum states.

$$\Phi: B(H_A) \stackrel{\text{linear}}{\longrightarrow} B(H_B)$$

• Trace preserving (TP): $Tr(\rho) = Tr(\Phi(\rho))$.

Completely positive (CP): Φ is positive and all extensions Φ ⊗ *I_E* are also positive.

Krauss representation: $\Phi(\rho) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} K_i \rho K_i^*$, where $K_i \in B(H_A, H_B)$, $\sum_{i=1}^{r} K_i^* K_i = I_A$

Non-commutative confusability graph [DSW, 2013]

Given a quantum channel $\Phi : \mathbb{M}_m \to \mathbb{M}_n$ with $\Phi(x) = \sum_{i=1}^r K_i x K_i^*$, the confusability graph of Φ is the operator system:

$$S_{\Phi} = \operatorname{span} \{ K_i^* K_j : 1 \le i, j \le r \} \subseteq \mathbb{M}_m.$$

Significance of quantum graphs



Significance of quantum graphs



Independence number of confusability graph = one-shot zero error capacity

of channel

Priyanga Ganesan Quantum graphs

Significance of quantum graphs



Independence number of confusability graph = one-shot zero error capacity of channel

- Input messages x, y are not confusable \iff $|x\rangle \langle y| \perp S_{\Phi}$
- Useful in zero-error quantum communication

Mathematical interest in quantum graphs

Mathematical interest in quantum graphs

Quantum graphs are closely related to:

- Operator theory, C*-algebras
- Non-commutative topology
- Category theory, quantum symmetries, quantum groups

Quantum graphs are closely related to:

- Operator theory, C*-algebras
- Non-commutative topology
- Category theory, quantum symmetries, quantum groups
- Operator theory approach to quantum graphs:
 - Quantize edge set
 - View as quantum relations [Weaver]

Quantum graphs are closely related to:

- Operator theory, C*-algebras
- Non-commutative topology
- Category theory, quantum symmetries, quantum groups
- Operator theory approach to quantum graphs:
 - Quantize edge set
 - View as quantum relations [Weaver]
- Non-commutative topology approach to quantum graphs:
 - Quantize adjacency matrix [MRV '18, BCE⁺ '20]
 - Use categorical theory of quantum sets and quantum functions

Quantum graphs are closely related to:

- Operator theory, C*-algebras
- Non-commutative topology
- Category theory, quantum symmetries, quantum groups
- Operator theory approach to quantum graphs:
 - Quantize edge set
 - View as quantum relations [Weaver]
- Non-commutative topology approach to quantum graphs:
 - Quantize adjacency matrix [MRV '18, BCE⁺ '20]
 - Use categorical theory of quantum sets and quantum functions

Different yet equivalent notions!

Quantum set: von-Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M} \subseteq B(H)$.

Quantum relation [Kuperberg-Weaver, 2010]

A quantum relation on \mathcal{M} is a weak*-closed subspace $S \subseteq B(H)$ that is a bi-module over its commutant \mathcal{M}' .

Quantum set: von-Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M} \subseteq B(H)$.

Quantum relation [Kuperberg-Weaver, 2010]

A quantum relation on \mathcal{M} is a weak*-closed subspace $S \subseteq B(H)$ that is a bi-module over its commutant \mathcal{M}' .

Classical graph: $E \subseteq V \times V$ is a reflexive, symmetric relation on V

Quantum set: von-Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M} \subseteq B(H)$.

Quantum relation [Kuperberg-Weaver, 2010]

A quantum relation on \mathcal{M} is a weak*-closed subspace $S \subseteq B(H)$ that is a bi-module over its commutant \mathcal{M}' .

- Classical graph: $E \subseteq V \times V$ is a reflexive, symmetric relation on V
- Quantum graph: reflexive & symmetric quantum relation on \mathcal{M}

Quantum set: von-Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M} \subseteq B(H)$.

Quantum relation [Kuperberg-Weaver, 2010]

A quantum relation on \mathcal{M} is a weak*-closed subspace $S \subseteq B(H)$ that is a bi-module over its commutant \mathcal{M}' .

- Classical graph: $E \subseteq V \times V$ is a reflexive, symmetric relation on V
- \blacksquare Quantum graph: reflexive & symmetric quantum relation on $\mathcal M$

Quantum Graph [Weaver, 2015]

A quantum graph on \mathcal{M} is a weak*-closed operator system $S \subseteq B(H)$ that is a bi-module over \mathcal{M}' .

$$_{\mathcal{M}'}S_{\mathcal{M}'} \subseteq B(H)$$

Priyanga Ganesan Quantum graphs

The quantum adjacency matrix formalism

Quantum set: finite dimensional C*-algebra with a faithful tracial state.

The quantum adjacency matrix formalism

Quantum set: finite dimensional C*-algebra with a faithful tracial state.

Quantum graphs [Musto-Reutter-Verdon, 2018]

- A quantum graph is a pair (\mathcal{M}, A_G) containing
 - **Quantum set** (\mathcal{M}, ψ)
 - Quantum adjacency matrix $A_G: \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\text{linear}} \mathcal{M}$ with
 - Schur Idempotency: $m(A_G \otimes A_G)m^* = A_G$
 - Reflexivity: $m(A_G \otimes I)m^* = I$

• Symmetry: $(\eta^* m \otimes I)(I \otimes A_G \otimes I)(I \otimes m^* \eta) = A_G$

 $m: \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ is the multiplication map, $\eta: \mathbb{C} \to \mathcal{M}$ is the unit map. m^* , η^* are their duals w.r.t Hilbert space structure on $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \psi)$.

Translating different notions of quantum graphs

Quantum set *M*: finite dimensional C*-algebra with faithful tracial state ψ .

CLASSICAL GRAPH	MATRIX Q.GRAPH	QUANTUM RELATIONS	PROJECTION	ADJACENCY MATRIX
$G = (V, E, A_G)$ $A_G \in \mathbb{M}_n\{0, 1\}$	$S \subseteq \mathbb{M}_n$ is an operator system.	$(M, {}_{M'}S_{M'})$	(M, p) $p \in M \otimes M^{op}$	(M, A_G) $A_G: M \to M$
$\begin{array}{c} \text{Idempotency:} \\ A_G \odot A_G = A_G \end{array}$	$A_G \odot \mathbb{M}_n = S$	$M'SM' \subseteq S$	$p = p^2$	$m(A_G\otimes A_G)m^*=A_G$
Reflexivity: 1s on the diagonal	$1 \in S$	$M' \subseteq S$	$m(p) = 1_M$	$m(A_G \otimes I)m^* = I$
Irreflexivity: 0s on the diagonal	$\operatorname{Tr}(S) = 0$	$M' \perp S$	m(p)=0	$m(A_G\otimes I)m^*=0$
Undirected: $A_G = A_G^T$	<i>S</i> = <i>S</i> *	$S = S^*$	$\sigma(p) = p$	$(\eta^* m \otimes I)(I \otimes A_G \otimes I)(I \otimes m^* \eta) = A_G$

Coloring problem

Assign colors to vertices of graph such that no adjacent vertices get same color.



Chromatic number

Least number of colors required to color that graph.

	Classical Graph	Quantum Graph
Classical Chromatic No.		
Quantum Chromatic No.		

	Classical Graph	Quantum Graph
Classical Chromatic No.	AND	
Quantum Chromatic No.		







Non-local graph coloring game

For a classical graph G = (V, E):

The referee sends questions (vertices) to Alice and Bob separately. They respond with answers (colors), without communicating with one another.

The referee sends questions (vertices) to Alice and Bob separately. They respond with answers (colors), without communicating with one another.

Inputs: $I_{alice} = I_{bob} = V$.

The referee sends questions (vertices) to Alice and Bob separately. They respond with answers (colors), without communicating with one another.

Inputs:
$$I_{alice} = I_{bob} = V$$
.

• Outputs:
$$O_{alice} = O_{bob} = \{1, 2, 3 \dots k\}$$

The referee sends questions (vertices) to Alice and Bob separately. They respond with answers (colors), without communicating with one another.

Inputs:
$$I_{alice} = I_{bob} = V$$
.

• Outputs:
$$O_{alice} = O_{bob} = \{1, 2, 3 \dots k\}$$

Rule function $\lambda : I_{alice} \times I_{bob} \times O_{alice} \times O_{bob} \longrightarrow \{0, 1\}.$

The referee sends questions (vertices) to Alice and Bob separately. They respond with answers (colors), without communicating with one another.

• Outputs:
$$O_{alice} = O_{bob} = \{1, 2, 3 \dots k\}$$

- Rule function $\lambda : I_{alice} \times I_{bob} \times O_{alice} \times O_{bob} \longrightarrow \{0, 1\}.$
- Winning condition: $\lambda(v, w, a, b) = 1$
 - Adjacency rule: $(v, w) \in E \implies a \neq b$
 - Same vertex rule: $v = w \implies a = b$

The referee sends questions (vertices) to Alice and Bob separately. They respond with answers (colors), without communicating with one another.

Inputs:
$$I_{alice} = I_{bob} = V$$
.

• Outputs:
$$O_{alice} = O_{bob} = \{1, 2, 3 \dots k\}$$

Rule function $\lambda : I_{alice} \times I_{bob} \times O_{alice} \times O_{bob} \longrightarrow \{0, 1\}.$

• Winning condition:
$$\lambda(v, w, a, b) = 1$$

Same vertex rule: $v = w \implies a = b$

Players can use different strategies (local (loc), quantum (q), quantum approximate (qa) and quantum commuting (qc)) to win the game.

Let $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{M}, S, M_n)$ be a quantum graph;

Let $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{M}, S, M_n)$ be a quantum graph; \mathcal{F} be a quantum edge basis for S and $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ be a basis for \mathbb{C}^n satisfying certain properties.

Let $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{M}, S, M_n)$ be a quantum graph; \mathcal{F} be a quantum edge basis for S and $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ be a basis for \mathbb{C}^n satisfying certain properties.

Definition (Brannan-Ganesan-Harris, 2020)

The quantum-to-classical graph coloring game for ${\cal G}$ has:

Let $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{M}, S, M_n)$ be a quantum graph; \mathcal{F} be a quantum edge basis for S and $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ be a basis for \mathbb{C}^n satisfying certain properties.

Definition (Brannan-Ganesan-Harris, 2020)

The quantum-to-classical graph coloring game for \mathcal{G} has:

Inputs:
$$Y_{\alpha} := \sum_{p,q} y_{\alpha,pq} \ v_p \otimes v_q \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Alice gets left leg and Bob gets right leg of Y_{α} .

Let $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{M}, S, M_n)$ be a quantum graph; \mathcal{F} be a quantum edge basis for S and $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ be a basis for \mathbb{C}^n satisfying certain properties.

Definition (Brannan-Ganesan-Harris, 2020)

The quantum-to-classical graph coloring game for \mathcal{G} has:

Let $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{M}, S, M_n)$ be a quantum graph; \mathcal{F} be a quantum edge basis for S and $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ be a basis for \mathbb{C}^n satisfying certain properties.

Definition (Brannan-Ganesan-Harris, 2020)

The quantum-to-classical graph coloring game for \mathcal{G} has:

Winning Criteria:

- Synchronicity: If $Y_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{M}'$, then respond with the same color.
- Adjacency: If $Y_{\alpha} \perp \mathcal{M}'$, then respond with different colors.

Let $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{M}, S, M_n)$ be a quantum graph; \mathcal{F} be a quantum edge basis for S and $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ be a basis for \mathbb{C}^n satisfying certain properties.

Definition (Brannan-Ganesan-Harris, 2020)

The quantum-to-classical graph coloring game for ${\cal G}$ has:

Inputs:
$$Y_{\alpha} := \sum_{p,q} y_{\alpha,pq} \ v_p \otimes v_q \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Alice gets left leg and Bob gets right leg of Y_{α} .

• *Outputs:* colors
$$\{1, 2, ..., k\}$$
.

• Winning Criteria:

- Synchronicity: If $Y_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{M}'$, then respond with the same color.
- Adjacency: If $Y_{\alpha} \perp \mathcal{M}'$, then respond with different colors.

The *t*-chromatic number $\chi_t(\mathcal{G})$ is the least *k* needed to win the game with strategy $t \in \{loc, q, qa, qs, qc\}$.

[Brannan-Ganesan-Harris, 2020]

A quantum graph $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{M}, S, M_n)$ has a *k*-coloring if there exists a finite von-Neumann algebra \mathcal{N} with a faithful normal trace and projections $\{P_a\}_{a=1}^k \subseteq \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$ such that **1** $P_a^2 = P_a = P_a^*, \forall a,$ **2** $\sum_{a=1}^k P_a = I_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes I_{\mathcal{N}},$ which satisfy $P_a(X \otimes I_{\mathcal{N}})P_a = 0$, for all $X \in S$ and $1 \le a \le k$. [Brannan-Ganesan-Harris, 2020]

A quantum graph $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{M}, S, M_n)$ has a *k*-coloring if there exists a finite von-Neumann algebra \mathcal{N} with a faithful normal trace and projections $\{P_a\}_{a=1}^k \subseteq \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$ such that **1** $P_a^2 = P_a = P_a^*, \quad \forall a,$ **2** $\sum_{a=1}^k P_a = I_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes I_{\mathcal{N}},$ which satisfy $P_a(X \otimes I_{\mathcal{N}})P_a = 0$, for all $X \in S$ and $1 \le a \le k$.

Chromatic number of quantum graphs:

- $\chi_{loc}(\mathcal{G})$: least k with dim $(\mathcal{N}) = 1$
- $\chi_q(\mathcal{G})$: least k with dim $(\mathcal{N}) < \infty$
- $\chi_{qc}(\mathcal{G})$: least k with finite \mathcal{N} (possibly infinite dimensional)

Let $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{M}, S, \mathbb{M}_n)$ be a quantum graph.

Let $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{M}, S, \mathbb{M}_n)$ be a quantum graph.

• If $T \subseteq S$, then $\chi_t(\mathcal{M}, T, \mathbb{M}_n) \leq \chi_t(\mathcal{M}, S, \mathbb{M}_n)$ $(t \in \{loc, q, qa, qs, qc\})$

Let $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{S}, \mathbb{M}_n)$ be a quantum graph.

- If $T \subseteq S$, then $\chi_t(\mathcal{M}, T, \mathbb{M}_n) \leq \chi_t(\mathcal{M}, S, \mathbb{M}_n)$ $(t \in \{loc, q, qa, qs, qc\})$
- For complete quantum graphs: $\chi_q(\mathcal{G}) = \dim(\mathcal{M})$.

- If $T \subseteq S$, then $\chi_t(\mathcal{M}, T, \mathbb{M}_n) \leq \chi_t(\mathcal{M}, S, \mathbb{M}_n)$ $(t \in \{loc, q, qa, qs, qc\})$
- For complete quantum graphs: $\chi_q(\mathcal{G}) = \dim(\mathcal{M})$.
- Every quantum graph has a finite quantum coloring.

Let $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{S}, \mathbb{M}_n)$ be a quantum graph.

- If $T \subseteq S$, then $\chi_t(\mathcal{M}, T, \mathbb{M}_n) \leq \chi_t(\mathcal{M}, S, \mathbb{M}_n)$ $(t \in \{loc, q, qa, qs, qc\})$
- For complete quantum graphs: $\chi_q(\mathcal{G}) = \dim(\mathcal{M})$.
 - Every quantum graph has a finite quantum coloring.

 $\chi_q(\mathcal{G}) \leq \dim(\mathcal{M})$ but $\chi_{loc}(\mathcal{G}) = \infty$ unless \mathcal{G} is classical.

- If $T \subseteq S$, then $\chi_t(\mathcal{M}, T, \mathbb{M}_n) \leq \chi_t(\mathcal{M}, S, \mathbb{M}_n)$ $(t \in \{loc, q, qa, qs, qc\})$
- For complete quantum graphs: $\chi_q(\mathcal{G}) = \dim(\mathcal{M})$.
- Every quantum graph has a finite quantum coloring.

 $\chi_q(\mathcal{G}) \leq \dim(\mathcal{M})$ but $\chi_{loc}(\mathcal{G}) = \infty$ unless \mathcal{G} is classical.

• Let $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{G} \to K_4)$ be the game algebra associated to the quantum graph coloring game on four colors. Then, $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{G} \to K_4) \neq 0$.

- If $T \subseteq S$, then $\chi_t(\mathcal{M}, T, \mathbb{M}_n) \leq \chi_t(\mathcal{M}, S, \mathbb{M}_n)$ $(t \in \{loc, q, qa, qs, qc\})$
- For complete quantum graphs: $\chi_q(\mathcal{G}) = \dim(\mathcal{M})$.
- Every quantum graph has a finite quantum coloring.

 $\chi_q(\mathcal{G}) \leq \dim(\mathcal{M})$ but $\chi_{loc}(\mathcal{G}) = \infty$ unless \mathcal{G} is classical.

• Let $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{G} \to K_4)$ be the game algebra associated to the quantum graph coloring game on four colors. Then, $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{G} \to K_4) \neq 0$.

 $\chi_{alg}(\mathcal{G}) \leq 4$

Every quantum graph is four-colorable in the algebraic model.

- If $T \subseteq S$, then $\chi_t(\mathcal{M}, T, \mathbb{M}_n) \leq \chi_t(\mathcal{M}, S, \mathbb{M}_n)$ $(t \in \{loc, q, qa, qs, qc\})$
- For complete quantum graphs: $\chi_q(\mathcal{G}) = \dim(\mathcal{M})$.
- Every quantum graph has a finite quantum coloring.

 $\chi_q(\mathcal{G}) \leq \dim(\mathcal{M})$ but $\chi_{loc}(\mathcal{G}) = \infty$ unless \mathcal{G} is classical.

• Let $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{G} \to K_4)$ be the game algebra associated to the quantum graph coloring game on four colors. Then, $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{G} \to K_4) \neq 0$.

 $\chi_{\mathsf{alg}}(\mathcal{G}) \leq 4$

Every quantum graph is four-colorable in the algebraic model.

$$\chi_{alg}(\mathcal{G}) \leq \chi_{qc}(\mathcal{G}) \leq \chi_{qa}(\mathcal{G}) \leq \chi_{q}(\mathcal{G}) \leq \chi_{loc}(\mathcal{G}).$$

Can we estimate these chromatic numbers?

Can we estimate these chromatic numbers?

IDEA: Use eigenvalues of the quantum adjacency matrix to bound $\chi_q(\mathcal{G})$.

Can we estimate these chromatic numbers?

IDEA: Use eigenvalues of the quantum adjacency matrix to bound $\chi_q(\mathcal{G})$.

Tool

If $\{P_a\}_{a=1}^k \subseteq \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$ is a quantum coloring of \mathcal{G} , then

$$P_a(A\otimes I_{\mathcal{N}})P_a=0, \ \forall a,$$

where A is the unique self-adjoint quantum adjacency operator of \mathcal{G} .

Hoffman's bound

Let $\lambda_{max} = \lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \lambda_3 \ge \ldots \ge \lambda_{min}$ be all the eigenvalues of A.

Hoffman's bound for classical graphs (Hoffman, 1970)

For a classical graph G = (V, E, A),

$$1 + rac{\lambda_{max}}{|\lambda_{min}|} \leq \chi(G)$$

Hoffman's bound

Let $\lambda_{max} = \lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \lambda_3 \ge \ldots \ge \lambda_{min}$ be all the eigenvalues of A.

Hoffman's bound for classical graphs (Hoffman, 1970)

For a classical graph G = (V, E, A),

$$1 + rac{\lambda_{max}}{|\lambda_{min}|} \leq \chi(G)$$

Hoffman's bound for quantum graphs (Ganesan, 2021)

For a quantum graph $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{M}, \psi, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A})$,

$$1 + rac{\lambda_{max}}{|\lambda_{min}|} \leq \chi_{m{q}}(\mathcal{G})$$

Hoffman's bound

Let $\lambda_{max} = \lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \lambda_3 \ge \ldots \ge \lambda_{min}$ be all the eigenvalues of A.

Hoffman's bound for classical graphs (Hoffman, 1970)

For a classical graph G = (V, E, A),

$$1 + rac{\lambda_{max}}{|\lambda_{min}|} \leq \chi(G)$$

Hoffman's bound for quantum graphs (Ganesan, 2021)

For a quantum graph $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{M}, \psi, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A})$,

$$1 + rac{\lambda_{max}}{|\lambda_{min}|} \leq \chi_q(\mathcal{G})$$

Example: For a quantum complete graph, $\lambda_{max} = dim(\mathcal{M}) - 1$, $\lambda_{min} = -1$:

 $\chi_q(\mathcal{G}) \leq \dim(\mathcal{M})$

More bounds

Let $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{M}, \psi, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{S})$ be an irreflexive quantum graph

Spectral bounds [Ganesan, 2021]

$$1 + \max\left\{\frac{\lambda_{\max}}{|\lambda_{\min}|}, \frac{2m}{2m - n\gamma_{\min}}, \frac{s^{\pm}}{s^{\mp}}, \frac{n^{\pm}}{n^{\mp}}, \frac{\lambda_{\max}}{\lambda_{\max} - \gamma_{\max} + \theta_{\max}}\right\} \le \chi_q(\mathcal{G}),$$

where

- $\lambda_{\max}, \lambda_{\min}$ are the maximum and minimum eigenvalues of A
- s⁺, s⁻ are the sum of the squares of the positive and negative eigenvalues of A respectively
- n⁺, n⁻ are the number of positive and negative eigenvalues of A including multiplicities
- $\gamma_{\max}, \gamma_{\min}$ are the maximum and minimum eigenvalues of the signless Laplacian operator
- θ_{\max} is the maximum eigenvalue of the Laplacian operator.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION