

The exponential homomorphism in non-commutative probability

Michael Anshelevich
(joint work with Octavio Arizmendi)

Texas A&M University

March 25, 2016

Classical convolutions.

Additive:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(z) d(\mu_1 * \mu_2)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x + y) d\mu_1(x) d\mu_2(y).$$

Multiplicative:

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} f(z) d(\nu_1 \circledast \nu_2)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(zw) d\nu_1(z) d\nu_2(w).$$

The **wrapping map** $W : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T})$ is

$$d(W(\mu))(e^{-ix}) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} d\mu(x + 2\pi n).$$

Clearly

$$W(\mu_1 * \mu_2) = W(\mu_1) \circledast W(\mu_2).$$

Non-commutative independence.

Non-commutative convolutions: based on different independence rules.

Tensor/classical $\mathbb{E}[xyxy] = \mathbb{E}[x^2] \mathbb{E}[y^2]$.

Free $\mathbb{E}[xyxy] = \mathbb{E}[x^2] \mathbb{E}[y]^2 + \mathbb{E}[x]^2 \mathbb{E}[y^2] - \mathbb{E}[x]^2 \mathbb{E}[y]^2$.

Boolean $\mathbb{E}[xyxy] = \mathbb{E}[x]^2 \mathbb{E}[y]^2$.

Monotone $\mathbb{E}[xyxy] = \mathbb{E}[x^2] \mathbb{E}[y]^2$.

Convolutions.

Additive convolutions: for measures $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$.

Classical $\mu_1 * \mu_2$, free $\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2$, Boolean $\mu_1 \uplus \mu_2$, monotone $\mu_1 \triangleright \mu_2$.

Multiplicative convolutions: for measures $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T})$.

Classical $\nu_1 \circledast \nu_2$, free $\nu_1 \boxtimes \nu_2$, Boolean $\nu_1 \circledcirc \nu_2$, monotone $\nu_1 \circlearrowright \nu_2$.

Transforms.

The F -transform:

$$\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}), \quad G_\mu(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{z-x} d\mu(x), \quad F_\mu(z) = \frac{1}{G_\mu(z)}.$$

$$F_\mu : \mathbb{C}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^+, \quad \lim_{y \uparrow \infty} \frac{\Im F_\mu(iy)}{iy} = 1.$$

The η -transform:

$$\nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T}), \quad \psi_\nu(z) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{z\zeta}{1-z\zeta} d\nu(\zeta), \quad \eta_\nu(z) = \frac{\psi_\nu(z)}{1+\psi_\nu(z)}.$$

$$\eta_\nu : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}, \quad \eta_\nu(0) = 0.$$

Convolutions in non-commutative probability.

Additive convolutions:

$$\text{Free } \mu \boxplus \nu : F_{\mu \boxplus \nu}^{-1}(z) - z = (F_{\mu}^{-1}(z) - z) + (F_{\nu}^{-1}(z) - z),$$

$$\text{Boolean } \mu \uplus \nu : F_{\mu \uplus \nu}(z) - z = (F_{\mu}(z) - z) + (F_{\nu}(z) - z),$$

$$\text{Monotone } \mu \triangleright \nu : F_{\mu \triangleright \nu}(z) = F_{\mu}(F_{\nu}(z)).$$

Multiplicative convolutions:

$$\text{Free } \mu \boxtimes \nu : \frac{\eta_{\mu \boxtimes \nu}^{-1}(z)}{z} = \frac{\eta_{\mu}^{-1}(z)}{z} \frac{\eta_{\nu}^{-1}(z)}{z},$$

$$\text{Boolean } \mu \otimes \nu : \frac{\eta_{\mu \otimes \nu}(z)}{z} = \frac{\eta_{\mu}(z)}{z} \frac{\eta_{\nu}(z)}{z},$$

$$\text{Monotone } \mu \circlearrowright \nu : \eta_{\mu \circlearrowright \nu}(z) = \eta_{\mu} \circ \eta_{\nu}(z).$$

Homomorphisms.

W is certainly not a homomorphism between free additive and multiplicative convolutions.

Example.

Let $\mu = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_{-2\pi} + \delta_{2\pi})$ be a Bernoulli distribution. Then $W(\mu) = \delta_1$. Also, it is well-known that $\mu \boxplus \mu$ is an arcsine distribution, while $\delta_1 \boxtimes \delta_1 = \delta_1$. Thus $W(\mu \boxplus \mu) \neq W(\mu) \boxtimes W(\mu)$.

Successful homomorphisms between \boxplus and \boxtimes on the level of power series: (Mastnak, Nica 2010), (Friedrich, McKay 2012, 2013).

A homomorphism between \boxplus and \boxtimes infinitely divisible distributions: (Cebron 2014).

Homomorphisms II.

Define an implicit relation between $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T})$ by

$$\exp(iF_\mu(z)) = \eta_\nu(e^{iz}).$$

Then “obviously”

$$\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2 \leftrightarrow \nu_1 \boxtimes \nu_2, \quad \mu_1 \oplus \mu_2 \leftrightarrow \nu_1 \otimes \nu_2, \quad \mu_1 \triangleright \mu_2 \leftrightarrow \nu_1 \cup \nu_2.$$

In fact also

$$\mu^{\oplus t} \leftrightarrow \nu^{\otimes t}, \quad \mu^{\boxplus t} \leftrightarrow \nu^{\boxtimes t}, \quad \mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2 \leftrightarrow \nu_1 \boxtimes \nu_2.$$

(here $F_{\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2} = F_{\mu_2} \circ F_{\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2}$, $\eta_{\nu_1 \boxtimes \nu_2} = \eta_{\nu_2} \circ \eta_{\nu_1} \boxtimes \eta_{\nu_2}$).

$$\mu^{\triangleright t} \leftrightarrow \nu^{\cup t}?$$

Identities.

Easily obtain multiplicative identities from additive ones, for example of

$$\mu = \mu^{\boxplus t} \triangleright \mu^{\boxplus(1-t)}$$

and

$$\mathbb{B}_t(\tau \boxplus \nu) = \tau \boxplus (\nu \boxplus \tau^{\boxplus t})$$

(particular case obtained in Zhong 2014).

\mathbb{M}_t = multiplicative version of the Belinschi-Nica transformation \mathbb{B}_t . Use these to define multiplicative and additive free divisibility indicators.

Proposition.

For $\mu \in \mathcal{L}$, the additive divisibility indicator of μ is equal to the multiplicative divisibility indicator of $W(\mu)$.

Class \mathcal{L} .

$$\exp(iF_\mu(z)) = \eta_\nu(e^{iz}).$$

Domain:

$$\{\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}) : F_\mu(z + 2\pi) = F_\mu(z) + 2\pi\} = \mathcal{L}.$$

Range:

$$\{\nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T}) : \eta'_\nu(0) \neq 0, \text{ and } \eta_\nu(z) = 0 \Leftrightarrow z = 0\} = \mathcal{ID}_*^{\text{ex}}.$$

$$\mathcal{ID}_*^{\text{ex}} = \{\nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T}) : \nu^{\otimes t} \text{ exists for } t \geq 0, \nu \neq \text{Lebesgue}\}.$$

The wrapping homomorphism.

Theorem. (A, Arizmendi 2015)

When restricted to \mathcal{L} , the wrapping map W satisfies

$$\exp(iF_\mu(z)) = \eta_{W(\mu)}(e^{iz}).$$

Therefore this restriction is a homomorphism for all four additive convolutions, and has the additional properties mentioned above. The pre-image of each $\nu \in \mathcal{ID}_*^{\otimes}$ is an equivalence class modulo the relation $\text{mod } \delta_{2\pi}$, where any of the four convolutions with $\delta_{2\pi}$ is used.

Proof of the Theorem.

Poisson summation.

Domain.

Proposition.

- \mathcal{L} is closed under the three additive convolution operations $\uplus, \boxplus, \triangleright$, under the subordination operation \boxminus , under Boolean and free (whenever defined) additive convolution powers, and under the Belinschi-Nica transformation \mathbb{B}_t .
- If $\mu \in \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{ID}^\triangleright$, then $\mu^{\triangleright t} \in \mathcal{L}$ for all $t > 0$.
- All the elements in \mathcal{L} which are not point masses are in the classical, Boolean, free, and monotone (strict) domains of attraction of the Cauchy law.
- The Bercovici-Pata bijections between $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{ID}^\uplus, \mathcal{ID}^\triangleright$, and \mathcal{ID}^\boxplus restrict to bijections between $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{ID}^\triangleright$ and $\mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{ID}^\boxplus$.

Range.

Proposition.

- ID_*^{\circlearrowleft} is closed under the three multiplicative convolution operations \circlearrowleft , \boxtimes , \circlearrowright , under the subordination operation \boxtimes , and under Boolean and free (whenever defined) multiplicative convolution powers.
- ID_*^{\circlearrowleft} contains ID_*^{\boxtimes} and ID_*^{\circlearrowright} .
- If $\nu \in ID_*^{\boxtimes}$, then every element of $W^{-1}(\nu)$ is in $ID^{\oplus} \cap \mathcal{L}$.
- If $\nu \in ID_*^{\circlearrowright}$, then there is $\mu \in ID_*^{\triangleright} \cap \mathcal{L}$ such that $W(\mu) = \nu$.

Example of $\mu \in \mathcal{L}$ I.

Cauchy distribution

$$\mu = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{a}{(x - b)^2 + a^2} dx.$$

Wrapped Cauchy distribution

$$W(\mu) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1 - e^{-2a}}{1 + e^{-2a} - 2e^{-a} \cos(\theta - b)} d\theta.$$

Example of $\mu \in \mathcal{L}$ II.

Pre-image of the multiplicative Boolean Gaussian.

$$\mu = \sum \alpha_k \delta_{x_k},$$

where

$$x_k = \cot \frac{x_k}{2}, \quad x_i \in \left(-\frac{\pi}{2} + \pi k, \frac{\pi}{2} + \pi k \right)$$

and

$$\alpha_k = \frac{1}{\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2}x_k^2}.$$

A similar formula for the pre-image of multiplicative Boolean compound Poisson.

Unimodality.

Proposition.

The only unimodal measures in \mathcal{L} are delta measures and Cauchy distributions.

This provides many examples of measures μ with connected support such that $\mu^{\boxplus t}$ is never unimodal, answering a question of Hasebe and Sakuma.

Relation to Cébron's map I.

In (Cébron 2014), he defined a homomorphism $e_{\boxplus} : \mathcal{ID}^{\boxplus} \rightarrow \mathcal{ID}^{\boxtimes}$ which satisfies

$$W = \mathcal{BP}_{\boxtimes \rightarrow \otimes} \circ e_{\boxplus} \circ \mathcal{BP}_{* \rightarrow \boxplus}.$$

He also proved that

$$e_{\boxplus}(\mu) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(W(\mu^{\boxplus \frac{1}{n}}) \right)^{\boxtimes n}.$$

Thus on $\mathcal{ID}^{\boxplus} \cap \mathcal{L}$, $e_{\boxplus} = W$. He also observed that W (roughly speaking) wraps the Lévy measure of μ . Therefore on \mathcal{L} , it does the same with its free, Boolean, monotone Lévy measures.

Relation to Cébron's map II.

Example.

Let ν be the multiplicative free Gaussian measure. Of course $e_{\boxplus}(\sigma) = \nu$ for the semicircular distribution σ , with canonical pair

$$(0, \delta_0).$$

But $\sigma \notin \mathcal{L}$, and $W(\sigma) \neq \nu$. Instead, $W(\mu) = \nu$ for $\mu \in \mathcal{L}$ with canonical pair

$$\left(\sum_{k \neq 0} \frac{1}{2\pi k(1 + (2\pi k)^2)}, \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{1 + (2\pi k)^2} \delta_{2\pi k} \right).$$

Corollary.

W intertwines the restrictions of the Bercovici-Pata maps to \mathcal{L} with their multiplicative counterparts.

Obvious properties of W .

- W sends atoms to atoms.
- If $\text{supp}(\mu^{ac}) = \mathbb{R}$, then $\text{supp}((W(\mu))^{ac}) = \mathbb{T}$.
- W sends infinitesimal triangular arrays $\{\mu_{ni}, 1 \leq i \leq k_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of measures in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ to infinitesimal triangular arrays of measures in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T})$.

Converses.

Theorem.

- For $\mu \in \mathcal{L}$, W maps the atoms of μ bijectively onto the atoms of $W(\mu)$, and preserves the weights.
- If $\text{supp}((W(\mu))^{ac}) = \mathbb{T}$, then $\text{supp}(\mu^{ac}) = \mathbb{R}$.
- If $\{\nu_{ni}, 1 \leq i \leq k_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an infinitesimal triangular arrays of measures in \mathcal{ID}_*^{\otimes} , then $\nu_{ni} = W(\mu_{ni})$ for some infinitesimal triangular array of measures in \mathcal{L} .
- For $\mu \in \mathcal{L}$, F_μ is injective if and only if $\eta_{W(\mu)}$ is.

Corollaries I.

Can re-prove many results, but only for measures in \mathcal{ID}_*^{\otimes} .

- Atoms, singular continuous part, components of the absolutely continuous part of $\mu \boxtimes \nu$. Some of these are new.
- Atoms, singular continuous part, components of the absolutely continuous part of ν^{\boxtimes} . These only make sense for $\nu \in \mathcal{ID}_*^{\otimes}$.

Corollary.

Let $\nu \in \mathcal{ID}_*^{\otimes}$ and $t \geq 1$. Denote $\nu_t = \nu^{\boxtimes t}$. ζ is an atom of ν_t if and only if for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $e^{-it\alpha} = \zeta$ and $e^{-i\alpha}$ is an atom of ν , with $\nu(\{e^{-i\alpha}\}) > 1 - 1/t$, in which case

$$\nu_t(\{\zeta\}) = t\nu(\{e^{-i\alpha}\}) - (t - 1).$$

Corollaries II.

- Limit theorems for $\otimes, \boxtimes, \otimes, \cup$ from those for $*, \boxplus, \oplus, \triangleright$.
- First examples of limit theorems for non-identically distributed monotone arrays beyond the finite variance case.

Thank you!

