

1. State the definition of each of the following terms:

a. [2 pts.] A **contradiction**.

A contradiction is a propositional form that is false for every assignment of truth values to its components.

b. [3 pts.] For propositions  $P$  and  $Q$ , the **conditional sentence**  $P \Rightarrow Q$ .

The conditional sentence  $P \Rightarrow Q$  is the proposition "If  $P$ , then  $Q$ ."  $P$  is called the antecedent and  $Q$  is called the consequent.  $P \Rightarrow Q$  is true if and only if  $P$  is false or  $Q$  is true.

2. Determine whether each of the following is a tautology, a contradiction, or neither. Justify your response.

a. [5 pts.]  $P \Leftrightarrow P \wedge (P \vee Q)$ .

$P$	$Q$	$P \vee Q$	$P \wedge (P \vee Q)$
T	T	T	T
T	F	T	F
F	T	T	F
F	F	F	F

Tautology

b. [5 pts.]  $P \wedge (P \Leftrightarrow Q) \wedge \sim Q$ .

$P$	$Q$	$P \Leftrightarrow Q$	$\sim Q$	$P \wedge (P \Leftrightarrow Q) \wedge \sim Q$
T	T	T	F	F
T	F	F	T	F
F	T	F	F	F
F	F	T	T	F

Contradiction

3. [5 pts.] Give an English translation for each. The universe is given in parentheses.

a.  $(\forall x)(x \geq 1)$ . (Natural numbers)

All natural numbers are greater than or equal to one.

b.  $(\forall x)(x \text{ is prime} \wedge x \neq 2 \Rightarrow x \text{ is odd})$ . (Natural numbers)

Every natural number that is prime and different from 2 is odd.

c.  $\sim (\exists x)(x^2 < 0)$ . (Real numbers)

It is not the case that there is a real number whose square is less than zero.

OR  
 There is no real number whose square is negative.

Math 108 - Section 1, Summer Session I, 2010  
Quiz 1

4. [20 pts.] Prove that for every real number  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a natural number  $M$  such that if  $m > n > M$ , then  $\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{m} < \epsilon$ .

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Now, let  $M$  be the integer part of  $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ , plus 1.

Then  $M$  is a natural number, since  $M$  is a positive integer, and  $M > \frac{1}{\epsilon}$ .

Now, for all natural numbers  $n > M$ ,  $n > \frac{1}{\epsilon} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{n} < \epsilon$ .

And, for all natural numbers  $m$  and  $n$ , with  $m > n > M$ ,

$$\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{m} < \frac{1}{n} < \epsilon.$$

$\therefore$  For every real number  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a natural number  $M$

$$\text{s.t. } m > n > M \Rightarrow \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{m} < \epsilon.$$

5. [20 pts.] Prove that for every rational number  $z$  and every irrational number  $x$ , there exists a unique irrational number  $y$  such that  $x + y = z$ .

Let  $z$  be a rational number and  $x$  be an irrational number.

Then  $-x$  is irrational. Let  $y = z - x$ .

$$\text{Then } x + y = x + (z - x) = z.$$

And,  $y = z - x$  is irrational because if we supposed that it were,

then  $-y + z = (x - z) + z = x$  would be rational,  
which is a contradiction.

$\therefore$  There exists an irrational number  $y$  s.t.  $x + y = z$ .

Now, suppose  $\exists y_1, y_2$  s.t.  $x + y_1 = z$  and  $x + y_2 = z$ .

$$\text{Then } x + y_1 = x + y_2 \Rightarrow y_1 = y_2.$$

$\therefore$  There exists a unique irrational number  $y$  s.t.  $x + y = z$ .

Math 108 - Section 1, Summer Session I, 2010  
Quiz 1

6. a. [7 pts.] For sets  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $C$ , prove that if  $A \subset B$ , then  $A \cap C \subset B \cap C$ .

Assume  $A \subset B$ . Suppose  $x \in A \cap C$ . Then  $x \in A$  and  $x \in C$ .

Since  $x \in A$  and  $A \subset B$ ,  $x \in B$ .

Thus  $x \in B$  and  $x \in C$ , so  $x \in B \cap C$ .

$\therefore A \cap C \subset B \cap C$ .

b. [3 pts.] Show the converse is false.

We provide a counterexample.

Let  $A = \{1\}$ ,  $B = \{2\}$ , and  $C = \emptyset$ .

Then  $A \cap C = \emptyset \subset \emptyset = B \cap C$ , but  $A \not\subset B$ .

7. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $A_n = (\frac{1}{n}, 2 + \frac{1}{n}) \subset \mathbb{R}$ , and let  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_n : n \geq 3\}$ .

a. [5 pts.] What is  $\bigcup_{n \geq 3} A_n$ ?

$$(0, 2 + \frac{1}{3})$$

b. [5 pts.] What is  $\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A$ ?

$$[\frac{1}{3}, 2]$$

Math 108 - Section I, Summer Session I, 2010  
Quiz 1

8. [20 pts.] In a certain kind of tournament, every player plays every other player exactly once and either wins or loses. There are no ties. Define a **top** player to be a player who, for every other player  $x$ , either beats  $x$ , or beats a player  $y$  who beats  $x$ . Show that every  $n$ -player tournament has a top player.

The statement is trivially true for  $n=1$  or  $n=2$ .

Assume that for some  $n \geq 2$ , every  $n$ -player tournament has a top player.

Now, consider any  $(n+1)$ -player tournament.

Choose one player  $s$ , and examine the play between all other players.

This is an  $n$ -player tournament, so there is a top player,  $t$ .

Let  $B$  be the set of all players that  $t$  beats.

Now, if  $t$  beats  $s$ , then  $t$  is a top player in the  $(n+1)$ -player tournament.

If not, then either some  $w$  in  $B$  beat  $s$ , or not.

If some  $w$  in  $B$  beat  $s$ , then  $t$  is a top player.

Otherwise,  $s$  beat  $t$  and every player in  $B$ , so  $s$  is a top player.

By PMI,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ , every  $n$ -player tournament has a top player.