

# The General FF-module Theorem

U. Meierfrankenfeld

B. Stellmacher

Department of Mathematics, Michigan State University, East Lansing MI 48824

meier@math.msu.edu

Mathematisches Seminar, Christian-Albrechts-Universität, D24098 Kiel

stellmacher@math.uni-kiel.de

## Abstract

Let  $p$  be a prime,  $M$  a finite group with  $O_p(M) = 1$ ,  $V$  a faithful  $\mathbb{F}_p M$ -module and  $J$  the subgroup of  $M$  generated by the best offenders on  $V$ . In this paper we determine structure of  $J$  and the action of  $J$  on  $V$ .

## Introduction

Let  $p$  be a prime,  $M$  a finite group and  $V$  a finite dimensional  $\mathbb{F}_p M$ -module, where  $\mathbb{F}_p$  is the prime field in characteristic  $p$ . A subgroup  $A \leq M$  is an *offender* on  $V$  if

1.  $A/C_A(V)$  is an elementary abelian  $p$ -group, and
2.  $|V/C_V(A)| \leq |A/C_A(V)|$ ;

and  $A$  is a *non-trivial* offender on  $V$ , if in addition  $[V, A] \neq 0$ . Moreover,  $V$  is called an *FF*-module for  $M$  if some subgroup of  $M$  is a non-trivial offender on  $V$ . Faithful simple *FF*-modules for groups of Lie type in equicharacteristic have been classified by Cooperstein [Co] (the case  $p = 2$ ) and Meixner [M] (the case  $p \neq 2$ ) and for arbitrary nearly simple groups by Guralnick, R. Lawther and G. Malle [GM1], [GM2], [GLM].

These results have been of great importance for the local theory of finite groups since such *FF*-modules are closely related to the failure of the Thompson-factorization in groups of characteristic  $p$ . In fact, for a finite group  $G$  and a normal elementary abelian  $p$ -subgroup  $X$  the elementary abelian  $p$ -subgroups of maximal order in  $G$  provide examples for offenders on  $X$ ; and so  $G$  possesses non-trivial offenders on  $X$  if  $[X, J(S)] \neq 1$ , where  $S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ . The action of such elementary abelian subgroups have an additional property that is reflected in the following definition.

A subgroup  $A \leq M$  is a *best offender* on  $V$  if

- (i)  $A/C_A(V)$  is an elementary abelian  $p$ -group, and
- (ii)  $|B|C_V(B) \leq |A|C_V(A)$  for every subgroup  $B \leq A$ .

It is easy to see (using  $B := C_A(V)$ ) that every best offender is an offender. Indeed, a best offender  $A$  on  $V$  is an offender on every  $A$ -submodule of  $V$ ; and this property characterizes best offenders (see 1.2).

In this paper we use this slightly stronger definition to derive a result about  $FF$ -modules that is free from the restriction to simple modules. It includes the above mentioned  $FF$ -module theorems, but also in these cases it gives more information about the size and action of offenders on  $V$ .

Most of the time we will treat groups like  $\text{Alt}(6) \cong \text{Sp}_4(2)'$ ,  $\text{SU}_3(3) \cong \text{G}_2(2)'$  and  ${}^2\text{F}_4(2)'$  together with the groups of Lie-Type. We therefore use the following definition.

**Definition.** A *genuine group of Lie-type in characteristic  $p$*  is a group isomorphic to  $\text{O}^{p'}(\text{C}_{\overline{K}}(\sigma))$ , where  $\overline{K}$  is a semisimple  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ -algebraic group,  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  is the algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , and  $\sigma$  is Steinberg endomorphism of  $\overline{K}$ , see [GLS3, Definition 2.2.2] for details. A simple group of Lie-type in characteristic  $p$  is a non-abelian composition factor of a genuine group of Lie-type in characteristic  $p$ .

Before stating our main result we give some further definitions.

**Definition.** The normal subgroup of  $M$  generated by the best offenders of  $M$  on  $V$  is denoted by  $J_M(V)$ . A non-trivial subgroup  $K$  of  $J_M(V)$  is a  $J_M(V)$ -*component* if  $K$  is minimal with respect to  $K = [K, J_M(V)]$ . The set of these components we denote by  $\mathcal{J}_M(V)$ .

A finite group  $H$  is called a  $\mathcal{CK}$ -*group* provided that each composition factor of  $H$  is one of the known finite simple groups.

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a set of subgroups of  $M$ . We often write  $[V, \mathcal{S}]$  and  $C_V(\mathcal{S})$  rather than  $[V, \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle]$  and  $C_V(\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle)$ . Similarly, we write  $\times \mathcal{S}$  rather than  $\times_{A \in \mathcal{S}} A$ .

The  $\mathbb{F}_p M$ -module  $V$  is *perfect* if  $V = [V, M]$ , *simple* if  $V \neq 0$  and  $0$  is the only proper  $\mathbb{F}_p M$ -submodule of  $V$ , and *quasisimple* if  $V$  is perfect,  $O_p(M/C_M(V)) = 1$  and  $V/C_V(M)$  is simple. Moreover,  $M$  acts *simply* on  $V$  if  $V$  is a simple  $M$ -module; and  $M$  acts *nilpotently* on  $V$  if there exists a finite series  $0 = V_0 \leq V_1 \leq V_{k-1} \leq V_k = V$  of  $\mathbb{F}_p M$ -submodules of  $V$  with  $[V_i, M] \leq V_{i-1}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$ .

Let  $A$  be a subgroup of  $M$ . Then

- $A$  is a *strong dual offender* on  $V$  if  $A$  acts nilpotently on  $V$  and  $[V, A] = [v, A]$  for every  $v \in V \setminus C_V(A)$ ;
- $A$  is a *strong offender* on  $V$  if  $A$  is an offender on  $V$  and  $C_V(A) = C_V(a)$  for every  $a \in A \setminus C_A(V)$  (note that the last condition is equivalent to  $C_A(V) = C_A(v)$  for all  $v \in V \setminus C_V(A)$ );
- $A$  is an *over-offender* on  $V$  if  $A$  is an offender and  $|A/C_A(V)| > |V/C_V(A)|$ .

Finally we call  $V$  a *natural  $\mathbb{F}_p K$ -module* for  $M$  if  $M/C_M(V) \cong K$ , and there exists a quadratic, bilinear or sesquilinear form  $f$  on  $V$  left invariant by  $M$  such that for  $K$ ,  $\mathbb{K} := \text{End}_M(V)$ ,  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} V$  and  $f$  one of the following cases holds:

| $K$                        | $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} V$ | $\mathbb{K}$          | $f$                 |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| $\text{SL}_n(p^k)$         | $n$                   | $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$    | zero-form           |
| $\text{Sp}_{2n}(p^k)$      | $2n$                  | $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$    | non-deg. symplectic |
| $\text{O}_n^\epsilon(p^k)$ | $n$                   | $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$    | non-deg. quadratic  |
| $\Omega_n^\epsilon(p^k)$   | $n$                   | $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$    | non-deg. quadratic  |
| $\text{SU}_n(p^k)$         | $n$                   | $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2k}}$ | non-deg. unitary    |
| $\text{G}_2(2^k)$          | $6$                   | $\mathbb{F}_{2^k}$    | non-deg. symplectic |
| $\text{Sym}(2n)$           | $2n - 2$              | $\mathbb{F}_2$        | zero-form           |
| $\text{Alt}(2n)$           | $2n - 2$              | $\mathbb{F}_2$        | –    –              |
| $\text{Sym}(2n + 1)$       | $2n$                  | $\mathbb{F}_2$        | –    –              |
| $\text{Alt}(2n + 1)$       | $2n$                  | $\mathbb{F}_2$        | –    –              |

In the last four cases  $V$  is meant to be the simple composition factor of the  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -permutation module for  $\text{Sym}(2n)$  and  $\text{Sym}(2n+1)$ , respectively.

Note that in the above definition a *non-degenerate quadratic form* is a quadratic form that is non-zero on every non-zero element in the radical of the associated symmetric form. Also observe that  $\text{O}_{2n+1}(2^k) \cong \text{Sp}_{2n}(2^k)$  and  $V$  is a central extension of a natural  $\text{Sp}_{2n}(2^k)$ -module. This extension does not split if  $n > 1$  or  $k > 1$ .

In general,  $M$  can have more than one natural module. For example, for  $n = 5$ ,  $\text{Alt}(5) \cong \text{SL}_2(4) \cong \Omega_4^-(2)$ , so  $M$  has three natural modules, the natural  $\text{SL}_2(4)$ -module, the natural  $\Omega_4^-(2)$ -module, and the natural  $\text{Alt}(5)$ -module, the latter two being isomorphic.

In addition,  $M \cong \text{SL}_n(q)$ ,  $n > 2$ , has two natural  $\text{SL}_n(q)$ -modules that are not isomorphic due to the graph automorphism of  $\text{SL}_n(q)$ . Similarly,  $M \cong \text{Spin}_8^+(q)$  has three natural  $\Omega_8^+(q)$ -modules. In the literature two of these are called half-spin modules depending which epimorphism from  $M$  to  $\Omega_8^+(q)$  one chooses.

**Theorem 1 (General FF-Module Theorem).** *Let  $M$  be a finite CK-group with  $\text{O}_p(M) = 1$  and  $V$  be a faithful finite dimensional  $\mathbb{F}_p M$ -module. Suppose that  $J := \text{J}_M(V) \neq 1$ . Then for  $\mathcal{J} := \mathcal{J}_M(V)$ ,  $W := [V, \mathcal{J}] + \text{C}_V(\mathcal{J})/\text{C}_V(\mathcal{J})$ ,  $K \in \mathcal{J}$  and  $\bar{J} := J/\text{C}_J([W, K])$  the following hold:*

- (a)  $K$  is either quasisimple, or  $p = 2$  or  $3$  and  $K \cong \text{SL}_2(p)'$ .
- (b)  $[V, K, L] = 0$  for all  $K \neq L \in \mathcal{J}$ , and  $W = \bigoplus_{K \in \mathcal{J}} [W, K]$ .
- (c)  $J^p J' = \text{O}^p(J) = \text{F}^*(J) = \times \mathcal{J}$ .
- (d)  $W$  is a faithful semisimple  $\mathbb{F}_p J$ -module.
- (e) If  $A \leq M$  is a best offender on  $V$ , then  $A$  is a best offender on  $W$ .
- (f)  $\bar{K} = \overline{\text{F}^*(J)} = \text{O}^p(\bar{J})$  and  $\text{C}_J([W, K]) = \text{C}_J([V, K])$ .
- (g) Either  $[W, K]$  is a simple  $\mathbb{F}_p K$ -module, or one of the following holds, where  $q$  is a power of  $p$ :
  1.  $\bar{J} \cong \text{SL}_n(q)$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , and  $[W, K] \cong N^r \oplus N^{*s}$ , where  $N$  is a natural  $\text{SL}_n(q)$ -module,  $N^*$  its dual, and  $r, s$  are integers with  $0 \leq r, s < n$  and  $\sqrt{r} + \sqrt{s} \leq \sqrt{n}$ .
  2.  $J \cong \text{Sp}_{2m}(q)$ ,  $m \geq 3$ , and  $[W, K] \cong N^r$ , where  $N$  is a natural  $\text{Sp}_{2m}(q)$ -module and  $r$  is a positive integer with  $2r \leq m + 1$ .
  3.  $\bar{J} \cong \text{SU}_n(q)$ ,  $n \geq 8$ , and  $[W, K] \cong N^r$ , where  $N$  is a natural  $\text{SU}_n(q)$ -module and  $r$  is a positive integer with  $4r \leq n$ .
  4.  $\bar{J} \cong \Omega_n^\epsilon(q)$  with  $p$  odd if  $n$  is odd, or  $\bar{J} \cong \text{O}_n^\epsilon(q)$  with  $p = 2$  and  $n$  even.<sup>1</sup> Moreover,  $n \geq 10$  and  $[W, K] \cong N^r$ , where  $N$  is a natural  $\Omega_n^\epsilon(q)$ -module and  $r$  is a positive integer with  $4r \leq n - 2$ .
- (h) If  $[W, K]$  is not a homogeneous  $\mathbb{F}_p K$  module, then (g:1) holds with  $r \neq 0 \neq s$  and  $n \geq 4$ .

**Theorem 2 (FF-Module Theorem).** *Let  $M \neq 1$  be a finite CK-group and  $V$  be a faithful  $\mathbb{F}_p M$ -module. Put*

$$\mathcal{D} := \{A \leq M \mid \text{there exists } 1 \neq B \leq A \text{ such that } [V, B, A] = 0 \text{ and } A \text{ and } B \text{ are offenders on } V\}.$$

*Suppose that  $V$  is a simple  $\mathbb{F}_p \text{J}_M(V)$ -module and  $M = \langle \mathcal{D} \rangle$ . Then one of the following holds, where  $q$  is a power of  $p$ :*

<sup>1</sup>The odd-dimensional orthogonal groups in characteristic 2 are covered in case (g:2).

<sup>2</sup>Note here that  $\mathcal{D}$  contains all quadratic offenders and by the Timmesfeld Replacement Theorem [KS, 9.2.3], also all best offenders in  $M$  on  $V$ .

1.  $M \cong \mathrm{SL}_n(q)$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , and  $V$  is a natural  $\mathrm{SL}_n(q)$ -module.
2.  $M \cong \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q)$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , and  $V$  is a natural  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q)$ -module.
3.  $M \cong \mathrm{SU}_n(q)$ ,  $n \geq 4$ , and  $V$  is a natural  $\mathrm{SU}_n(q)$ -module.
4.  $M \cong \Omega_{2n}^+(q)$  for  $2n \geq 6$ ,  $M \cong \Omega_{2n}^-(q)$  for  $p = 2$  and  $2n \geq 6$ ,  $M \cong \Omega_{2n}^-(q)$  for  $p$  odd and  $2n \geq 8$ ,  $M \cong \Omega_{2n+1}(q)$  for  $p$  odd and  $2n + 1 \geq 7$ ,  $M \cong O_4^-(2)$ , or  $M \cong O_{2n}^{\epsilon}(q)$  for  $p = 2$  and  $2n \geq 6$ , and  $V$  is a corresponding natural module.
5.  $M \cong \mathrm{G}_2(q)$ ,  $p = 2$ , and  $V$  is a natural  $\mathrm{G}_2(q)$ -module (of order  $q^6$ ).
6.  $M \cong \mathrm{SL}_n(q)/\langle -\mathrm{id}^{n-1} \rangle$ ,  $n \geq 5$ , and  $V$  is the exterior square of a natural  $\mathrm{SL}_n(q)$ -module.
7.  $M \cong \mathrm{Spin}_7(q)$ , and  $V$  is a spin module of order  $q^8$ .
8.  $M \cong \mathrm{Spin}_{10}^+(q)$ , and  $V$  is a half-spin module of order  $q^{16}$ .
9.  $M \cong 3.\mathrm{Alt}(6)$ ,  $p = 2$  and  $|V| = 2^6$ .
10.  $M \cong \mathrm{Alt}(7)$ ,  $p = 2$ , and  $|V| = 2^4$ .
11.  $M \cong \mathrm{Sym}(n)$ ,  $p = 2$ ,  $n$  odd,  $n \geq 3$ , and  $V$  is a natural  $\mathrm{Sym}(n)$ -module.
12.  $M \cong \mathrm{Alt}(n)$  or  $\mathrm{Sym}(n)$ ,  $p = 2$ ,  $n$  is even,  $n \geq 6$ , and  $V$  is a corresponding natural module.

**Theorem 3 (Best Offender Theorem).** *Let  $M \neq 1$  be a finite group,  $T \in \mathrm{Syl}_p(M)$ , and  $V$  be a faithful  $\mathbb{F}_p M$ -module, and let  $A \leq T$  be a non-trivial offender on  $V$ .*

- (a) *Suppose that  $M \cong \mathrm{G}_2(q)$ ,  $p = 2$ , and  $V$  is a natural  $\mathrm{G}_2(q)$ -module. Then  $N_M(A)$  is a maximal Lie-parabolic subgroup,  $|A| = |V/C_V(A)| = q^3$ ,  $[V, A] = C_V(A)$ , and  $C_T(A) = A$ .*
- (b) *Suppose that  $M \cong \mathrm{SL}_n(q)/\langle -\mathrm{id}^{n-1} \rangle$ ,  $n \geq 5$ , and  $V$  is the exterior square of the natural  $\mathrm{SL}_n(q)$ -module  $W$ . Let  $U$  be the (unique)  $T$ -invariant  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -hyperplane of  $W$ . Then  $A = C_M(U)$ . In particular,  $A$  is uniquely determined in  $T$ ,  $C_T(A) = A$ ,  $[V, A] = C_V(A)$  and  $|V/C_V(A)| = |A| = q^{n-1}$ .*
- (c) *Suppose that  $M \cong \mathrm{Spin}_7(q)$ , and  $V$  is a spin module of order  $q^8$ . Then  $C_V(A) = [V, A]$ ,  $|V/C_V(A)| = q^4 \leq |A| \leq q^5$ , and if  $A$  is maximal, then  $|A| = q^5$ ,  $C_T(A) = A$ ,  $\mathrm{O}^{p'}(N_M(A))/A \cong \mathrm{Sp}_4(q)$ , and  $A$  is uniquely determined in  $T$ .*
- (d) *Suppose that  $M \cong \mathrm{Spin}_{10}^+(q)$ , and  $V$  is a half-spin module of order  $q^{16}$ . Then  $[V, A] = C_V(A)$ ,  $q^8 = |A| = |V/C_V(A)|$ ,  $\mathrm{O}^{p'}(N_M(A))/A \cong \mathrm{Spin}_8^+(q)$ , and  $A$  is uniquely determined in  $T$ .*
- (e) *Suppose that  $M \cong 3.\mathrm{Alt}(6)$ ,  $p = 2$  and  $|V| = 2^6$ . Then  $[V, A] = C_V(A)$ ,  $|[V, A]| = |C_V(A)| = 16$ ,  $|V/C_V(A)| = |A| = 4$ , and  $A$  is uniquely determined in  $T$ .*
- (f) *Suppose that  $M \cong \mathrm{Alt}(7)$ ,  $p = 2$  and  $|V| = 2^4$ . Then  $[V, A] = C_V(A)$ ,  $|[V, A]| = |C_V(A)| = 4$ ,  $|V/C_V(A)| = |A| = 4$ , and  $A$  is uniquely determined in  $T$ .*
- (g) *Suppose that  $M \cong \mathrm{Sym}(n)$ ,  $p = 2$ ,  $n$  odd, and  $V$  is a natural  $\mathrm{Sym}(n)$ -module. Then every offender on  $V$  is a quadratic best offender,  $A$  is generated by commuting transpositions and  $|V/C_V(A)| = |[V, A]| = |A|$ .*

(h) Suppose that  $M \cong \text{Alt}(n)$  or  $\text{Sym}(n)$ ,  $p = 2$ ,  $n$  is even,  $n \geq 6$ , and  $V$  is a corresponding natural module. Then every offender on  $V$  is a best offender, and there exists a set of pairwise commuting transpositions  $t_1, \dots, t_k$  such that one of the following holds:

1.  $A = \langle t_1, \dots, t_k \rangle$ , and either  $n \neq 2k$ ,  $[V, A] \leq C_V(A)$  and  $|[V, A]| = |V/C_V(A)| = |A|$  or  $n = 2k$ ,  $[V, A] = C_V(A)$  and  $2|V/C_V(A)| = |A|$ .
2.  $n = 2k$  and  $A = \langle t_1 t_2, t_2 t_3, \dots, t_{l-1} t_l, t_{l+1}, t_{l+2}, \dots, t_k \rangle$  for some  $2 \leq l \leq k$ ,  $[V, A] = C_V(A)$  and  $|V/C_V(A)| = |A|$ .
3.  $n = 2k$  and  $A = \langle t_1 t_2, s_1 s_2, t_3, t_4, \dots, t_k \rangle$ , where  $s_1, s_2$  are transpositions distinct from  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  and  $s_1 s_2$  moves the same four symbols as  $t_1 t_2$ ,  $A$  is not quadratic and  $|[V, A]| = |V/C_V(A)| = |A|$ .
4.  $n = 8 = |A|$ ,  $A$  acts regularly on  $\{1, 2, \dots, 8\}$ ,  $[V, A] = C_V(A)$  and  $|V/C_V(A)| = |A|$ .

In particular, if  $A \leq \text{Alt}(n)$  and  $n \neq 8$ , then  $n = 2k$  and  $A = \langle t_1 t_2, t_2 t_3, \dots, t_{k-1} t_k \rangle$ .

Note that in all cases of the FF-Module Theorem  $M$  is generated by quadratic best offenders.

In the following list we give the module structure of  $A$ ,  $V/C_V(A)$  and  $[V, A]$  considered as a  $N_M(A)$ -modules in the cases (a) – (d) of the Offender Theorem, as it can be deduced from the action of  $M$  on  $V$ . Put  $P := O^{p'}(N_M(A))$ .

| Case | $P/O_p(P)$           | $A$                  | $[V, A]$              | $V/C_V(A)$            | Remarks  |
|------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|
| (a)  | $\text{SL}_2(q)$     | $U$                  | $U^*$                 | $U$                   | $[U, P]$ a nat. $\text{SL}_2(q)$ -module                 |
| (b)  | $\text{SL}_{n-1}(q)$ | $U$                  | $\bigwedge^2(U)$      | $U$                   | $U$ a nat. $\text{SL}_{n-1}(q)$ -module                  |
| (c)  | $\text{Sp}_4(q)$     | nat. $\Omega_5(q)$   | nat. $\text{Sp}_4(q)$ | nat. $\text{Sp}_4(q)$ | $V/C_V(A) \cong [V, A]$<br>$A/C_A(P) \not\cong V/C_V(A)$ |
| (d)  | $\text{Spin}_8^+(q)$ | nat. $\Omega_8^+(q)$ | nat. $\Omega_8^+(q)$  | nat. $\Omega_8^+(q)$  | pairwise non-isom.                                       |

**Acknowledgment:** We would like to thank the referee for all his helpful comments and suggestions that improved the readability of our manuscript considerably.

## 1 Linear Algebra and Offenders

In this section  $p$  is a prime,  $M$  a finite group and  $V$  a finite dimensional  $\mathbb{F}_p M$ -module.

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $A \leq M$  and  $\mathcal{W}$  a set of  $A$ -submodules of  $V$  with  $V = \bigoplus \mathcal{W}$ . Suppose that  $A$  is a faithful offender on  $V$  but not an over-offender on  $W$  for any  $W \in \mathcal{W}$ . Let  $W \in \mathcal{W}$  and put  $A_W = \bigcap_{W \neq U \in \mathcal{W}} C_A(U)$ . Then*

- (a)  $|A| = |V/C_V(A)|$ .
- (b)  $A = \times_{W \in \mathcal{W}} A_W = A_W \times C_A(W)$ .
- (c)  $|A/C_A(W)| = |W/C_W(A)| = |W/C_W(A_W)| = |A_W|$ .

*Proof.* Since  $A$  is not an over-offender on  $W$ ,  $|A/C_A(W)| \leq |W/C_W(A)|$ , and since  $V = \bigoplus W$ ,  $|V/C_V(A)| = \prod_{W \in \mathcal{W}} |W/C_W(A)|$ . Since  $A$  is an offender on  $V$  this gives

$$(*) \quad |A| \geq |V/C_V(A)| = \prod_{W \in \mathcal{W}} |W/C_W(A)| \geq \prod_{W \in \mathcal{W}} |A/C_A(W)|.$$

Put  $B = \times_{W \in \mathcal{W}} A/C_A(W)$  and let  $B_W = A/C_A(W)$  be viewed as a subgroup of  $B$ . So  $B$  is the internal direct product of the  $B_W$ ,  $W \in \mathcal{W}$ . Consider the homomorphism

$$\phi : A \rightarrow B, a \rightarrow (aC_A(W))_{W \in \mathcal{W}}.$$

Since  $V$  is a faithful  $A$ -module and  $V = \bigoplus W$ ,  $\ker \phi = \bigcap_{W \in \mathcal{W}} C_A(W) = C_A(V) = 1$  and  $\phi$  is injective. By  $(*)$   $|A| \geq |B|$ . Thus  $\phi$  is surjective and so an isomorphism. Moreover, equality holds everywhere in  $(*)$ . In particular, (a) and the first equality in (c) hold.

Let  $a \in A$ . Then  $a\phi \in B_W$  if and only if  $a \in C_A(U)$  for all  $W \neq U \in \mathcal{W}$  and so if and only if  $a \in A_W$ . Thus  $A_W\phi = B_W$ . Also  $a \in C_A(W)$  if and only if the  $W$ -coordinate of  $a\phi$  is 1 and so if and only if  $a\phi \in \times_{W \neq U \in \mathcal{W}} B_W$ . Thus  $C_A(W)\phi = \times_{W \neq U \in \mathcal{W}} B_W$ . Since  $B = \times_{W \in \mathcal{W}} B_W$  and  $\phi$  is an isomorphism, (b) holds.

From (b) we get that  $C_W(A) = C_W(A_W)$  and  $|A_W| = |A/C_A(W)|$ . Hence the (already proved) first equality in (c) gives also the second and third equality in (c).  $\square$

**Lemma 1.2.** *Let  $A \leq M$ . Then  $A$  is a best offender on  $V$  if and only if  $A$  is an offender on every  $A$ -submodule of  $V$ .*

*Proof.* If  $A$  is a best offender, then by [MS1, 2.5]  $A$  is an offender on every  $A$ -submodule of  $V$ .

Conversely, suppose  $A$  is an offender on every  $A$ -submodule of  $V$ . Then  $A$  is an offender on  $V$  and so elementary abelian. Let  $B \leq A$  and put  $W := C_V(B)$ . Clearly

$$(*) \quad B \leq C_A(W) \text{ and } C_W(A) = C_V(A).$$

As  $A$  is an offender on  $W$ ,  $|W/C_W(A)| \leq |A/C_A(W)|$ , and  $(*)$  implies that

$$|B||W| \leq |B||A/C_A(W)||C_W(A)| \leq |A||C_V(A)|.$$

This shows that  $A$  is a best offender on  $V$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 1.3.** *Suppose that  $B$  is a minimal offender on  $V$  and  $W$  is a  $B$ -submodule of  $V$ . Then  $B$  is a quadratic best offender on  $W$ , and one of the following holds:*

1.  $B$  is an over-offender on  $W$ .
2.  $[W, B] = 0$ .
3.  $C_B(W) = C_B(V)$  and  $V = W + C_V(B)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $D \leq B$ . Since  $B$  is a minimal offender,

$$|D||C_V(D)| \leq |V||C_D(V)| \leq |V||C_B(V)| \leq |B||C_V(B)|$$

and so  $B$  is a best offender. By the Timmesfeld Replacement Theorem [KS, 9.2.3],  $C_B([V, B])$  is a non-trivial offender on  $V$  and so by minimality  $B = C_B([V, B])$ . Thus  $B$  is quadratic.

Assume that  $B$  is not an over-offender on  $W$ . Then  $|B/C_B(W)| = |W/C_W(B)|$  and

$$|V/C_V(B) + W| = |V/C_V(B)||W/C_W(B)|^{-1} \leq |B||B/C_B(W)|^{-1} = |C_B(W)|.$$

Hence  $C_B(W)$  is an offender on  $V$ , and the minimality of  $B$  gives either  $B = C_B(W)$  or  $C_B(W) = C_B(V)$ . In the first case (2) holds. In the second case

$$V = C_V(B) + W$$

and (3) follows. □

**Lemma 1.4.** *Suppose that  $A \leq M$  acts nilpotently on  $V$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $A$  is a strong dual offender on  $V$ .
- (b) Let  $0 \leq U \leq Y \leq V$  be any chain of  $A$ -submodules with  $[Y/U, A] = 0$ . Then  $[V, A] \leq U$  or  $Y \leq C_V(A)$ .
- (c)  $A$  is a strong dual offender on  $V^*$ .

*Proof.* Suppose (a) holds. Let  $U$  and  $Y$  be as in (b) and suppose that  $Y \not\leq C_V(A)$ . Pick  $v \in Y \setminus C_V(A)$ . Then

$$[V, A] = [v, A] \leq [Y, A] \leq U.$$

Thus (a) implies (b).

Suppose next that (b) holds. To show that (a) holds, let  $v \in V \setminus C_V(A)$  and put  $Y := \langle v^A \rangle$  and  $U := [v, A]$ . Since  $[v^k, a] = [v, a]^k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}, a \in A$ ,  $U = [\langle v \rangle, A]$ . So  $Y$  and  $U$  are  $A$ -submodules,  $U \leq Y$  and  $A$  centralizes  $Y/U$ . Since  $v \in Y$ ,  $Y \not\leq C_V(A)$  and so (b) implies that  $[V, A] \leq U$ . Hence  $[v, A] = U = [V, A]$  and (a) holds.

By 1.8(c), (b) holds for  $V$  if and only if it holds for  $V^*$  in place of  $V$ . Thus the above argument with  $V^*$  in place of  $V$  shows that (b) and (c) are equivalent. □

**Lemma 1.5.** *Let  $A$  be a strong dual offender on  $V$ . Then the following hold:*

- (a)  $A$  is quadratic on  $V$ .
- (b)  $A$  is a strong dual offender on every  $A$ -submodule of  $V$  and  $V^*$ .
- (c)  $A$  is best offender on  $V$  and on  $V^*$ .
- (d) If  $|[V, A]| = |A|$ , then  $A$  is a strong offender on  $V$ .

*Proof.* Since by 1.4  $A$  is also a strong dual offender on  $V^*$  it suffices to prove the statements for  $V$ .

(a): Since  $A$  acts nilpotently on  $V$  there exists  $v \in V \setminus C_V(A)$  with  $[v, A] \leq C_V(A)$ . By definition of a strong dual offender we conclude that  $[V, A] = [v, A] \leq C_V(A)$  and so  $A$  is quadratic.

(b): This follows immediately from the definition of a strong dual offender.

(c): Let  $v \in V \setminus C_V(A)$ . Since  $A$  is quadratic on  $V$ ,  $[v, A] = \{[v, a] \mid a \in A\}$  and so

$$(*) \quad |[V, A]| = |[v, A]| = |A/C_A(v)| \leq |A|.$$

Thus by 1.8  $|V^*/C_{V^*}(A)| \leq |A|$ . So  $A$  is an offender on  $V^*$ . By (b) this is also true for any  $A$ -submodule of  $V^*$ . Thus by 1.2  $A$  is a best offender on  $V^*$ . By symmetry,  $A$  is also a best offender on  $V$ .

(d): Suppose  $|[V, A]| = |A|$ . Then by (\*)

$$|A| \leq |A/C_A(v)| \leq |A| \text{ for every } v \in V \setminus C_V(A).$$

Hence  $C_A(v) = 1$  and so  $C_V(a) = C_V(A)$  for all  $a \in A^\sharp$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 1.6.** *Let  $A$  be a strong offender on  $V$ . Then  $A$  is a quadratic best offender on  $V$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $W$  be an  $A$ -submodule of  $V$  with  $[W, A] \neq 0$ . Then  $C_A(W) = 1$  and so

$$|W/C_W(A)| \leq |V/C_V(A)| \leq |A| = |A/C_A(W)|.$$

Hence  $A$  is an offender on  $W$  and so by 1.2,  $A$  is a best offender on  $V$ .

To show that  $A$  is quadratic we may assume that  $[V, A] \neq 0$ . Put  $B = C_A([V, A])$ . By the Timmesfeld Replacement Theorem [KS, 9.2.3],  $[V, B] \neq 0$  and since  $A$  is a strong offender,  $C_V(B) = C_V(A)$ . Since  $[V, A, B] = 0$  we conclude that  $[V, A, A] = 0$  and so  $A$  is quadratic.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.7.** *Let  $A$  be a subgroup of  $M$ . Suppose  $V$  is self-dual as an  $\mathbb{F}_p A$ -module. Then  $A$  is a strong offender iff  $|V/C_V(A)| = |A|$  and  $A$  is a strong dual offender.*

*Proof.* Suppose first that  $A$  is strong offender and let  $1 \neq a \in A$ . Then  $C_V(a) = C_V(A)$  and since  $V$  is self-dual,  $[V, a] = [V, A]$  by 1.8(c). Let  $v \in V \setminus C_V(A)$ . Then  $C_A(v) = 1$  and so  $|[v, A]| \geq |A|$ . Hence

$$|A| \leq |[v, A]| \leq |[V, A]| = |[V, a]| = |V/C_V(a)| = |V/C_V(A)| \leq |A|,$$

and equality holds everywhere. Thus  $[v, A] = [V, A]$  and so  $A$  is a strong dual offender.

Suppose now that  $|V/C_V(A)| = |A|$  and  $A$  is a strong dual offender. Since  $V$  is self-dual we get  $|[V, A]| = |A|$ . Thus by 1.5(d),  $A$  is a strong offender.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.8.** *Suppose that  $\mathbb{K}$  is a field and  $V$  is a  $\mathbb{K}$ -space. The following hold for  $A \leq \text{GL}_{\mathbb{K}}(V)$  and  $U$  a  $\mathbb{K}$ -subspace of  $V$ :*

(a)  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} V = \dim_{\mathbb{K}} V^*$ .

(b)  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} U + \dim_{\mathbb{K}} U^\perp = \dim_{\mathbb{K}} V$ .

(c)  $[V, A]^\perp = C_{V^*}(A)$  and  $C_V(A)^\perp = [V^*, A]$ .

(d)  $[V, A, A] = 0 \iff [V^*, A, A] = 0$ .

(e)  $C_M(C_V(A)) \cap C_M(C_{V^*}(A))$  is the largest subgroup  $Y \leq M$  with  $C_V(Y) = C_V(A)$  and  $[V, Y] = [V, A]$ .

(f) If  $A$  is quadratic on  $V$ , then  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}}[V, A] + \dim_{\mathbb{K}} V/C_V(A) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{K}} V$ .

*Proof.* (a), (b) and (c) are well-known and easy to prove statements from linear algebra; and (e) follows from (c).

(d):  $[V, A, A] = 0$  iff  $[V, A] \leq C_V(A)$  iff  $C_V(A)^\perp \leq [V, A]^\perp$  iff  $[V^*, A] \leq C_{V^*}(A)$  iff  $[V^*, A, A] = 0$ .

(f): Since  $A$  is quadratic,  $[V, A] \leq C_V(A)$ . Thus

$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}} V = \dim_{\mathbb{K}}[V, A] + \dim_{\mathbb{K}} C_V(A)/[V, A] + \dim_{\mathbb{K}} V/C_V(A).$$

$\square$



**Lemma 1.9.** *Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a finite field of characteristic  $p$ ,  $V$  a finite dimensional  $\mathbb{F}H$ -module, and  $N \trianglelefteq H$ . Put  $\mathbb{K} := \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}N}(V)$  and suppose that  $V$  is a self-dual simple  $\mathbb{F}N$ -module. Then the following hold:*

- (a) *There exists an  $N$ -invariant non-degenerate symmetric, symplectic or unitary  $\mathbb{K}$ -form  $s$  on  $V$ .*
- (b) *There exists a homomorphism  $\rho : H \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{K})$  with  $h \mapsto \rho_h$  such that  $h \in H$  acts  $\rho_h$ -semilinearly on the right  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space  $V$ ; i.e.,  $(v+w)h = vh + wh$  and  $(vk)h = (vh)(k\rho_h)$  for  $v, w \in V$  and  $k \in \mathbb{K}$ .*
- (c) *There exists a map  $\lambda : H \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^\sharp$  with  $h \mapsto \lambda_h$  such that the map  $H \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^\sharp \rtimes \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{K}), h \mapsto \lambda_h \rho_h$  is a homomorphism and*

$$(vh, wh)s = (v, w)s\lambda_h \rho_h$$

*for all  $v, w \in V, h \in H$ .*

- (d) *Let  $U$  be a  $\mathbb{K}$ -subspace of  $V$  and put  $U^\perp = \{v \in V \mid (u, v)s = 0 \text{ for all } u \in U\}$ . Then  $U^\perp$  is  $N_H(U)$ -invariant.*
- (e) *Let  $U$  be a non-zero  $\mathbb{K}$ -subspace of  $V$  such that  $C_H(U)$  acts simply on  $V/U^\perp$ . Then  $U$  is 1-dimensional over  $\mathbb{K}$ .*
- (f) *Put  $H_0 = \ker \rho$ . Then  $s$  is  $Op'(H_0)N$ -invariant.*

*Proof.* Recall that  $\mathbb{K}$  is a finite field of characteristic  $p$  since  $V$  is finite and simple. It is convenient to write  $V$  in the following as a right  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space since we write the action of  $\mathbb{K}$  on  $V$  from the right.

Put  $V^* := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(V, \mathbb{K})$  and  $W := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(V, \mathbb{F})$ . Let  $\mu : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  be any non-zero  $\mathbb{F}$ -linear map and define

$$\tau : V^* \rightarrow W \text{ by } u \mapsto u \circ \mu.$$

(Recall that our mappings act from the right, so  $v(u \circ \mu) = (vu)\mu$ .)

Let  $0 \neq u \in V^*$ . Then  $Vu = \mathbb{K}$  and so there exists  $v \in V$  with  $vu \notin \ker \mu$ . Thus  $v.u\tau = vu\mu \neq 0$ . In particular  $u\tau \neq 0$  and  $\ker \tau = 0$ . Since  $\tau$  is  $\mathbb{F}$ -linear and

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}} V^* = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{K} \dim_{\mathbb{K}} V^* = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{K} \dim_{\mathbb{K}} V = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} V = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} W$$

we conclude that  $\tau$  is an  $\mathbb{F}$ -isomorphism. For  $n \in N, v \in V$  and  $u \in V^*$  we have

$$v.un\tau = v.un.\mu = vn^{-1}u\mu = vn^{-1}.u\tau = v.u\tau n$$

and so  $un\tau = u\tau n$ . Thus  $\tau$  is an  $\mathbb{F}N$ -isomorphism. Since  $V$  is self-dual as an  $\mathbb{F}N$ -module, this shows that  $V$  and  $V^*$  are isomorphic  $\mathbb{F}N$ -modules. Hence the set  $\mathcal{H}$  of  $\mathbb{F}N$ -isomorphisms from  $V$  to  $V^*$  is non-empty.

For  $k \in \mathbb{K}$  let

$$\bar{k} : V^* \rightarrow V^* \text{ defined by } x\bar{k} : v \mapsto vk.x \quad (x \in V^*, v \in V).$$

Then  $\bar{k} \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}N}(V^*) =: \bar{\mathbb{K}}$  and  $k \mapsto \bar{k}$  induces an isomorphism of fields from  $\mathbb{K}$  to  $\bar{\mathbb{K}}$ .

Let  $\beta \in \mathcal{H}$ . Then  $\beta \circ \bar{k} \circ \beta^{-1}$  is  $\mathbb{F}$ -linear and so

$$\sigma_\beta : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{K} \text{ with } k \mapsto \beta \circ \bar{k} \circ \beta^{-1}$$

is an  $\mathbb{F}$ -linear automorphism of  $\mathbb{K}$ . Since  $\beta \circ \bar{k} = k\sigma_\beta \circ \beta$  we get

1°.  $\beta$  is  $\sigma_\beta^{-1}$ -semi-linear.

Let  $\delta \in \mathcal{H}$  and put  $l = \delta \circ \beta^{-1}$ . Then  $l$  is  $\mathbb{F}N$ -linear and so  $l \in \mathbb{K}$ . Thus:

2°. For all  $\beta, \delta \in \mathcal{H}$  there exists  $l \in \mathbb{K}$  with  $\delta = l \circ \beta$ .

It follows that

$$k\sigma_\delta = \delta \circ \bar{k} \circ \delta^{-1} = l \circ \beta \circ \bar{k} \circ \beta^{-1} \circ l^{-1} = l \circ k\sigma_\beta \circ l^{-1}.$$

Since  $\mathbb{K}$  is commutative, this implies  $k\sigma_\delta = k\sigma_\beta$ . Thus  $\sigma_\delta = \sigma_\beta$  is independent from  $\beta \in \mathcal{H}$ . So we just write  $\sigma$  for  $\sigma_\beta$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the set of all  $N$ -invariant non-zero functions  $s : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  which are  $\mathbb{K}$ -linear in the first coordinate and  $\mathbb{F}$ -linear in the second, where  $N$ -invariant means that  $(vn, wn)s = (v, w)s$  for all  $v, w \in V$  and  $n \in N$ . Clearly, all these forms are non-degenerate since  $V$  is a simple  $\mathbb{F}N$ -module.

For  $\beta \in \mathcal{H}$  define  $s_\beta : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{K}, (v, w) \rightarrow v.w\beta$ . Then  $s_\beta \in \mathcal{F}$  and so also  $\mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$ . Conversely, for  $s \in \mathcal{F}$  define  $\beta_s : V \rightarrow V^*$  by  $v.w\beta_s = (v, w)s$ . Then  $\beta_s \in \mathcal{H}$ , and (1°) applied to  $\beta_s$  gives:

3°. Each  $s \in \mathcal{F}$  is a  $\sigma^{-1}$ -sesquilinear  $\mathbb{K}$ -form.

Define  $s^* : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{K}, (v, w) \rightarrow (w, v)s\sigma$ . Then  $s^*$  is  $N$ -invariant,  $\mathbb{K}$ -linear in the first coordinate and  $\sigma$ -semi-linear in the second coordinate. In particular,  $s^* \in \mathcal{F}$  and so (3°) implies. Hence

4°.  $\sigma = \sigma^{-1}$ , and either  $\sigma = \text{id}_{\mathbb{K}}$  or  $\sigma$  has order 2.

We now will prove (a) – (f).

(a): Put  $t = s + s^*$ . Then  $t = t^*$ . Suppose first that  $t \neq 0$ . If  $\sigma = \text{id}_{\mathbb{K}}$ , then  $t$  is an  $N$ -invariant symmetric  $\mathbb{K}$ -form; and if  $|\sigma| = 2$ , then  $t$  is an  $N$ -invariant unitary  $\mathbb{K}$ -form. So (a) holds in this case.

Suppose next that  $t = 0$ . Then  $s = -s^*$ . Assume  $\text{char } \mathbb{K} = 2$ , then  $s = s^*$  and so  $s$  is a symmetric or unitary  $\mathbb{K}$ -form. Assume  $\text{char } \mathbb{K} \neq 2$ . If  $\sigma = \text{id}_{\mathbb{K}}$  then  $s$  is a symplectic  $\mathbb{K}$ -form. If  $|\sigma| = 2$  pick  $x \in \mathbb{K}$  with  $x \neq x\sigma$  and put  $y := x - x\sigma$ . Then  $y\sigma = -y$ . Hence  $(sy)^* = s^*.y\sigma = sy$  and so  $sy$  is a  $N$ -invariant unitary  $\mathbb{K}$ -form on  $V$ . Again (a) hold.

(b): Since  $N \trianglelefteq H$ , it is readily verified that for  $k \in \mathbb{K}$  and  $h \in H$  the map  $V \rightarrow V, v \mapsto vh^{-1}kh$  is in  $\mathbb{K}$ . Thus  $\rho_h \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{K})$  where

$$v.k\rho_h = vh^{-1}kh \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{K}, h \in H.$$

A simple calculation shows that  $\rho : H \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{K})$  with  $h \mapsto \rho_h$  is a homomorphism and  $h$  acts  $\rho_h$ -semi-linearly on  $V$ .

(c): Fix  $h \in H$  and define

$$s_h : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{K}, (v, w) \mapsto (vh, wh)s\rho_h^{-1}.$$

Using that  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{K})$  is abelian, it is straight forward to verify that  $s_h \in \mathcal{F}$ . By (2°),  $\beta_{s_h} = k_h \circ \beta_s$  for some  $k_h \in \mathbb{K}$ . Thus for all  $v, w \in V$

$$(vh, wh)s\rho_h^{-1} = (v, w)s_h = v.w\beta_{s_h} = v.wk_h\beta_s = (v, wk_h)s = (v, w)s.k_h\sigma$$

Define  $\lambda_h = k_h\sigma$ , then

$$(vh, wh)s = (v, w)s\lambda_h\rho_h.$$

It is readily verified that the map  $H \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^\sharp \rtimes \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{K}), h \rightarrow \lambda_h \rho_h$  is a homomorphism.

(d): Let  $v \in U^\perp, h \in N_H(U)$  and  $u \in U$ . Then

$$(u, vh)s = (uh^{-1}, v)s\lambda_h \rho_h = 0.$$

(e): Let  $D$  be a 1-dimensional  $\mathbb{K}$ -subspace of  $U$ . Then by (d),  $D^\perp$  is  $C_H(U)$ -invariant. Since  $U^\perp \leq D^\perp$  and  $C_H(U)$  is simple on  $V/U^\perp$  we get  $U^\perp = D^\perp$  and  $U = D$ .

(f) For  $a, b \in H_0$  the homomorphism given in (c) yields

$$\lambda_{ab} \rho_{ab} = \lambda_{ab} = \lambda_a \rho_a \lambda_b \rho_b = \lambda_a \lambda_b.$$

Hence  $\lambda|_{H_0}$  is a homomorphism from  $H_0$  in  $\mathbb{K}^\sharp$ . Since  $\mathbb{K}^\sharp$  is a  $p'$ -group, (f) follows.  $\square$

## 2 J-Components

In this section  $p$  is a prime,  $M$  is a finite group with  $O_p(M) = 1$ , and  $V$  is a finite dimensional faithful  $\mathbb{F}_p M$ -module such that  $J_M(V) \neq 1$ .

**Notation 2.1.** Put  $J := J_M(V)$  and  $\mathcal{J} := \mathcal{J}_M(V)$ . Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be the set of solvable  $J$ -components,  $\mathcal{K}$  be the set of perfect  $J$ -components,  $E := \langle \mathcal{K} \rangle$ , and  $I := \langle \mathcal{I} \rangle$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *The following hold:*

- (a)  $C_M(J/Z(J)) = C_M(J)$ .
- (b) Let  $N$  be a  $J$ -invariant subgroup of  $M$  with  $[N, J] \neq 1$ . Then there exists  $K \in \mathcal{J}$  with  $K \leq N$ .
- (c)  $\mathcal{J} \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{I} \cup \mathcal{K}$ , and  $\mathcal{K}$  is the set of components of  $J$ .
- (d) Let  $K \in \mathcal{I}$ . Then either  $p = 2$ ,  $K \cong C_3 \cong \text{SL}_2(2)'$ , and  $[V, K] \cong \mathbb{F}_2^2$ , or  $p = 3$ ,  $K \cong Q_8 \cong \text{SL}_2(3)'$ , and  $[V, K] \cong \mathbb{F}_3^2$ .
- (e)  $[W, K] = [W, K, K]$  for every  $K \in \mathcal{J}$  and every  $K$ -submodule  $W$  of  $V$ .
- (f)  $[K, F] = 1$  and  $[V, K, F] = 0$  for every  $K, F \in \mathcal{J}$  with  $K \neq F$ .
- (g)  $C_J(IE) = Z(J)$ , or  $p = 2$  and  $C_J(IE) = Z(J)I$ . So in both cases  $C_J(IE)$  is an abelian  $p'$ -group.
- (h) Let  $U \leq M$  and  $K \in \mathcal{J}$ . Then either  $[K, U] = 1$  or  $[W, K] \leq [W, [K, U]]$  for every  $K$ -submodule  $W \leq V$ .

*Proof.* (a) Put  $R = C_M(J/Z(J))$  and let  $T$  be a  $p$ -subgroup of  $J$ . Since  $O_p(M) = 1$ ,  $O_p(Z(J)) = 1$  and so  $Z(J)$  is a  $p'$ -group, Since  $[Z(J), T] = 1$ , we conclude that  $T = O_p(Z(J)T)$ . So, as  $[R, T] \leq Z(J)$ ,  $R$  normalizes  $T$  and  $[R, T] \leq T \cap Z(J) = 1$ . Since  $J$  is generated by  $p$ -groups, this means  $[R, J] = 1$  and so  $R = C_M(J)$ .

(b): By (a),  $[N, J] \not\leq Z(J)$ . So by [MS1, 3.1] there exists  $K \in \mathcal{J}$  with  $K \leq [N, J]$ .

(c) and (d) follow from [MS1, 3.2], and [MS1, 3.4], and (f) is The Other  $P(G, V)$ -Theorem in [MS1].

(e): By (c) and (d)  $K$  is generated by  $p'$ -elements. Hence (e) follows from elementary properties of coprime action.

(g): Put  $C := C_J(IE)$ . Clearly  $Z(J) \leq C$ . Hence, by (b) either  $C = Z(J)$ , or there exists a  $J$ -component in  $C$ . Assume the latter case. Then by (c) and (d),  $p = 2$  and  $I \leq C$ . The action of  $C$  on  $[V, I]$  shows that  $C = IC_C([V, I])$ . But now again (b), this time applied to  $C_C([V, I])$ , gives  $C_C([V, I]) \leq Z(J)$  and thus  $C = Z(J)I$ .

(h): Note that  $K[K, U] = K^u[K, U]$  for every  $u \in U$ . Assume first that  $U \not\leq N_M(K)$ . Then there exists  $u \in U \setminus N_U(K)$ , and by (f)  $[W, K] \leq C_W(K^u)$ . Now (e) yields

$$[W, K] = [W, K, K] \leq [W, K, K^u[K, U]] = [W, K, [K, U]] \leq [W, [K, U]].$$

Assume now that  $U \leq N_M(K)$ ,  $[K, U] \neq 1$  and  $[W, K] \neq 0$ . Then  $1 \neq [K, U] \trianglelefteq K$ . By (c) and (d)  $K$  is a component, or  $K \cong C_3$ , or  $K \cong Q_8$ . In the first case  $K \leq [K, U]$ , and (h) follows. In the other two cases by (d)  $[W, K] = [V, K]$  is a faithful simple  $K$ -module, so  $[V, K] = [V, [K, U]]$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $A$  be a best offender of  $M$  on  $V$  and  $K \in \mathcal{J}$ . Then the following hold:*

(a)  $[K, A] = K$  or  $[K, A] = 1$ .

(b) If  $[K, A] \neq 1$ , then there exists a best offender  $A_0 \leq A$  such that  $K = [K, A_0]$ ,  $[[V, K], A_0, A] = 0$ , and  $A_0$  is quadratic on  $[V, K]$ .

*Proof.* (a) is obvious since  $K \trianglelefteq J$  and by 2.2 either  $K$  is quasisimple or isomorphic to  $C_3$  or  $Q_8$ .

(b): This is essentially [MS1, 3.3], but since our assumption is slightly weaker we repeat the proof: By (a)  $[K, A] = K$  and by 2.2(e)  $[V, K] = [V, K, K]$ , so  $[V, K, A] \neq 0$ . The Timmesfeld Replacement Theorem [MS1, 2.7] with  $W := [V, K]$  gives a best offender  $A_0 \leq A$  satisfying  $[W, A_0, A] = 0$  and  $[W, A_0] \neq 0$ . The first property shows that  $A_0$  is quadratic on  $W$ . Suppose that  $[K, A_0] = 1$ . Then by [MS1, 2.9],  $[W, A_0] = 0$ , a contradiction. Thus  $[K, A_0] \neq 1$  and by (a),  $K = [K, A_0]$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $K \in \mathcal{J}$  and  $A$  be a subgroup of  $M$  such that  $[V, A, A] = 0$  and  $[K, A] \neq 1$ . Suppose that  $X$  is a perfect  $K$ -submodule of  $V$  and  $\bar{X}$  is a non-zero  $K$ -factor module of  $X$ . Then*

$$C_A(X) = C_A(K) = C_A(\bar{X}).$$

*Proof.* Put  $L := [K, A]$ . The quadratic and faithful action of  $A$  shows that  $A$  is an elementary abelian  $p$ -subgroup. Hence  $A_0 := C_A(K)$  centralizes  $\langle K, A \rangle$  and so also  $L$ . The quadratic action of  $A$  gives

$$[V, L] \leq [V, \langle A^K \rangle] = \langle [V, A]^K \rangle \leq C_V(A_0).$$

As  $[K, A] \neq 1$ , 2.2(h) yields  $X = [X, K] \leq [X, L] \leq C_V(A_0)$  and  $A_0 \leq C_A(X) \leq C_A(\bar{X})$ . Conversely,  $[X, [K, C_A(\bar{X})]] \neq X$  since  $\bar{X} \neq 0$ . Hence again 2.2(h) implies that  $C_A(\bar{X}) \leq C_A(K)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $K \in \mathcal{J}$  and  $\mathbb{K} := \text{End}_K(V)$ . Suppose that  $V$  is a simple  $K$ -module and  $M$  is generated by quadratic offenders on  $V$ . Then the following hold:*

(a)  $\mathbb{K}$  is a finite field.

(b)  $M$  acts  $\mathbb{K}$ -linearly on  $V$ , or  $|V| = 4$  and  $M \cong \text{SL}_2(2)$ .

(c)  $F^*(M) = Z(M)K$ , and  $C_M(K) = Z(M)$  if  $|V| > 4$ .

*Proof.* (a): By Schur's Lemma  $\mathbb{K}$  is a finite division ring, so by Wedderburn's Theorem  $\mathbb{K}$  is a field.

(b): Let  $A \leq M$  be a quadratic offender and suppose  $A$  does not act  $\mathbb{K}$ -linearly on  $V$ . Then by [MS3, 2.14],  $|A| = 2$ . Since  $|A|$  is an offender we get  $|V/C_V(A)| = 2$ . Since  $A$  does not act  $\mathbb{K}$ -linearly, there exists  $0 \neq k \in \mathbb{K}$  which is inverted by  $a \in A^\sharp$ ; and since  $k$  acts fixed-point-freely on  $V$ ,  $|C_V(a)|^2 = |V|$ . This implies  $|\mathbb{K}| = 4 = |V|$ . Hence  $M \cong \text{SL}_2(2)$  and (b) is proved.

(c): Suppose  $K$  is solvable. Then by 2.2  $|V| = 4$  or  $|V| = 9$  and (c) is obvious. So we may assume that  $K$  is not solvable and so by 2.2  $K$  is a component of  $M$ ; in particular  $F^*(M) = KC_{F^*(M)}(K)$ . By (b)  $M$  acts  $\mathbb{K}$ -linearly on  $V$ , so  $C_M(K) \leq Z(M)$ , and  $F^*(M) = KC_{F^*(M)}(K) = KZ(M)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $K \in \mathcal{J}$  and  $X$  be a perfect  $K$ -submodule of  $V$ , and let  $A$  be a best offender of  $M$  on  $V$  such that  $[K, A] \neq 1$ . Then  $A$  normalizes  $X$ .*

*Proof.* By 2.3(b) there exists a best offender  $A_0 \leq A$  such that  $[K, A_0] = K$ ,  $[[V, K], A_0, A] = 0$  and  $A_0$  is quadratic on  $[V, K]$ . Clearly  $A$  normalizes  $K$  since  $K \trianglelefteq J$ .

We will first show that  $A_0$  normalizes  $X$ . Note that by 1.2  $A_0$  is a best offender on  $W := \langle X^{A_0} \rangle$ . Let  $R := \text{rad}_K(W)$ , that is, the intersection of the maximal  $K$ -submodules of  $W$ , and put  $\overline{W} := W/R$ . Note that  $W = [W, K]$  and so by 2.4  $C_{A_0}(W) = C_{A_0}(\overline{W}) = C_{A_0}(K)$ . Since  $A_0$  is a quadratic offender on  $W$ , we conclude that  $A_0$  is also a quadratic offender on  $\overline{W}$ . Thus there exists a quadratic best offender  $A_1 \leq A_0$  on  $\overline{W}$  such that  $[\overline{W}, A_1] \neq 0$  and so by 2.4  $[K, A_1] \neq 1$ .

Note that  $\overline{X}$  is a semisimple  $K$ -module. Let  $\overline{Y}$  be any simple  $K$ -submodule of  $\overline{X}$ . By [MS1, 2.10]  $A_1$  normalizes  $\overline{Y}$ . Moreover, since  $\overline{X}$  is a perfect  $K$ -module and  $[K, A_1] \neq 1$ , 2.4 gives  $[\overline{Y}, A_1] \neq 0$ . Now  $0 \neq [\overline{Y}, A_1] \leq C_{\overline{Y}}(A_0)$  shows that also  $A_0$  normalizes  $\overline{Y}$ . Hence,  $A_0$  normalizes  $\overline{X}$  and  $W = X + R$ , so  $W = X$ .

Thus  $A_0$  normalizes  $X$ . Let  $a \in A$ . Then  $[X, A_0] \leq X \cap X^a =: D$ . Since  $D$  is a  $KA_0$ -module and  $[X, A_0] \leq D$ , we get from 2.2(h)  $X = [X, K] \leq [X, [K, A_0]] \leq D$  and thus  $X^a = X$ . So  $A$  normalizes  $X$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $K \in \mathcal{J}$  and  $X$  be a perfect  $K$ -submodule of  $V$ , and let  $B$  be a best offender of  $M$  on  $V$  such that  $[K, B] = 0$ . Then  $[X, B] = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a counterexample such that  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} X$  is minimal, and let  $W$  be a maximal  $K$ -submodule of  $X$ . We use the following notation:

$$Y := \langle X^B \rangle, \quad U := [W, K], \quad B_0 := C_B(Y), \quad \overline{Y} := Y/C_Y(K).$$

Note that  $[Y, K] = Y$ . Since  $[Y, C_B(\overline{Y}), K] = 0$  and  $[C_B(\overline{Y}), K] \leq [B, K] = 1$ , the Three Subgroups Lemma gives  $[Y, C_B(\overline{Y})] = [K, Y, C_B(\overline{Y})] = 0$ . It follows that

$$C_B(X) = B_0 = C_B(\overline{Y}) = C_B(\overline{X}).$$

As  $B$  is a best offender on  $Y$  by 1.2,  $B$  is an offender on  $\overline{Y}$ .

Since  $U$  is a perfect  $K$ -module, the minimality of  $X$  gives  $[U, B] = 0$ . Thus  $[W, K, B] = 0$  and  $[K, B] = 0$ , and the Three Subgroups Lemma yields  $[W, B, K] = 0$ . Thus  $[\overline{W}, B] = 0$  and so  $C_{\overline{X}}(b) = \overline{W}$  for every  $b \in B \setminus B_0$  since  $\overline{X}/\overline{W}$  is simple. Hence  $[\overline{X}, b] \cong \overline{X}/C_{\overline{X}}(b) = \overline{X}/\overline{W} \cong X/W := I$ . This shows that  $[\overline{X}, B]$  is the direct sum of, say  $n$ , copies of  $I$ .

Put  $\mathbb{F} := \text{End}_K(I)$ . Let

$$\kappa_b : \overline{X} \rightarrow [\overline{X}, B] \text{ with } \overline{x} + \overline{W} \mapsto [\overline{x}, b]. \quad (b \in B)$$

Then  $b \mapsto \kappa_b$ ,  $b \in B$ , defines a homomorphism from  $B$  to  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{X}/\overline{W}, [\overline{X}, B]) \cong \mathbb{F}^n$  whose kernel is  $C_B(\overline{X}) = C_B(X)$ . It follows that  $|B/C_B(X)| \leq |\mathbb{F}|^n$ . Since  $B$  is an offender on  $\overline{Y}$  with  $B_0 = C_B(\overline{Y})$  and  $C_{\overline{X}}(B) = \overline{W}$ ,

$$|\mathbb{F}|^n \geq |B/B_0| \geq |\overline{Y}/C_{\overline{Y}}(B)| \geq |\overline{X}C_{\overline{Y}}(B)/C_{\overline{Y}}(B)| = |\overline{X}/\overline{W}| = |I|,$$

so

$$(+) \quad \dim_{\mathbb{F}} I \leq n.$$

According to 1.2 and (b) there exists a best offender  $A$  on  $V$  such that  $[K, A] = K$  and  $A$  is quadratic on  $V$ . By 2.6  $A$  normalizes  $X, Y$  and  $U$  and thus also  $W$  and  $X/W$  since  $W/U = C_{X/U}(K)$ . Let  $b \in B \setminus C_B(\overline{X})$ . Then  $[X, b]$  is a perfect  $K$ -submodule of  $Y$ , and so again by 2.6  $A$  normalizes  $[X, b]$  and thus also  $[\overline{X}, b]$ . Since  $I = X/W \cong [\overline{X}, b]$  as  $K$ -module,  $D := \text{Hom}_K(I, [\overline{X}, b])$  is a non-trivial  $p$ -group. Since  $A$  acts on  $D$  we get  $C_D(A) \neq 0$  and so  $\text{Hom}_{KA}(I, [\overline{X}, b]) \neq 0$ . Thus  $[\overline{X}, b]$  is isomorphic to  $I$  as an  $KA$ -module.

By 2.4

$$(*) \quad C_A(I) = C_A(K) = C_A(Y),$$

so 1.2 shows that  $A$  is a non-trivial quadratic offender on  $I$ . Hence by 2.5(b)  $A$  acts  $\mathbb{F}$ -linearly on  $I$  or  $|I| = 4$ . In the latter case  $(*)$  implies  $|A/C_A(I)| = 2 = |Y/C_Y(A)|$ ,  $|K| = 3$  and  $|Y| = 4$ . In particular  $[Y, B] = 0$ .

Assume now that  $A$  acts  $\mathbb{F}$ -linearly on  $I$ . Let  $m = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} I$  and  $c = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} C_I(A)$ . Recall that  $\overline{Y} = \overline{X} + [\overline{X}, B]$  and  $[\overline{X}, B]$  is the direct sum of  $n$  copies of  $KA$ -modules isomorphic to  $I$ . Hence

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}} Y/C_Y(A) \geq \dim_{\mathbb{F}} \overline{Y}/C_{\overline{Y}}(A) \geq n \cdot \dim_{\mathbb{F}} I/C_I(A) = n(m - c).$$

Since  $A$  acts quadratically on  $I$ ,  $|A/C_A(I)| \leq |\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(I/C_I(A), C_I(A))|$ , so  $|A/C_A(I)| \leq |\mathbb{F}|^{c(m-c)}$ . On the other hand, by  $(*)$   $C_A(I) = C_A(Y)$  and so by  $(+)$

$$|A/C_A(Y)| = |A/C_A(I)| \leq |\mathbb{F}|^{c(m-c)} < |\mathbb{F}|^{n(m-c)} \leq |Y/C_Y(A)|,$$

a contradiction since  $A$  is an offender. □

**Proposition 2.8.** *Let  $K \in \mathcal{J}$  and  $X$  be a perfect  $K$ -submodule of  $V$ . Then  $J$  normalizes  $X$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from 2.6 and 2.7. □

**Lemma 2.9.** *Let  $K \in \mathcal{J}$  and let*

$$X_0 \leq Y_1 \leq X_1 \leq Y_2 \leq X_2 \leq \dots \leq Y_n \leq X_n \leq V$$

*be a series of  $K$ -submodules such that  $X_i = [X_i, K]$ ,  $X_i/Y_i$  is a simple  $K$ -module, and  $[Y_i, K] \leq X_{i-1}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then the following hold for  $S := \bigoplus_{i=1}^n X_i/Y_i$ :*

(a)  *$J$  acts on  $S$  and  $O_p(\tilde{J}) = 1$ , where  $\tilde{J} := J/C_J(S)$ .*

(b) *Every best offender on  $V$  is an offender on  $S$ ; in particular  $\tilde{J}$  is generated by offenders on  $S$ .*

(c)  *$\tilde{K}$  is the unique  $J_{\tilde{J}}(S)$ -component of  $\tilde{J}$ .*

*Proof.* (a): By 2.8  $J$  normalizes every  $X_i$  and  $Y_i$  since  $Y_i/X_{i-1} = C_{X_i/X_{i-1}}(K)$ , so  $J$  acts on  $S$ . Since  $X_i/Y_i$ ,  $i \geq 1$ , is a simple  $K$ -module, we also get  $O_p(\tilde{J}) = 1$ .

(b): Let  $A$  be a best offender on  $V$ . By 2.7  $[S, A] = 0$  if  $[K, A] = 1$ . In the other case 2.4 shows that

$$(*) \quad C_A(K) = C_A(X_i) = C_A(X_i/Y_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Hence in both cases  $C_A(S) = C_A(K)$ .

By 1.2  $A$  is a best offender on  $X_n$ . Hence

$$|X_n/C_{X_n}(A)| \leq |A/C_A(X_n)| = |A/C_A(K)| = |A/C_A(S)|.$$

On the other hand,

$$|X_n| = |X_n/Y_n| |Y_n/X_{n-1}| |X_{n-1}/Y_{n-1}| \cdots |X_1/Y_1| |Y_1|$$

and

$$|C_{X_n}(A)| \leq |C_{X_n/Y_n}(A)| |Y_n/X_{n-1}| |C_{X_{n-1}/Y_{n-1}}(A)| \cdots |C_{X_1/Y_1}(A)| |Y_1|,$$

so

$$|A/C_A(S)| \geq |X_n/C_{X_n}(A)| \geq |X_n/Y_n/C_{X_n/Y_n}(A)| \cdots |X_1/Y_1/C_{X_1/Y_1}(A)| \geq |S/C_S(A)|.$$

This shows that  $A$  is an offender on  $S$ .

(c): There exists a best offender  $A$  on  $V$  such that  $[K, A] \neq 1$  and thus by (\*) also  $[S, A] \neq 0$ . By (b)  $A$  is an offender on  $S$ , so  $A$  contains a non-trivial best offender  $B$  on  $S$ . Again (\*) yields  $[K, B] \neq 1$ . Hence by 2.3(a),  $\tilde{K} \leq J_{\tilde{J}}(S)$  and so  $\tilde{K} \trianglelefteq J_{\tilde{J}}(S)$ . Now 2.2(c) and (d) show that  $\tilde{K}$  is a  $J_{\tilde{J}}(S)$ -component of  $\tilde{J}$ . Moreover, since  $[S, \tilde{K}] = S$ , 2.2(f) implies that  $\tilde{K}$  is the unique  $J_{\tilde{J}}(S)$ -component of  $\tilde{J}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.10.** *Let  $K \in \mathcal{J}$  and  $L$  be a normal subgroup of  $M$  with  $L = O^{p'}(L)$ . Then either  $K \leq [K, L] \leq L$  or  $[K, L] = 1$ .*

*Proof.* If  $K$  is a component of  $M$ , this is [KS, 6.5.2]. So suppose  $K$  is solvable. Then either  $p = 2$  and  $K \cong C_3$ , or  $p = 3$  and  $K \cong Q_8$ .

We may assume that  $[K, L] \neq 1$ . Since  $L = O^{p'}(L)$ , there exists a  $p$ -subgroup  $T$  of  $L$  with  $[K, T] \neq 1$ . If  $T$  normalizes  $K$ , the structure of  $\text{Aut}(K)$  shows that  $K = [K, T] \leq [K, L] \leq L$ . So we may assume there exists  $t \in T$  with  $K \neq K^t$ . Put  $L_0 := KK^t \cap L$ . Then  $L_0 \trianglelefteq J$ , and  $KK^t = KL_0 = K^tL_0$  since  $[K, t] \leq L$ . In particular  $[L_0, J] \neq 1$  since  $K = [K, J] \neq K^t$ . Hence, by 2.2(b) there exists a  $J$ -component  $\tilde{K} \leq L_0$ , so  $\tilde{K} \leq KK^t$ . If  $\tilde{K} = K$  or  $K^t$ , then  $K \leq KK^t = \tilde{K}L_0 \leq L_0 \leq L$ . Suppose that  $\tilde{K}$  is different from  $K$  and  $K^t$ . Then by 2.2(e),(f)

$$[V, \tilde{K}] = [V, \tilde{K}, \tilde{K}] \leq [V, KK^t, \tilde{K}] = 0,$$

a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.11.** *Let  $K \in \mathcal{J}$ ,  $W$  a  $K$ -submodule of  $V$ ,  $\bar{V} := V/W$  and  $U$  a  $K$ -submodule of  $\bar{V}$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

(a)  $U$  is a perfect  $K$ -module and  $U/C_U(K)$  is a simple  $K$ -module.

(b)  $U$  is a quasisimple  $K$ -module.

(c)  $U$  is a minimal non-trivial  $K$ -submodule of  $\bar{V}$ .

*Proof.* (a)  $\implies$  (b): Let  $N$  be the inverse image of  $O_p(K/C_K(U))$  in  $K$ . Then  $U \neq [U, N]$  and since  $U$  is a perfect  $K$ -module,  $N \neq K$ . By 2.2  $K$  is quasisimple or  $K$  is  $p'$ -group. In the first case  $N \leq Z(K)$  and since  $O_p(K) \leq O_p(M) = 1$ ,  $N$  is a  $p'$ -group. So in any case  $N$  is a  $p'$ -group. Thus  $N/C_K(U) = 1$  and so  $U$  is a quasisimple  $K$ -module.

(b)  $\implies$  (c): Let  $Y$  be non-zero  $K$ -submodule of  $U$ . By 2.2,  $K = O^p(K)$  and so  $C_U(K) = C_U(O^p(K))$ . Thus  $U/C_U(K)$  is a simple  $K$ -module. If  $Y \not\leq C_U(K)$  we get  $U = Y + C_U(K)$  and so  $U = [U, K] = [Y, K] \leq Y$  and  $Y = U$ . Thus, either  $Y = U$  or  $Y \leq C_U(K)$ , so  $Y$  is a minimal non-trivial  $K$ -submodule of  $\bar{V}$ .

(c)  $\implies$  (a): Since  $U$  is non-trivial,  $U \neq C_U(K)$ . Let  $Y$  be a proper  $K$ -submodule of  $U$  with  $C_U(K) \leq Y$ . Then  $[Y, K] = 0$  by minimality of  $U$ . Thus  $Y = C_U(K)$  and so  $U/C_U(K)$  is a simple  $K$ -module. Since  $K = O^p(K)$ ,  $[U, K, K] \neq 1$  and so  $U = [U, K]$  by minimality of  $U$ . Thus  $U$  is a perfect  $K$ -module and (a) holds.  $\square$

### 3 Maximal Quadratic Offenders in Classical Groups

In this section  $\mathbb{K}$  is a field and  $V$  is an  $n$ -dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{K}$ . We assume that there exists a sesquilinear form  $f$  on  $V$  such that one of the following holds: (Recall here that  $f$  is non-degenerate if for each  $0 \neq v \in V$  there exists  $w \in V$  with  $f(v, w) \neq 0$ .)

- (i)  $f = 0$ .
- (ii)  $f$  is a non-degenerate symplectic form on  $V$ ; so  $f$  is bilinear and  $f(v, v) = 0$  for  $v \in V$ .
- (iii)  $f$  is a non-degenerate unitary form; so there exists  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{K})$  such that  $\alpha^2 = \text{id}_{\mathbb{K}} \neq \alpha$ ,  $f$  is linear in the first component, and  $f(v, w) = f(w, v)\alpha$  for  $v, w \in V$ .
- (iv)  $f$  is a symmetric bilinear form and there exists an associated non-degenerate quadratic form  $h$  on  $V$ , that is a function  $h : V \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  with

$$h(k_1v + k_2w) = k_1^2h(v) + k_2^2h(w) + k_1k_2f(v, w) \text{ for } k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{K}, v, w \in V.$$

(Recall here that  $h$  is non-degenerate if for each  $0 \neq v \in V$  with  $h(v) = 0$  there exists  $w \in V$  with  $f(v, w) \neq 0$ .) Also if  $\text{char } \mathbb{K} = 2$ , we assume that  $\mathbb{K}$  is perfect and so for each  $k \in \mathbb{K}$  there exists a unique element  $\sqrt{k} \in \mathbb{K}$  with  $\sqrt{k}^2 = k$ .

By  $\text{GL}(V)$ ,  $\text{Sp}(V)$ ,  $\text{GU}(V)$ , and  $\text{O}(V)$ , respectively, we denote the group of automorphisms of  $V$  leaving invariant  $f$  (in the first three cases) and  $h$  in the fourth case. In the last three cases  $V$  is called a non-degenerate symplectic, unitary and orthogonal space, respectively.

We also use the notation  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ ,  $\text{Sp}_n(\mathbb{F})$ ,  $\text{GU}_n(\mathbb{F})$ , and  $\text{O}_n(\mathbb{F})$ , where  $n := \dim V$  and either  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{K}$  or, in the unitary case,  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{K}_\alpha$ , the subfield centralized by  $\alpha$ . In the first three cases put  $\alpha = \text{id}_{\mathbb{K}}$ , so  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{K}_\alpha$ . If  $\mathbb{F}$  is finite, say  $|\mathbb{F}| = q$ , we also write  $\text{GL}_n(q)$ ,  $\text{Sp}_n(q)$ , etc.

An element  $v \in V$  is called isotropic if  $f(v, v) = 0$ . A subspace  $U$  of  $V$  is called isotropic if  $f|_{U \times U} = 0$ . An element  $v \in V$  is called singular if  $v$  is isotropic and (in the fourth case)  $h(v) = 0$ . A subspace is called singular if it is isotropic and all its elements are singular.



By  $V^*$  we denote the vector space dual to  $V$ , so  $V^* := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(V, \mathbb{K})$  and an element  $g \in \text{GL}(V)$  acts on  $V^*$  via

$$xg : v \mapsto (vg^{-1})x \quad (x \in V^*, v \in V).$$

We will use the notion of perpendicularity (and the symbol  $\perp$ ) with respect to  $f$ .

An  $\alpha$ -sesquilinear form on  $V$  is a function  $g : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  such that  $g$  is  $\mathbb{K}$ -linear in the first coordinate and  $\alpha$ -semilinear in the second coordinate. We denote the set of  $\alpha$ -sesquilinear forms on  $V$  be  $F_\alpha(V)$ . Observe that  $F_\alpha(V)$  is vector space over  $\mathbb{K}$ . Moreover, an element  $t \in \text{GL}_{\mathbb{K}}(V)$  acts on  $F_\alpha(V)$  via

$$gt : (u, v) \mapsto g(ut^{-1}, vt^{-1}) \quad u, v \in V.$$

Let  $\eta \in \{\pm\}$ . An  $(\alpha, \eta)$ -sesquilinear form on  $V$  is an  $\alpha$ -sesquilinear form  $g$  with  $g(v, w) = \eta g(w, v)\alpha$  for all  $v, w \in V$ .  $F_{\alpha, \eta}(V)$  denotes the set all  $(\alpha, \eta)$ -sesquilinear forms. Note that  $F_{\alpha, \eta}(V)$  is an  $\mathbb{F}$ -subspace of  $F_\alpha(V)$ .  $\bigwedge_2(V)$  denotes the set of symplectic forms on  $V$  and  $S_2(V)$  denotes the set symmetric bilinear forms on  $V$ . So  $S_2(V) = F_{\text{id}, +}(V)$ . Also  $\bigwedge_2(V) \leq F_{\text{id}, -}(V)$  with equality if  $\text{char } \mathbb{K} \neq 2$ .

Note that, if  $f \neq 0$ , then  $f$  is an  $(\alpha, \epsilon)$ -sesquilinear form, where  $\epsilon = +$  for  $M = \text{O}(V)$  or  $M = \text{GU}(V)$  and  $\epsilon = -$  for  $M = \text{Sp}(V)$ .

In the following  $M = \text{GL}(V)$ ,  $\text{Sp}(V)$ ,  $\text{GU}(V)$  and  $\text{O}(V)$ , respectively. In this section we will write the action of  $M$  on  $V$  as right multiplication.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $U$  be an isotropic but not singular  $\mathbb{K}$ -subspace of  $V$ . Let  $U_0$  be the set of singular vectors in  $U$ . Then  $G = \text{O}(V)$ ,  $p = 2$ ,  $U_0$  is  $\mathbb{K}$ -subspace of  $U$  and  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} U/U_0 = 1$ . In particular,  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} V^\perp \leq 1$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $U$  is isotropic,  $f|_{U \times U} = 0$ , so all elements in  $U$  are isotropic. Since  $U$  is not singular, there exists a non-singular element  $u$  in  $U$ . Since  $u$  is isotropic, we conclude that  $G = \text{O}(V)$  and  $h(u) \neq 0$ . Then  $4h(u) = h(2u) = h(u+u) = h(u) + f(u, u) + h(u) = 2h(u)$  and so  $p = 2$ . In particular,  $K$  is perfect and for every  $k \in \mathbb{K}$  there exists a unique  $\sqrt{k}$  such that  $\sqrt{k}^2 = k$ . Consider the map

$$\tau : U \rightarrow \mathbb{K} \text{ with } u \mapsto \sqrt{h(u)}.$$

Observe that  $U_0 = \ker \tau$ . Since  $U$  is isotropic,

$$\tau(u+v) = \sqrt{h(u+v)} = \sqrt{h(u) + f(u, v) + h(v)} = \sqrt{h(u)} + \sqrt{h(v)} = \tau(u) + \tau(v).$$

for all  $u, v \in U_0$ . Also

$$\tau(ku) = \sqrt{h(ku)} = \sqrt{k^2 h(u)} = k\tau(u),$$

and so  $\tau$  is  $\mathbb{K}$ -linear. Thus  $U_0 = \ker \tau$  is  $\mathbb{K}$ -subspace and  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} U/U_0 = \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K} = 1$ . □

**Lemma 3.2.** *Suppose  $f \neq 0$ . Let  $A \leq M$  and  $U$  be subspace of  $V$ .*

(a)  $V/U^\perp$  and  $U/U \cap V^\perp$  are isomorphic  $\mathbb{F}N_M(U)$ -modules. In particular, if  $f$  is non-degenerate, then  $V$  and  $V^*$  are isomorphic  $\mathbb{F}M$ -modules.

(b)  $C_{V/V^\perp}(A) = C_V(A)/V^\perp$ .

(c)  $C_V(A) = [V, A]^\perp$ .

(d)  $C_M(V/U) \leq C_M(U^\perp)$ ; in particular  $C_M(V/U) \leq C_M(U)$  if  $U$  is isotropic.

(e) If  $A$  acts quadratically on  $V/V^\perp$ , then  $A$  acts quadratically on  $V$  and  $[V, A]$  is an isotropic subspace of  $V$ .

*Proof.* (a): Replacing  $V$  by  $V/V^\perp$  and  $U$  by  $U + V^\perp/V^\perp$  we may assume that  $V^\perp = 0$ . For  $w \in V$  define  $w^* : U \rightarrow \mathbb{K}, u \mapsto f(u, w)$ . Since  $f$  is  $\mathbb{K}$ -linear in the first coordinate,  $w^* \in U^*$ . Define

$$\phi : V \rightarrow U^*, v \mapsto v^*.$$

Since  $f$  is  $\alpha$ -linear in the second coordinate,  $\phi$  is  $\alpha$ -linear and so  $\mathbb{F}$ -linear. Moreover,  $\ker \phi = U^\perp$ . Hence  $\dim V/U^\perp = \dim V\phi \leq \dim U^* = \dim U$ . This result applied to  $U^\perp$  gives  $\dim V/U^{\perp\perp} \leq \dim U^\perp$  and since  $U \leq U^{\perp\perp}$ ,

$$\dim U \leq \dim U^{\perp\perp} \leq \dim V/U^\perp \leq \dim U.$$

So equality holds in the preceding inequalities. Therefore  $\dim V\phi = \dim U^*$  and  $\phi$  is surjective. For  $g \in N_M(U)$  and  $u \in U$ :

$$u((w\phi)g) = (ug^{-1})(w\phi) = f(ug^{-1}, w) = f(u, wg) = u((wg)\phi),$$

so  $(w\phi)g = (wg)\phi$ . Thus (a) holds.

Put  $\bar{V} := V/V^\perp$  and define  $\bar{f} : \bar{V} \rightarrow \bar{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}, (v + V^\perp, w + V^\perp) \rightarrow f(v, w)$ . Then  $\bar{f}$  is a non-degenerate form on  $\bar{V}$ .

(b): If  $V^\perp = 0$ , there is nothing to prove. So suppose  $V^\perp \neq 0$ , that is  $G = O(V)$ ,  $\text{char } \mathbb{K} = 2$ , and  $n$  is odd. Let  $v \in V$  with  $\bar{v} \in C_{\bar{V}}(A)$  and  $g \in A$ . Then  $vg = v + u$  for some  $u \in V^\perp$ , so

$$h(v) = h(vg) = h(v + u) = h(v) + f(u, v) + h(u) = h(v) + h(u).$$

Hence  $h(u) = 0$ . Since  $u \in V^\perp$  and  $h$  is non-degenerate this gives  $u = 0$  and so  $v \in C_V(g)$ . Thus (b) holds.

(c): By 1.8(c) and (a) we have  $C_{\bar{V}}(A) = [\bar{V}, A]^\perp$ . Observe that  $[V, A]^\perp$  is the preimage of  $[\bar{V}, A]^\perp$  in  $V$ . By (b),  $C_V(A)$  is the preimage of  $C_{\bar{V}}(A)$  in  $V$ . Thus (c) holds.

(d): Put  $C := C_M(V/U)$ . Note that  $[V, C] \leq U$  and so by (c),  $C_V(C) = [V, C]^\perp \geq U^\perp$ . Hence  $C \leq C_M(U^\perp)$ . If  $U$  is, in addition, isotropic,  $U \leq U^\perp$  and so  $C \leq C_M(U)$ .

(e): Suppose that  $A$  is quadratic on  $\bar{V}$ . Then  $[\bar{V}, A] \leq C_{\bar{V}}(A) = \overline{C_V(A)}$ . Thus  $[V, A, A] = 0$  and  $[V, A] \leq C_V(A) = [V, A]^\perp$  by (c). Hence  $[V, A]$  is isotropic.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** *Suppose that  $f \neq 0$  and  $U$  is an isotropic subspace of  $V$  with  $U \cap V^\perp = 0$ . Put  $\bar{V} := V/U^\perp$ ,  $D := C_{\text{GL}(V)}(U^\perp) \cap C_{\text{GL}(V)}(V/U)$  and*

$$f_d(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) := f(x, [y, d]) \text{ for all } d \in D, x, y \in V.$$

Let  $d \in D$ . Then

(a)

$$\lambda : D \rightarrow F_\alpha(\bar{V}), d \mapsto f_d$$

is a  $\mathbb{Z}N_M(U)$ -module isomorphism.

(b)  $f(xd, yd) = f(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in V$  if and only if  $f_d \in F_{\alpha, -\epsilon}(\bar{V})$ .

(c) Suppose  $M = \text{Sp}(V)$  then  $d \in M$  if and only if  $f_d \in S_2(\overline{V})$ .

(d) Suppose  $M = \text{GU}(V)$ , then  $d \in M$  if and only if  $f_d \in F_{\alpha,-}(\overline{V})$ .

(e) Suppose  $M = \text{O}(V)$  and  $U$  is singular, then  $d \in M$  if and only if  $f_d \in \Lambda_2(\overline{V})$ .

(f) Suppose that  $M = \text{O}(V)$  and  $U$  is not singular. Then there exists a unique  $\overline{w} \in \overline{V}$  such that

$$h(u) = f(w, u)^2 \quad \text{for all } u \in U.$$

Moreover,  $d \in M$  if and only if  $d \in S_2(\overline{V})$  and

$$f_d(\overline{x}, \overline{x}) = f_d(\overline{w}, \overline{x})^2 \quad \text{for all } \overline{x} \in \overline{V}.$$

*Proof.* Observe that  $f_d$  is well-defined and  $\alpha$ -sesquilinear, so  $f_d \in F_\alpha(\overline{V})$ . Note that  $[V, D] \leq U \leq U^\perp$  and so  $[\overline{V}, D] = 0$ . Thus  $\lambda$  is a homomorphism, and for  $d \in D$ ,  $g \in N_M(U)$  and  $h \in F_\alpha(\overline{V})$

$$\begin{aligned} (f_d g)(\overline{x}, \overline{y}) &= f_d(\overline{x}g^{-1}, \overline{y}g^{-1}) = f(xg^{-1}, [yg^{-1}, d]) = f(xg^{-1}, -yg^{-1} + yg^{-1}d) \\ &= f(xg^{-1}, (-y + y(g^{-1}dg))g^{-1}) = f(x, -y + y(g^{-1}dg)) \\ &= f_{d^g}(\overline{x}, \overline{y}). \end{aligned}$$

To see that  $\lambda$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}N_M(U)$ -module isomorphism it remains to show that  $\lambda$  is bijective. The injectivity follows from the fact that  $[V, D] \leq U$  and  $U \cap V^\perp = 0$ .

Let  $g \in F_\alpha(\overline{V})$ . For  $u \in U$  define  $\phi_u \in \overline{V}^*$  by  $\overline{x}\phi_u := f(x, u)$  for all  $x \in V$ . Since  $U \cap V^\perp = 0$ , the map  $U \rightarrow \overline{V}^*$ ,  $u \mapsto \phi_u$ , is an  $\alpha$ -semilinear isomorphism. For  $w \in \overline{V}$ , the map  $t \mapsto g(t, w)$  is in  $\overline{V}^*$  and so there exists a unique  $u_w \in U$  with  $\overline{x}\phi_{u_w} = f(x, u_w) = g(\overline{x}, w)$  for all  $x \in V$ . Define  $d_g \in \text{GL}(V)$  by  $d_g(v) := v + u_{\overline{v}}$ . Clearly  $d_g \in D$ , and for all  $x, y \in V$ :

$$f_{d_g}(\overline{x}, \overline{y}) = f(x, [y, d_g]) = f(x, u_{\overline{y}}) = g(\overline{x}, \overline{y}),$$

so  $f_{d_g} = g$ , and  $\lambda$  is surjective. Thus (a) holds.

To prove (b) let  $d \in D$ . We will determine necessary and sufficient conditions for  $d$  to be in  $M$ .

Since  $f$  is an  $(\alpha, \epsilon)$ -sesquilinear form and  $U$  is isotropic,

$$\begin{aligned} f(xd, yd) - f(x, y) &= f(x + [x, d], y + [y, d]) - f(x, y) = f(x, [y, d]) + f([x, d], y) = \\ &= f(x, [y, d]) + \epsilon f(y, [x, d])\alpha = f_d(\overline{x}, \overline{y}) + \epsilon f_d(\overline{y}, \overline{x})\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $d$  preserves  $f$  if and only if

$$(1) \quad f_d(\overline{x}, \overline{y}) = -\epsilon f_d(\overline{y}, \overline{x})\alpha \quad \text{for all } \overline{x}, \overline{y} \in \overline{V}.$$

That is, if and only if  $f_d \in F_{\alpha,-\epsilon}(\overline{V})$ . So (b) follows.

(c) and (d): These statements follow immediately from (b).

(d) and (e): So suppose that  $G = \text{O}(V)$  and let  $d \in D$  such that (1) holds. Since  $\epsilon = 1$  and  $\alpha = \text{id}_{\mathbb{K}}$ ,  $f_d$  is a skew-symmetric form. Then

$$(2) \quad h(xd) - h(x) = h(x + [x, d]) - h(x) = f(x, [x, d]) + h([x, d]) = f_d(\overline{x}, \overline{x}) + h([x, d]).$$

So

$$(3) \quad d \in \text{O}(V) \text{ if and only if } d \in F_{\text{id},-}(\overline{V}) \text{ and } f_d(\overline{x}, \overline{x}) = -h([x, d]) \text{ for all } x \in V.$$

If  $U$  is singular, then  $h([x, d]) = 0$  and we conclude that (d) holds. So suppose  $U$  is not singular. Then  $p = 2$ . Define  $\delta : U \rightarrow \mathbb{K}, u \mapsto \sqrt{h(u)}$ , and observe that  $\delta$  is  $\mathbb{K}$ -linear, so  $\delta \in U^*$ . On the other hand the map

$$\phi^* : \bar{V} \rightarrow U^*, \phi^*(\bar{v}) : u \mapsto f(v, u)$$

is an isomorphism. Thus there exists a unique  $\bar{w} \in \bar{V}$  with  $\phi^*(\bar{w}) = \delta$ . This gives

$$h(u) = \delta(u)^2 = f(w, u)^2 \text{ for all } u \in U.$$

Together with (3) we conclude that (e) holds.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $U$  be an  $k$ -dimensional isotropic subspace of  $V$  and  $E := C_M(U) \cap C_M(V/U)$ .*

- (a) *Suppose  $M = \text{GL}(V)$ . Then  $E \cong U \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} (V/U)^*$ ,  $|E| = |\mathbb{K}|^{k(n-k)}$  and  $|V/C_V(E)| = |\mathbb{K}|^{n-k}$ .*
- (b) *Suppose  $M = \text{Sp}(V)$ . Then  $E \cong \text{S}_2(U^*)$ ,  $|E| = |\mathbb{K}|^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}}$  and  $|V/C_V(E)| = |\mathbb{K}|^k$ .*
- (c) *Suppose  $M = \text{GU}(V)$ . Then  $E \cong \text{F}_{\alpha, -}(U^*)$ ,  $|E| = |\mathbb{F}|^{k^2}$  and  $|V/C_V(E)| = |\mathbb{F}|^{2k}$ .*
- (d) *Suppose  $M = \text{O}(V)$  and  $U$  is singular. Then  $E \cong \bigwedge_2(U^*)$ ,  $|E| = |\mathbb{K}|^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}}$ ,  $|V/C_V(E)| = |\mathbb{K}|^k$ ,*
- (e) *Suppose  $M = \text{O}(V)$  and  $U$  is not singular. Put  $U_0 := \{u \in U \mid h(u) = 0\}$ ,  $E_0 := C_E(V/U_0)$ , and  $E_1 := E \cap \Omega_n(V)$ . Then  $p = 2$ ,  $E_0 \leq E_1 \leq E$ ,  $E_1/E_0 \cong U_0$ ,  $E_0 \cong \bigwedge_2(U_0^*)$ , and  $|E_1| = |\mathbb{K}|^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}}$ . If  $V^\perp \cap U \neq 0$  then  $|V/C_V(E)| = |\mathbb{K}|^{k-1}$  and  $E = E_1$ . If  $V^\perp \cap U = 0$  then  $|V/C_V(E)| = |\mathbb{K}|^k$  and  $|E/E_1| = 2$ .*

Here all the isomorphisms are  $\mathbb{Z}\text{N}_M(U)$ -module isomorphisms.

*Proof.* Suppose first that  $f = 0$ , so  $M = \text{GL}(V)$ . Then clearly  $E \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(V/U, U) \cong U \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} (V/U)^*$  and (a) holds.

Suppose next that  $f \neq 0$  and  $U \cap V^\perp = 0$ . We apply 3.3 with the notation introduced there. Since  $[V, E] \leq U$ , 3.2(c) gives  $C_V(E) = [V, E]^\perp \geq U^\perp$  and so  $E \leq D$ . Thus  $E = D \cap M$ . So 3.3(c), (d) and (e) imply (b), (c) and (d).

Suppose that  $G = \text{O}(V)$  and  $U$  is not singular. Let  $d \in D$ . By 3.3(f) there exists  $w \in V$  with

$$(2) \quad h(u) = f(w, u)^2 \quad \text{for all } u \in U.$$

and

$$(3) \quad d \in \text{O}(V) \text{ if and only if } d \in \text{S}_2(\bar{V}) \text{ and } f_d(\bar{x}, \bar{x}) = f_d(\bar{w}, \bar{x})^2 \text{ for all } x \in V$$

Recall from the proof of 3.3 that the map  $\phi^* : \bar{V} \rightarrow U^*$  with  $\bar{v}\phi^* : u \mapsto f(v, u)$  is an isomorphism. For  $\delta := \bar{w}\phi^*$  we get from (3) that  $\ker \delta = U_0 = w^\perp \cap U$ . Note that  $\phi^*$  also induces an isomorphism  $\bar{V}/\mathbb{K}\bar{w} \rightarrow (\ker \delta)^* = (U_0)^*$ .

Consider the map  $\tau : E \rightarrow \bar{V}^*$  defined by  $\bar{x}\tau(d) := f_d(\bar{w}, \bar{x})$ . By (3)  $\ker \tau$  consists of all  $d \in D$  such that  $f_d$  is a symplectic form on  $\bar{V}$  with  $\bar{w} \in \text{rad} f_d$ . Also  $f_d(\bar{w}, \bar{x}) = 0$  iff  $f(w, [x, d]) = 0$  and (by (2)) iff  $h([x, d]) = 0$ . Thus  $d \in \ker \tau$  iff  $[V, d] \leq U_0$ . Hence  $\ker \tau = E_0$ . As  $\bar{V}/\mathbb{K}\bar{w} \cong U_0^*$  we get

$$(5) \quad E_0 = \ker \tau \cong \bigwedge_2(\bar{V}/\mathbb{K}\bar{w}) \cong \bigwedge_2(U_0^*).$$

We claim that  $\text{Im } \tau = X_1 := \{\phi \in \bar{V}^* \mid \phi(\bar{w}) \in \{0, 1\}\}$ .

If  $d \in E$  then (3) applied with  $\bar{x} = \bar{w}$  gives  $f_d(\bar{w}, \bar{w}) = f_d(\bar{w}, \bar{w})^2$  and so  $f_w(\bar{w}, \bar{w})^2 \in \{0, 1\}$ . Hence  $\text{Im } \tau \leq X_1$ .

Conversely let  $\phi \in \bar{V}^*$  with  $\phi(\bar{w}) = 1$ . Define  $g : \bar{V} \times \bar{V}, (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \mapsto \phi(\bar{x})\phi(\bar{y})$ . Then  $g$  is a symmetric bilinear form on  $\bar{V}$ , so by 3.3 with  $d_g := g\lambda^{-1}$

$$f_{d_g}(\bar{w}, \bar{x}) = g(\bar{w}, \bar{x}) = \phi(\bar{x})\phi(\bar{w}) = \phi(\bar{x})$$

and

$$f_{d_g}(\bar{x}, \bar{x}) = g(\bar{x}, \bar{x}) = \phi(\bar{x})^2 = g(\bar{w}, \bar{x})^2 = f_{d_g}(\bar{w}, \bar{x}).$$

Thus by (3),  $d_g \in E$  and  $\tau(d_g) = \phi$ . Any  $\phi \in \bar{V}^*$  with  $\phi(\bar{w}) = 0$  can be written as a sum  $\phi_1 + \phi_2$  where  $\phi_i \in \bar{V}^*$  and  $\phi_i(\bar{w}) = 1$ . It follows that  $\tau(E) = X_1$ .

Put  $X_0 := \{\phi \in \bar{V}^* \mid \phi(\bar{w}) = 0\}$ . Then  $X_0 \cong (\bar{V}/\mathbb{K}\bar{w})^* \cong U_0$ . Also  $|X_1/X_0| = 2$  and so (e) holds. Thus we have proved all claims in the case  $V^\perp \cap U = 0$ .

Suppose now that  $V^\perp \cap U \neq 0$ . Then  $V$  is an orthogonal space and  $\dim V^\perp = 1$ , so  $V^\perp \leq U$ . Let  $\tilde{V}$  be an orthogonal space of dimension  $n+1$  with  $V \leq \tilde{V}$  and  $\tilde{V}^\perp = 0$ ; in particular,  $\tilde{V}^\perp \cap U = 0$ . Put  $\tilde{M} = \text{O}(\tilde{V})$  and  $\tilde{E} := \text{C}_{\tilde{M}}(U) \cap \text{C}_{\tilde{M}}(\tilde{V}/U)$ . Then (e) holds for  $\tilde{V}$ ,  $\tilde{M}$  and  $\tilde{E}$ .

Note that in  $\tilde{V}$ ,  $V^{\perp\perp} = V$ . Since  $V^\perp \leq U$ , this gives  $\tilde{E} \leq \text{C}_{\tilde{M}}(V^\perp) \leq \text{N}_{\tilde{M}}(V)$  and we obtain a homomorphism  $\beta : \tilde{E} \rightarrow E, e \mapsto e\text{C}_{\tilde{M}}(V)$ . Note that  $\ker \beta$  has order two, indeed the only non-trivial element in  $\ker \beta$  is the transvection associated to the 1-space  $V^\perp$ . By Witt's theorem,  $\beta$  is onto. Also  $\ker \beta$  is not contained in  $\tilde{E} \cap \Omega(\tilde{V})$ . Thus (e) applied to  $\tilde{M}$  shows that  $E \cong \tilde{E}_0$ , and (e) also holds in this case.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $U$  be an isotropic subspace of  $V$ , let  $U_0$  be the subspace of all singular elements of  $U$  and put  $k = \dim_{\mathbb{K}} U_0$ . Suppose that  $\mathbb{K}$  is finite and  $k \geq 2$ . Put  $E := \text{C}_M(U) \cap \text{C}_M(V/U)$ , and  $P := \text{O}^{p'}(\text{N}_{M'}(U))$ , where  $p = \text{char } \mathbb{K}$ .*

(a) *If  $M = \text{GL}(V)$  or  $\text{GU}(V)$  then  $E$  is a simple  $\mathbb{F}_p P$ -module.*

(b) *If  $M = \text{Sp}(V)$  and  $p$  is odd, then  $E$  is a simple  $\mathbb{F}_p P$  module.*

(c) *If  $M = \text{O}(V)$  and  $U$  is singular, then one of the following holds:*

1.  $k \geq 3$  and  $E$  is a simple  $\mathbb{F}_p P$ -module.
2.  $k = 2$ ,  $P$  centralizes  $E$  and  $E$  is a simple  $\mathbb{F}_p \text{N}_{M'}(U)$ -module.

(d) *Suppose  $M = \text{Sp}(V)$  and  $p = 2$  or  $M = \text{O}(V)$  and  $U$  is not singular. Then  $p = 2$ . Let  $E_0$  be the sum of the simple  $\mathbb{F}_2 P$ -submodules of  $E$ . Then one of the following holds:*

1.  $k \geq 3$ ,  $E_0$  is a simple  $\mathbb{F}_2 P$ -module, and  $E_0 \cong \bigwedge_2 U_0^*$ .
2.  $k = 2$ ,  $|\mathbb{K}| > 2$  or  $V^\perp \not\leq U$ ,  $E_0 = \text{C}_E(P)$ .  $|E_0| = |\mathbb{K}|$  and  $\text{N}_{M'}(U)$  acts simply on  $E_0$ .
3.  $k = 2$ ,  $|\mathbb{K}| = 2$ ,  $M = \text{Sp}(V)$  or  $V^\perp \leq U$ , and  $E$  is the direct sum of simple  $\mathbb{F}_2 P$ -modules of order 2 and 4.

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $P$  and  $D$  be a simple  $\mathbb{F}_p P$ -submodule of  $E$ .

Assume first that  $M = \text{GL}(V)$  and put  $S_0 := \text{C}_S(V/U)$ . Then  $S_0$  induces a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $\text{GL}_{\mathbb{K}}(U)$  on  $U$ . Hence 3.4 implies that  $\text{C}_E(S_0) \cong x \otimes (V/U)^*$  for some  $0 \neq x \in U$ . Thus  $\text{C}_P(U)$  acts simply on  $\text{C}_E(S_0)$  and so  $\text{C}_E(S_0) \leq D$ . Since  $\text{C}_P(V/U)$  acts simply on  $U$ , we conclude that  $E = \langle \text{C}_E(S_0)^{\text{C}_P(V/U)} \rangle \leq D$ . Thus  $E$  is a simple  $\mathbb{F}_p P$ -module.

Assume next that  $f \neq 0$  and  $U \cap V^\perp = 0$ . Put  $W := V/U^\perp$  and note that  $\dim W = \dim U$ . By Witt's Theorem  $S$  induces a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_{\mathbb{K}}(U)$  on  $U$  and thus also on  $W$ . Thus  $C_W(S)$  is 1-dimensional. By 3.4  $E$  is embedded into  $F_{\alpha, -\epsilon}(W)$ . Let  $1 \neq x \in C_D(S)$ , and let  $f_x \in F_{\alpha, -\epsilon}(W)$ ,  $f_x$  as in 3.3. Then  $f_x$  is invariant under  $S$ , so  $W/\mathrm{rad} f_x$  possesses a non-degenerate  $(\alpha, -\epsilon)$  sesquilinear form invariant under a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}(W/\mathrm{rad} f_x)$ . It follows that either  $W/\mathrm{rad} f_x$  is 1-dimensional or  $\alpha = \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{K}}$ ,  $-\epsilon = -1$  and  $\dim W/\mathrm{rad} f_x = 2$ .

Suppose that  $M = \mathrm{Sp}(V)$  and  $p$  is odd or that  $M = \mathrm{GU}(V)$ , so  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} U = k$ . Then  $P$  induces  $\mathrm{SL}_{\mathbb{K}}(U)$  on  $U$ . Moreover  $\dim W/\mathrm{rad} f_x = 1$  and  $N_P(S)$  acts simply on the subspace  $\mathbb{F}f_x$  of  $F_{\alpha, -\epsilon}(W)$ . Also for any  $\psi \in F_{\alpha, -\epsilon}(W)$  there exists a basis  $(x_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k}$  of  $W$  which is orthogonal with respect to  $\psi$ , that is,  $\psi(x_i, x_j) = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ . It follows that  $\psi$  is a  $\mathbb{F}$ -linear combination of conjugates of  $f_x$  under  $P$  and so  $D = E$ .

Suppose that  $M = \mathrm{O}(V)$  and  $U$  is singular. Then  $P$  induces  $\mathrm{SL}_{\mathbb{K}}(U)$  on  $U$ . By 3.4(d)  $E \cong \bigwedge_2 W$  and  $f_x$  is a symplectic form. Thus  $\dim W/\mathrm{rad} f_x = 2$ . Let  $\psi \in \bigwedge_2(W)$ . Then  $W$  has basis  $x_i, y_i, z_s$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq r$  and  $1 \leq s \leq t$ , where  $\psi(x_i, y_i) = 1$ ,  $\psi(y_i, x_i) = -1$ , and  $\psi(c, d) = 0$  for any other pair of basis elements.

Assume that  $k \geq 3$ . Then  $P$  acts transitively on the set of symplectic forms on  $W$  with radical of codimension 2. Hence  $\psi$  is a sum of  $P$ -conjugates of  $f_x$ . Thus  $D = E$  and (c:1) holds in this case. Assume that  $k = 2$ . Then  $P$  centralizes  $\bigwedge^2 W$ . Also any scalar multiplication on  $W$  is induced by an element of  $N_{M'}(U)$  and so  $N_{M'}(U)$  acts simply on  $\bigwedge^2 W$ . Thus (c:2) holds.

Suppose that  $M = \mathrm{O}(V)$  and  $U$  is not singular. Put  $F = C_M(V/U_0)$ . Note that  $F \leq C_M(U_0^\perp)$  by 3.2(d), and so  $F \leq E$  since  $U \leq U_0^\perp$ . By the preceding case  $F \cong \bigwedge_2(U_0^*)$  and either  $k = 3$  and  $F$  is a simple  $\mathbb{F}_p P$ -module or  $k = 2$ ,  $[F, P] = 1$  and  $F$  is a simple  $N_{M'}(U)$ -module. Thus  $F \leq E_0$  and it suffices to show that  $E_0 \leq F$ . Let  $\bar{w}$  be as in 3.3(f). The uniqueness of  $\bar{w}$  show that  $\bar{w} \in C_W(S)$ . Since  $\dim W = \dim U > \dim U_0 \geq 2$  and  $\dim W/\mathrm{rad} f_x \leq 2$  we have  $\mathrm{rad} f_x \neq 0$ . Hence  $C_{\mathrm{rad} f_x}(S) \neq 0$  and since  $C_W(S)$  is 1-dimensional,  $\bar{w} \in \mathrm{rad} f_x$ . So 3.3(f) shows that  $f_x$  is symplectic and thus  $f_x \in F$ . Since  $D$  is simple,  $D \leq F$  and  $E_0 \leq F$ .

Suppose  $M = \mathrm{Sp}(V)$  and  $p = 2$ . Then by 3.4(b)  $E \cong S_2(U^*)$ , and by 3.2(a)  $W \cong U^*$ , so  $S_2(U^*) \cong S_2(W)$ . Since  $p = 2$ ,  $\bigwedge_2(W) \leq S_2(W)$ . Let  $F$  be the inverse image of  $\bigwedge_2(W)$  in  $E$ . Then  $F \cong \bigwedge_2(W) \cong \bigwedge_2(U^*)$ . As seen in the case where  $U$  is singular either  $k \geq 3$  and  $E_0$  is a simple  $\mathbb{F}_p P$ -module, or  $k = 2$ ,  $[F, P] = 1$  and  $N_{M'}(U)$  acts simply on  $F$ . If  $|\mathbb{K}| = 2$  and  $k = 2$ , then  $|U| = 4$  and  $|E| = 8$  and it is easy to see that (d:3) holds. So suppose that  $|\mathbb{K}| > 2$  or  $k > 2$ . We will show that  $D \leq F$ . For this we just need to show that there exists  $1 \neq u \in D$  such that  $f_u$  is a symplectic form. Fix a basis  $(v_i)$  for  $W$  and for  $e \in E$  let  $M_e$  be the matrix  $(f_e(v_i, v_j))$ . Then  $M_e$  is symmetric and  $e \in F$  if and only if all diagonal elements of  $M_e$  are zero. Moreover,  $\dim W/\mathrm{rad} f_e = \mathrm{rank} M_e$ . We may assume that  $f_x$  is not symplectic and so there exists  $v \in V$  with  $f_x(v, v) \neq 0$ . Since  $\mathbb{K}$  is perfect we can choose  $v$  such that  $f_x(v, v) = 1$ . Put  $s = \dim W/\mathrm{rad} f_x$ . Then either  $s = 1$  and  $V = \mathbb{K}v + \mathrm{rad} f_x$ , or  $s = 2$ , there exists  $w \in W$  with  $f_x(v, w) = 0$  and  $f_x(w, w) = 1$  and  $V = \mathbb{K}v + \mathbb{K}w + \mathrm{rad} f_x$ . So we can choose our basis such that  $f_x(v_i, v_j) = 1$  for  $1 \leq i = j \leq s$  and  $f_x(v_i, v_j) = 0$  for all other  $i, j$ .

Suppose  $s = 1$ . Note that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The three matrices on the left side of the equation all are symmetric of rank 1 and so conjugate under  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{K})$  on its actions on  $S_2(\mathbb{K}^2)$ . The matrix on the right is symplectic. Thus  $\langle d^P \rangle \cap F \neq 1$  and so  $D \leq F$ .

Suppose that  $s = 2$  and  $|\mathbb{K}| > 2$ . Pick  $a, b \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0, 1\}$  with  $a + b = 1$ . Note that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} b & a \\ a & b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The three matrices on the left side of the equation are symmetric, not symplectic and have determinant 1. So they are conjugate under  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{K})$  on its actions on  $\mathrm{S}_2(\mathbb{K}^2)$ . The matrix on the right is symplectic and so again  $D \leq F$ .

Suppose that  $s = 2$ ,  $|\mathbb{K}| = 2$  and  $k \geq 3$ . We have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The two matrices on the left side of the equation are symmetric, not symplectic and have rank 2. So they are conjugate under  $\mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{K})$  on its actions on  $\mathrm{S}_2(\mathbb{K}^3)$ . The matrix on the right is symplectic and so again  $D \leq F$ .

We have proved that  $D \leq F$ . So  $E_0 = F$  and (d:1) or (d:2) holds.

Assume finally that  $M = \mathrm{O}(V)$ ,  $U$  is not singular and  $U \cap V^\perp \neq 0$ . Then  $p = 2$  and  $M \cong \mathrm{Sp}(V/V^\perp)$ . Hence the case where  $M = \mathrm{Sp}(V)$  applied to  $V/V^\perp$  and  $U/V^\perp$  shows that (d) holds.  $\square$

## 4 Smith's Lemma and Ronan-Smith's Lemma

In this section we provide a few pieces from the theory of equicharacteristic representations of groups of Lie-type. The material presented here essentially comes from [GLS3, Section 2.8] except that we are looking at representations over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  rather than its algebraic closure  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$ .

**Lemma 4.1 (Steinberg's Lemma).** *Let  $M$  be a genuine group of Lie-type defined over a finite field of characteristic  $p$ . Let  $V$  be a simple  $\mathbb{F}_p M$ -module,  $S \in \mathrm{Syl}_p(M)$ , and  $B := \mathrm{N}_M(S)$ . Put  $\mathbb{K} := \mathrm{End}_M(V)$ . Then  $C_V(S)$  is 1-dimensional over  $\mathbb{K}$ ,  $\mathbb{K}$  is isomorphic to the subring of  $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(C_V(S))$  generated by the image of  $B$ , and  $C_V(S)$  is a simple  $\mathbb{F}_p B$ -module.*

*Proof.* Choose an embedding  $\sigma : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$  and put  $\overline{V} = \overline{\mathbb{F}_p} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} V$ . Then  $\overline{V}$  is a simple  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p} M$ -module. Thus by [St, Theorem 46]  $C_{\overline{V}}(S)$  is 1-dimensional over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$  and so  $C_V(S)$  is 1-dimensional over  $\mathbb{K}$ . Define  $\lambda : B \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  by  $v^b = \lambda(b)v$  for all  $b \in B, v \in C_V(S)$ , and let  $\mathbb{E}$  be the subfield of  $\mathbb{K}$  generated by  $\lambda(B)$ . Let  $\rho \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{E}}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p})$ . Then [St, Theorem 46] shows that  $\overline{V} \cong \overline{V}^\rho$  as a  $\mathbb{K} M$ -module. Thus  $\rho$  centralizes  $\mathbb{K}$  and so  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{E}$ . Since  $C_V(S)$  is 1-dimensional over  $\mathbb{K}$  this implies that  $C_V(S)$  is a simple  $\mathbb{F}_p B$ -module.  $\square$

Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a finite field of characteristic  $p$ ,  $M$  a finite group,  $V$  a simple  $\mathbb{F} M$ -module and  $W$  a simple  $\mathbb{F}_p M$ -submodule. Recall that the field  $\mathbb{K} := \mathrm{End}_M(W)$  is called the field of definition of the  $\mathbb{F} M$ -module  $W$ .

**Theorem 4.2 (Smith's Lemma).** *Let  $M$  be a genuine group of Lie-type defined over a finite field of characteristic  $p$ . Let  $V$  be a simple  $\mathbb{F}_p M$ -module,  $\mathbb{K} := \mathrm{End}_M(V)$ ,  $E$  a parabolic subgroup of  $M$ ,  $L := \mathrm{O}^{p'}(E)$  and  $P = \mathrm{N}_M(L)$ . Then  $L = \mathrm{O}^{p'}(P)$ ,  $\mathrm{O}_p(E) = \mathrm{O}_p(P) = \mathrm{O}_p(L)$ , and  $P$  is a Lie-parabolic subgroup of  $M$ . Moreover,  $C_V(\mathrm{O}_p(P))$  is a simple  $\mathbb{F}_p P$ -module, an absolutely simple  $\mathbb{K} L$ -module, and an absolutely simple  $\mathbb{K} E$ -module.*

*Proof.* Let  $S \in \mathrm{Syl}_p(E)$  and  $B = \mathrm{N}_M(S)$ . Then  $P = BL = BE$  and so  $P$  is a Lie-parabolic subgroup of  $M$ . Since  $B/S$  is a  $p'$ -group we conclude that  $E = \mathrm{O}^{p'}(P)$  and  $\mathrm{O}_p(E) = \mathrm{O}_p(L) = \mathrm{O}_p(P)$ .

Choose an embedding  $\sigma : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  and put  $\overline{V} = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} V$ . Then  $\overline{V}$  is a simple  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p M$ -module. Put  $U = C_V(O_p(P))$  and  $\overline{U} = C_{\overline{V}}(O_p(P)) = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} U$ . By [Ti]  $\overline{U}$  is a simple  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p P$ -module.

Let  $Y$  be a simple  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p L$ -submodule of  $\overline{U}$ . Then  $C_Y(S) \neq 0$ , and since by [St, Theorem 46]  $C_{\overline{V}}(S)$  is 1-dimensional over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ ,  $C_{\overline{V}}(S) \leq Y$ . Thus

$$\overline{U} = \langle C_{\overline{U}}(S)^P \rangle = \langle C_{\overline{U}}(S)^{BL} \rangle = \langle C_{\overline{U}}(S)^L \rangle \leq Y,$$

so  $\overline{U}$  is simple  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p L$ . Thus,  $U$  is an absolutely simple  $\mathbb{K}L$ -module, and since  $L \leq E$ ,  $U$  is also an absolutely simple  $\mathbb{K}E$ -module.

Let  $X$  be a simple  $\mathbb{F}_p P$ -submodule of  $U$ . Then again  $0 \neq C_X(S)$  is  $B$ -invariant and since  $C_V(S)$  is a simple  $\mathbb{F}_p B$ -module by 4.1,  $C_V(S) \leq X$ . Since  $\langle C_V(S)^P \rangle$  is a  $\mathbb{K}$ -submodule of  $U$  we conclude that  $X = U$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.3 (Ronan-Smith's Lemma).** *Let  $M$  be a universal group of Lie-type defined over a finite field of characteristic  $p$ ,  $S$  a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $M$ ,  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n$  the minimal Lie-parabolic subgroups of  $M$  containing  $S$ , and  $L_i = O^{p'}(P_i)$ . Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the class of all tuples  $(\mathbb{K}, V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n)$  such that*

- (i)  $\mathbb{K}$  is a finite field of characteristic  $p$ .
- (ii) Each  $V_i$  is an absolutely simple  $\mathbb{K}L_i$ -module.
- (iii)  $\mathbb{K} = \langle \mathbb{K}_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n \rangle$ , where  $\mathbb{K}_i$  is the field of definition of the  $\mathbb{K}L_i$ -module  $V_i$ .

Define two elements  $(\mathbb{K}, V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n)$  and  $(\tilde{\mathbb{K}}, \tilde{V}_1, \tilde{V}_2, \dots, \tilde{V}_n)$  of  $\mathcal{V}$  to be isomorphic if there exists a field isomorphism  $\sigma : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{K}}$  such that  $V_i \cong \tilde{V}_i^\sigma$  as an  $\mathbb{K}L_i$ -module for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then the map

$$V \rightarrow (\text{End}_M(V), C_V(O_p(L_1)), \dots, C_V(O_p(L_n))) \quad (V \text{ a simple } \mathbb{F}_p M\text{-module})$$

induces a bijection between the isomorphism classes of simple  $\mathbb{F}_p M$ -modules and the isomorphism classes of  $\mathcal{V}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $V$  be a simple  $\mathbb{F}_p M$ -module and put  $\mathbb{K} := \text{End}_M(V)$  and  $V_i := C_V(O_p(L_i))$ . By Smith's Lemma 4.2,  $V_i$  is an absolutely simple  $\mathbb{K}L_i$ -module. Let  $\mathbb{K}_i$  be the field of definition of the  $\mathbb{K}L_i$ -module  $V_i$ . Put  $B := N_M(S)$ . By 4.1  $\mathbb{K}$  is generated by the image of  $B$  in  $\text{End}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}(C_V(S))$ . Moreover, each  $\mathbb{K}_i$  is generated by the image of  $B \cap L_i$  in  $C_V(S)$ . Since  $B = \langle B \cap L_i, 1 \leq i \leq n \rangle$  we conclude that  $\mathbb{K} = \langle \mathbb{K}_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n \rangle$ .

Clearly, if  $\tilde{V}$  is an  $\mathbb{F}_p M$ -module isomorphic to  $V$ , then the corresponding elements of  $\mathcal{V}$  are isomorphic.

Now let  $(\mathbb{K}, V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n) \in \mathcal{V}$ . Pick  $0 \neq v_i \in C_{V_i}(S)$  and define  $\lambda_i, n_i$  and  $\mu_i$  as in [St, Theorem 46] applied to the  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p L_i / O_p(L_i)$ -module  $\overline{V}_i = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} V_i$ . Since  $B/S = \times_{i=1}^n (B \cap L_i) / S$ , there exists a unique homomorphism  $\lambda : B \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  with  $\lambda|_{B \cap L_i} = \lambda_i$ . Let  $\overline{V}$  be the simple  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p M$ -module obtained from [St, Theorem 46]. Since  $C_{\overline{V}}(O_p(V_i))$  is simple we conclude from [St, Theorem 46] applied to  $L_i$  that  $C_{\overline{V}}(O_p(V_i)) \cong \overline{V}_i$ . Let  $V$  be a simple  $\mathbb{F}_p M$ -submodule of  $\overline{V}$  and put  $\mathbb{E} = \text{End}_M(V)$ . Then  $\overline{V} \cong \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{E}} V$  as an  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p M$ -module. It is now easy to see that  $\mathbb{E} \cong \mathbb{K}$ , that  $V$  is sent to  $(\mathbb{K}, V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n) \in \mathcal{V}$  and that  $V$  is unique up to isomorphism with this property.  $\square$

## 5 Generating Genuine Groups of Lie-type

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $G$  be a simple genuine group of Lie Type over a field of characteristic  $p$ ,  $P^+$  a Lie-parabolic subgroup of  $G$  and  $P^-$  an opposite Lie-parabolic. Then  $G = \langle O_p(P^+), O_p(P^-) \rangle$ .*



*Proof.* Put  $L = \langle O_p(P^+), O_p(P^-) \rangle$ . Since  $P^+$  is opposite to  $P^-$ ,  $G = \langle P^+, P^- \rangle$  and  $P^\epsilon = O_p(P^\epsilon)(P^+ \cap P^-)$ . It follows that  $L \trianglelefteq L(P^+ \cap P^-) = \langle P^+, P^- \rangle = G$ , and since  $G$  is simple,  $G = L$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $G \cong G_2(q)$ ,  $p = q^k$ ,  $P$  a Lie-parabolic subgroup of  $G$  with  $Z(O^{p'}(P)) = 1$  and  $A \trianglelefteq P$  with  $|A| = q^3$ . Then  $G = \langle A, A^t \rangle$  for some  $t \in G$ .*

*Proof.* Choose a root system  $\Phi$  for  $G$  such that  $P$  is a Lie-parabolic with respect to  $\Phi$  and let  $N/H$  be the corresponding Weyl-group. Let  $\mathcal{R}_l$  ( $\mathcal{R}_s$ ) be set root subgroups in  $G$  corresponding to the long (short) roots in  $\Phi$ . Put  $L = \langle \mathcal{R}_l \rangle$ . Then  $L$  is a genuine group of Lie-type of type  $A_2$  and  $P \cap L$  is a Lie-parabolic subgroup of  $L$  with  $L \cap A = O_p(P \cap L)$ . Since  $N/H \cong D_{12}$  we can choose  $t \in N \setminus H$  with  $[t, N] \leq H$ . Put  $K = \langle A, A^t \rangle$ . Since  $(P \cap L)^t$  is opposite to  $P \cap L$  in  $L$ , 5.1 implies that  $L = \langle L \cap A, (L \cap A)^t \rangle$ . Thus  $L \leq K$ . Since  $(N \cap L)H/H \cong D_6$  we have  $N = (L \cap H)\langle t \rangle H$  and so  $N$  normalizes  $K$ . Since  $N$  acts transitive  $\mathcal{R}_s$  and there exists  $R \in \mathcal{R}_s$  with  $R \leq A$ ,  $\langle \mathcal{R}_s \rangle \leq K$ . Hence  $G = \langle \mathcal{R}_l, \mathcal{R}_s \rangle \leq K$  and  $G = K$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $G \cong \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{K})$ . Then  $G$  is generated by  $n$  root subgroups.*

*Proof.* Let  $I = \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $\Phi = \{e_i - e_j \mid i, j \in I, i \neq j\}$  by the root system for  $G$  and for  $\phi \in \Phi$  let  $Z_\phi$  be the corresponding root subgroup. Then

$$(*) \quad [Z_{e_i - e_j}, Z_{e_j - e_k}] = Z_{e_i - e_k} \text{ for all distinct } i, j, k \text{ in } I.$$

Put  $U := \langle Z_{e_i - e_{i+1}} \mid n \neq i \in I \rangle$  and  $L := \langle U, Z_{e_n - e_1} \rangle$ . Let  $i, j \in I$  with  $i < j$ .

We will first show by induction on  $j - i$  that  $Z_{e_i - e_j} \in U$ . If  $j - i = 1$ , this holds by definition of  $U$ . So suppose  $j - i > 1$  and by induction that  $Z_{e_i - e_{j-1}} \leq U$ . Thus using (\*),

$$Z_{e_i - e_j} = [Z_{e_i - e_{j-1}}, Z_{e_{j-1} - e_j}] \leq U.$$

Next we will show by downwards induction on  $j - i$ , then  $Z_{e_j - e_i} \leq L$ . If  $j - i = n - 1$ , then  $j = n$  and  $i = 1$  and so this holds by definition on  $L$ . So suppose  $j - i < n - 1$ .

Assume that  $i > 1$  and by induction that  $Z_{e_j - e_{i-1}} \leq L$ . Then by (\*),

$$Z_{e_j - e_i} = [Z_{e_j - e_{i-1}}, Z_{e_{i-1} - e_i}] \leq U.$$

Assume that  $i = 1$ . Then  $j < n$  and by induction  $Z_{e_{j+1} - e_i} \leq U$ . So by (\*),

$$Z_{e_j - e_i} = [Z_{e_j - e_{j+1}}, Z_{e_{j+1} - e_i}] \leq U.$$

Thus  $L$  contains all  $Z_\phi, \phi \in \Phi$  and so  $L = M$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.4.** *Let  $H$  be quasisimple with  $H/Z(H) \cong \text{Alt}(6)$  and  $|Z(H)| \mid 3$ . Let  $S \in \text{Syl}_2(H)$ ,  $B = N_H(S)$ , and  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be the two maximal subgroups of  $H$  containing  $B$ . Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a field of characteristic 2,  $V$  be a faithful  $\mathbb{K}H$ -module,  $U$  a simple  $\mathbb{K}B$ -submodule of  $V$  and put  $U_i := \langle U^{M_i} \rangle$ . Suppose that*

$$(i) \quad V = \langle U^M \rangle,$$

$$(ii) \quad U = U_1, \text{ and}$$

$$(iii) \quad \dim_{\mathbb{K}} U_2 = 2 \dim_{\mathbb{K}} U.$$

Then the following hold:

- (a) Suppose  $H \cong \text{Alt}(6)$ , then  $V$  is a quotient of the natural even permutation module for  $H$  over  $\mathbb{K}$ . In particular,  $V/C_V(H)$  is a natural  $\mathbb{K}\text{Alt}(6)$ -module for  $H$ ,  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} C_V(H) \leq 1$  and  $C_V(H) \leq \langle U_2^{M_1} \rangle$ .
- (b) Suppose  $H \sim 3 \cdot \text{Alt}(6)$ . Let  $\mathbb{E}$  be subring of  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{K}H}(V)$  generated by the images of  $\mathbb{K}$  and  $Z(H)$ . Then  $\mathbb{E}$  is a field,  $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{K}(\xi)$  for  $\xi \in \mathbb{E}$  with  $|\xi| = 3$ ,  $\dim_{\mathbb{E}} U = 1$  and  $\dim_{\mathbb{E}} V = 3$ .

*Proof.* Since  $S \trianglelefteq B$  and  $U$  is a simple  $\mathbb{F}_2 B$ -module,  $[U, S] = 0$ . As the Sylow 2-subgroups of  $\text{Alt}(6)$  are self-normalizing,  $B = SZ(H)$ , and so  $U$  is a simple  $\mathbb{K}Z(H)$ -module.

Since  $V = \langle U^M \rangle$ ,  $Z(H)$  acts homogeneously on  $V$  and so the subring  $\mathbb{E}$  of  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{K}H}(V)$  generated by the images of  $\mathbb{K}$  and  $Z(H)$  is a field. Moreover,  $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{K}$  if  $Z(H) = 1$  or  $\mathbb{K}$  contains a non-trivial third root of unity; in the other case  $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{K}(\xi)$  where  $\xi \in \mathbb{E} \setminus \mathbb{K}$  with  $\xi^3 = 1$ . Also  $\dim_{\mathbb{E}} U = 1$  and since  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} U_2 = 2 \dim_{\mathbb{K}} U$ ,  $\dim_{\mathbb{E}} U_2 = 2$ .

Let  $A$  be the natural  $\mathbb{F}_2 \text{Alt}(6)$ -module for  $H$  with  $C_A(M_1) \neq 0$ . Then there exists an  $M$ -equivariant bijection  $A^\# \rightarrow U_1^M, a \rightarrow U_a$ . We now use the fact that  $\text{Alt}(6) \cong \text{Sp}_4(2)'$  and  $A$  is also a natural  $\text{Sp}_4(2)'$ -module for  $H$ , so there exists an  $H$ -invariant non-degenerate symplectic form on  $A$ .

For  $B \subseteq A$  define  $U_B := \langle U_b \mid b \in B^\# \rangle$  and  $W_B := U_{B^\perp}$ , where  $B^\perp$  is the  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -subspace of  $A$  perpendicular to  $B$  with respect to the above mentioned symplectic form on  $A$ .

Let  $B$  be a singular 2-subspace of  $A$ . By Witt's Theorem  $H$  acts transitively on the singular 2-subspaces of  $A$  and so  $U_B$  is a conjugate of  $U_2$ . In particular,

$$(*) \quad U_B = U_b + U_c \text{ and } U_{a+c} \leq U_a + U_c \text{ for } B = \langle a, c \rangle.$$

Now let  $a \in A^\#$ . Since  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} A = 4$ ,  $a^\perp = \langle a \rangle \oplus B$ , where  $B$  is a non-singular 2-subspace. Then  $\langle a, b \rangle$  is singular for every  $b \in B$ . Thus by  $(*)$

$$(**) \quad W_a = \sum_{b \in B^\#} U_{\langle a, b \rangle} = U_a + U_B.$$

Since  $|B^\#| = 3$ ,  $\dim_{\mathbb{E}} U_B \leq 3$  and so  $\dim_{\mathbb{E}} W_a \leq 4$ .

Now let  $d \in A \setminus a^\perp$  and put  $B := a^\perp \cap d^\perp$ . Then  $B$  is a non-singular 2-space, and by  $(**)$  applied to  $a$  and  $d$ ,  $W_a + W_d = U_a + U_B + U_d$ . Thus  $\dim_{\mathbb{E}} W_a + W_d \leq 5$ .

Put  $W := W_a + W_d$ . We will show that  $V = W$ , that is  $U_b \leq W$  for all  $b \in A^\#$ . Certainly  $U_b \leq W$  if  $b \in a^\perp \cup d^\perp$ . So suppose  $b \notin a^\perp$  and  $b \notin d^\perp$ .

Assume first that  $b \neq a + d$ . Then  $\langle b, d \rangle \neq \langle a, d \rangle$  and so also  $b^\perp \cap a^\perp \neq b^\perp \cap d^\perp$ . Choose  $e \in b^\perp \cap a^\perp \setminus d^\perp$ ; in particular  $U_e \leq W_a$ . Then  $e + b \leq b^\perp \cap d^\perp$ , so  $U_{e+b} \leq W_d$ , and by  $(*)$   $U_b \leq U_e + U_{e+b} \leq W_a + W_d = W$ .

Assume next that  $b = a + d$ . Pick  $\tilde{b} \in A \setminus (a^\perp \cup d^\perp)$  with  $\tilde{b} \neq b$ . Put  $c = b + \tilde{b}$ . By the previous case  $U_{\tilde{b}} \leq W$ . Note that  $\tilde{b} \in b^\perp$  and  $c \in a^\perp$ . Thus  $U_c \leq W$  and by  $(*)$   $U_b \leq U_{\tilde{b}} + U_c$ . Hence  $U_b \leq W$ .

We have shown that  $U_b \leq W$  for all  $b \in A^\#$  and so  $W = V$ ; in particular  $\dim_{\mathbb{E}} V \leq 5$ .

Suppose now that  $H \cong \text{Alt}(6)$ . Then  $Z(H) = 1$  and  $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{K}$ . Let  $\tilde{V}$  be the  $\mathbb{K}H$ -module induced from the trivial  $\mathbb{K}M_1$ -module  $U_1$ , and let  $\tilde{U}_1$  be the image of  $U_1$  in  $\tilde{V}$ . Put  $\tilde{U}_2 := \langle \tilde{U}_1^{M_2} \rangle$ . Then  $\tilde{U}_2/C_{\tilde{U}_2}(M_2)$  has dimension 2 over  $\mathbb{K}$ . It follows that  $\hat{V} := \tilde{V}/\langle C_{\tilde{U}_2}(M_2)^H \rangle$  fulfills the assumptions of (a).

Choose a faithful action of  $H$  on  $I := \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$  with

$$M_1 = N_H(\{1, 2\}) \text{ and } M_2 = N_H(\{\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}, \{5, 6\}\}).$$

Let  $\tilde{V}$  be the corresponding permutation module for  $H$  over  $\mathbb{K}$  with  $\mathbb{K}$  basis  $\{b_i \mid i \in I\}$ , and let  $\tilde{V}_0 := \{\sum_{i \in I} k_i b_i \mid k_i \in \mathbb{K}, \sum_{i \in I} k_i = 0\}$  be the even permutation module. For  $J \subseteq I$  put

$b_J = \sum_{j \in J} b_j$ . Then  $M_1$  centralizes  $\mathbb{K}b_{3456}$ ,  $\langle \mathbb{K}b_{3456}^{M_2} \rangle = \mathbb{K}\langle b_{3456}, b_{1234} \rangle$  and  $\tilde{V}_0 = \mathbb{K}\langle b_{3456}^H \rangle$ . Thus  $\tilde{V}_0$  and  $V$  are  $\mathbb{K}H$ -quotients of  $\hat{V}$ . Since  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \tilde{V}_0 = 5$  and  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \hat{V} \leq 5$  we conclude that  $\hat{V}$  is isomorphic to  $\tilde{V}_0$ . Thus  $V$  is isomorphic to a quotient of  $\tilde{V}_0$ . Observe that  $C_{\tilde{V}_0}(H) = \mathbb{K}\langle b_{123456} \rangle$  and  $b_{123456} = b_{1234} + b_{1235} + b_{1245} + b_{3456} \in \mathbb{K}\langle b_{3456}^{M_1}, b_{1234}^{M_1} \rangle$ . So (a) holds.

Suppose next that  $H \sim 3 \cdot \text{Alt}(6)$ . Let  $R$  be a Sylow 3-subgroup of  $H$ . The  $R$  is extraspecial of order 27. Let  $Y$  be any  $R$ -chief-factor of  $V$ . Then  $Z(H) = Z(R)$  acts non-trivially on  $Y$  and so  $\dim_{\mathbb{E}} Y = 3$ . Thus  $\dim_{\mathbb{E}} V$  is a multiple of three and since  $\dim_{\mathbb{E}} V \leq 5$ ,  $\dim_{\mathbb{E}} V = 3$ . So (b) holds.  $\square$

## 6 Module Decompositions

**Lemma 6.1.** *Let  $H$  be a finite group,  $V$  an  $\mathbb{F}_p H$ -module, and  $\mathbb{K} := \text{End}_H(V)$ . The following table lists the dimension  $d := \dim_{\mathbb{K}}(H^1(H, V))$  for various pairs  $(H, V)$ .*

| $H$                                | $p$        | $V$   | Conditions                     | $d$ |
|------------------------------------|------------|---|--------------------------------|-----|
| $\Omega_n^\epsilon(p^k), n \geq 3$ | $p$        | $V_{\text{nat}}^*$                            | $n = 3, p^k = 2$               | 1   |
| "                                  | "          | "   | $n = 3, p^k = 5$               | 1   |
| "                                  | "          | "   | $n = 4, \epsilon = -, p^k = 3$ | 2   |
| "                                  | "          | "   | $n = 5, p^k = 3$               | 1   |
| "                                  | "          | "   | $n = 6, \epsilon = +, p^k = 2$ | 1   |
| "                                  | "          | "   | all others                     | 0   |
| $Sp_{2n}(p^k)$                     | $p$        | $V_{\text{nat}}$                              | $p = 2, (2n, p^k) \neq (2, 2)$ | 1   |
| "                                  | "          | "   | all others                     | 0   |
| $SL_n(p^k)$                        | $p$        | $V_{\text{nat}}$                              | $n = 2, p = 2, k > 1$          | 1   |
| "                                  | "          | "   | $n = 3, p = 2, k = 1$          | 1   |
| "                                  | "          | "   | all others                     | 0   |
| $SU_n(p^k), n \geq 3$              | $p$        | $V_{\text{nat}}$                              | $n = 4, p^k = 2$               | 1   |
| "                                  | "          | "   | all others                     | 0   |
| $G_2(2^k)'$                        | 2          | $\mathbb{K}^6$                                | —                              | 1   |
| $G_2(p^k)'$                        | $p \neq 2$ | $\mathbb{K}^7$                                | —                              | 0   |
| ${}^3D_4(p^k)$                     | $p$        | $\mathbb{K}^8$                                | —                              | 0   |
| $Spin_n^\epsilon(p^k)$             | $p$        | (Half)-Spin                                   | $n \geq 7$                     | 0   |
| $3.\text{Alt}(6)$                  | 2          | $\mathbb{K}^3$                                | —                              | 0   |
| $\text{Alt}(n), n \geq 5$          | 2          | $V_{\text{nat}}$                              | $n$ even                       | 1   |
| "                                  | "          | "   | $n$ odd                        | 0   |
| $SL_n(p^k), n \geq 5$              | $p$        | $\bigwedge^2(V_{\text{nat}})$                 | —                              | 0   |
| $SL_n(p^k), n \geq 3$              | odd        | $\text{Sym}^2(V_{\text{nat}})$                | —                              | 0   |
| $SL_n(p^{2k}), n \geq 3$           | $p$        | $V_{\text{nat}} \otimes V_{\text{nat}}^{p^k}$ | $n = 3, p^{2k} = 4$            | 2   |
| "                                  | "          | "   | all others                     | 0   |
| $E_6(p^k)$                         | $p$        | $\mathbb{K}^{27}$                             | —                              | 0   |
| $\text{Mat}_n, 22 \leq n \leq 24$  | 2          | Todd  | $n = 24$                       | 1   |
| "                                  | "          | "   | $n = 22, 23$                   | 0   |
| $\text{Mat}_n, 22 \leq n \leq 24$  | 2          | Golay   | $n = 22$                       | 1   |
| $\text{Mat}_n, 22 \leq n \leq 24$  | 2          | Golay   | $n = 23, 24$                   | 0   |
| $3.\text{Mat}_{22}$                | 2          | $\mathbb{F}_4^6$                              | —                              | 0   |
| $\text{Mat}_{11}$                  | 3          | Todd  | —                              | 0   |
| $\text{Mat}_{11}$                  | 3          | Golay   | —                              | 1   |
| $2.\text{Mat}_{12}$                | 3          | Todd  | —                              | 0   |
| $2.\text{Mat}_{12}$                | 3          | Golay   | —                              | 0   |

*Proof.* Let  $T \in \text{Syl}_p(H)$  and  $W$  be an  $\mathbb{F}_p H$ -module with  $[W, H] \leq V$  and  $C_W(H) \leq V$ . Note that by Gaschütz's Theorem,  $C_W(T) \leq V$ .

$\mathbf{1}^\circ$ . Let  $C \leq H$  and  $A$  and  $B$  be normal  $p$ -subgroups of  $C$  with  $A \leq B$ , and let  $X, Y, Z$  be  $C$ -submodules of  $W$  with  $X \leq Y \leq Z$ . Suppose that

(i)  $B$  centralizes  $Z/Y$  and  $Y/X$ .

(ii)  $A$  centralizes  $Z/X$ .

(iii)  $\Phi(B) \leq A$ .

Put  $U/X := C_{Z/X}(B)$ . Then  $Z/U$  is isomorphic to a  $C$ -submodule of  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(B/A, Y/X)$ . If in addition  $C$  centralizes  $Z/U$ , then  $Z/U$  embeds into  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_p C}(B/A, Y/X)$ .

For  $z \in Z$  define

$$\tilde{z} : B/A \rightarrow Y/X \text{ with } bA \rightarrow [b, z] + X.$$

Since  $B/A$  and  $Y/X$  are  $\mathbb{F}_p C$ -modules, for  $c \in C$  the element  $\tilde{z}^c := c^{-1} \tilde{z} c \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(B/A, Y/X)$  is defined, and

$$(bA)\tilde{z}^c = bA(c^{-1} \tilde{z} c) = (b^{c^{-1}} A \tilde{z})c = ([b^{c^{-1}}, z] + X)^c = [b, z^c] + X = bA\tilde{z}^c.$$

Thus, the map

$$Z \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(B/A, Y/X) \text{ with } z \rightarrow \tilde{z}$$

is  $C$ -equivariant with kernel  $U$ . So the first statement holds. The second follows from the first.

**Case 1.**  $V$  is the dual of a natural module for  $H \cong \Omega_n^\epsilon(q)$ ,  $n > 2$  and  $q = p^k$ .

This case is covered by [Po] and [JP].

**Case 2.**  $V$  is a natural module for  $H = \text{Sp}_{2n}(q)$ .

See [JP].

**Case 3.**  $V$  is a natural module for  $H = \text{SL}_n(q)$ ,  $q = p^k$ .

See [JP].

**Case 4.**  $V$  is a natural module for  $H = \text{SU}_n(q)$ ,  $q = p^k$ , and  $n \geq 3$ .

If  $q > 3$  see [JP]. So assume that  $q \leq 3$ . If  $H$  is solvable, then  $H = \text{SU}_3(2)$ , and Maschke's Theorem shows that the lemma holds. Thus, assume in addition that  $H \neq \text{SU}_3(2)$ . Let  $V_1$  be a 1-dimensional singular  $\mathbb{K}$ -subspace of  $V$ ,  $V_2 = V_1^\perp \leq V$ ,  $L = C_H(V_1)$ , and  $L^* = N_H(V_1)$ .

Suppose for a contradiction that  $[V, O_p(L)] \not\leq V_2$ . Since  $L$  centralizes  $W/V$  and  $V/V_2$  we conclude that  $O_p(L) \not\leq O^p(L)$  and so  $n = 3$  and  $q = 3$ . In particular,  $L = O_3(L)$  is extraspecial of exponent 3 and  $[W, \Phi(L)] \leq V_2$ . Hence, there exists  $g \in L \setminus \Phi(L)$  with  $[W, g] \not\leq V_2$ . Note that  $[v, g, g] \neq 0$  for every  $v \in V \setminus V_2$ . On the other hand  $|g| = 3$ , so  $g$  acts cubically on  $W$ . This shows that  $[W, g] \leq V_2$ , which contradicts the choice of  $g$ . Thus

2°.  $[W, O_p(L)] \leq V_2$ .

Since  $[V_2, O_p(L)] \leq V_1$  we conclude that  $[W, O_p(L)'] \leq V_1$ . Let  $W_2$  be maximal in  $W$  with  $[W_2, O_p(L)] \leq V_1$ . In addition we use the following notation:

$$K^* := C_{L^*}(L/O_p(L)), \quad K := C_{L^*}(V_2/V_1), \quad X/V_2 := C_{W/V_2}(K^*).$$

Then  $K \leq K^*$ ,  $K^*/O_p(L)$  has order  $q^2 - 1$  and  $K/O_p(L)$  has order  $q - 1$ . We will prove next:

3°.  $[W, L] \leq V_2$ .

By Maschke's Theorem and (2°),  $W/V_2 = X/V_2 \oplus V/V_2$ . Since  $[X, L^*] \leq X \cap V = V_2$  we conclude that  $[W, L] \leq V_2$ .

4°. Either  $W = W_2 + V$  or  $q = 2$ ,  $n = 4$  and  $|W/W_2 + V| \leq 4$ .

Suppose that  $q \neq 2$ . Then  $O_p(L) = [O_p(L), K]$  and so  $K = O^p(K)$ . Since  $[X, K] \leq V_2$  and  $[V_2, K] \leq V_1$  we have  $[X, K] = [X, O^p(K)] \leq V_1$ . Thus  $X \leq W_2$ . Since  $W = X + V$ , (4°) holds in this case.

So we may assume that  $q = 2$ . Then  $n > 3$  since we are assuming that  $H \neq \text{SU}_3(2)$ . Put  $Z := O_2(L)'$ . Then  $[Z, L] = 1$  and by (2°),  $[W, O_2(L), Z] \leq [V_2, Z] = 0$ . Since by (3°)  $[W, L] \leq V_2$ , we conclude from (1°) that  $W/V_2$  embeds into  $\text{Hom}_L(O_2(L)/Z, V_2/V_1)$ .

Suppose that  $n > 4$ . Then  $L$  acts simply on  $O_p(L)/Z$  and on  $V_2/V_1$  and thus

$$q^2 = |V/V_2| \leq |W/V_2| \leq |\text{Hom}_L(O_p(L)/Z, V_2/V_1)| = q^2.$$

We conclude that  $V = W$ , so (4°) holds in this case.

Suppose that  $n = 4$ . Since  $V_2 \leq W_2$  and  $L^*$  centralizes  $X/V_2$ ,  $L^*$  centralizes  $X + W_2/W_2$ . So by (1°)  $X + W_2/W_2$  embeds into  $\text{Hom}_{L^*}(O_p(L)/Z, V_2/V_1)$ . Since  $L^*$  acts simply on  $O_p(L)/Z$  and on  $V_2/V_1$  we conclude as above that  $|X/X \cap W_2| = |X + W_2/W_2| \leq q^2 = 4$ . Now  $W/V_2 = X/V_2 \oplus V/V_2$  and  $V_2 \leq W_2$  imply

$$|W/(X \cap W_2) + V| = |X + V/(X \cap W_2) + V| = |(X/V_2)/(X \cap W_2/V_2)| = |X/X \cap W_2| \leq 4,$$

so (4°) also holds in this case.

**5°.** Put  $W_1 := C_{W_2}(O_p(L))$ . Then  $W_2 = W_1 + V_2$  and  $W_2 + V = W_1 + V$ .

Since  $[W_2, O_p(L)] \leq V_1 \leq C_V(O_p(L))$  the Three Subgroups Lemma gives that  $[W_2, Z] = 0$ . So by (1°)  $W_2/W_1$  embeds into  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(O_p(L)/Z, V_1)$ . As an  $L$ -module  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(O_p(L)/Z, V_1)$  is a direct sum of copies of the dual of  $O_p(L)/Z$ . If  $n > 3$  we conclude that  $W_2/W_1 = [W_2/W_1, L]$  and so by (3°)  $W_2 = W_1 + V_2$ . Thus (5°) holds in this case. So suppose  $n = 3$ . Let  $Y/V_1 = C_{W_2/V_1}(L^*)$ . Then by Maschke's Theorem,  $W_2 = Y + V_2$ .

Suppose that  $Y \not\leq W_1$ . Then  $O_p(L)/Z \cong V_1$  as an  $L^*$ -module. Since  $n = 3$  we have  $q > 2$ , and so  $L^*$  acts simply on  $O_p(L)/Z$  and on  $V_1$ . It follows that there exists  $0 \leq l < 2k$  with  $\lambda^{2-p^k} = \lambda^{p^l}$ , for all  $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{2k}}$ . Thus  $p^{2k} - 1$  divides  $p^l + p^k - 2$ . Hence either  $p^l + p^k - 2 \leq 0$  or  $p^l + p^k - 2 \geq p^{2k} - 1$ . Since  $p^k = q > 2$  we have  $p^l + p^k - 2 > 0$ . Moreover,

$$p^l + p^k - 2 \leq p^{2k-1} + p^{2k-1} - 2 \leq p^{2k} - 2 < p^{2k} - 1,$$

a contradiction. Thus  $Y \leq W_1$ , and (5°) also holds for  $n = 3$ .

**6°.**  $W_1 = V_1$  and  $W_2 + V = V$ .

Let  $g \in H$  such that  $V_1$  is not perpendicular to  $V_1^g$  in  $V$ , so  $V_1 \not\leq V_2^g$ . Then by (3°),  $[W_1, L \cap L^g] \leq W_1 \cap V_2^g \leq (W_1 \cap V) \cap V_2^g = V_1 \cap V_2^g = 0$ . Thus  $W_1$  is centralized by  $O_p(L)(L \cap L^g) = L$  and so  $W_1 \leq C_W(T) \leq V$ . Thus  $W_1 = V_1$ , and (5°) implies (6°).

From (4°) and (6°) we see that the lemma holds in (Case 4).

**Case 5.**  $H = \text{G}_2(q)'$ ,  $q = p^k$ , and either  $p = 2$  and  $V = \mathbb{K}^6$  or  $p \neq 2$  and  $V = \mathbb{K}^7$ .

See [JP].

**Case 6.**  $V$  is a natural module for  $H = {}^3\text{D}_4(q)$ ,  $q = p^k$ .

Fix a root system  $\Phi$ . With respect to  $\Phi$ , let  $C$  be the Cartan subgroup,  $N/C$  the Weyl-group, and  $L$  be the subgroup of  $H$  generated by the long root subgroups. Then  $L \cong \mathrm{SL}_3(q)$  and  $C$  normalizes  $L$ .

Let  $K \leq H$  be the centralizer of a field automorphism of order 3 in  $H$  such that  $K \cong \mathrm{G}_2(q)$ , each root subgroup with respect to  $\Phi$  intersects  $K$  in a root subgroup of  $K$ , and  $N = (N \cap K)C$ . Then  $L \leq K$  and  $\langle K, C \rangle$  contains all the root subgroups from  $\Phi$ . So  $\langle K, C \rangle = H$ . In the case  $q = 2$ , the action of  $C$  on the Lie-parabolic subgroups of  $H$  shows that also  $\langle \mathrm{O}^2(K), C \rangle = H$ .

Note that  $V/C_V(K)$  is a 7-dimensional  $K$ -module (over  $\mathbb{K}$ ), which is a natural module for  $p$  odd and a non-split central extension of a natural module for  $p = 2$ . By (Case 5),  $W = C_W(\mathrm{O}^p(K)) + V$ . Moreover, the action of  $K$  on  $V$  shows that  $C_V(\mathrm{O}^p(K)) = C_V(L(N \cap \mathrm{O}^p(K)))$ . So also  $C_W(\mathrm{O}^p(K)) = C_W(L(N \cap \mathrm{O}^p(K)))$ . Note that  $C$  acts fixed-point freely on  $C_V(L)$ . Since  $C$  is a  $p'$ -group we get  $C_W(L) = C_V(L) \oplus C_W(LC)$ . Thus also  $W = V \oplus C_W(LC)$ . Since  $N$  normalizes  $C_W(LC)$  we have

$$C_W(LC) = C_W(LN) \leq C_W(L(N \cap \mathrm{O}^p(K))) \leq C_W(\mathrm{O}^p(K)).$$

Thus  $C_W(LC) \leq C_W(\langle C, \mathrm{O}^p(K) \rangle) = C_W(H) = 0$  and so  $V = W$ .

**Case 7.**  $V$  is the (half)-spin-module for  $H = \mathrm{Spin}_n^\epsilon(q)$ ,  $q = p^k$ ,  $n \geq 7$ .

See [JP].

**Case 8.**  $H = 3.\mathrm{Alt}(6)$  and  $V = \mathbb{K}^3$ .

Since  $[V, Z(H)] \neq 0$ , Maschke's Theorem implies that  $V = W$ .

**Case 9.**  $V$  is a natural module for  $H \cong \mathrm{Alt}(n)$ ,  $n \geq 5$ ,  $p = 2$ .

See [As, page 74].

**Case 10.**  $V$  is the symmetric square of a natural module for  $H \cong \mathrm{SL}_n(q)$ ,  $q = p^k$ ,  $p$  odd,  $n \geq 3$ .

Let  $V_2 := [V, T]$ ,  $L^* := N_H(V_2)$ ,  $L_1 := C_{L^*}(V/V_2)$  and  $L := \mathrm{O}^p(L^*)$ . Then  $L/\mathrm{O}_p(L) \cong \mathrm{SL}_{n-1}(q)$  and  $|L_1/L| = 2$ . Note that  $L = \mathrm{O}^p(L)$  unless  $n = 3 = q$ , in which case  $L_1/\mathrm{O}_p(L_1) \cong \mathrm{GL}_2(3)$ . So in any case  $L_1 = \mathrm{O}^p(L_1)$  and thus

$$7^\circ. \quad [W, L_1] = V_2 = [W, L].$$

Let  $V_1 := C_V(\mathrm{O}_p(L)) = [V_2, \mathrm{O}_p(L)]$ . Then  $V_2/V_1$  is a natural  $\mathrm{SL}_{n-1}(q)$ -module for  $L/\mathrm{O}_p(L)$  isomorphic to  $\mathrm{O}_p(L)$ . Hence  $|\mathrm{Hom}_L(\mathrm{O}_p(L), V_2/V_1)| = q$ . Let  $W_2/V_1 := C_{W/V_1}(\mathrm{O}_p(L))$ . Then by (1 $^\circ$ )  $W/W_2$  embeds into  $\mathrm{Hom}_L(\mathrm{O}_p(L), V_2/V_1)$ . Since  $|V/V_2| = q$  we conclude that

$$8^\circ. \quad W = W_2 + V.$$

Let  $W_1/V_1 := C_{W_2/V_1}(L)$ . By (Case 3)  $H^1(L/\mathrm{O}_p(L), V_2/V_1) = 0$  and so by (8 $^\circ$ )

$$9^\circ. \quad W_2 = W_1 + V_2 \text{ and } W = W_1 + V.$$

Note that  $V_1$  is the symmetric square of a natural module for  $L/\mathrm{O}_p(L)$ . In particular,  $V_1$  and  $\mathrm{O}_p(L)$  are non-isomorphic simple  $L/\mathrm{O}_p(L)$ -modules and so  $[W_1, \mathrm{O}_p(L)] = 1$ . Let  $W_0 = C_{W_1}(L)$ . Suppose that  $W_1 \neq W_0 \oplus V_1$ . By induction on  $n$  and with (Case 1) we conclude that  $n = 3$  and  $q = 5$ . (Note here that for  $n = 3$   $V_1$  is an orthogonal  $\Omega_3(q)$ -module for  $L/\mathrm{O}_p(L)$ .)

Since  $T/\mathrm{O}_5(L)$  is cyclic, the Jordan Form for  $T$  on  $V$  shows that  $T$  does not act cubically on  $W_1$ . Pick  $g \in H$  with  $T = \mathrm{O}_5(L)(\mathrm{O}_5(L)^g \cap T)$ . By (9 $^\circ$ ),  $\mathrm{O}_5(L)$  acts cubically on  $V$  and so  $T$  acts cubically in  $W_1$ , a contradiction.

Thus  $W_1 = W_0 + V_1$ . As  $W_0 \leq C_W(T) \leq V$  we have  $W_1 \leq V$ , and by (9 $^\circ$ )  $V = W$ .

**Case 11.**  $V$  is the alternating square of a natural module for  $H \cong \mathrm{SL}_n(q)$ ,  $q = p^k$ ,  $n \geq 5$ .

See [JP].

**Case 12.**  $H \cong \mathrm{E}_6(q)$ ,  $q = p^k$ , and  $V = \mathbb{K}^{27}$ .

See [JP].

**Case 13.**  $H \cong \mathrm{SL}_n(q^2)$ ,  $q = p^k$ , and  $V$  is a simple  $\mathbb{F}_q H$ -submodule of  $N \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}} N^\sigma$ , where  $N$  is the natural  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2} H$ -module and  $\sigma$  is the field automorphism of order 2 of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ .

Let  $N_1 := \mathrm{C}_N(T)$ ,  $L^* := \mathrm{N}_H(N_1)$ , and  $L := \mathrm{C}_H(N_1)$ , and let  $J \leq L^*$  with  $L^* = \mathrm{O}_p(L)J$  and  $N = N_1 \oplus [N, J \cap L]$ . Then  $J \cap L \cong \mathrm{SL}_{n-1}(q^2)$  and  $J \cong \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(q^2)$ . Let  $V_1 = \mathrm{C}_V(L)$  and  $V_2 = [V, \mathrm{O}_p(L)]$ . Then  $V_2/V_1$  is a natural  $\mathrm{SL}_{n-1}(q^2)$ -module for  $L/\mathrm{O}_p(L)$  isomorphic to  $N/N_1$  and dual to  $\mathrm{O}_p(L)$ . Also  $V/V_2$  is isomorphic to a simple  $\mathbb{F}_q L/\mathrm{O}_p(L)$  submodule of  $N/N_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}} N^\sigma/N_1^\sigma$ . We first show:

**10°.** Suppose  $n = 3$  and  $q \neq 2$ . Then  $Z(J)$  acts fix-point freely on  $V/V_2$ , and  $\mathrm{O}_p(L)$  and  $V_2/V_1$  are not isomorphic as  $\mathbb{F}_p Z(J)$ -modules.

Let  $j \in Z(J)$ , then  $j$  acts as an  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ -scalar  $\lambda$  on  $N/N_1$ . It follows that  $j$  acts as  $\lambda^{-2}$  on  $N_1$ , as  $\lambda^{-3}$  on  $\mathrm{O}_p(L)$ , as  $\lambda^{q-2}$  on  $V_2/V_1$  and as  $\lambda^{q+1}$  on  $V/V_2$ . Since  $q > 2$  we conclude that  $Z(J)$  is fixed-point free on  $V/V_2$ . Suppose that  $V_2/V_1$  and  $\mathrm{O}_p(L)$  are isomorphic as  $\mathbb{F}_p Z(J)$ -modules. Then there exists  $0 \leq l < 2k$  with  $\lambda^{-3p^l} = \lambda^{q-2}$  for all  $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  and so

$$p^{2k} - 1 \mid 3p^l + p^k - 2.$$

Since  $p^k = q > 2$ , the right side is positive and so

$$p^{2k} - 1 \leq 3p^l + p^k - 2 \leq 3p^{2k-1} + p^k - 2 \leq 4p^{2k-1} - 2.$$

Thus  $p \leq 3$ . If  $p = 3$  we have

$$3^{2k} \leq 3^{l+1} + 3^k - 1 \leq 2 \cdot 3^m - 1,$$

where  $m = \max\{l+1, k\}$ . Hence  $m = l+1 = 2k$ . and so

$$3^{2k} - 1 \mid 3 \cdot 3^{2k-1} + 3^k - 2 = (3^{2k} - 1) + 3^k - 1.$$

Therefore  $3^{2k} - 1 \mid 3^k - 1$ , a contradiction.

Thus  $p = 2$ . If  $l = 0$  we get  $2^{2k} - 1 \leq 2^k + 1$  and  $q = 2^k = 2$ , contradiction. Hence  $l > 0$  and since  $2^{2k} - 1$  is odd,

$$2^{2k} - 1 \mid 3 \cdot 2^{l-1} + 2^{k-1} - 1.$$

So

$$2^{2k} \leq 3 \cdot 2^{l-1} + 2^{k-1} = 2^l + 2^{l-1} + 2^{k-1}.$$

It follows that  $k = 1 = l$  and  $q = 2$ , a contradiction.

**11°.** Suppose  $n = 3$  and  $V \neq W$ . Then  $q = 2$  and  $|W/V| \leq 4$ .



Since  $O_p(L)$  and  $V/V_2$  are non-isomorphic simple  $L$ -modules,  $[W, O_p(L)] \leq V_2$ . Let  $W_2/V_2 = C_{W/V_2}(L)$ . If  $q \neq 2$ , then by (10°)  $Z(J)$  acts fixed-point-freely on  $V/V_2$ , and if  $q = 2$ , then by (Case 1),  $H^1(L/O_p(L), V/V_2) = 0$ . So in any case  $W = W_2 + V$ .

Let  $W_1/V_1 = C_{W_2/V_1}(O_p(L))$ . Then  $W_2/W_1$  embeds into  $\text{Hom}_{L^*}(O_p(L), V_2/V_1)$ . By (10°) this group is trivial for  $q \neq 2$ . For  $q = 2$  it has order 4. So  $W_2 = W_1$  if  $q \neq 2$  and  $|W_2/W_1| \leq 4$  if  $q = 2$ . It remains to show that  $W_1 \leq V$ .

Let  $W_0 = C_{W_1}(O_p(L))$ . Then  $W_1/W_0$  embeds into  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(O_p(L), V_1)$ . The latter group is as an  $L$ -module isomorphic to a direct sum of copies of the dual of  $O_p(L)$ . Hence  $[W_1/W_0, L] = W_1/W_0$  and so  $W_1 = W_0 + V_2$ . Since  $W_0 \cap V = V_1$  and  $L = O^p(L)$  we have  $[W_0, L] = 0$  and so  $W_0 \leq C_V(T) \leq V$ . Thus also  $W_1 \leq V$ , and (11°) is proved.

**12°.** Suppose  $n = 3$  and  $q = 2$ . Then  $|H^1(H, V)| = 4$ , and  $\text{GL}_3(4)$  acts fixed-point freely on  $H^1(H, V)$ .

By (11°)  $|H^1(H, V)| \leq 4$ . Let  $I$  be the simple 11-dimensional Golay code-module for  $M = \text{Mat}_{24}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . Let  $\tilde{H} = \text{Mat}_{21} \cong \text{PSL}_3(4)$ . Then  $[I, \tilde{H}]$  is simple of  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -dimension 9 and  $C_I(\tilde{H}) = 0$ . Moreover,  $N_M(\tilde{H}) \cong \text{PGL}_3(4)$  acts fixed-point freely on  $I/[I, \tilde{H}]$ , so (12°) holds.

**13°.** Suppose  $n > 3$ . Then  $V = W$ .

Note that  $W/V_2$  and  $O^{p'}(L^*/O_p(L))$  satisfy (Case 13) for  $n-1$ , and note further that  $L^*/O_p(L) \cong \text{GL}_{n-1}(q^2)$ . Moreover, for  $n-1 = 3$  the case described in (12°) does not occur since  $[W, L^*] = V$ . Hence induction shows that  $H^1(L^*/O_p(L), V/V_2) = 0$ . By (Case 3), also  $H^1(L^*/O_p(L), V/V_2) = 0$ . Since  $n > 3$ ,  $V/V_2$  and  $V_2/V_1$  are simple  $L^*$ -modules not isomorphic to  $O_p(L)$ . Also since  $L = O^p(L)$ ,  $H^1(L, V_1) = 0$ . Thus  $H^1(L^*, V) = 0$  and  $V = W$ .

By (11°), (12°) and (13°) the Lemma holds in case (Case 13).

**Case 14.**  $p = 2$ , and  $V$  is the simple Todd- or Golay code-module for  $H = \text{Mat}_n$ ,  $n = 22, 23$ , or 24.

Let  $P := \text{Mat}_{n-1} \leq H$ . Suppose first that  $H = \text{Mat}_{22}$  and  $V$  is the Todd-module. Put  $V_1 := C_V(T)$  and  $L := C_H(V_1)$ . Then  $L/O_2(L) \cong \text{Sym}(5)$ , and  $O_2(L)$  is a natural  $\text{GSL}_2(4)$ -module for  $L$ . Put  $V_2 := [V, O_2(L)]$ . Then  $O_2(L)$  centralizes  $V_2/V_1$ , and  $V_2/V_1$  is a non-split extension of a 1-dimensional module by a natural  $\text{GSL}_2(4)$ -module for  $L/O_2(L)$ . Moreover,  $V/V_2$  is a natural  $O_4^-(2)$ -module for  $L$ . Since  $V/V_2$  is not isomorphic to  $O_2(L)$  as an  $L$ -module,  $[W, O_2(L)] \leq V_2$ . Put  $W_2/V_2 := C_{W/V_2}(L)$ . By (Case 1)  $W = W_2 + V$ . Since  $V_2/V_1$  is indecomposable,  $\text{Hom}_L(O_2(L), V_2/V_1) = 0$  and so  $[W_2, O_2(L)] \leq V_1$ . Let  $W_1 = C_{W_2}(O_2(L))$ . Then  $W_2/W_1$  embeds into  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(O_2(L), V_1)$ . The latter is isomorphic to the dual of  $O_2(L)$  and so  $W_2 = W_1 + V_2$ . Note that  $[W_1, O^2(L)] = 1$  and  $W_1 \cap V$  has order 4 with  $L/O^2(L)$  acting non-trivial on  $W_1 \cap V$ . It follows that  $W_1 = C_{W_1}(L) + (W_1 \cap V)$  and so  $W_1 \leq C_W(T) + V \leq V$ . Hence also  $W_2 \leq V$  and  $W = V$ .

Suppose next that  $H = \text{Mat}_{22}$  and  $V$  is the Golay code -module. Then  $|[V, P]| = 2^9$  and  $C_V(P) = 0$ , so  $V$  is a non-split extension for  $P$  as in case (Case 13). Thus (Case 13) shows that  $|W/V + C_W(P)| \leq 2$ . Let  $L_0 = \text{Mat}_{20} \leq P$  and  $L = N_H(L_0) \sim 2^4 \text{Sym}(5)$ . Then  $C_V(L_0) = 0$  and so  $C_W(P) \leq C_W(L_0) \leq C_W(L)$ . Since  $L$  contains a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $H$ ,  $C_W(L) \leq V$  and so  $C_W(P) = 0$  and  $|W/V| \leq 2$ .

Suppose next that  $H = \text{Mat}_{23}$ . Then  $P$  contains a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $H$  and so  $C_W(P) \leq V$ . If  $V$  is the Todd-module, then  $V = [V, P]$  and  $V/C_V(P)$  is the Todd-module for  $P = \text{Mat}_{22}$ . Since  $P = O^2(P)$ , the  $\text{Mat}_{22}$ -case implies that  $W = C_W(P) + V = V$ .

If  $V$  is the Golay code-module, then  $C_V(P) = 0$  and  $[V, P]$  is the 10 dimensional Golay code module for  $P$ . Thus by the  $\text{Mat}_{22}$ -case,  $W = C_W(L) + V = V$ .

Suppose that  $H = \text{Mat}_{24}$ . Then  $V$  is simple as a  $P$ -module, so by the  $\text{Mat}_{23}$ -case,  $W = C_W(P) + V$ . Let  $w \in C_W(P)$ . Then  $\langle w^H \rangle$  is a quotient of the natural permutation module of  $\text{Mat}_{24}$ . If  $V$  is the Golay code-module, we conclude that  $[w, H] = 0$  and so  $V = W$ . If  $V$  is the Todd module and  $w \neq 0$ , we conclude that  $\langle w^H \rangle = \langle w \rangle + V$  is uniquely determined as an  $\mathbb{F}_2 H$ -module. Since  $|\mathbb{K}| = 2$  this implies  $|W/V| \leq 2$ .

**Case 15.**  $V = \mathbb{F}_4^6$  and  $H = 3.\text{Mat}_{22}$ .

Since  $Z(H) \neq 1$ , we have  $V = W$ .

**Case 16.**  $p = 3$ ,  $V$  is the simple Todd- or Golay code-module for  $H = \text{Mat}_{11}$  or  $2.\text{Mat}_{12}$ .

If  $H = 2.\text{Mat}_{12}$ , we have  $W = C_W(Z(H)) \oplus V$  and so  $V = W$ . Suppose  $H = \text{Mat}_{11}$ .

Assume first that  $V$  is the Golay code-module. Let  $L_0 = \text{Mat}_{10}$  and  $L = L_0 \cong L_2(9)$ . Then  $[V, L]$  is the natural  $\Omega_4^-(3)$ -module for  $L$  and  $C_V(L) = 0$ . Thus by (Case 1),  $|W/V + C_W(L)| \leq 3$ . Since  $L$  contains a Sylow 3-subgroup of  $H$ ,  $C_W(L) \leq V$  and so  $|W/V| \leq 3$ .

Suppose next that  $V$  is the Todd-module. Let  $L = N_H(T)$ . Then  $L/T$  is semidihedral of order 16. Let  $K \in \text{Syl}_2(L)$  and put  $V_2 = [V, T]$  and  $V_1 = C_V(T)$ . Then  $|V/V_1| = 3$  with  $D := C_K(V/V_1)$  dihedral of order 8. Moreover,  $V_2/V_1$  has order 9 with  $K$  acting faithfully on  $V_2/V_1$ , and  $V_1$  has order 9 with  $|C_K(V_1)| = 2$ . Since  $T = [T, D]$ , we have  $[W, T] \leq V_2$ . Let  $W_2/V_1 = C_{W/V_1}(T)$ . Then  $W/W_2$  embeds into  $\text{Hom}_D(T, V_2/V_1)$ . Since  $D$  acts simply on  $T$  and  $V_2/V_1$ , we conclude that  $\text{Hom}_D(T, V_2/V_1)$  has order 3. Thus  $W = W_2 + V$ . Let  $W_1/V_1 = C_{W_2/V_1}(L)$ . By Mascke's Theorem,  $W_2 = W_1 + V_2$ . Since  $V_1$  is not isomorphic to  $T$  as an  $L$ -module,  $[W_1, T] = 0$  and so  $W_1 \leq V$  and  $V = W$ .  $\square$

**Definition 6.2.** Let  $H$  be a finite group,  $V$  an  $\mathbb{F}_p H$ -module and  $Q$  a  $p$ -subgroup of  $H$ . Then  $V$  is called a  $Q!$ -module for  $H$  if  $Q$  is not normal in  $H$  and

$$(Q!) \quad Q \trianglelefteq N_H(A) \text{ for all } 1 \neq A \leq C_V(Q).$$

**Lemma 6.3.** Let  $M \cong \text{SL}_n(q)$ ,  $q$  a power of  $p$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , and let  $V$  be an  $\mathbb{F}_p M$ -module. Suppose that there exists an  $M$ -submodule  $I$  in  $V$  such that the following hold:

- (i)  $W := V/I$  is a natural  $\text{SL}_n(q)$ -module for  $M$ .
- (ii)  $I \cong \Lambda_{\mathbb{K}}^2 W$  as an  $\mathbb{F}_p M$ -module, where  $\mathbb{K} := \text{End}_M(W)$ .
- (iii) If  $H$  is a  $\mathbb{K}$ -hyperplane in  $W$  and  $A := C_M(H) \cap C_M(W/H)$ , then  $C_V(A) \not\leq I$ .

Then there exists  $x \in V \setminus W$  with  $C_M(x) = C_M(x + I/I)$ . Moreover,  $V$  is not a  $Q!$ -module for any  $p$ -subgroup  $Q$  of  $M$ .

*Proof.* Put  $U := C_V(A)$ ,  $L = N_M(H) \cap C_M(W/H)$  and  $T \in \text{Syl}_p(L)$ . Note  $T \in \text{Syl}_p(M)$ . We will first show:

$$1^\circ. \quad C_V(T) \not\leq I.$$

The proof is by induction on  $n$ . If  $n = 2$  then  $A = T$  and  $(1^\circ)$  follows from (iii). Suppose that  $n \geq 3$ . Note that  $L/A \cong \text{SL}_{n-1}(q)$ ,  $H \cong U/U \cap I$  is a natural module for  $L/A$  and  $U \cap I \cong \Lambda_{\mathbb{K}}^2 H$ . Let  $g \in M$  with  $H^g \neq H$  and put  $R_0 := L \cap A^g$  and  $R := A(L \cap A^g)$ .

Assume that  $n = 3$ . Then  $T = R$  and  $I \cong W^*$ . In particular

$$[U \cap (U^g + I), R] = [I, R_0] \cap I \cap U = 0.$$

Since  $|U \cap (U^g + I)| = q^2$  while  $|U \cap I| = q$ , we conclude that  $C_U(R) = C_U(T) \not\leq I$ , and (1°) holds.

Suppose now that  $n > 3$ . Then  $C_I(R) = C_{U \cap I}(R_0)$  and so  $C_I(R)$  has order  $q^{\binom{n-2}{2}}$ . On the other hand,  $C_V(A)$  has index  $q^n$  in  $V$ . Hence  $C_V(\langle A, A^g \rangle)$  has index at most  $q^{2n}$  in  $V$ . Thus also  $|V/C_V(R)| \leq q^{2n}$ . Note that

$$|V/C_I(R)| = q^{n + \binom{n}{2} - \binom{n-2}{2}} = q^{3n-3} > q^{2n},$$

where the last inequality holds since  $n > 3$ .

Thus  $C_V(R) \not\leq C_I(R)$  and since  $C_V(R) \leq U$ ,  $C_U(R) \not\leq U \cap I$ . Thus  $(U, U \cap I, L/A, H \cap H^g, R/A)$  in place of  $(V, I, M, H, A)$  fulfills the assumptions (i)-(iii) and so by induction  $C_U(T/A) \not\leq U \cap I$ . Thus (1°) holds.

Put  $Y := I + C_V(T)$  and  $F_1 := C_M(Y/I)$ . Then  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} Y/I = 1$ , so  $F_1 = C_M(x + I/I)$  for  $x \in C_V(T) \setminus I$ . Since  $T \in \text{Syl}_p(F_1)$ , Gaschütz' Theorem implies that  $Y = I \oplus X$  for some  $F_1$ -invariant subspace  $X$  of  $Y$ . Then  $[X, F_1] \leq X \cap I = 0$ . Let  $0 \neq x \in X$ . Then  $F_1 \leq C_{F_1}(x) \leq C_M(x + I/I) = F_1$ , and so the first statement in 6.3 is proved.

Suppose  $V$  is a  $Q!$ -module. If  $n = 2$ , then  $[I, M] = 0$  and so  $Q \leq C_M(I) = M$ , a contradiction. Thus  $n \geq 3$ . Without loss  $Q \leq T$ . Thus  $X \leq C_V(Q)$  and so by  $Q!$  we get that  $Q \leq F_1$ . Similar  $Q \leq F_2 := N_M(C_I(T))$ . Since  $F_2$  is the normalizer of a 2-dimensional subspace of  $W$ , we have  $M = \langle F_1, F_2 \rangle$  and so  $Q \leq M$ , a contradiction to the definition of a  $Q!$ -module.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.4.** *Let  $M = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ ,  $\mathbb{F}$  a field, and let  $Z$  be a maximal unipotent subgroup of  $M$  and  $B := N_M(Z)$ . Suppose that  $X$  is an  $\mathbb{Z}M$ -module with  $[X, Z, Z] = 0$  and  $Y$  is a  $B$ -submodule of  $C_X(Z)$  with  $X = \langle Y^M \rangle$ . Then for every  $h \in M \setminus B$*

$$X = Y + Y^h + C_X(M) = Y + Y^h + [Y^h, Z] \text{ and } C_X(Z) = Y + [Y^h, Z] = Y + C_X(M);$$

in particular  $C_X(M) \leq Y + [Y^h, Z]$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $Z$  acts transitively on  $Z^M \setminus \{Z\}$  and so  $Z^M = \{Z\} \cup Z^{hZ}$  and  $Y^M = \{Y\} \cup Y^{hZ}$  for all  $h \in M \setminus B$ . Thus

$$(*) \quad X = \langle Y^M \rangle = Y + \langle Y^{hZ} \rangle = Y + Y^h + [Y^h, Z].$$

By the quadratic action of  $Z$ ,  $[Y^h, Z] \leq C_X(Z)$ . By assumption also  $Y \leq C_X(Z)$  and so  $C_X(Z) = Y + [Y^h, Z] + C_{Y^h}(Z)$ . Note that  $M = \langle Z^M \rangle = \langle Z, Z^{hZ} \rangle = \langle Z, Z^h \rangle$  and so  $C_{Y^h}(Z) \leq C_X(\langle Z^h, Z \rangle) \leq C_X(M)$ . Hence  $C_{Y^h}(Z) \leq C_{Y^h}(M) \leq Y$  and so  $C_X(Z) = Y + [Y^h, Z]$ .

Now by (\*)  $X = Y^h + C_X(Z)$  and thus  $C_X(Z^h) = Y^h + C_X(Z) \cap C_X(Z^h) = Y^h + C_X(M)$ . Hence  $C_X(Z) = Y + C_X(M)$  and  $X = Y^h + Y + C_X(M)$ .  $\square$

**Notation 6.5.** *Let*

$$\mathcal{CL}(p) := \{\text{SL}_n(q), \text{SU}_n(q), \text{Sp}_{2n}(q) \text{ (} q \text{ odd)}, \Omega_n^\epsilon(q), \text{O}_n^\epsilon(q) \text{ (} q \text{ even)}\},$$

where  $q$  is a power of  $p$ . Let  $H \in \mathcal{CL}(p)$  and  $\tilde{A}$  be the corresponding natural  $\mathbb{F}_p H$ -module. Put  $A := \tilde{A}/C_{\tilde{A}}(H)$ . Note that  $A$  is a simple  $\mathbb{F}_p H$ -module. Also  $C_{\tilde{A}}(H) = 0$  unless  $H = \Omega_{2m+1}(2^k)$ , in which case  $C_{\tilde{A}}(H)$  is 1-dimensional,  $H \cong \text{Sp}_{2m}(2^k)$ , and  $A$  is the natural  $\text{Sp}_{2m}(2^k)$ -module for  $H$ .

Furthermore set  $K := \text{O}^p(H)$  and  $\mathbb{K} := \text{End}_H(A)$ . Then  $A$  is also a  $\mathbb{K}H$ -module, and  $A$  is equipped with a natural sesquilinear form  $f$  if  $A$  is not the natural  $\text{SL}_n(q)$ -module.

The groups  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(2^k)$  have been excluded from the list in 6.5, since it will be more convenient for us to treat  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(2^k)$  as  $\Omega_{2n+1}(2^k)$ .

**Lemma 6.6.** *Let  $H \in \mathcal{CL}(p)$ ,  $V$  be a faithful  $\mathbb{F}_p H$ -module with  $H$ -submodules  $A_0 \leq B \leq V$ , and let  $D \leq H$ . Suppose that*

- (i)  $[B, K] \leq A_0$ ,  $A \cong A_0$  and  $V/B \cong A$  or  $A^*$ ,
- (ii)  $D$  is a non-trivial quadratic best offender on  $V$ .

*Then there exists a  $KD$ -submodule  $C$  in  $V$  such that  $A_0 \not\leq C$  and  $V = B + C$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $D^*$  be any non-trivial quadratic best offender on  $V$  such that  $KD^* < H$ . Then we may assume by induction on  $H$  that  $V = B + C$  for a  $KD^*$ -submodule  $C$  with  $A_0 \not\leq C$ . Since  $V/B$  is a perfect  $K$ -module and  $K = O^p(K)$ , also  $V = B + [C, K]$  and  $[C, K] = [C, K, K]$ . Hence 2.6 shows that  $C$  is  $D$ -invariant, and we are done. Thus, we may assume

1°.  $H = KD^*$  for every non-trivial quadratic best offender  $D^*$  on  $V$ ; in particular  $H = KD$ .

Note that by 1.2  $D$  is a best offender on  $[V, K]$  and that  $D$  is a quadratic offender on  $V/C_V(K)$ , so  $D$  contains a best offender on  $V/C_V(K)$ . Hence we may assume that

2°.  $V = [V, K]$  and  $C_V(K) = 0$ .

We will now compare the action of  $H$  on  $V$  with that on the natural module  $\tilde{A}$ . According to (1°) we can choose  $D$  such that  $U := [\tilde{A}, D]$  is minimal with respect to (ii). Observe that  $U$  is a  $\mathbb{K}$ -subspace. Put  $P := N_H(U)$  and  $E = C_H(U) \cap C_H(\tilde{A}/U)$ . Note that  $D$  acts quadratically on  $A_0$  and so also on  $A$ . By 3.2(e),  $D$  acts quadratically on  $\tilde{A}$  and  $U$  is isotropic. Thus  $D \leq E$ . Since  $E$  acts quadratically on  $\tilde{A}$ ,  $E$  is an elementary abelian  $p$ -group.

Pick  $D_1 \leq E$  such that first  $|D_1||C_V(D_1)|$  is maximal among all subgroups of  $E$  and then that  $|D_1|$  is maximal with that property. Since  $D \leq E$ ,  $|D_1||C_V(D_1)| \geq |D||C_V(D)| \geq |V|$  and so  $D_1$  is a non-trivial best offender on  $V$ . By [MS1, 2.6]  $D_1$  is uniquely determined in  $E$  and so  $D_1 \trianglelefteq P$ . By the Timmesfeld Replacement Theorem,  $D_2 := C_{D_1}([V, D_1])$  is a non-trivial quadratic best offender on  $V$ . Since  $[\tilde{A}, D_2] \leq [\tilde{A}, E] \leq U$ , the minimal choice of  $U$  and (1°) imply  $[\tilde{A}, D_2] = U$ , and so we may assume

3°.  $D \trianglelefteq P$ .

By our hypothesis

$$|D| \geq |A/C_A(D)||V/B/C_{V/B}(D)|.$$

Since  $A$  is self-dual if  $A$  is not the natural  $\mathrm{SL}_n(q)$ -module, we get:

4°.  $|D| \geq |A/C_A(D)||A^*/C_{A^*}(D)|$  and  $A$  is the natural  $\mathrm{SL}_n(q)$ -module, or  $|D| \geq |A/C_A(D)|^2$ .

Let  $\mathrm{CL}$  be the type of  $H$ , so  $\mathrm{CL} \in \{\mathrm{SL}, \mathrm{Sp}, \mathrm{SU}, \Omega^\epsilon, \mathrm{O}^\epsilon\}$  and  $H = \mathrm{CL}_n(\mathbb{K})$ .

**Case 1.** *Suppose  $\mathrm{CL} = \mathrm{SL}, \mathrm{SU}$  or  $\mathrm{Sp}$ .*

Recall that in these cases  $A = \tilde{A}$  and  $U = [A, D]$ . If  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} U = 1$  we get  $|A/C_A(D)| \geq |D|$ , a contradiction to (4°). Thus  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} U \geq 2$ . By 3.5 and since by assumption  $p$  is odd in the symplectic case,  $P$  acts simply on  $E$  and so  $D = E$ . Let  $U_1$  be a 1-dimensional subspace of  $U$ . If  $H = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{K})$  let  $U_{n-1}$  be a hyperplane of  $A$  containing  $U$ ,  $Z := C_H(A/U_1) \cap C_H(U_{n-1})$  and  $L := C_H(U_1) \cap C_H(U/U_{n-1})$ . In the other cases let  $U_{n-1} := U_1^\perp$ ,  $Z := C_H(U_1^\perp)$  and  $L := C_H(U_1)$ . In either case put  $\overline{W} := U_{n-1}/U_1$ . Then  $Z$  is a transvection group,  $Z \leq Z(L) \cap D$ ,  $O_p(L) = C_L(\overline{W})$  and  $L/O_p(L)$  induces  $\mathrm{CL}_{n-2}(\overline{W})$  on  $\overline{W}$ . Moreover, if  $\mathrm{CL} = \mathrm{SL}$ ,  $O_p(L)/Z$  is as an  $L/O_p(L)$ -module isomorphic to the direct sum of  $\overline{W}$  and its dual. And if  $\mathrm{CL} = \mathrm{Sp}$  or  $\mathrm{SU}$ , then  $O_p(L)/Z \cong \overline{W}$  as an  $L$ -module. Let  $S \in \mathrm{Syl}_p(L)$  and note that  $S \in \mathrm{Syl}_p(H)$ .

5°.  $[V, Z, L] = 0$ .

Note that  $D = E$  induces  $C_{\mathrm{CL}_{n-2}(\overline{W})}(\overline{U}) \cap C_{\mathrm{CL}_{n-2}(\overline{W})}(\overline{W}/\overline{U})$  on  $\overline{W}$ . Since  $\dim U \geq 2$  we have  $\overline{U} \neq 0$ . It follows that either  $L = O_p(L)\langle D^L \rangle$  or  $D \leq O_p(L)$ ,  $\mathrm{CL} = \mathrm{SL}$  and  $U = U_{n-1}$ .

In the first case  $O_p(L)/Z$  is a perfect  $L$ -module and  $Z \leq \Phi(O_p(L))$ , so  $L = \langle D^L \rangle$ . Since  $D$  is quadratic on  $V$  and  $Z \leq D$  we have  $[V, Z, D] = 0$ , and since  $Z \leq Z(L)$ , this implies  $[V, Z, \langle D^L \rangle] = 0$  and so  $[V, Z, L] = 0$ .

Now suppose  $\mathrm{CL} = \mathrm{SL}$  and  $U = U_{n-1}$ , so  $|D| = q^{n-1}$ . Since  $\dim U \geq 2$ ,  $n \geq 3$ . If  $V/B \cong A^*$ , then  $|V/B/C_{V/B}(D)| = q^{n-1} = |D|$ , a contradiction to (4°). Thus  $V/B \cong A$ . Suppose for a contradiction that  $A_0 \neq B$ . Then by 6.1  $n = 3$  and  $q = 2$ . So  $|D| = 4$ . From

$$|V/B/C_{V/B}(D)||B/C_B(D)| \leq |V/C_V(D)| \leq |D| = 4$$

we conclude that  $|B/C_B(D)| = 2$ . Since  $H (\cong \mathrm{GL}_3(2))$  is generated by three conjugates of  $D$ , this gives  $|B/C_B(H)| \leq 2^3 = |A_0|$ . Hence  $|A_0| < |B|$  implies  $C_B(H) \neq 0$ , which contradicts (2°).

Hence  $A_0 = B$  and thus  $|V/C_V(D)| = q^2$ . In particular  $|[V, z]| = q^2$  for  $1 \neq z \in Z$ . Let  $h \in H$  with  $Z^h \leq L$ , but  $Z^h \not\leq D$ . Note that  $C_V(D) + B/B = C_{V/B}(Z)$  and  $|[C_A(D), z^h]| = q$ . Since  $B$  and  $V/B$  are isomorphic to  $A$  we conclude that  $|[C_V(D), z^h]| = q^2$ . Since  $|[V, z]| = q^2$  we get  $[V, z^h] = [C_V(D), z^h] \leq C_V(D)$ , so  $\langle D^{L^h} \rangle \leq C_H([V, Z^h])$ . In  $C_H([A, Z^h]) = C_H(U_1^h) \sim q^{n-1}\mathrm{SL}_{n-1}(q)$  we see that  $\langle D^{L^h} \rangle = C_H(U_1^h)$ . Since  $L^h \leq C_H(U_1^h)$ , also  $L^h \leq \langle D^{L^h} \rangle \leq C_H([V, Z^h])$ , and so  $[V, Z^h, L^h] = 0$  and again (5°) holds.

Put  $\tilde{L} := C_H([V/B, Z])$ . Observe that  $[V/B, Z]$  is a 1-dimensional  $\mathbb{K}$ -subspace of  $V/B$  and  $S \leq L \leq \tilde{L}$ . Thus by (5°),  $[V, Z] + B = C_V(S) + B = Y^* \oplus B$  for some  $Y^* \leq C_V(S)$ . By Gaschütz' Theorem there also exists a  $\tilde{L}$ -invariant complement  $Y$  to  $B$  in  $B + C_V(S)$ , in particular  $[Y, \tilde{L}] \leq Y \cap B = 0$ . Let  $W := \langle Y^H \rangle$  and  $h \in H$ .

6°.  $[Y^h, Z] \leq Y$ .

If  $Z \leq \tilde{L}^h$ , then  $[Y^h, Z] = 0$ . So assume that  $Z \not\leq \tilde{L}^h$ . Note that there exists  $h^* \in H$  with  $Y^h = Y^{h^*}$  and  $T := \langle Z^{h^*}, Z \rangle \cong \mathrm{SL}_2(q)$ . Without loss  $h = h^*$ . Put  $X := \langle Y^T \rangle$ . Then 6.4 and (5°) give

$$Y + C_X(T) = Y + [Y^h, Z] \leq C_V(L).$$

Note that  $T$  normalizes neither  $U_1$  nor  $U_{n-1}$ , so  $T$  and  $L$  are not contained in a proper parabolic subgroup. Hence  $H = \langle L, T \rangle$  and  $C_V(H) = 0$ . Since  $C_X(T) \leq C_V(L)$ , this gives  $C_X(T) = 0$ , and we conclude that  $Y = [Y^h, Z]$ .

From (6°) we get  $[W, Z] = Y$ . In particular  $A \not\leq W$ , and the lemma holds in (Case 1).

**Case 2.** Suppose  $\mathrm{CL} = \Omega^\epsilon$  or  $O^\epsilon$ .

7°. If  $0 \neq \tilde{A}^\perp \leq U$ , then  $\dim U \geq 4$  and  $n \geq 7$ . In the other cases  $\dim U \geq 5$  and  $n \geq 10$ .

Put  $k := \dim U$ . Suppose first that  $0 \neq \tilde{A}^\perp \leq U$ . By 3.4,  $|D| \leq |E| \leq q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}}$  and  $|A/C_A(D)|^2 = |\tilde{A}/U^\perp|^2 \geq q^{2(k-1)}$ . Thus by (4°)  $\frac{k}{2} \geq 2$  and so  $k \geq 4$ .

Suppose next that  $\tilde{A}^\perp = 0$  or  $\tilde{A}^\perp \not\leq U$ . By 3.4,  $|D| \leq |E| \leq 2q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \leq q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}+1}$  and  $|A/C_A(D)|^2 = |\tilde{A}/U^\perp|^2 \geq q^{2k}$ . Thus by (4°)  $\frac{k(k-1)}{2} + 1 \geq 2k$ ,  $k(k-5) \geq -2$  and  $k \geq 5$ .

By (7°),  $U$  contains a singular 2-space  $U_2$ . Put

$$Z := C_H(\tilde{A}/U_2), L := C_{H'}(U_2), \text{ and } \overline{W} := U_2^\perp/U_2.$$

Then  $|Z| = q$ ,  $Z$  is a long root subgroup of  $H$  in  $Z(L)$ , and  $L$  induces  $\Omega_{n-4}^e(\overline{W})$  on  $\overline{W}$ . Moreover,  $C_L(\overline{W}) = O_p(L)$ , and  $O_p(L)/Z$  is as an  $L$ -module the direct sum of two copies of  $\overline{W}$ . Let  $U_0$  be the singular radical of  $U$  and  $E_0 := C_H(\tilde{A}/U_0)$ . Then  $Z \leq E_0$  and by 3.5,  $E_0 \leq D$ . In particular,  $Z \leq D$ . If  $E \neq E_0$ , we have  $[\tilde{A}, E_0] = U_0 \neq U$  and so  $E_0 < D$ .

8°.  $L = \langle D^L \rangle$ .

From 3.5 and (7°) we see that  $D$  acts non-trivially on  $\overline{W}$ . Suppose  $n \geq 9$ . Then  $n-4 \geq 5$  and so  $L/O_p(L)$  is simple and  $\overline{W} = [\overline{W}, L]$ . It follows that  $L = \langle D^L \rangle O_p(L)$  and then  $L = \langle D^L \rangle$ .

So suppose  $n < 9$ . Then (7°) implies that  $n = 7$ ,  $0 \neq \tilde{A}^\perp \leq U$ ,  $\dim U = 4$ . By 3.4(e),  $E/E_0 \cong U_0$ , and since  $E_0 < D \trianglelefteq P$ , 3.5 implies that  $D = E$ . Thus  $C_H(U_2^\perp) \leq D$ . Also  $L/O_p(L) \cong \text{SL}_2(q)$  and so  $L = \langle D^L \rangle O_p(L)$ . Since  $O_p(L)/C_H(U^\perp)$  is a direct sum of two copies of the natural  $\text{SL}_2(q)$ -module  $\overline{W}/\overline{W}^\perp$  we again get that  $L = \langle D^L \rangle$ .

9°.  $[V, Z, L] = 0$ .

This follows immediately from  $[V, Z, D] = 0$  and (8°).

Note that we can embed  $[\tilde{A}, Z]$  in a non-degenerate subspace  $U_4$  of  $\tilde{A}$  of dimension 4. Put  $K := O^{p'}(N_{H'}(U_4) \cap C_{H'}(U_4^\perp))$ ,  $\hat{L} := O^{p'}(N_H(Z))$ , and let  $U_1$  be a 1-subspace of  $U_2$ .

Then  $Z \leq K$  and  $K \cong O^{p'}(\Omega_4^+(q)) \cong \text{SL}_2(q) * \text{SL}_2(q)$ . Moreover  $T^* := \langle Z^K \rangle \cong \text{SL}_2(q)$ . Since  $\dim \tilde{A} \geq 7$ ,  $N_H(U_4)$  induces  $O_4^+(U_4)$  on  $U_4$  and there exists  $h \in N_H(U_4) \cap N_H(U_1)$  with  $T := T^{*h} \neq T^*$ . Then

$$K = TT^*, T \cong \text{SL}_2(q), \hat{L} = TL, \text{ and } [T, T^*] = 1.$$

Note that  $U_1 = U_2 \cap U_2^h = [\tilde{A}, Z, Z^h] \neq 0$ . Put  $\tilde{P} := N_H(U_1)$ , so  $\tilde{P}$  is the stabilizer of a 1-dimensional singular subspace of  $\tilde{A}$ .

Since  $U_1 \neq 0$  also  $V_1 := [V, Z, Z^h] \neq 0$ . Note that  $V_1$  is centralized by  $LZ^h$  and thus by a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $\tilde{P}$ . Again Gaschütz' Theorem gives a  $\tilde{P}$ -invariant complement  $Y$  to  $B$  in  $B + V_1$ .

Let  $s \in T^* \setminus N_{T^*}(Z)$ . Then  $U_1 + U_1^s$  is a singular 2-space normalized by  $T^*$  and  $U_1^s \not\leq U_2^\perp$ . Since  $O_p(L)$  is transitive on the singular 1-spaces of  $U_2^\perp + U_1^s$  not contained in  $U_2^\perp$ , and  $T$  is transitive on  $\tilde{A}/U_2^\perp$ , we get that  $TL$  is transitive on the conjugates of  $\tilde{P}$  that do not contain  $Z$ . As in the previous case, this gives

$$[\langle Y^H \rangle, Z] = [\langle Y^{sTL} \rangle, Z] = [\langle Y^s \rangle, Z]^T.$$

Observe that  $\langle L, T^* \rangle = H$ . Hence, 6.4 implies  $\langle Y^{T^*} \rangle = Y + Y^s$ . Since  $U_1^h = U_1$  we have  $Y^h = Y$ . Hence also  $\langle Y^T \rangle = Y + Y^{sh}$  since  $T^h = T^*$ , and so  $[\langle Y^H \rangle, Z] = Y + Y^{sh}$ . Then as in the previous case  $[A_0, Z] \not\leq [\langle Y^H \rangle, Z]$ , so  $A \not\leq \langle Y^H \rangle$ , and the lemma also follows in (Case 2).  $\square$

## 7 Quadratic Modules

In this section  $M$  is a finite group, and  $V$  is a finite dimensional  $\mathbb{F}_p M$ -module.

**Lemma 7.1.** *Let  $V$  be faithful. Suppose that  $p$  is odd,  $A \leq M$  with  $[V, A, A] = 0$ , and  $R$  is an  $A$ -invariant  $p'$ -subgroup of  $M$  satisfying  $R = [R, A] \neq 1$ . Then  $p = 3$  and  $R$  is a non-abelian 2-group. If in addition  $|\Phi(R)| = 2$  and  $|A| = 3$ , then  $RA \cong \text{SL}_2(3)$ .*

*Proof.* Observe that by coprime action for every prime divisor  $r$  of  $R$  there exists an  $A$ -invariant Sylow  $r$ -subgroup  $S_r$  in  $R$ . If  $[S_r, A] \neq 1$  then [KS, 9.1.3] implies that  $p = 3$ ,  $r = 2$  and  $S_r$  is not abelian. It follows that  $R = C_R(A)S_2$  and so  $R = [R, A] = [S_2, A] \leq S_2$ .

Suppose now that  $|\Phi(R)| = 2$  and  $|A| = 3$ . Then  $A$  acts fixed-point freely on  $\bar{R} := R/\Phi(R)$ . Since  $A$  centralizes  $Z(R)$ , this gives  $Z(R) = \Phi(R)$  and  $R$  is an extraspecial 2-group. Assume that there exists an involution  $t \in R \setminus \Phi(R)$ . Then  $F := \langle t^A \rangle$  has order at most 8. Since  $|\bar{F}| = 4$  and  $F$  contains an involution, we conclude that  $F$  is abelian. But, as we have already seen,  $[F, A]$  has to be non-abelian.

This contradiction shows that there are no involutions in  $R \setminus \Phi(R)$ , and so  $R \cong Q_8$  and  $RA \cong \text{SL}_2(3)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 7.2.** *Let  $p = 2$  and  $V$  be a faithful indecomposable  $M$ -module with  $C_V(M) = 0$  and  $[V, M] = V$ . Suppose that  $M = \text{Alt}(n)$ ,  $n \geq 5$ , and that  $A = \langle (12)(34), (13)(24) \rangle$  acts quadratically on  $V$ . Then  $\langle (123) \rangle$  acts fixed-point freely on  $V$ . Moreover, one of the following holds:*

1.  $V$  is the (simple) spin module for  $M$ .
2. 4 divides  $n$  and there exists an  $\mathbb{F}_2 M$ -submodule in  $W$  such that  $W$  and  $V/W$  spin modules for  $M$  and  $V/W \cong W^h$ , where  $h \in \text{Sym}(n) \setminus \text{Alt}(n)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $E = \langle 123 \rangle$  and  $B = AE \cong \text{Alt}(4)$  and for  $5 \leq i \leq n$  let  $D_i = C_M(\{1, 2, 3, 4, i\})$ . Then  $B \leq D_i$ ,  $D_i \cong \text{Alt}(5)$  and

$$(*) \quad M = \langle D_5, D_6, \dots, D_n \rangle.$$

Suppose there exists  $0 \neq w \in V$  with  $[w, B] = 0$ . Then  $\langle w^{D_i} \rangle$  is a quotient of the natural permutation module for  $D_i \cong \text{Alt}(5)$  over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ , and the quadratic action of  $A$  forces  $[w, D_i] = 0$ . So by (\*)  $[w, M] = 0$ , which contradicts  $C_V(M) = 0$ .

Thus  $C_V(B) = 0$ . Since  $B/A \cong E$  is a 2'-group,

$$C_V(A) = C_V(B) \oplus [C_V(A), B] = [C_V(A), B] = [C_V(A), E],$$

and so  $E$  acts fixed-point freely on  $C_V(A)$ . This result applied to the dual of  $V$  shows that  $E$  acts fixed-point freely on  $V/[V, A]$ . Since  $A$  is quadratic,  $[V, A] \leq C_V(A)$  and so  $E$  acts fixed-point freely on  $V$ . Now [Me, Theorem 2] shows that (1) or (2) holds.  $\square$

**Corollary 7.3.** *Let  $p = 2$  and  $M \cong \text{Alt}(6)$ . Suppose that all fours groups in  $M$  act quadratically on  $V$ . Then  $[V, M] = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $M = \text{O}^2(M)$  we may assume for a contradiction that  $V$  is a non-trivial simple module. By 7.2,  $(123)$  acts fix-point freely on  $V$ . Since there exists an automorphism of  $\text{Alt}(6)$  sending  $(123)$  to  $(123)(456)$ , the same results shows that  $(123)(456)$  acts fixpoint freely. So all non-trivial elements of order three in the non-cyclic abelian 3-group  $\langle (123), (456) \rangle$  act fixed-point freely on  $V$ , a contradiction to coprime action.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.4.** *Let  $p = 2$  and  $V$  be faithful and simple, and let  $A \leq M$  with  $[V, A, A] = 0$  and  $|A| > 2$ . Put  $L := F^*(M)$ . Suppose that  $M = \langle A^M \rangle$ ,  $L$  is quasisimple,  $Z(L) \neq 1$ , and  $L/Z(L) \cong \text{Alt}(n)$ ,  $n \geq 5$ . Then one of the following holds:*

1.  $M \sim 3.\text{Alt}(6)$  and  $|V| = 2^6$ .
2.  $M \sim 3.\text{Alt}(7)$ ,  $|V| = 2^{12}$ , and  $AZ(L)/Z(L)$  is conjugate to  $\langle (12)(34), (13)(24) \rangle$ .

*Proof.* Since  $V$  is a faithful simple  $M$ -module,  $O_2(M) = O_2(L) = 1$ . From [Gr] we get that  $n = 6$  or  $7$  and  $|Z(L)| = 3$ . Put  $Z := Z(L)$  and let  $\mathbb{F}$  be the subring of  $\text{End}(V)$  generated by the image of  $Z$  in  $\text{End}(V)$ . Then  $\mathbb{F}$  is a field of order four and  $M$  acts semilinear on the  $\mathbb{F}$ -module  $V$ . Now  $[V, A, A] = 0$  and  $|A| > 2$  imply that  $A$  acts  $\mathbb{F}$ -linearly on  $V$ , see for example [MS3, 2.15]. Thus  $[Z, A] = 1$  and  $Z = Z(M)$ . Hence  $M = L$  or  $M/Z \cong \text{Mat}_{10}$ . But  $M = \langle A^M \rangle$  is generated by involutions while  $\text{Mat}_{10}$  is not, so  $M = L$ . Since  $A$  is elementary abelian and  $|A| > 2$  we have  $|A| = 4$ .

Note that there are two conjugacy classes of fours groups in  $L$ . In any case we can choose a series of subgroups  $A \leq B \leq D \leq H \leq L$  with  $B \cong \text{Alt}(4)$ ,  $D \cong \text{Alt}(5)$  and  $H \sim 3.\text{Alt}(6)$ . Let  $E \in \text{Syl}_3(B)$ . Then  $E \cong C_3$  and  $B = AE$ . By Gaschütz' Theorem, the Sylow 3-subgroups of  $L$  are not abelian and so the subgroups  $E = E_1, E_2, E_3$  of order three in  $EZ$  other than  $Z$  are conjugate. Since  $Z$  acts fixed-point freely on  $V$  we have  $V = [V, Z] = \bigoplus_{i=1}^3 C_V(E_i)$  and so  $|V| = |C_V(E)|^3$ . In particular,  $C_V(E) \neq 0$ .

We claim that  $C_V(B) \neq 0$  or  $[V, B] \neq V$ . If  $C_V(E) \leq C_V(A)$ , then  $0 \neq C_V(E) \leq C_V(B)$ . So suppose  $C_V(E) \not\leq C_V(A)$  and put  $\bar{V} = V/C_V(A)$ . Then  $0 \neq C_V(E) \leq C_{\bar{V}}(E)$ . By coprime actions,  $\bar{V} = C_{\bar{V}}(E) \oplus [\bar{V}, E]$  and so  $\bar{V} \neq [\bar{V}, E]$ . Since  $A$  centralizes  $\bar{V}$ , this give  $\bar{V} \neq [\bar{V}, B]$  and so  $V \neq [V, B]$ , proving the claim. Note further that by 1.8(d)  $A$  is also quadratic on the dual module  $V^*$ . So replacing  $V$  by its dual, if necessary, we may assume that  $C_V(B) \neq 0$ .

Let  $W$  be 1-dimensional  $\mathbb{F}$ -subspace of  $C_V(B)$ . Then  $\langle W^D \rangle$  is a quotient of the natural permutation module for  $D \cong \text{Alt}(5)$  over  $\mathbb{F}$ . The quadratic action of  $A$  forces  $[W, D] = 0$ . Put  $U = \langle W^H \rangle$ . Then  $U \cong \hat{V}/\hat{X}$ , where  $\hat{V}$  is the  $\mathbb{F}H$ -module induced from the  $\mathbb{F}ZD$ -module  $W$  and  $\hat{X}$  is a  $\mathbb{F}H$ -submodule of  $\hat{V}$ . Note that  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \hat{V} = 6$ . Since  $A$  has a regular orbit on  $H/ZD$ ,  $A$  does not act quadratically on  $\hat{V}$ . Thus  $U \neq \hat{V}$ . Since  $H$  acts faithfully on  $\hat{V}/\hat{X}$  and on  $\hat{X}$  and since  $H$  has no faithful module of dimension less than 3, we conclude that  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \hat{V}/\hat{X} = 3 = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} \hat{X}$ .

If  $n = 6$ , then  $H = L$ ,  $V = U$  and (1) holds. So suppose that  $n = 7$ . Choose a transitive action of  $L$  on  $I := \{1, \dots, 7\}$ . Suppose first that  $A$  has an orbit  $J$  on  $I$  with  $|J| = 2$ . Put  $K := C_L(J)'$ . Then  $K \cong \text{Alt}(5)$  and  $AK \cong \text{Sym}(5)$ . Note that  $K$  is contained in a conjugate of  $H$  and that all composition factors for  $\mathbb{F}H$  on  $V$  are 3-dimensional. It follows that all non-trivial composition factor for  $\mathbb{F}K$  on  $V$  are 2-dimensional. Since  $A \cap K \neq 1$ , the quadratic action of  $A$  in  $V$  shows that also the non-trivial composition factors for  $\mathbb{F}KA$  on  $V$  are 2-dimensional, a contradiction since  $|KA| > |K| = |\text{SL}_2(4)|$ .

Thus  $A$  has no orbits of length 2 and so  $A$  has three fixed-points on  $I$ . Then  $D$  has two fixed-points, say  $i$  and  $j$ . Put  $D^* := O_2'(N_L(\{i, j\}))$ . Then  $D^* \cong \text{Sym}(5)$  and  $D \trianglelefteq D^*$ . Recall from above that  $W$  is a 1-dimensional subspace of  $C_V(D)$ , so  $C_V(D) \neq 0$  and thus also  $C_V(D^*) \neq 0$ . Hence we may and do choose  $W$  such that  $[W, D^*] = 0$ . For  $k \neq l \in I$  and  $g \in G$  with  $\{k, l\} = \{i, j\}^g$  put  $W_{kl} = W_{lk} = W^g$ . Since  $N_L(\{i, j\}) = ZD^* \leq N_L(W)$ ,  $W_{kl}$  is well-defined. Let  $i$  be the fixed-point of  $H$ . Since  $\langle W^H \rangle$  is 3-dimensional and  $H$  acts triple transitively on  $\{W_{ij} \mid j \in I \setminus i\}$  we conclude that for any distinct  $a, b, c, d \in I$ ,  $\langle W^H \rangle = W_{ab} + W_{ac} + W_{ad}$ . Since  $V = \langle W^L \rangle$  is now easy to see that  $V = \langle W_{kl} \mid 1 \leq k < l \leq 4 \rangle$ . Thus  $V$  is at most 6-dimensional. By the action of  $H$  on  $V$ ,  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} V$  is a multiple of 3, so  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} V = 3$  or  $6$ . Since  $\frac{|\text{L}_3(4)|}{|\text{Alt}(7)|} = 8$  and  $\text{L}_3(4) \not\cong \text{Alt}(8)$ ,  $\text{Alt}(7)$  is not involved in  $\text{L}_3(4)$ . We conclude that  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} V > 3$  and so  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} V = 6$ , and (2) holds.  $\square$



We remark that  $3.\text{Alt}(7)$  has indeed a 6-dimensional quadratic module over  $\mathbb{F}_4$ . One way to see this is to use the embedding  $3.\text{Alt}(7) \leq 3.\text{Mat}_{22} \leq \text{SU}_6(2)$  (thanks to J. Hall for pointing out this embedding to us): Consider the block normalizer  $P \sim 3.2^4.\text{Alt}(6)$  in  $3.\text{Mat}_{22}$ . Then  $P$  has a unique proper submodule on  $\mathbb{F}_4^6$ , namely a 3-dimensional one. In particular,  $\text{O}_2(P)$  acts quadratically.  $\text{Alt}(7)$  has orbits of length 7 and 15 on the 22 points. Any three points from the 7 lie in a unique block and so we can choose  $P$  to intersect  $3.\text{Alt}(7)$  in  $B \sim 3.(\text{Alt}(4) \times \text{Alt}(3)).2$ . It follows that  $\text{O}_2(B) \leq \text{O}_2(P)$  and so  $\text{O}_2(B)$  is a quadratic fours group.

**Lemma 7.5.** *Let  $M = \text{Alt}(n)$  or  $\text{Sym}(n)$ ,  $n \geq 5$ ,  $n \neq 6, 8$ , and  $V$  be a simple spin module for  $\mathbb{F}_2M$ . Suppose that  $A$  is a maximal quadratic subgroup of  $M$  on  $V$  with  $|A| > 2$ . Then  $|V| = |\text{C}_V(A)|^2$  and  $[V, a] = [V, A] = \text{C}_V(A) = \text{C}_V(a)$  for all  $1 \neq a \in A$ . Moreover, one of the following holds:*

1.  $A$  is conjugate to  $\langle (12)(34), (13)(24) \rangle$ .
2.  $M \cong \text{Alt}(9)$ ,  $|A| = 8$ ,  $|A|$  has a regular orbit of length 8 on  $\{1, 2, \dots, 9\}$  and, up to conjugation,  $A$  is unique in  $M$ , with the conjugacy class depending on the isomorphism type of  $V$ .

*Proof.* Let  $I = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  with  $M$  acting transitively on  $I$ . Let  $K \leq M$  with  $K \cong \text{Alt}(5)$  and  $K$  fixing  $n - 5$  points of  $I$ . Then  $V$  is a direct sum of natural  $\text{SL}_2(4)$ -modules. From this we get for  $B \in \text{Syl}_2(K)$ :  $B$  is a quadratic fours group, and

$$|V| = |\text{C}_V(B)|^2 \text{ and } [V, b] = [V, B] = \text{C}_V(B) = \text{C}_V(b) \text{ for all } 1 \neq b \in B.$$

Moreover, the non-trivial elements of odd order in  $K$  act fixed-point-freely on  $V$ .

Let  $1 \neq z \in B$  and let  $D$  be a quadratic subgroup with  $z \in D$ . Then  $\text{C}_V(B) = \text{C}_V(z) = \text{C}_V(D)$  and so  $DB$  is quadratic. In particular,  $DB$  is elementary abelian.

Let  $W$  be a simple  $\mathbb{F}_2M'$ -submodule of  $V$ . Since  $A \cap M' \neq 1$ , then  $0 \neq [W, A \cap M'] \leq \text{C}_W(A)$ . Thus  $A$  normalizes  $W$ .

If  $n = 5$  or  $7$  then all involutions in  $M'$  are conjugate. Thus we may assume that  $z \in A$ . If  $n = 5$ , then  $A \leq \text{C}_M(B) = B$ . If  $n = 7$ , then  $\text{Sym}(7)$  does not act on  $W$  and so  $A \leq M'$ . Also  $B$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $\text{C}_{M'}(B)$  and again  $A \leq B$ . So the lemma holds for  $n = 5$  and  $7$ .

Suppose next that  $n \geq 9$ . As in Section 4 of [MeSt2] define  $L_z := \text{O}^2(\text{C}_M(z))$  and  $A_z := \text{O}_2(\text{C}_L(z))$ . Moreover, for  $t \in M$  with  $|t| = 2$  let  $K_t$  be the subgroup generated by the quadratic subgroups of  $M$  containing  $t$ . Observe that  $[V, t, K_t] = 0$ , so every fours group of  $K_t$  containing  $t$  is quadratic on  $V$ . Note further that  $A_z = B$  and  $L_z \cong \text{Alt}(n - 4)$ .

According to [MeSt2, Lemma (4.3)] we have that  $L_z \not\leq K_z$ . Since  $K_z \trianglelefteq \text{C}_M(z)$  and  $L_z$  is simple this implies  $[L_z, K_z] = 1$ . Since  $B = \text{C}_M(L_z)$  we conclude that  $K_z \leq B$ .

If  $z \in A$  we conclude that  $A = B$ , and case (1) of the lemma holds. So suppose  $z^M \cap A = \emptyset$ . Let  $1 \neq a \in A$ . Then  $A \leq K_a$ . If  $z \in K_a$ , then by the above observation,  $a \in K_z = B$  and so  $a \in z^M$ , contrary to the assumption. Thus  $z^M \cap K_a = \emptyset$ .

Let  $k := |\text{C}_I(a)|$ ,  $J = I \setminus \text{C}_I(a)$  and  $m := \frac{|J|}{2}$ . We now choose  $1 \neq a \in M' \cap A$  and so  $m$  is even and  $m \geq 4$ . Let  $D$  be the largest subgroup of  $M'$  which has the same orbits as  $a$  on  $I$ . Put  $X = \text{C}_M(I \setminus J)$  and  $Y = \text{C}_M(J)$ . Then  $D$  is elementary abelian of order  $2^{m-1}$  and  $Y \leq \text{C}_M(a)$ . Suppose that  $Y \cap A \neq 1$  and let  $1 \neq b \in A \cap Y$ . Then  $\text{Alt}(J) \cong \langle a^{\text{C}_M(b)} \rangle \leq K_b$  and  $z^M \cap K_b \neq 1$ , a contradiction. Thus  $A \cap Y = 1$  and  $A \not\leq \langle a \rangle Y$ . In particular,  $K_a \not\leq \langle a \rangle Y$ . Since  $D \cap z^M \neq \emptyset$  we have  $D \not\leq K_a$ . Also  $D = [D, X] = [DY, X]$  and so  $D \not\leq K_a Y$  and  $DY \cap K_a Y = \langle a \rangle Y$ .

Hence  $DY/\langle a \rangle Y$  is not the only minimal normal subgroup of  $\text{C}_M(a)/\langle a \rangle Y$ . Since

$$\text{C}_M(a)/\langle a \rangle Y \sim 2^{m-1}\text{Sym}(m) \text{ or } 2^{m-2}\text{Sym}(m)$$

(with  $k \leq 1$  and  $M = \text{Alt}(n)$  in the latter case) we conclude that  $m = 4$ ,  $C_M(a)/\langle a \rangle Y \sim 2^2\text{Sym}(4)$  and  $M \cong \text{Alt}(9)$ . Moreover,  $|K_a/\langle a \rangle| = 4$  and  $C_M(a)$  acts transitively on  $(K_a/\langle a \rangle)^\sharp$ . Thus  $K_a$  is elementary abelian of order 8 and since  $K_a \cap z^M = \emptyset$ ,  $K_a$  acts regularly on  $J$ . It follows that  $N_M(K_a)$  acts transitively on  $K_a^\sharp$ . Since  $[V, a, K_a] = 0$  we conclude that  $K_a$  acts quadratically on  $V$ . Thus  $A = K_a$  by the maximality of  $A$ . In particular,  $A$  is unique up to conjugacy. Also if  $t \in C_{\text{Sym}(9)}(a) \setminus \text{Alt}(8)$ , then  $A^t \neq A = K_a$ . So  $A^t$  will not act quadratically on  $V$ , and  $A^M$  depends on the isomorphism type of  $V$ . Let  $F \in \text{Syl}_5(K)$ . As seen above  $F$  acts fixed-point freely on  $V$ , and  $F$  is inverted by a conjugate of  $a$ . Thus  $C_V(a) = [V, a]$  and the quadratic action of  $A$  forces  $C_V(a) = [V, A] = C_V(A)$ ; in particular  $|V| = |C_V(a)|^2$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 7.6.** *Let  $M = G_2(2)$  or  $G_2(2)'$ , and let  $V$  be a non-trivial simple  $\mathbb{F}_2M$ -module. Suppose there exists  $A \leq M$  with  $|A| > 2$  and  $[V, A, A] = 0$ . Then  $V$  is a natural  $G_2(2)$ - and  $G_2(2)'$ -module, respectively.*

*Proof.* Since  $|A| > 2$ , there exists  $1 \neq z \in A \cap M'$ , and since  $M'$  has a unique class of involutions,  $z$  is 2-central. Put  $P_1 := C_M(z)$ , let  $S \in \text{Syl}_2(P_1)$ , and let  $P_2$  be the other minimal parabolic subgroup containing  $S$ . Suppose for a contradiction that  $C_V(P_2) = 0$ .

Let  $\Gamma = P_1^G \cup P_2^G$  be the generalized hexagon associated to  $M$ . Let  $(P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4)$  be a path of length 4 in  $\Gamma$ . Put  $Z := \langle z \rangle$ . Then

$$Z \leq P_4, Z \not\leq O_2(P_4), T := ZO_2(P_4) \in \text{Syl}_2(P_4), \text{ and } P_4 = \langle Z^{P_4} \rangle O_2(P_4).$$

Since  $C_V(P_2) = 0$  and  $P_2$  and  $P_4$  are conjugate, we also have  $C_V(P_4) = 0$ , so

$$X := [C_V(O_2(P_4)), Z] \neq 0.$$

Note that  $T$  centralizes  $X$ , and since  $T$  is a maximal subgroup of  $P_4$ ,  $C_{P_4}(X) = T$ . Since  $P_4$  and  $P_3$  are the only maximal subgroups of  $M$  containing  $T$ , it follows that  $C_M(X) \leq P_3$ . From  $Z \leq A$  and  $[V, A, A] = 0$  we get  $A \leq C_M(X) = P_3$ . So  $A$  fixes all vertices of distance two from  $P_1$ . But the stabilizer in  $P_1$  of these vertices is cyclic, a contradiction since  $|A| > 2$  and  $A$  is elementary abelian.

Thus  $C_V(P_2) \neq 0$ . Let  $M \leq M^*$  with  $M^* \cong G_2(2)$ , and let  $V^*$  be a simple quotient of the induced  $\mathbb{F}_2M^*$ -module  $V^{M^*}$  and identify  $V$  with its image in  $V^*$ . Let  $S^* \in \text{Syl}_2(M^*)$  with  $S \leq S^*$ . Put  $P_i^* = P_i S^*$ . Since  $|P_2^*/P_2| \leq 2$  we get that  $C_{V^*}(P_2^*) \neq 0$ . By Smith's lemma 4.2  $V_i := C_{V^*}(O_2(P_i^*))$  is a simple  $P_i^*$ -module. It follows that  $V_2 = C_V(P_2^*) = C_V(S^*)$  has order two,  $C_{V^*}(P_1^*) = 0$ , and  $V_1$  is the unique non-trivial simple  $P_1^*/O_2(P_1^*)$ -module, namely the natural  $\text{SL}_2(2)$ -module. Thus by Ronan-Smith's Lemma 4.3  $V^*$  is uniquely determined, and so  $V^*$  is the natural  $G_2(2)$ -module for  $M^*$ . Hence  $V = V^*$  and the lemma is proved.  $\square$

**Remark 7.7.** *Let  $L := F^*(M)$  and suppose that  $O_2(M) = 1$ ,  $L$  is quasisimple and  $L/Z(L) \cong U_4(3)$ . Let  $\bar{M} = M/Z(L)$ ,  $S \in \text{Syl}_2(M)$ , and  $Z = \Omega_1 Z(S)$ . In the following we use some information about the structure of  $M$  which can be found for example in [ATLAS]. More precisely we use the following facts:*

*There exists exactly two elementary abelian subgroups  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  of order  $2^4$  in  $S$ , and for*

$$P_1 = C_L(Z), Q_1 := O_2(P_1), P_2 := N_L(Q_2), \text{ and } P_3 := N_L(Q_3)$$

*the following hold:*

(a) *For  $i = 1, 2, 3$ ,  $\bar{P}_i$  is a maximal subgroup of  $\bar{M}$  and has characteristic 2.*

- (b)  $\overline{P}_1/\overline{Q}_1 \cong \text{Sym}(3) \times \text{Sym}(3)$ ,  $Q_1$  is extraspecial of order  $2^5$ , and  $Q_1/Z$  is a simple  $P_1$ -module.
- (c) For  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $\overline{P}_i/\overline{Q}_i \cong \text{Alt}(6)$ , and  $Q_i$  is a natural  $\text{Alt}(6)$ -module for  $P_i$ .
- (d) All involutions in  $L$  are conjugate.
- (e) Suppose in addition that  $|Z(L)| = 3$ ,  $M \neq L$ ,  $[Z(L), M] = 1$ ,  $M = N_M(Q_2)L$ , and that  $N_M(Q_2)$  induces inner automorphisms on  $\overline{P}_2/\overline{Q}_2$ . Put  $P_i^* = N_M(Q_i)$  and  $Q_i^* = O_2(P_i^*)$ . Then
  - (a)  $M$  is unique up to isomorphism and  $|M/L| = 2$ .
  - (b)  $M$  has two classes of involutions in  $M \setminus L$  with representatives  $a$  and  $b$  in  $Q_2$  such that  $C_{\overline{L}}(a) \cong U_4(2)$  and  $C_{\overline{L}}(b) \sim 2^4 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 2^2$ .
  - (c)  $P_2^*/Q_2^* \cong 3 \cdot \text{Alt}(6)$ , and  $Q_2^*$  is the dual of the natural  $\Omega_5(2)$ -module for  $P_2^*$ .
  - (d)  $Q_3^* = Q_2$  and  $P_2^*/Q_2 \cong C_3 \times \text{Sym}(6)$ .

**Lemma 7.8.** Let  $p = 2$  and  $V$  be faithful  $\mathbb{F}_2M$ -module, and let  $Z \leq M$  with  $|Z| = 2$ . Suppose that

- (i)  $M$  is quasisimple,  $O_2(M) = 1$  and  $M/Z(M) \cong U_4(3)$ .
- (ii)  $C_M([V, Z]) \not\leq Z$ .
- (iii)  $C_V(M) = 0$ ,  $V = [V, M]$  and  $V$  is indecomposable, that is,  $V$  is not the sum of two proper (non-zero)  $\mathbb{F}_2M$ -submodules.

Put  $P_1 := N_M(Z)$  and  $Q_1 := O_2(P_1)$ , and let  $S \in \text{Syl}_2(P_1)$  and  $Q_i$ ,  $i = 2, 3$ , be the two elementary abelian subgroup of order 16 in  $S$ . Put  $P_i := N_M(Q_i)$ ,  $L_i := O_2'(P_i)$ ,  $L_{12} := \langle Q_3^{P_1} \rangle$ ,  $L_{13} := \langle Q_2^{P_1} \rangle$ , and  $\mathbb{F} := \text{End}_M(V)$ . Then we can choose  $\{i, j\} = \{2, 3\}$  such that the following hold :

- (a)  $V$  is a simple  $M$ -module,  $|\mathbb{F}| = 4$  and  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} V = 6$ .
- (b)  $C_V(L_i) = 0$  and  $C_V(L_j) \neq 0$ .
- (c)  $V$  is uniquely determined as a  $\mathbb{F}_2M$ -module.<sup>3</sup>
- (d) There exists a non-degenerate  $M$ -invariant unitary  $\mathbb{F}$ -form on  $V$ .
- (e)  $Q_1 \leq L_{1k}$ ,  $L_{1k}/Q_1 \cong \text{Sym}(3)$ ,  $k = 2, 3$ , and  $L_1/Q_1 = L_{12}/Q_1 \times L_{13}/Q_1 \cong \text{Sym}(3) \times \text{Sym}(3)$ .
- (f)  $L_{1j} = C_M([V, Z])$ ,  $C_V(Z) = [V, Q_1] = [V, L_{1j}]$  and  $[V, Z] = C_V(Q_1) = C_V(L_{1j})$ .
- (g)  $1 \leq [V, Z] \leq C_V(Z_1) \leq V$  is the unique chiefseries for  $P_1$  on  $V$ , each of the factors is 2-dimensional over  $\mathbb{F}$ ,  $L_{1i}$  centralizes  $C_V(Z)/[V, Z]$  and  $L_{1j}$  centralizes  $[V, Z]$  and  $V/[V, Z]$ .
- (h)  $P_i = L_i$  and  $L_i/Q_i$  is quasisimple of shape  $3 \cdot \text{Alt}(6)$ .
- (i)  $Q_i$  acts quadratically on  $V$  and  $C_V(Q_i) = [V, Q_i]$ .
- (j)  $1 \leq [V, Q_i] \leq V$  is the unique chiefseries for  $P_i$  on  $V$ , each of the factors is 3-dimensional over  $\mathbb{F}$  and faithful for  $P_i/Q_i$ . Moreover,  $V/[V, Q_i]$  is as an  $\mathbb{F}_2P_i$ -module isomorphic to the dual of  $[V, Q_i]$ .
- (k)  $L_j/Q_j$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Alt}(6)$ .

<sup>3</sup>Note that  $3^2 \cdot U_4(3)$  has two quotients isomorphic to  $M$  and so has two modules which fulfill the hypothesis of this lemma, except that the modules are not faithful.

(l)  $C_V(S) = C_V(Q_j) = C_V(L_j)$  and  $[V, S] = [V, Q_j] = [V, L_j]$ .

(m)  $1 \leq C_V(Q_j) \leq [V, Q_j] \leq V$  is the unique chiefseries for  $P_j$  on  $V$ , where  $C_V(Q_j)$  and  $V/[V, Q_j]$  are 1-dimensional over  $\mathbb{F}$  and centralized by  $L_j$  while  $[V, Q_j]/C_V(Q_j)$  is a 4-dimensional natural  $\mathbb{F}\text{Alt}(6)$ -module for  $L_j$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\overline{M} := M/Z(M)$ ,  $\{k, l\} = \{2, 3\}$  and  $P_{1k} := P_1 \cap P_k$ .

1°.  $V$  is an homogeneous  $\mathbb{F}_2 Z(M)$ -module and  $Z(M)$  is cyclic.

Since  $O_2(M) = 1$ ,  $Z(M)$  is an abelian  $2'$ -group. Thus  $V$  is a semisimple  $\mathbb{F}_2 Z(M)$ -module. Since  $V$  is indecomposable, we conclude that  $V$  is an homogeneous  $\mathbb{F}_2 Z(M)$  module and so  $Z(M)$  is cyclic. Thus (1°) holds.

In the following we will only use (1°) but no longer that  $V$  is indecomposable. Moreover, we make use of the properties listed in 7.7.

2°.  $[V, Z, Q_1] = 0$ .

By (1°)  $Z(M) \cap C_M([V, Z]) = 1$  and so by (ii)  $\overline{C_M([V, Z])} \not\leq \overline{Z}$ . Note that  $\overline{P_1}/\overline{Q_1} \cong \text{Sym}(3) \times \text{Sym}(3)$ ,  $\overline{Q_1}$  is extra special of order  $2^5$  and  $\overline{P_1}$  acts simply on  $\overline{Q_1}/\overline{Z}$ . Hence  $\overline{Q_1}/\overline{Z}$  is the unique minimal normal subgroup of  $\overline{P_1}$  and we conclude that  $\overline{Q_1} \leq \overline{C_M([V, Z])}$ . Thus  $Q_1 \leq C_M([V, Z])$  and (2°) holds.

3°.  $[V, Q_k, Q_k, L_k] = 1$ .

Observe that  $\overline{P_k}/\overline{Q_k} \cong \text{Alt}(6)$ ,  $\overline{C_M(\overline{Q_k})} = \overline{Q_k}$  and  $\overline{Q_k}$  is a natural  $\text{Alt}(6)$ -module for  $\overline{P_k}$ . Since  $P_{1k} = N_{P_k}(Z)$  we conclude that  $\overline{P_{1k}}/\overline{O_2(\overline{P_{1k}})} \cong \text{Sym}(3)$  and  $[Q_k, P_{1k}]$  is a hyperplane of  $Q_k$ . The structure of  $P_1$  shows that  $[O_2(P_{1k}), P_{1k}] \leq Q_1$  and so  $[Q_k, P_{1k}] \leq Q_1$  and  $|Q_k/Q_k \cap Q_1| \leq 2$ . In particular,  $P_{1k}$  normalizes  $[V, Z, Q_1 Q_k]$ , and by (2°)  $[V, Z, Q_1 Q_k] = [V, Z, Q_k]$ .

Note that  $Q_1$  does not contain an elementary abelian subgroup of order  $2^4$ . So  $Q_k \not\leq Q_1$  and  $Q_1 \cap Q_k = [Q_k, P_{1k}]$ . Pick  $g \in P_k$  with  $Q_k = (Q_1 \cap Q_k)Z^g$ . Then by (2°)

$$[V, Z, Q_k] = [V, Z, (Q_1 \cap Q_k)Z^g] = [V, Z, Z^g] \leq [V, Z^g] \leq C_V(Q_1^g).$$

It follows that  $[V, Z, Q_k]$  is normalized by  $\langle P_{1k}, Q_k^g \rangle = P_k$ . Thus  $[V, Z, Q_k] = [V, \langle Z^{P_k}, Q_k \rangle] = [V, Q_k, Q_k]$  and  $[V, Q_k, Q_k]$  is centralized by  $\langle Q_1^{gP_k} \rangle = L_k$ .

4°.  $[C_V(Q_k), Q_1, Q_1] = 0$ .

Let  $h \in P_1 \setminus P_1 \cap P_k$ . Then  $Q_1 = (Q_1 \cap Q_k)(Q_1 \cap Q_k^h)$ . Since  $Q_1$  normalizes  $C_V(Q_k)$ , (3°) implies  $[C_V(Q_k), Q_1, Q_1] = [C_V(Q_k), (Q_1 \cap Q_k^h), (Q_1 \cap Q_k^h)] \leq C_V(Q_k) \cap [V, Q_k, Q_k]^h \leq C_V(Q_k) \cap C_V(L_k^h)$ .

Since  $\overline{L_k}$  is a maximal subgroup of  $\overline{M}$  and  $Q_k \not\leq L_k^h$  we have  $M = \langle Q_k, L_k^h \rangle$ . So

$$C_V(Q_1) \cap C_V(Q_k) \leq C_V(M) = 0,$$

and (4°) is proved.

In the next step we regard  $Q_k$  is a 4-dimensional symplectic space for  $\overline{L_k}/\overline{Q_K} \cong \text{Sp}_4(2)'$ .

5°.  $|Q_k Q_l / Q_k| = 4$  and  $Q_k Q_l \neq Q_k Q_1$ . Moreover,  $Q_k \cap Q_l$  is a singular subgroup of order 4 in  $Q_k$  (and  $Q_l$ ), and  $Q_k \cap Q_l$  acts quadratically on  $V$ .

Since  $Q_l$  is elementary abelian of order  $2^4$  and no element in  $L_k$  acts as a transvection on  $Q_k$ ,

$$|Q_k Q_l / Q_k| = |Q_l \cap Q_k| = 4, \quad Q_k \cap Q_l = [Q_k, Q_l] = C_{Q_k}(Q_l).$$

Hence 3.2(c) shows that  $Q_l \cap Q_k$  is a singular subspace of  $Q_k$ . Moreover,  $Z \leq Q_k \cap Q_l \leq Q_k \cap Q_1$  and so by (2°),  $[V, Z, Q_k \cap Q_l] = 1$ . Since  $|Q_k \cap Q_l| = 4$  and  $Z \leq Q_k \cap Q_l$ , this shows that  $Q_k \cap Q_l$  is quadratic on  $V$ , and (5°) holds.

6°.  $[C_V(Q_k), Q_l, Q_l] = 1$

By (5°)  $Q_l = (Q_l \cap Q_k)(Q_l \cap Q_k)^g$  for a suitable  $g \in P_l$  and  $(Q_l \cap Q_k)^g$  acts quadratically on  $V$ . Thus

$$[C_V(Q_k), Q_l, Q_l] = [C_V(Q_k), (Q_l \cap Q_k)^g, (Q_l \cap Q_k)^g] = 0,$$

and (6°) holds.

Since  $C_V(M) = 0$ ,  $M = \langle L_2, L_3 \rangle$  and  $C_V(S) \leq C_V(Q_2) \cap C_V(Q_3)$  we can choose  $i \in \{2, 3\}$  such that  $[C_V(Q_i), L_i] \neq 0$ . Let  $\{2, 3\} = \{i, j\}$ .

7°.  $P_i = L_i$ ,  $Z(M) = Z(L_i) \cong C_3$ .  $L_i/Q_i$  is quasisimple of shape  $3 \cdot \text{Alt}(6)$  and  $C_V(L_i) = 0$ .

By (4°), (5°), (6°) all the four groups in  $L_i/Q_i$  act quadratically on  $C_V(Q_i)$ . Since  $[C_V(Q_i), L_i] \neq 0$ , 7.3 shows that  $L_i/Q_i \not\cong \text{Alt}(6)$ . Hence  $Z(M) \cap L_i \neq 1$ . By [Gr] and since  $Z(M)$  is a cyclic  $2'$ -group,  $Z(M) \cong C_3$  and so  $Z(M) \leq L_i$ . So  $P_i = L_i$ , and  $C_V(L_i) \leq C_V(Z(M)) = 0$ . Thus  $L_i/Q_i$  is quasisimple of shape  $3 \cdot \text{Alt}(6)$ , and (7°) is proved.

In particular, (h) holds.

8°.  $Q_i$  acts quadratically on  $V$ .

By (3°) and (7°),  $[V, Q_k, Q_k] \leq C_V(L_k) = 0$ .

9°.  $[C_V(Q_i), Q_j] \leq C_V(L_j) = C_V(Q_j)$  and  $L_j/Q_j \cong \text{Alt}(6)$ ; in particular  $C_V(L_j) \neq 0$ .

Let  $g \in L_j$  with  $Z^g \not\leq Q_i \cap Q_j$ . Then  $Z^g \leq L_i$  and  $Z^g \not\leq Q_i$ . Since  $L_i/Q_i$  is quasisimple,  $L_i = \langle Z^{gL_i} \rangle Q_i$  and so  $[C_V(Q_i), Z^g] \neq 0$ . On the other hand  $[C_V(Q_i), Z^g]$  is centralized by  $\langle Q_i, Q_1^g \rangle = L_j$  and we conclude that  $0 \neq [C_V(Q_i), Q_j] \leq C_V(L_j)$ . In particular,  $Z(M) \not\leq L_j$  and so  $L_j/Q_j \cong \text{Alt}(6)$ .

Thus  $C_V(L_j) \neq 0$ . If  $[C_V(Q_j), L_j] \neq 0$  we could apply (7°) to  $j$  in place of  $i$  and conclude that  $C_V(L_j) = 0$ , a contradiction. Thus  $[C_V(Q_j), L_j] = 0$  and (9°) holds.

In particular, (k) holds. Since  $C_V(L_j) \neq 0$ , (b) is proved.

10°.  $V = \langle C_V(L_j)^M \rangle$ .

By (9°)  $[C_V(Q_i), Q_j] \leq C_V(L_j)$ . It follows that

$$[C_V(Q_i), L_i] = [C_V(Q_i), \langle Q_j^{L_j} \rangle] \leq \langle C_V(L_j)^{L_i} \rangle.$$

On the other hand, by (7°)  $Z(M) \leq Z(L_i)$ , so by (1°)  $L_i$  does not have any central chief factor in  $C_V(Q_i)$ . Hence  $C_V(Q_i) = \langle C_V(L_j)^{L_i} \rangle$ .

Since  $V = [V, M]$  and  $M = \langle Q_i^M \rangle$ ,  $V = \langle [V, Q_i]^M \rangle$ . As  $Q_i$  acts quadratically we conclude that  $V = \langle C_V(Q_i)^M \rangle$ , and as  $C_V(Q_i) = \langle C_V(L_j)^{L_i} \rangle$ , this gives (10°).

11°.  $C_V(L_1) = 0$ .

By (9°)  $C_V(L_1) \leq C_V(L_j)$ . Since  $C_V(M) = 0$  and  $M = \langle L_1, L_j \rangle$ , (11°) follows.

**12°.**  $[V, Z, L_{1j}] = 0$ ,  $L_{1k}Q_k = O^{2'}(P_1 \cap P_k)$ , and (e) holds.

Put  $P^* := C_{P_1}([V, Z])$ . Since  $P_1$  normalizes  $[V, Z]$ ,  $P^* \trianglelefteq P_1$ . Moreover, by (11°)  $L_j \leq C_M([V, Z] \cap C_V(S))$  and so  $C_M([V, Z] \cap C_V(S)) \leq P_j$ , since  $\bar{L}_j$  is a maximal subgroup of  $\bar{M}$ . It follows that  $P^* \leq P_1 \cap P_j$ .

Since  $Q_i$  acts quadratically on  $V$  and  $Z \leq Q_i$ ,  $[V, Z, Q_i] = 0$ . Hence  $L_{1j} = \langle Q_i^{P_1} \rangle \leq P^*$ , so  $[V, Z, L_{1j}] = 0$ . Moreover, since  $L_{1j} \trianglelefteq P_1$ , and  $P_1$  acts simply on  $Q_1/Z$ , also  $Q_1 \leq L_{1j}$ . Since  $L_j \cap P_1/Q_j \cong \text{Sym}(4)$  and  $L_{1j} = \langle Q_i^{L_{1j}} \rangle$ , we conclude that  $L_{1j}/Q_1 \cong \text{Sym}(3)$  and  $L_{1j}Q_j = O^{2'}(P_1 \cap P_j)$ . In particular  $[L_{1j}, Q_j] \leq Q_1$  and so  $[L_{1j}, L_{1i}] \leq Q_1$ . Hence also  $L_{1i}/O_2(L_{ij}) \cong \text{Sym}(3)$  and again by the simple action of  $P_1$  on  $Q_1/Z$ ,  $O_2(L_{1i}) = Q_1$ . In addition,  $P_{1i} \leq N_{P_1}(Q_i)$  and so  $L_{1i} = O^{2'}(P_1 \cap P_i)$  since by (7°)  $P_1 \cap P_i/Q_i \cong C_3 \times \text{Sym}(4)$ . Hence (12°) and (e) has been proved.

**13°.** Let  $\mathbb{E}$  be the subring of  $\mathbb{F}$  generated by the image of  $Z(M)$ . Then  $\mathbb{E} \cong \mathbb{F}_4$  and  $[V, Z]$  is a direct sum of 2-dimensional simple  $\mathbb{E}L_1$ -modules.

Since  $Z(M) \cong C_3$ ,  $\mathbb{E} \cong \mathbb{F}_4$ . The second statement follows from (12°) (and (e)) since  $L_{1j} = C_{L_1}([V, Z])$ ,  $C_V(L_1) = 0$  and  $L_1/L_{1j} \cong \text{Sym}(3)$ .

Let  $U_j$  be a 1-dimensional  $\mathbb{E}$ -subspace of  $C_V(L_j)$ . In the following we use the fact that (e) has already been proved, so we know that  $L_{1j} = C_{L_1}([V, Z]) \trianglelefteq P_1$  and

$$L_1/Q_1 = L_{12}/Q_1 \times L_{13}/Q_1 \cong \text{Sym}(3) \times \text{Sym}(3);$$

in particular  $L_1/C_{L_1}([V, Z]) \cong \text{Sym}(3)$ .

Put  $U_1 := \langle U_j^{P_1} \rangle$  and  $U_i := \langle U_j^{P_i} \rangle$ , so  $[U_j, L_{1j}] = 0$  since  $L_{1j} \leq L_j$ , and

$$U_1 = \langle U_j^{L_{1i}} \rangle = \langle U_j^{P_1 \cap P_i} \rangle$$

since  $U_j$  is an  $\mathbb{E}$ -space. As  $L_1/C_{L_1}([V, Z]) \cong \text{Sym}(3)$  and  $C_V(L_1) = 0$  we conclude that  $\dim_{\mathbb{E}} U_1 = 2$ . Since  $P_i \cap L_j$  centralizes  $U_j$  and  $U_1 = \langle U_j^{P_i \cap P_1} \rangle$ , (7°) and 5.4 imply that  $\dim_{\mathbb{E}} U_i = 3$ . In particular,

$$U_i = \langle U_1^{P_i \cap P_j} \rangle.$$

Put  $W_1 := \langle U_i^{L_1} \rangle$  and  $W_j := \langle U_1^{L_j} \rangle$ . Since  $[U_i, L_{1i}] \leq U_1$  and  $L_{1i} \trianglelefteq L_1$  we have

$$[W_1, L_{1i}] \leq U_1 \text{ and } W_1 = \langle U_i^{L_{1j}} \rangle = \langle \langle U_1^{P_i \cap P_j} \rangle^{L_{1j}} \rangle \leq W_j.$$

Put  $Y_j := C_{W_j}(L_j)$  and  $\bar{W}_j := W_j/U_j$ . Then  $\dim_{\mathbb{E}} \bar{U}_1 = 1$ ,  $\dim_{\mathbb{E}} \bar{U}_i = 2$ , and  $\bar{U}_i = \langle \bar{U}_1^{P_i \cap L_j} \rangle$ . Thus, we can apply 5.4 (and (9°)) with  $U = \bar{U}_1$ . This shows that  $\bar{W}_j/C_{\bar{W}_j}(L_j)$  is a natural  $\mathbb{E}\text{Alt}(6)$ -module and  $C_{\bar{W}_j}(L_j) \leq \langle \bar{U}_i^{L_{1j}} \rangle = \bar{W}_1$ ; in particular  $\dim_{\mathbb{E}} \bar{W}_j/C_{\bar{W}_j}(L_j) = 4$ . Since  $L_j = O^2(L_j)$  and  $[U_j, L_j] = 0$ , we also have  $C_{\bar{W}_j}(L_j) = \bar{Y}_j$ .

Since  $Y_j \leq W_1$   $[Y_j, L_{1i}] \leq [W_1, L_{1i}] \leq U_1$ . From  $L_{1i}L_{1j} = L_1$  we conclude that  $[Y_j U_1, L_1] \leq U_1$ . Note that  $[Y_j U_1, Q_1] = 0$  and  $O^2(L_1)/Q_1$  is a 2'-group. So coprime action implies

$$Y_j U_1 = C_{Y_j U_1}(O^2(L_1))[Y_j U_1, O^2(L_1)].$$

Since  $C_V(L_1) = 0$  also  $C_V(O^2(L_1)) = 0$  and so  $Y_j U_1 = U_1$ . Thus  $Y_j \leq C_{U_1}(Q_j) = U_j$ . Hence  $\dim_{\mathbb{E}} W_j/U_j = 4$  and since  $W_1 \leq W_j$ ,  $\dim_{\mathbb{E}} W_1/U_1 = 2$ . It follows that  $\dim_{\mathbb{E}} W_j/W_1 = 1$  and  $W_j = \langle W_1^{P_i \cap P_j} \rangle$ .

Put  $W := \langle W_1^{L_i} \rangle$  and  $\tilde{W} = W/U_i$ . Then  $W_j \leq W$ ,  $\dim_{\mathbb{E}} \tilde{W}_1 = 1$  and  $\dim_{\mathbb{E}} \tilde{W}_j = 2$ . Hence (7°) and 5.4 give  $\dim_{\mathbb{E}} \tilde{W} = 3$ ; in particular  $\dim_{\mathbb{E}} W/W_j = 1$ . Since  $P_{1i}$  does not normalize  $W_j$ ,  $W = \langle W_j^{P_i \cap P_1} \rangle$ . Since  $\dim_{\mathbb{E}} W_j/W_1 = 1$ ,  $[W_j, L_{1j}] \leq W_1$  and so  $[W, L_{1j}] \leq W_1 \leq W$ . Thus  $W$  is normalized by  $L_i$  and  $L_{1j}L_{1i} = L_1$ . Hence  $W$  is an  $\mathbb{E}M$  submodule of  $V$ ,  $\dim_{\mathbb{E}} W = 6$  and  $W = \langle U_j^M \rangle$ .

Note that  $[U_j, L_j] = 0$  and  $U_j$  is the (up to isomorphism) unique non-trivial simple  $\mathbb{F}_2 Z(M)$  module. So  $U_j$  is uniquely determined as an  $\mathbb{F}_2 P_j$ -module. Let  $\hat{W}$  be the  $\mathbb{F}_2 M$ -module induced from the  $\mathbb{F}_2 P_j$  module  $U_j$ . Put  $\tilde{W} := \hat{W}/\langle [\hat{W}, Z, Q_1]^M \rangle$  and let  $\hat{U}_j$  be the image of  $U_j$  in  $\hat{W}$ . Note that  $Z(M)$  acts fixed-point freely on  $\hat{W}$  and so also on  $\tilde{W}$ . In particular,  $C_{\tilde{W}}(M) = 0$ ,  $\tilde{W} = [\tilde{W}, M]$  and  $[\tilde{W}, Z, Q_1] = 0$ . Thus  $\tilde{W}$  fulfills the assumption on  $W$  in this proof. Since  $\tilde{W} = \langle \hat{U}_j^M \rangle$  we conclude that  $\dim_{\mathbb{E}} \tilde{W} = 6$ . On the other hand  $W$  is as an  $\mathbb{F}_2 M$ -module an homomorphic image of  $\hat{W}$  and so also of  $\tilde{W}$ . It follows that  $W \cong \tilde{W}$  as an  $\mathbb{F}_2 M$ -module and so  $W$  is unique up to isomorphism.

Up to now we only used (1°) to determine  $W$ . Suppose now that  $V$  is indecomposable. Then by (10°) we can choose  $U_j$  such that  $V = \langle U_j^M \rangle$ . Thus  $V = W$  and  $\dim_{\mathbb{E}} V = 6$ . Any non-trivial  $\mathbb{F}_2 M$  quotient of  $V$  fulfills the same assumption and so is 6-dimensional over  $\mathbb{E}$ . Thus  $V$  is a simple  $\mathbb{F}_2 M$ -module.

Let  $V^*$  be the  $\mathbb{F}$ -dual of  $V$ . Then  $V^* = [V^*, Z(M)] = [V^*, M]$  and  $0 = C_{V^*}(Z(M)) = C_{V^*}(L_i^*) = C_{V^*}(M) = 0$ . By 1.8(c)  $Q$  acts quadratically on  $V^*$  and so  $C_M([V^*, Z]) \not\leq Z$ . Thus  $V^*$  and  $i$  fulfill the same assumption as  $V$  and  $i$ , and  $V$  and  $V^*$  are isomorphic  $\mathbb{F}_2 M$ -modules. Hence by 1.9(a) there exists a  $M$ -invariant non-degenerate symmetric, symplectic or unitary  $\mathbb{F}$ -form on  $V^*$ . In the symmetric or symplectic case,  $V$  would be selfdual as an  $\mathbb{F}M$ -module and so also an  $\mathbb{E}Z(M)$ -module, a contradiction. Thus (d) holds.

Since  $L_i$  acts simply on  $U_i$  and  $V/U_i$ ,  $C_V(Q_i) = U_i = [V, Q_i]$  and (i) and (j) hold. Note that  $Z = Q_1'$  centralizes  $V/[V, Q, Q]$ . Since  $Q_1$  centralizes  $V/W_1$  and  $W_1/U_1$  we conclude that  $[V, Q, Q] = W_1 = [V, Z]$  and  $[V, Q] = W_1$ . By a dual argument,  $C_V(Z) = W_1$  and  $C_V(Q_1) = U_1$ . Also  $[U_1, L_{1j}] = 1$  and dually  $[V, L_{1j}] \leq W_1$ . Thus (f) and (g) are proved.

$C_V(Q_j) \leq C_V(Z) = W_1 < W_j$  and since  $W_j/U_j$  is a simple  $\mathbb{E}L_j$ -module,  $C_V(Q_j) = U_j$ . Dually  $[V, Q_j] = W_j$  and so (l) and (m) hold. Since  $|U_j| = 4$  and  $C_V(Q_j)$  is an  $\mathbb{F}$ -subspace,  $|\mathbb{F}| \leq 4$  and so  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{E}$ . Since  $W$  is unique up to isomorphism we conclude that (a) and (c) hold.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.9.** *Put  $L := F^*(M)$  and suppose that*

- (i)  $V$  is faithful and indecomposable  $\mathbb{F}_2 M$ -module,  $C_V(L) = 0$  and  $V = [V, L]$ .
- (ii)  $M = \langle D \leq M \mid [V, D, D] = 0, |D| > 2 \rangle$ ; and
- (iii)  $L$  is quasi-simple and  $Z(L) \cong U_4(3)$ .

Put  $\mathbb{F} := \text{End}_M(V)$  and let  $A$  be a maximal quadratic subgroup of  $M$  on  $V$ . Then

- (a)  $V$  is a simple  $\mathbb{F}_2 L$ -module and  $(L, V)$  fulfills the assumptions on  $(M, V)$  and so also the conclusions in 7.8.
- (b)  $M = LA$ .
- (c)  $|A/A \cap L| \leq 2$ ,  $|A \cap L| = 2^4$  and  $C_M(A) = C_M(A \cap L) = AZ(M)$ .
- (d)  $N_M(A) = N_M(A \cap L)$  and so  $N_M(A)/A$  is a quasisimple group of shape  $3.\text{Alt}(6)$ .

- (e)  $C_V(A \cap L) = C_V(A) = [V, A] = [V, A \cap L]$  is a 3-dimensional simple module for  $N_M(A)$ .
- (f)  $A$  is unique up to conjugation under  $L$ , with the conjugacy class depending on the isomorphism type of  $V$ .
- (g) Let  $1 \neq B \leq M$  such that  $B$  acts quadratically on  $V$ . Then  $B$  is conjugate under  $L$  to an subgroup of  $A$  and assuming that  $B \leq A$  one of the following holds:
- (a)  $|B| = 2$ ,  $B \leq L$  and  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}}[V, B] = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} V/C_V(B) = 2$ .
  - (b)  $|B| = 2$ ,  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}}[V, B] = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} V/C_V(B) = 1$ . and  $C_V(B)/[V, B]$  is natural  $\text{FSU}_4(2)$ -module for  $C_L(B)$ .
  - (c)  $|B| = 4$ ,  $B \not\leq L$ ,  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}}[V, B] = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} V/C_V(B) = 2$  and  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}}[V, b] = 1$  for all  $b \in B \setminus L$ .
  - (d)  $C_V(B) = [V, B] = C_V(A)$  and  $A$  is the unique maximal quadratic subgroup of  $M$  containing  $B$ .

*Proof.* Put  $\bar{M} = M/Z(L)$ . Among all  $A \leq M$  with  $[V, A, A] = 0$  and  $|A| > 2$  let  $A$  be maximal. Let  $S \in \text{Syl}_2(M)$  with  $A \leq S$ . Since  $\text{Out}(\bar{L}) \cong \text{Dih}_8$ ,  $M/L$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\text{Dih}_8$ . In particular,  $M = LS$ . Let  $Y$  be non-trivial indecomposable  $\mathbb{F}_2 L$ -submodule of  $V$ .

By [MeSt1, 2.3] we have  $C_{S \cap L}([V, Z]) \not\leq Z$  and so  $(L, Y)$  fulfills the hypothesis of 7.8 in place of  $(M, V)$ . It follows that  $Y$  is a simple  $\mathbb{F}_2 L$ -module and so  $V$  is a semisimple  $\mathbb{F}_2 L$ -module.

Let  $W$  be a maximal homogeneous  $\mathbb{F}_2 L$ -submodule of  $V$  and suppose that  $A$  does not normalizes  $W$ . Then by [MS3, 2.11]  $|A/C_A(W)| = 2$  and so  $C_A(W) \neq 1$ . Since  $L$  is quasisimple we conclude that  $L = [L, C_A(W)] \leq C_L(W)$ , a contradiction to  $C_V(L) = 0$ . Hence  $A$  normalizes  $W$ . As  $A$  was an arbitrary maximal quadratic subgroup of order larger than 2, (ii) shows that  $M$  normalizes every maximal homogeneous  $\mathbb{F}_2 L$ -submodule  $W$ . Since  $V$  is indecomposable as an  $\mathbb{F}_2 M$ -module and semisimple as an  $\mathbb{F}_2 L$ -module we conclude that  $V = W$  and so  $V$  is a homogeneous  $\mathbb{F}_2 L$ -module. In particular,  $C_L(Y) = C_L(V) = 1$ ,  $Z(L) \cong C_3$  and the subring  $\mathbb{E}$  of  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_2 L}(V)$  generated by the image of  $Z(L)$  is a field isomorphic to  $\mathbb{F}_4$ .

Put  $\mathbb{F}_0 := Z(\text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_2 L}(V))$  and note that  $\mathbb{F}_0$  is field isomorphic to  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_2 L}(Y)$  and so to  $\mathbb{F}_4$ . Thus  $\mathbb{F}_0 = \mathbb{E}$ . Since  $|A| \geq 4$ , we conclude from [MS3, 2.15], that  $A$  and so also  $M$  acts  $\mathbb{F}_0$ -linear on  $V$ . Hence  $Z(L) = Z(M)$  and  $\mathbb{F}_0 = \mathbb{F}$ .

Let  $Z = Z(S \cap L)$ ,  $P_1 = N_L(Z)$ ,  $Q_1 = O_2(P_1)$ ,  $Q_i$ ,  $i = 2, 3$ , the two elementary abelian subgroups of order 16 in  $S \cap L$ ,  $P_i = N_{L_i}(Q_i)$  and for  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ ,  $P_i^* = N_M(Q_i)$ ,  $L_i = O^2(P_i)$ , and  $Q_i^* = O_2(P_i^*)$ . Choose notation such that  $C_Y(L_2) = 0$  and so  $C_Y(L_3) \neq 0$ . In the following we will use the properties of  $P_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , given in 7.8.

Since  $V$  is a homogeneous  $\mathbb{F}_2 L$ -module we conclude that also  $C_V(L_2) = 0$  and  $C_V(L_3) \neq 0$ . Thus  $S$  normalizes  $L_2$  and  $L_3$  and so  $S \leq P_i^*$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ . In particular,  $|M/L| \leq 4$ . Since  $P_2/Q_2 \sim 3 \cdot \text{Alt}(6)$  and  $P_2^*$  centralizes  $Z(L)$  we conclude that  $P_2^*$  induces inner automorphisms on  $P_2/Q_2$ , so  $P_2^* = Q_2^* P_2$ . Thus  $|M/L| \leq 2$ . Since  $|A| \geq 4$  we get  $A \cap L \neq 1$ , and since  $L$  has unique class of involutions and  $|Z| = 2$ , we may assume that  $Z \leq A \cap L$ . In particular,  $0 \neq [Y, A \cap L] \leq C_Y(A)$  and since  $Y$  is a simple  $\mathbb{F}_2 L$ -module,  $A$  normalizes  $Y$ . Thus  $Y$  is an  $\mathbb{F}_2 M$  submodule. As this holds for all simple  $\mathbb{F}_2 L$ -submodules on  $V$  and  $V$  is a semisimple  $\mathbb{F}_2 L$ -module and an indecomposable  $\mathbb{F}_2 M$ -module,  $V = Y$ . Thus  $V$  is a simple  $\mathbb{F}_2 L$ -module and (a) holds. By 7.8(d), there exists an  $L$ -invariant non-degenerate quadratic form on  $V$  and by 1.9(f), this form is invariant under  $M$ .

Let  $D \leq Q_2$  with  $|D| \geq 4$  and let  $a, b \in D^\#$  with  $a \neq b$ . Note that  $P_2$  acts simple on  $[V, Q_2]$  and  $(C_{P_2}(a), C_{P_2}(b)) = P_2$ . Since  $0 \neq [V, a] < [V, Q_2]$  we conclude that  $[V, a] \neq [V, b]$ . Since  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}}[V, a] = 2$  and  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}}[V, Q_2] = 3$  this gives  $[V, D] = [V, a] + [V, b] = [V, Q_2]$  We have proved



$$(*) \quad [V, D] = [V, Q_2] \text{ for all } D \leq Q_2 \text{ with } |D| > 2.$$

Put  $L_{13} := \langle Q_2^{P_1} \rangle$ . Then  $Q_1 \leq L_{13}$ ,  $L_{13} \leq P_1 \cap P_3$ ,  $L_{13}/Q_1 \cong \text{Sym}(3)$  and  $L_{13} = C_L([V, Z])$ . Put  $L_{13}^* := C_M([V, Z])$ . Then  $A \leq L_{13}^*$  and so  $M = L_{13}^*L$  and  $P_1^* = L_{13}^*P_1$ . Since  $|L_{13}/L_{13}^*| \leq 2$  we conclude that  $O_2(L_{13}^*) = Q_1^*$ ,  $L_{13}^* = L_{13}Q_1^*$  and  $L_1^* = L_1Q_1^*$ .

Put  $Z^* := Z(Q_1^*)$ . Since  $L_1$  acts simply on  $Q_1/Z$ , we have  $[Q_1, Q_1^*] \leq Z$  and conclude that  $Q_1^* = Z^*Q_1$ . Note that  $[Z^*, L_1] \leq Z$  and so  $[Z^*, O^2(L_1)] = 1$ . Since  $V/C_V(Z)$  and  $C_V(Z^*)/[V, Z]$  are non-isomorphic as  $O^2(L_1)$ -modules,  $[V, Z^*] = [V, Z]$  and similarly  $C_V(Z^*) = C_V(Z)$ . It follows that  $[V, Z^*] \leq [V, Z] \leq [V, A] \leq C_V(A) \leq C_V(Z) = C_V(Z^*)$  and so  $Z^*A$  is quadratic on  $V$ . Thus by maximality of  $A$ ,  $Z^* \leq A$  and  $A = Z^*(A \cap L)$ . We will show that  $A$  is contained in a conjugate of  $Q_2^*$  under  $P_1$ . Since  $A = Z^*(A \cap L)$  it suffices to show that  $A \cap L$  is contained in a conjugate of  $Q_2$  under  $P_1$ .

Suppose  $A \cap L \leq Q_1$ . Note that  $P_1$  acts transitively on fours groups of  $Q_1$  containing  $Z$  and so we may assume  $|A \cap Q_2| \geq 4$ . Thus using (\*),

$$A \leq C_M([V, A \cap Q_2]) = C_M([V, Q_2]) \leq Q_2^*.$$

Suppose next that  $A \cap L \not\leq Q_1$ . Since  $L_{13}/Q_1 \cong \text{Sym}(3)$  we may assume that  $A \cap L \leq Q_1Q_2$ . Let  $\tilde{P}_1 := P_1/Z$  and let  $q \in Q_2 \setminus Q_1$ . Then  $C_{\tilde{Q}_1}(q) = [\tilde{Q}_1, q] = \widetilde{Q_1 \cap Q_2}$ . It follows that all involutions in  $\tilde{Q}_1\tilde{Q}_2 \setminus \tilde{Q}_1$  are conjugate and so  $Q_2$  is the unique maximal elementary subgroup of  $Q_1Q_2$  not contained in  $Q_1$ . Thus  $A \cap L \leq Q_2$ .

We proved that  $A$  is conjugate to a subgroup of  $Q_2^*$  and we may assume that  $A \leq Q_2^*$ . Since  $C_V(Q_2)$  is the unique non-zero proper  $\mathbb{F}_2L_2$  submodule of  $V$ ,  $C_V(Q_2^*) = [V, Q_2^*] = C_V(Q_2)$  and so  $Q_2^*$  is quadratic on  $V$ . This gives  $A = Q_2^*$ , and all maximal quadratic subgroups of  $M$  of order at least 4 are conjugate to  $Q_2^*$ .

It remains to proof (g). So let  $B$  be any quadratic subgroup of  $M$ . Suppose first that  $|B| = 2$ . If  $B \leq L$  then  $B$  is conjugate to  $|Z|$  and so (g:a) holds. If  $B \not\leq L$  then either  $C_{\bar{L}}(B) \cong U_4(2)$  or  $C_{\bar{L}}(B) \sim 2^4.3^2.2$ .

Suppose that  $C_{\bar{L}}(B) \sim 2^4.3^2.2$ . Then  $O_2(C_L(B))$  is conjugate to  $A \cap L$  and we may assume that  $B \leq A$  and  $C_M(B) \leq P_2$ . Note that  $C_M(B)$  contains a Sylow 3-subgroups of  $P_2$ . Since the Sylow 3-subgroups of  $P_2$  are extraspecial of order  $3^3$  they act simply on  $[V, A]$  and we conclude that  $[V, B] = C_V(B) = [V, A] = C_V(A)$  and so (g:d) holds.

Suppose  $C_{\bar{L}}(B) \cong U_4(2)$ . Let  $y \in Z^* \setminus Z$ . Then  $[V, y] \leq [V, Z]$ . The preceding paragraph shows that  $C_{\bar{L}}(B) \approx 2^4.3^2.2$  and thus  $\langle y \rangle$  is conjugate to  $B$ . So we may assume that  $B \leq Z^*$ . Thus  $V/C_V(B)$  and  $[V, B]$  have dimension at most two over  $\mathbb{F}$  and so are centralized by  $C_L(B)$ . Thus  $C_L(B)$  acts faithfully on  $C_V(B)/[V, B]$ . Since  $[V, B] \leq C_V(B) = [V, B]^\perp$ , the  $L$ -invariant unitary form on  $V$  gives raises to an  $C_L(B)$ -invariant unitary form on  $C_V(B)/[V, B]$ . It follows that  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} C_V(B)/[V, B] = 4$  and  $C_V(B)/[V, B]$  is a natural  $SU_4(2)$ -module for  $C_L(B)$ . Thus  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} V/C_V(B) = 1 = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} [V, B]$  and (g:b) holds.

Suppose next that  $|B| > 2$ . Then  $B$  is contained in a maximal quadratic subgroup of order at least 4 and so we may assume that  $B \leq A$ . If  $[V, B] = [V, A]$ , then  $C_V(B) = [V, B]^\perp = [V, A]^\perp = C_V(A)$  and (g:d) holds. So suppose  $[V, B] < [V, A]$ . Then (\*) implies that  $|B \cap L| = 2$  and so  $|B| = 4$ . If  $d \in B \setminus L$ , then  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} [V, d] \leq \dim_{\mathbb{F}} [V, B] \leq 2$  and so (g:b) must hold for  $\langle d \rangle$  in place of  $B$ . Thus (g:c) holds.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.10.** *Let  $M = O_{2n}^\epsilon(q)$ ,  $q = 2^k$ , and  $V$  be the corresponding natural module over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Let  $a \in M$  with  $|a| = 2$ . Then  $a \in \Omega_{2n}^\epsilon(q)$  if and only if  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} [V, a]$  is even.*

*Proof.* This is well known, but a reference seems to be hard to come by. So here is a proof: If  $n = 1$ , this is obvious. Suppose there exists an  $a$ -invariant proper subspace  $W$  of  $V$  with  $V = W \oplus W^\perp$ . Then the claim follows by induction on  $n$ . So we may assume that no such  $W$  exists. In particular  $v \perp v^a$  for all  $v \in V$  and so  $[V, a]$  is a singular subspace. Let  $C_V(a) = [V, a] \oplus W$  for some  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -subspace  $W$ . Since  $C_V(a) = [V, a]^\perp$ ,  $V = W \oplus W^\perp$  and so  $W = 0$  and  $[V, A] = C_V(a)$  is maximal singular subspace of  $V$ . Thus  $\epsilon = +$ . Since  $a$  normalize a maximal singular subspace,  $a \in \Omega_{2n}^+(q)$ . Consider the map  $s_a : V/C_V(a) \times V/C_V(a) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$  define by  $s_a(v + C_V(a), w + C_V(a)) = s(v, [w, a])$ , where  $s$  is the symmetric form on  $V$  invariant under  $M$ . Then  $s_a$  is a non-degenerate bilinear form. From  $v \perp v^a$  we get  $v \perp [v, a]$  and so  $s_a$  is a symplectic form. Thus  $\dim[V, a] = \dim V/C_V(a)$  is even.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.11.** *Let  $q$  be a power of  $p$  and  $K \trianglelefteq M$  such that  $K \cong \text{Spin}_n^\epsilon(q)$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , and  $C_M(K) = Z(K)$ . Let  $V_{\text{nat}}$  be the natural  $\mathbb{F}_q\Omega_n^\epsilon(q)$ -module for  $K$ ,  $S \in \text{Syl}_p(M)$ ,  $U := C_{V_{\text{nat}}}(S \cap K)$ ,  $L := C_K(U)$  and  $Q := O_p(L)$ . Then the following hold:*

- (a) *Suppose that  $W$  is a non-trivial simple  $\mathbb{F}_p K$ -module with  $[W, Q, Q] = 0$ . Then  $W$  is a (half-)spin module for  $K$ .*
- (b) *Suppose that  $p = 2$ ,  $n$  even,  $n \geq 6$ ,  $W$  is a simple  $\mathbb{F}_2 M$ -module with  $[W, K] \neq 0$  and that there exists  $A \leq S$  with  $[W, A, A] = 0$ ,  $M = \langle A^M \rangle$ ,  $|A| > 2$ , and  $A \not\leq K$ . Then  $M \cong O_n^\epsilon(q)$  and  $W$  is the natural  $O_n^\epsilon(q)$ -module for  $M$ .*

*Proof.* Put  $T := S \cap K$ , so  $T \in \text{Syl}_p(K)$ , and  $\overline{N_M(Q)} := N_M(Q)/QZ(K)$ , and let  $U_0$  be the unique 1-dimensional singular subspace of  $U$ . Then  $[U^\perp, Q] = U_0$ . Moreover  $U = U_0$ , if  $n$  is even or  $p$  is odd, and  $U = U_0 + V^\perp$  if  $n$  is odd and  $p = 2$ . Hence

1°.  $U^\perp/U_0$  and  $Q$  are natural  $\Omega_{n-2}^\epsilon(q)$ -modules for  $\overline{L}$ .

Assume that  $n \geq 5$ . Then there exists  $g \in K$  such that  $Y := U_0 + U_0^g$  is a 2-dimensional singular subspace of  $U^\perp$  normalized by  $T$ . Put  $H := \langle Q, Q^g \rangle$  and  $Z := Q \cap Q^g$ . Then  $H/C_H(Y) \cong \text{SL}_2(q)$ , and  $H$  acts transitively on the 1-dimensional subspaces of  $Y$ . Thus  $H = \langle Q^{N_\kappa(Y)} \rangle$ ; in particular,  $T$  normalizes  $H$ . Moreover,  $QO_p(HT) = T \in \text{Syl}_p(HT)$ , and using (1°):

2°. *If  $n \geq 5$ , then  $\overline{C_{Q^g}(Y)} = O_p(C_{\overline{L}}(Y/U_0))$ , and  $Z$  is a 1-dimensional singular subspace of  $Q$ .*

(a): Put  $\mathbb{K} := \text{End}_K(W)$ . By Smith's Lemma 4.2 applied to  $W$  and its dual,  $C_W(Q)$  and  $W/[W, Q]$  are simple  $\mathbb{K}L$ -modules. Since  $[W, Q] \leq C_W(Q)$  we conclude that  $[W, Q] = C_W(Q)$ . Suppose that  $n = 3$  or  $4$ . Then  $Q = T$  and so  $C_W(Q)$  and  $W/[W, Q]$  are 1-dimensional over  $\mathbb{K}$ . Thus  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}}(W) = 2$ .

If  $n = 3$  or  $(n, \epsilon) = (4, +)$  then  $W$  is a natural  $\text{SL}_2(q)$ -module. If  $(n, \epsilon) = (4, -)$ , then  $W$  is a natural  $\text{SL}_2(q^2)$ -module. These are the (half-)spin modules for these groups, so (a) follows in this case.

Suppose now that  $n \geq 5$ , so we are allowed to use the subgroups  $Y$ ,  $H$  and  $Z$  constructed above. Since  $[W, Z, H] = 0$  and  $Z \neq 0$  we conclude that  $C_W(HT) \neq 0$ . By Smith's Lemma 4.2  $C_W(T)$  is 1-dimensional over  $\mathbb{K}$  and so  $C_W(T) = C_W(TH)$ . Since  $K = \langle L, HT \rangle$  and  $W$  is simple, we have  $[C_W(T), L] \neq 0$ , so  $[C_W(Q), L] \neq 0$ . Now again Smith's Lemma 4.2 and (2°) show that  $C_W(Q)$ ,  $\overline{L}$  and  $\overline{C_{Q^g}(Y)}$  satisfy the hypothesis in place of  $W$ ,  $K$ , and  $Q$ . Thus by induction  $C_W(Q)$  is a (half-)spin module for  $\overline{L}$ . Together with  $[C_W(T), HT] = 0$ , this determines  $W$  up to isomorphism (see 4.3) and so  $W$  is a (half-)spin-module.

(b): Note that  $K \cong \Omega_n^\epsilon(q)$  since  $p = 2$ , that  $S$  normalizes  $L$ , and that by (1°)  $Q$  is a natural  $\Omega_{n-2}^\epsilon(q)$ -module for  $L$ . Thus there exists an  $L$ -invariant quadratic form  $h$  (over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ ) on  $Q$ .

3°. *There exist  $a, b \in A^\#$  with  $C_Q(a) \neq C_Q(b)$ .*

Assume first that  $A$  does not act  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linearly on  $Q$ . Since  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_q)$  is cyclic and  $A$  is elementary abelian with  $|A| \geq 4$ , we conclude that there exists  $1 \neq a \in A$  acting  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linearly on  $Q$  and  $b \in A$  acting not  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linearly on  $Q$ . Hence  $C_Q(a)$  is an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -subspace of  $Q$  while  $C_Q(b)$  is not; in particular  $C_Q(a) \neq C_Q(b)$ .

Assume now that  $A$  acts  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linearly on  $Q$ . Then  $\overline{AL} \cong O_{n-2}^e(q)$ , and there exists  $a \in A \setminus K$  and  $1 \neq b \in A \cap K$ . By 7.10 we conclude that  $C_Q(a)$  is odd dimensional and  $C_Q(b)$  is even dimensional over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Hence again  $C_Q(a) \neq C_Q(b)$ .

4°. *There exists  $D \leq LA$  with  $D \cap A \not\leq Q$ ,  $[W, D, D] = 0$ , and  $D \cap Q \neq 1$ .*

Clearly  $A \not\leq Q$  since  $A \not\leq K$ , so if  $A \cap Q \neq 1$  we can choose  $D = A$ . Suppose  $A \cap Q = 1$ . Let  $a, b \in A$  as in (3°) and without loss  $C_Q(a) \not\leq C_Q(b)$ . Then there exists  $1 \neq d \in [C_Q(a), b] \leq \langle b^{C_Q(a)} \rangle$ , so

$$[W, a, d] \leq [W, a, \langle b^{C_Q(a)} \rangle] = \langle [W, a, b]^{C_Q(a)} \rangle = 0.$$

Since  $A$  is elementary abelian,  $d \in \langle b^{C_Q(a)} \rangle \leq C_L(a)$  and so  $[a, d, W] = 0$ . Hence by the Three Subgroups Lemma also  $[W, d, a] = 0$ , and  $D := \langle a, d \rangle$  satisfies (4°).

5°. *There exists  $B \leq Q$  and  $1 \neq e \in B$  such that  $[W, B, B] = 0$ ,  $h(e) = 0$  and  $B \not\leq \mathbb{F}_q e$ .*

Let  $D$  be as in (4°). Pick  $1 \neq b \in D \cap Q$ , and put  $E := \langle D^{C_L(b)} \rangle$  and  $C := \mathbb{F}_q b$ . Then  $[W, b, E] = 0$ .

Suppose that  $b^\perp \leq E \cap Q$ . Note that there exists  $u \in E \cap Q \setminus C$  such that  $h(u) = 0$  if  $h(b) \neq 0$ . Pick such an element  $u$  and put  $B := \langle b, u \rangle$ . Since  $[W, b, B] = 0$ ,  $B$  acts quadratically on  $W$ . Thus (5°) holds with  $e = b$  if  $h(b) = 0$  and  $e = u$  if  $h(b) \neq 0$ .

Suppose now that  $b^\perp \not\leq E \cap Q$ . By the action of  $C_L(b)$  on  $Q$ , any  $C_L(b)$ -submodule of  $Q$ , which contains  $b$ , either contains  $b^\perp$  or is contained in  $C$ . In particular  $E \cap Q \leq C$  and  $[Q, E] \leq E \cap Q \leq C$ . Since  $Q$  is a natural  $\Omega_{n-2}^e(q)$ -module for  $L$ , 3.4 shows  $h(b) \neq 0$  and  $|DQ/Q| = |EQ/Q| = 2$ . Thus  $[D, C_L(b)] \leq C$ , and since  $C_L(b)$  centralizes  $C$ ,  $[D, O^2(C_L(b))] = 1$ . The structure of  $O_{n-2}(q)$  shows that

$$[Q, D] = C \text{ and } C_{LD}(b)/Q \cong C_2 \times \text{Sp}_{n-4}(q)$$

Put  $D^* = C_{DL}(O^2(C_L(b)))$ . It follows that  $D \leq D^*$ ,  $|D^*Q/Q| = 2$ ,  $D^* \cap Q = C$ , and the  $q$  elements in  $D^* \setminus Q$  are the transvections on  $V_{\text{nat}}$  corresponding to the  $q$  non-singular 1-spaces in the isotropic 2-space  $[V_{\text{nat}}, b]$ . Pick  $d \in D \cap A \setminus Q$ . Then  $F := C_{DK}(d) \cong C_2 \times \text{Sp}_{n-2}(q)$ . In particular  $F = \langle D^F \rangle$ . From  $[W, d, D] = 0$  we get  $[W, d, F] = 0$  and so  $[W, d, C_Q(d)] = 0$ . Pick  $e \in C_Q(d) \setminus C$ . Then  $\langle e, d \rangle$  is quadratic on  $W$  and satisfies (4°) in place of  $D$ . Moreover  $[Q, d] \not\leq \mathbb{F}_q e$ . Hence the arguments of the previous paragraph apply to  $\langle e, d \rangle$  in place of  $D$ , and (5°) holds.

6°.  $[W, Z, C_Q(Y)] = 0$ .

Let  $B$  and  $e$  be as in (5°). Since  $L$  is transitive on the singular elements of  $Q$  and since by (2°)  $Z$  is a singular subspace of  $Q$ , we may assume that  $e \in Z$ . Put  $Q_e := e^\perp$  in  $Q$ . Note that  $Q_e = C_Q(Y)$ , so we have to show that  $[W, Z, Q_e] = 0$ .

Since  $B \not\leq Z = \mathbb{F}_q e$  we get  $Q_e \leq \langle B^{C_L(e)} \rangle$ , so  $[W, e, Q_e] = 0$ . As  $N_L(Q_e)$  acts transitively on  $Z$ , we conclude that  $[W, Z, Q_e] = [W, \langle e^{N_L(Q_e)} \rangle, Q_e] = 0$ .

7°. *Put  $\mathbb{K} := \text{End}_K(W)$ . Then  $W$  is a simple  $\mathbb{F}_2 K$ -module, and  $M$  acts  $\mathbb{K}$ -linearly on  $W$ .*

Let  $X$  be a simple  $\mathbb{F}_2K$ -submodule of  $W$  and  $\mathbb{E} := \text{End}_K(X)$ , and pick  $D$  as in (4°). Then  $0 \neq [X, D \cap Q] \leq C_X(D)$  and so  $X$  is  $D$ -invariant. Hence  $0 \neq [X, D \cap A] \leq C_X(A)$  and so  $X$  is  $A$ -invariant. Since  $D \cap Q$  acts  $\mathbb{E}$ -linearly on  $X$ ,  $[X, D \cap Q]$  is a non-trivial  $\mathbb{E}$ -subspace centralized by  $D$ , so  $D$  acts  $\mathbb{E}$ -linearly on  $X$ . Hence  $[X, D \cap A]$  is a non-trivial  $\mathbb{E}$ -subspace centralized by  $A$ , and  $A$  acts  $\mathbb{E}$ -linearly on  $X$ . This also holds for each conjugate of  $A$  under  $M$ . Since  $M = \langle A^M \rangle$  and  $W$  is a simple  $\mathbb{F}_2M$ -module,  $X = W$ ,  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{E}$ , and  $M$  acts  $\mathbb{K}$ -linearly on  $W$ .

8°.  $[W, Q, Q] \neq 0$ .

Suppose  $[W, Q, Q] = 0$ . Then by (7°) and (a),  $W$  is a (half)-spin module. If  $\epsilon = -$ , then  $\mathbb{K} \cong \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  and since  $A$  acts  $\mathbb{K}$ -linearly on  $W$ , we conclude that  $A \leq K$ , a contradiction. If  $\epsilon = +$ , then  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{F}_q$  and so  $A$  induces a graph automorphism on  $K$ . But graph automorphisms interchange the two half-spin modules and so do not act on  $W$ , again a contradiction.

9°.  $W$  is a natural  $\Omega_n^\epsilon(q)$ -module for  $K$ .

Put  $Q_Z = C_Q(Y)C_{Q^g}(Y)$ , where  $g$  is as in the definition of  $Y$ . Then by (6°)  $[W, Z, Q_Z] = 0$ . Let  $l \in L$  with  $Z^l \not\leq C_Q(Y)$ , so  $Q = C_Q(Y)Z^l$ . Note that  $L = \langle Q_Z, Q_Z^l \rangle$ . Since  $[W, Q, Q] \neq 0$  by (8°) and  $\langle Z^L \rangle = Q$ , also  $[W, Z, Q] \neq 0$ . Now  $[W, Z, C_Q(Y)] = 0$  gives

$$0 \neq [W, Z, Q] = [W, Z, C_Q(Y)Z^l] = [W, Z, Z^l].$$

Since  $[Z, Z^l] = 1$ , we get

$$0 \neq [W, Z, Z^l] = [W, Z^l, Z] \leq [W, Z] \cap [W, Z^l] \leq C_W(Q_Z) \cap C_W(Q_Z^l) = C_W(L).$$

Thus  $C_W(L) \neq 0$ , and with Smith's Lemma 4.2  $[C_W(S \cap K), L] = 0$ .

By (6°)  $Z$  and thus also  $Z^l$  acts quadratically on  $W$ . On the other hand

$$Z^l O_2(HT) = Q O_2(HT) \in \text{Syl}_2(HT).$$

Hence,  $T$  acts quadratically on  $C_W(O_2(HT))$ . So by (a)  $C_W(O_2(HT))$  is a natural  $\text{SL}_2(q)$ -module for  $HT$ . Thus by Ronan-Smith's Lemma 4.3  $W$  is unique up to isomorphism, and (9°) holds.

From (9°) we conclude that  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{F}_q$ . Since  $A$  acts  $\mathbb{K}$ -linearly on  $W$  we infer that  $KA \cong O_{2n}^\epsilon(q)$ ,  $W$  is the natural module, and  $M = KA$ .  $\square$

## 8 The FF-Module Theorems

In this section we use the same hypothesis and notation as in Section 2; that is,  $M$  is a finite group with  $O_p(M) = 1$ ,  $V$  is a finite, faithful  $\mathbb{F}_pM$ -module such that  $J = J_M(V) \neq 1$ , and  $\mathcal{J}$  is the set of  $J_M(V)$ -components of  $M$  on  $V$ .

Recall that a finite group  $H$  is  $p$ -minimal if  $S \in \text{Syl}_p(H)$  is contained in a unique maximal subgroup of  $H$  and  $S \not\leq H$ .

**Lemma 8.1.** *Suppose that  $M$  is  $p$ -minimal and  $T \in \text{Syl}_p(M)$ . Then there exist subgroups  $E_1, \dots, E_r$  such that the following hold:*

- (a)  $J = E_1 \times \dots \times E_r$  and  $\mathcal{J} = \{E'_1, \dots, E'_r\}$ .
- (b)  $V = C_V(J) + \sum_{i=1}^r [V, E_i]$  and  $[V, E_i, E_j] = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ .

- (c)  $[C_V(T), O^p(M)] \neq 0$ .
- (d)  $T$  is transitive on  $E_1, \dots, E_r$ .
- (e) There are no over-offenders on  $V$  in  $M$ .
- (f)  $E_i \cong \mathrm{SL}_2(q)$ ,  $q = p^n$ , and  $[V, E_i]/C_{[V, E_i]}(E_i)$  is a natural  $\mathrm{SL}_2(q)$ -module for  $E_i$ , or  $p = 2$ ,  $E_i \cong \mathrm{Sym}(2^n + 1)$ , and  $[V, E_i]$  is a natural  $\mathrm{Sym}(2^n + 1)$ -module for  $E_i$ .
- (g) If  $A \leq M$  is an offender on  $V$ , then  $A = (A \cap E_1) \times \dots \times (A \cap E_r)$ , and each  $A \cap E_i$  is an offender on  $V$ .

*Proof.* Using [BHS, 5.6] we see that (c) holds. Hence  $M$  and  $V$  satisfy the hypothesis of [BHS, 5.5]. This result gives subgroups  $E_1, \dots, E_r$  satisfying (b),(d), (f) and (g). Moreover, [BHS, 2.16] shows that every best offender on  $V$  induces inner automorphisms in  $E_i$  and is not an over-offender on  $[V, E_i]$ . The first property gives (a) and the second one (e).  $\square$

### The proof of Theorem 2:

Let  $K \in \mathcal{J}$ ,  $\mathbb{K} := \mathrm{End}_K(V)$ , and  $A \in \mathcal{D}$ . From 2.8 we get:

- 1°.  $V$  is a simple  $K$ -module, and  $K$  is the unique  $J$ -component of  $M$ .

If  $K$  is solvable, then 2.2(d) shows that Theorem 2(1) holds for  $q = 2$  or  $3$  and  $n = 2$ . Thus, we assume from now on that  $K$  is not solvable, so  $K$  is a component by 2.2(d).

By the definition of  $\mathcal{D}$  there exists  $1 \neq B \leq A$  such that  $B$  is an offender on  $V$  with

$$(*) \quad [V, B, A] = 0.$$

We choose such an offender  $B$  with  $|B|$  minimal. Then  $B$  is a minimal offender and thus a quadratic best offender on  $V$ , so  $B \leq J$ .

By (1°) and 2.2(b)  $[K, B] \neq 1$ . Hence

- 2°.  $K = [K, B]$  and  $[V, B, A] = 0$ .

Since  $K$  is not solvable, we get from 2.5, applied to  $BK$ , that  $BK$  acts  $\mathbb{K}$ -linearly on  $V$ . In particular,  $[V, B]$  is a  $\mathbb{K}$ -subspace of  $V$ . Thus (\*) shows that  $A$  centralizes a  $\mathbb{K}$ -subspace of  $V$ , so also  $A$  acts  $\mathbb{K}$ -linearly on  $V$ . Since this holds for every  $A \in \mathcal{D}$ , we conclude:

- 3°.  $M$  acts  $\mathbb{K}$ -linearly on  $V$ , and  $C_M(K) = Z(M)$ .

We will now prove Theorem 2 by using the information given in [GM2, Theorem B]. Observe that the bounds on the dimension of  $V$  in the cases (3) and (4) of Theorem 2 follow from 3.4.

Suppose that  $(KB, V)$  or  $(K, V)$  is one of the possibilities (1) – (12) given in Theorem 2 for  $(M, V)$ . Since by (3°)  $M \leq N_{\mathrm{GL}_{\mathbb{K}}(V)}(K)$ , then also  $(M, V)$  is on the list. Moreover, if there exists a non-trivial offender on  $V$  in  $K$ , then (3°) and [GM2] show that  $(K, V)$  is on the list. Thus, we may assume:

- 4°.  $B$  is a minimal best offender on  $V$ ,  $M = KB$ , and there is no non-trivial offender on  $V$  in  $K$ . In particular  $K \neq M$ .

**Case 1.** Suppose that  $p$  is odd.

In [Ch, Corollary C] all possibilities for  $M$  are given under the hypothesis that  $|V/C_V(B)| \leq |B|^2$  for some non-trivial quadratic subgroup  $B \leq M$ . It turns out that  $p = 3$  and  $M \cong \mathrm{SL}_2(5)$ , or  $M$  is a genuine group of Lie type in characteristic  $p$ . In the first case  $|V/C_V(B)| > |B|$ , and  $B$  is not an offender contradicting (4°). In the second case (4°) shows that  $M \cong {}^2\mathrm{G}_2(3) \sim \mathrm{SL}_2(8).3$ . But then  $M$  has abelian Sylow 2-subgroups, which contradicts [KS, 9.1.4].

**Case 2.** *Suppose that  $|B| = 2$ .*

Then  $B$  acts as a transvection on  $V$ , and [McL] shows that  $(M, V)$  is on the list.

**Case 3.** *Suppose that  $p = 2$ ,  $|B| > 2$ , and  $K$  is not a genuine group of Lie-type in characteristic  $p$ .*

Then [MeSt1], [MeSt2] and 7.4 together with (4°) show that

$$K \cong \mathrm{Alt}(n), n \geq 6, n \neq 8, \mathrm{U}_3(3), 3.\mathrm{U}_4(3), {}^2\mathrm{F}_4(2)', \mathrm{Mat}_{12}, \text{ or } \mathrm{Mat}_{22}.$$

Except in the case  $K \cong \mathrm{Alt}(n)$  the corresponding module  $V$  is uniquely determined.

Suppose  $K \cong \mathrm{Alt}(n)$ . Then [MeSt2] offers two possibilities for  $V$ . If  $V$  is the natural module for  $\mathrm{Alt}(n)$ , then  $M \cong \mathrm{Sym}(n)$  and  $V$  is the natural module for  $\mathrm{Sym}(n)$ . Hence  $(M, V)$  are on the list.

If  $V$  is not a natural module, then  $V$  is the (half-)spin module and  $n > 6$ . So 7.5 shows that  $B \leq \mathrm{Alt}(n)$  contradicting (4°).

Suppose that  $K \cong \mathrm{U}_3(3)$ . Then  $M \cong \mathrm{G}_2(2)$ , and 7.6 shows that  $(M, V)$  is on the list.

Suppose  $K \cong {}^2\mathrm{F}_4(2)'$ . Then  $M \cong {}^2\mathrm{F}_4(2)$  and so  $M \setminus K$  does not contain any involution, a contradiction.

Suppose  $K \cong 3.\mathrm{U}_4(3)$ . Then  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{F}_4$  and  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} V = 6$ . Since  $M$  acts  $\mathbb{K}$ -linearly we get  $|M/K| = 2$ , and there exists  $B \leq R \leq M$  such that  $R \sim 2^{4+1}3.\mathrm{Alt}(6)$ . Observe that every non-zero  $R$ -section of  $V$  is at least 3-dimensional over  $\mathbb{K}$ . Hence  $I_R := C_V(\mathrm{O}_2(R)) = C_V(\mathrm{O}_2(R) \cap K)$  is 3-dimensional over  $\mathbb{K}$  and  $V = [V, R]$ .

Clearly  $B$  is not an over-offender on  $I_R$  since  $|B\mathrm{O}_2(R)/\mathrm{O}_2(R)| \leq 4$  and  $I_R$  is an  $\mathbb{F}_4 R$ -module. Thus, by 1.3 either  $V = I_R + C_V(B)$  or  $B \leq \mathrm{O}_2(R)$ . In the first case  $[V, R] \leq I_R$ , a contradiction. In the second case [MS1, 2.6] implies that there exists an offender  $1 \neq D \leq \mathrm{O}_2(R)$  with  $D \trianglelefteq R$ . Since  $I_R$  and  $V/I_R$  are simple  $R$ -modules we get  $C_V(D) = I_R$  and  $2^5 = |\mathrm{O}_2(R)| \geq |D| \geq |V/C_V(D)| = |V/I_R| = 2^6$ , a contradiction.

Suppose next that  $K \cong \mathrm{Mat}_{12}$  or  $\mathrm{Mat}_{22}$ . Then  $M \cong \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Mat}_{12})$  and  $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Mat}_{22})$ , respectively, and [MeSt2] shows that  $|B| = 4$ . But then  $|V/C_V(B \cap K)| \leq |V/C_V(B)| \leq |B| = 4$ , which contradicts the action of  $K$  on  $V$ .

**Case 4.** *Suppose  $p = 2$ ,  $|B| > 2$ , and  $K$  is a genuine group of Lie type defined over a field of characteristic 2.*

Recall that  $B \leq T \in \mathrm{Syl}_2(M)$ . Let  $V_0 := C_V(T \cap K)$ . Note that  $M$  is generated by the 2-minimal subgroups containing  $T$ . Hence there exists  $T \leq P \leq M$  such that  $P$  is 2-minimal and  $[V_0, \mathrm{O}^2(P)] \neq 0$ .

5°.  $B \leq \mathrm{O}_2(P)$ .

Suppose that  $P = M$ . Then by 8.1  $(KB, V)$  is on the list, contrary to the assumptions. Thus  $P \neq M$ .

Put  $V_P := C_V(\mathrm{O}_2(P) \cap K)$ . Then  $V_0 \leq V_P$ . Put  $\tilde{P} = N_K(\mathrm{O}^{2'}(P \cap K))$ . Then  $\tilde{P}$  is a Lie-parabolic subgroup of  $K$ ,  $\mathrm{O}_2(P) \cap K = \mathrm{O}_2(\tilde{P})$  and  $\mathrm{O}^{2'}(\tilde{P}) = \mathrm{O}^{2'}(P \cap K)$ . Thus by Smith's Lemma 4.2  $V_P$

is a simple  $\mathbb{K}(P \cap K)$ -module. By (4°)  $O^2(P) \leq P \cap K$ , so  $C_V(O^2(P)) = 0$  and  $V_P = C_V(O_2(P))$ . Moreover, since  $P$  is 2-minimal,  $C_T(V_P) = O_2(P)$ .

Suppose that  $B \not\leq O_2(P)$ , so  $[V_P, B] \neq 0$ . By 1.2  $B$  is a non-trivial best offender on  $V_P$ , and by 8.1  $B$  is not an over-offender on  $V_P$ . Hence 1.3 shows that  $C_B(V_P) = 1$  and  $V = V_P + C_V(B)$ . Again by 8.1 there exists  $O_2(P)B \leq H \leq P$  such that  $H/O_2(P) \cong \text{SL}_2(|B|)$ ,  $U := [V_P, H]$  is a natural  $\text{SL}_2(|B|)$ -module, and  $V = U + C_V(B)$ .

Put  $D := \langle B^H \rangle$ . Then  $[V, D] \leq U$ , so every subgroup of  $V$  containing  $U$  is  $D$ -invariant. Since  $K$  is of local characteristic 2 and  $P \neq M$ , there exists a minimal normal subgroup  $N$  of  $D$  in  $O_2(D) \cap K$ . Then  $[V, D, N] \leq [U, N] = 0$  and  $[V, N, O^2(D)] = U$ . Hence, the Three Subgroups Lemma shows that  $[O^2(D), N, V] \neq 0$  and so  $[N, O^2(D)] \neq 1$ . As  $\text{SL}_2(|B|)$  has no non-trivial simple  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -module of order less than  $|B|^2$ , we get  $|N| \geq |B|^2$ .

On the other hand for every  $1 \neq x \in N$ ,  $U \leq C_V(x)$  and so  $C_V(x)$  is  $D$ -invariant. Since  $N = \langle x^D \rangle$  it follows that  $C_V(N) = C_V(x)$ . Now choose  $y \in N$  and  $b \in B$  with  $x := [y, b] \neq 1$ . Then  $x \in N \cap \langle B, B^y \rangle$  and  $C_V(B) \cap C_V(B^y) \leq C_V(x)$  and so

$$|V/C_V(N)| = |V/C_V(x)| \leq |V/C_V(B)|^2 \leq |B|^2 \leq |N|.$$

Hence,  $N$  is a non-trivial offender on  $V$  in  $K$ . But this contradicts (4°), and so (5°) holds.

Since by (5°)  $B \leq O_2(P)$  and since  $P = (P \cap K)B$ , also  $P \cap K$  is 2-minimal. Thus  $P \cap K$  is a minimal parabolic subgroup of  $K$  fixed by  $B$ .

Let  $\Delta$  be the Dynkin diagram of  $K$  and  $i$  be the node corresponding to  $P \cap K$ . Among all  $B$ -invariant proper  $\Gamma \subset \Delta$  with  $i$  in  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma$  connected we choose  $\Gamma$  maximal. Let  $T \cap K \leq \tilde{L}$  be the parabolic subgroup of  $K$  corresponding to  $\Gamma$  and put  $L := O^{2'}(\tilde{L})$ ,  $Q := O_2(L)$ , and  $V_L := C_V(Q)$ . Note that  $B$  normalizes  $L$  and thus also  $V_L$ . So by 1.2  $B$  is a best offender on  $V_L$ . By Smith's Lemma 4.2  $V_L$  is a simple  $\mathbb{F}_2\tilde{L}$ -module. Let  $W$  be a simple  $\mathbb{F}_2L$ -submodule of  $V_L$ . By 2.6 and 1.2  $B$  normalizes  $W$  and is a best offender on  $W$ .

**6°.** *Either  $B \leq LO_2(LB)$ , or the following hold:*

(a)  $LB/C_{LB}(W) \cong O_{2n}^\epsilon(q)$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , and  $W$  is the corresponding natural module.

(b)  $|B/C_B(W)| \geq 4$ .

Suppose that  $B \not\leq LO_2(LB)$ . Note that  $[V_0, O^2(L)] \neq 0$  since  $O^2(P) \leq L$  and  $[V_0, O^2(P)] \neq 0$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is connected,  $C_B(W) \leq O_2(LB)$ . Thus  $B$  is a non-trivial best offender on  $W$ . If  $|B/C_B(W)| = 2$ , then  $B$  is not an over-offender on  $W$ , and by 1.3  $|B| = 2$ , a contradiction to the assumptions of (Case 4).

Hence  $|B/C_B(W)| \geq 4$ , and by induction  $LB/C_{LB}(W) \cong O_{2n}^\epsilon(q)$  and  $W$  is the corresponding natural module. Moreover (5°) shows that  $LB$  is not 2-minimal, so  $n \geq 3$ .

**7°.**  *$B$  acts transitively on  $\Delta \setminus \Gamma$ .*

There exists a node  $j \in \Delta \setminus \Gamma$  such that  $j$  is adjacent to some node in  $\Gamma$ . Now the maximality of  $\Gamma$  shows that  $\Delta = \Gamma \cup j^B$ .

We now discuss the possibilities for  $K/Z(K)$ . Suppose first that  $K/Z(K)$  is an untwisted group of Lie type defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Then (5°) shows that no element of  $B$  induces a field automorphism or graph-field automorphism in  $\Delta$ . Thus  $B$  induces a graph automorphism on  $\Delta$ , so  $\Delta$  is of type  $A_m$ ,  $D_m$ ,  $F_4$ , or  $E_6$ . Since  $M$  is not 2-minimal by (5°),  $m \geq 3$ .

If  $\Delta$  is of type  $D_m$ , then  $(M, V)$  is in the list by 7.11(b). Assume now that  $\Delta$  is not of type  $D_m$ , so  $m \geq 4$  if  $\Delta$  is of type  $A_m$ . Since  $B$  induces a graph automorphism, (7°) yields one of the following possibilities:

- (i)  $|\Gamma| = m - 2$ , and  $\Delta$  is of type  $A_m$ .
- (ii)  $|\Gamma| = 2$ , and  $\Delta$  is of type  $F_4$ .
- (iii)  $|\Gamma| = 4$  or  $5$ , and  $\Delta$  is of type  $E_6$ .

In all cases  $B$  acts non-trivially on  $\Gamma$ ; in particular  $B \not\leq LO_2(LB)$ . Hence (6°) shows that  $\Gamma$  is of type  $D_n$ . This rules out case (ii). Moreover, in case (i)  $m = 5$  and  $\Gamma$  is of type  $D_3$ ; and in case (iii)  $\Gamma$  is of type  $D_4$ . In particular, by (6°) in each of the remaining cases  $P$  is uniquely determined,  $C_V(O_2(P))$  is a natural  $SL_2(q)$ -module for  $P$ , and  $[V_0, R] = 0$  for every other minimal Lie-parabolic subgroup  $R$  of  $K$  containing  $T \cap K$ . By Ronan-Smith's Lemma 4.3 this determines the module  $V$  uniquely.

If  $\Delta$  is of type  $A_5$ , then  $V$  is the exterior cube of a natural  $SL_6(q)$ -module. But then there exists an  $L$ -composition factor of  $V$  that is a natural  $SL_4(q)$ -module. This contradicts 2.8 and 7.11(b).

If  $\Delta$  is of type  $E_6$ , then  $V$  is the adjoint module for  $E_6(q)$ . But then  $V$  has an  $L$ -composition factor isomorphic to the adjoint module for  $\Omega_8^+(q)$ , a similar contradiction as above.

Suppose now that  $K/Z(K)$  is a twisted group of Lie type over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^\nu}$ . Then  $|\Delta \setminus \Gamma| = 1$  and  $B$  induces a field automorphism of order 2 on  $\mathbb{F}_{q^\nu}$  with fixed field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , so  $\nu = 2$ . Since  $M$  is not 2-minimal by (5°),  $K$  has Lie rank at least 2.

In all cases (5°) shows that  $P/O_2(P) \cong SL_2(q)$ , and this excludes that  $K$  is of type  ${}^2F_4$ ,  ${}^3D_4$  or  ${}^2A_m$ ,  $m$  even. So  $K$  is of type  ${}^2A_m$ ,  $m$  odd,  ${}^2D_m$ , or  ${}^2E_6$ .

If  $K$  is of type  ${}^2D_m$ , we are done by 7.11(b). Suppose that  $K$  is of type  ${}^2A_m$ ,  $m$  odd. Since  ${}^2A_3 = {}^2D_3$  we may assume in addition that  $m \geq 5$ , so by (7°)  $|\Gamma| \geq 2$ . In particular  $L$  contains a minimal parabolic subgroup  $R$  with  $R/O_2(R) \cong SL_2(q^2)$ , so  $B \not\leq LO_2(LB)$ . Hence (6°) implies that  $K$  is of type  ${}^2A_5$ . Now as in the  $A_5$ -case,  $V$  is the exterior cube of the natural  $SU_5(q)$ -module and  $L$  has a composition factor which is a natural  $SU_4(q)$ -module. Since  $SU_4(q) \cong Spin_6^-(q)$  this contradicts 7.11(b).

Suppose that  $K$  is of type  ${}^2E_6$ . Then  $|\Gamma| = 3$  and with the same argument as in the previous paragraph using (6°)  $L$  is of type  ${}^2D_4$ . So  $\Gamma$ ,  $P$  and  $V_P$  are uniquely determined. Now as in the  $E_6$ -case  $V$  is the adjoint module for  $K$ , and  $L$  has a composition factor isomorphic to the adjoint module for  $\Omega_8^-(q)$ , which contradicts 7.11(b).

### The proof of Theorem 3:

Let  $B$  be a minimal offender in  $A$  and note that  $B$  is a quadratic best offender on  $V$ .

**Case 1.** *The case  $M \cong G_2(q)$ ,  $q = 2^n$ ,  $V$  a natural  $G_2(q)$ -module.*

We will use the following facts about the action of  $K$  on  $V$  and the structure of  $K$ , where  $i$ -subspace means  $\mathbb{K}$ -subspace of dimension  $i$  in  $V$ :

There exists an  $M$ -invariant non-degenerate symplectic form on  $V$  (since  $V$  is self-dual and  $p = 2$ ). Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be the pair of maximal parabolic subgroups of  $M$  with  $T \leq M_i$  and such that  $M_i$  normalizes an  $i$ -subspace  $V_i$  in  $V$ . Note that  $V_i$  is singular and the graph with vertices  $V_1^M \cup V_2^M$  and inclusion as incidence relation is a generalized hexagon. Since  $M$  acts transitively on  $V^\sharp$ ,  $V_1^M$  consists of all the 1-dimensional subspaces of  $V$ .

Put  $P_i := O^{2'}(M_i)$ , and  $Q_i := O_2(P_i)$ . There exist exactly two classes of involutions in  $M$  with representatives  $z, t \in T$  such that

- (i)  $t \notin Z(Q_1)$ ,  $P_1 = Q_1 C_M(t)$ , and  $P_2 = C_M(z)$ .



(ii)  $t$  and  $z$  do not fix any vertex of distance larger than 3 from  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ , respectively.

(iii)  $t$  and  $z$  fix all vertices of distance at most 3 from  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ , respectively.

We will use these properties to show 3(a).

**1°.**  $|C_V(z)| = q^4$ . More precisely,  $z$  centralizes exactly the 1-subspaces of distance 1 and 3 from  $V_2$ .

There are precisely  $q + 1$  1-spaces of distance 1 and  $q^2(q + 1)$  1-spaces of distance 3 from  $V_2$ . Hence by (ii) and (iii)  $C_V(z)$  has exactly  $q + 1 + q^2(q + 1) = q^3 + q^2 + q + 1$  1-spaces.

**2°.**  $|C_V(t)| = q^3$ . More precisely,  $t$  centralizes exactly the 1-dimensional subspaces of distance 0 and 2 from  $V_1$ .

There is one 1-space of distance 0 and  $q(q + 1)$  1-spaces of distance 2. Thus, as in (1°),  $C_V(t)$  contains exactly  $1 + q(q + 1) = q^2 + q + 1$  1-spaces.

**3°.** Suppose  $t \in B$ . Then  $|B| = |C_V(B)| = |[V, B]| = q^3$ ,  $C_T(B) = B$ , and  $B$  is uniquely determined in  $M_1$ .

Since  $C_V(B) \leq C_V(t)$  and by (2°) and the quadratic action of  $B$ ,

$$q^3 = |[V, t]| = |[V, B]| \text{ and } C_V(B) = C_V(t); \text{ in particular } |B| \geq q^3.$$

By (2°)  $C_V(t)$  is uniquely determined by  $M_1$ , so also  $B^* := \text{Op}'(C_{M_1}(C_V(t)))$  is uniquely determined. To prove the uniqueness of  $B$  in  $M_1$ , it suffices to show that  $|B^*| \leq q^3$  since then  $B = B^*$ .

Note that  $[V_2^g, B^*] = 0$  for every  $g \in M_1$ , and so  $B^* \leq Q_1 \cap Q_2$ . Let  $x \in P_2 \setminus M_1$  and  $D := B^* \cap B^{*x}$ . Then  $|B^*/D| \leq q^2$  and  $|D| \geq q$  since  $|Q_2| = q^5$  and  $|B^*| \geq q^3$ . On the other hand,  $D$  fixes a path of length 6 with  $V_2$  as midpoint, and (ii) yields  $|D| \leq q$ . This shows that  $|D| = q$  and consequently  $|B^*| \leq q^3$ .

It remains to show that  $B = C_T(B)$ . Assume that  $B_0 =: C_T(B) > B$ . By Smiths' Lemma,  $C_V(Q_1) = V_1$  and so  $[C_V(t), Q_1] \neq 1$ . From  $[V_2, Q_1] \leq V_1$  we get  $C_V(t) = \langle V_1^{P_1} \rangle$  and  $[C_V(t), Q_1] = V_1$ . Thus  $Q_1/B = Q_1/C_{Q_1}(C_V(t))$  is dual to the natural  $\text{SL}_2(q)$ -module  $C_V(t)/V_1$ . We claim that  $C_{Q_1}(B) \not\leq B$ . If  $B_0 \leq Q_1$  this is obvious. And if  $B_0 \not\leq Q_1$  we get  $[Q_1, B_0] \not\leq B$  and so again  $C_{Q_1}(B) \not\leq B$ . Since  $C_{Q_1}(B) \trianglelefteq P_1$  we conclude that  $Q_1 = C_{Q_1}(B)$  and  $t \in Z(Q_1)$ , which contradicts (i).

**4°.**  $t^M \cap B \neq \emptyset$ .

Assume that  $t^M \cap B = \emptyset$ . Then we may assume that  $z \in B$ , so  $C_V(B) \leq C_V(z)$  and by (1°)  $q^2 \leq |V/C_V(B)| \leq |B|$ . On the other hand, by (ii) and (1°) the non-trivial elements of  $C_T(C_V(z))$  centralize every 1-subspace of distance at most 3 from  $V_2$  but no singular 2-space of distance 4. Hence  $|C_T(C_V(z))| = q$ . It follows that there exists  $z^g \in B$  with  $C_V(z) \neq C_V(z^g)$  and so also  $[V, z] \neq [V, z^g]$ . Since  $[V, z] + [V, z^g] \leq C_V(B) \leq C_V(z) \cap C_V(z^g)$  and  $[V, z] = q^2$ , we conclude that

$$|C_V(B)| = q^3, |B| = q^3 \text{ and } C_V(B) = C_V(z) \cap C_V(z^g).$$

But then  $V_2$  and  $V_2^g$  are of distance 2, and we may assume that  $V_1 = V_2 \cap V_2^g$ . Now (2°) shows that  $t$  centralizes  $C_V(B)$  and so  $C_V(B) = C_V(t)$ . Hence also  $B \langle t \rangle$  is a quadratic offender, and (3°) yields  $t \in B$ , a contradiction.

5°. *Case (a) of Theorem 3 holds.*

According to (4°) we may assume that  $t \in B$ , and according to (3°)  $C_T(B) = B$  and so  $A = B$ . So 3(a) follows from (3°).

**Case 2.** *The case  $M \cong \mathrm{SL}_n(q)/\langle -\mathrm{id}^{n-1} \rangle$ ,  $n \geq 5$ , and  $V$  the exterior square of a natural  $\mathbb{K}\mathrm{SL}_n(q)$ -module  $W$ .*

Let  $U$  be a  $T$ -invariant  $\mathbb{K}$ -hyperplane in  $W$ . Put  $R := C_M(W/U)$  and  $I_R := C_V(O_p(R))$ . Recall that  $R/O_p(R) \cong \mathrm{SL}_{n-1}(q)$  and  $O_p(R)$  is a natural  $\mathrm{SL}_{n-1}(q)$ -module for  $R$  isomorphic to  $U$ .

We will use the following properties of the exterior square:

6°.  *$U$ ,  $O_p(R)$  and  $V/I_R$  are isomorphic natural  $\mathrm{SL}_{n-1}(q)$ -modules for  $R$ .*

7°.  *$I_R$  is as an  $\mathbb{F}_p R$ -module isomorphic to the exterior square of  $U$ .*

If  $n \geq 6$ , then by (7°) and induction  $B$  is not an over-offender on  $I_R$ . If  $n = 5$ , then  $\mathrm{SL}_4(q) \cong \Omega_6^+(q)$  and  $I_R$  is the natural orthogonal module. Again by 3.4  $B$  is not an over-offender. Hence, in both cases 1.3 shows that either  $B \cap O_p(R) = 1$  or  $B \leq O_p(R)$ .

In the first case  $|I_R/C_{I_R}(B)| = |B|$  and  $V = I_R + C_V(B)$ ; in particular  $[V, B] \leq I_R$ . But this contradicts (6°). Thus we have  $B \leq O_p(R)$ . Pick  $b \in B^\#$  and put  $C := C_R(b)$ . Then  $C$  acts as a point stabilizer on  $O_p(R)$  and thus by (6°) also as a point stabilizer on  $V/I_R$ . It follows that  $C_V(b) = I_R$  or  $|C_V(b)/I_R| = q$ .

If  $C_V(B) = I_R$ , then  $|B| \geq |V/I_R| = q^{n-1}$  and  $B = O_p(R)$ . Since  $C_T(O_p(R)) = O_p(R)$  we get  $A = B$ , and case (b) of Theorem 3 follows.

Assume now that  $|C_V(B)/I_R| = q$ . Then  $C_V(B) = C_V(b)$  for all  $1 \neq b \in B$ . Also  $q^{n-2} = |V/C_V(B)| \leq |B|$ . Since  $n \geq 5$  this gives  $|B| > q$ , so there exists  $1 \neq b, \tilde{b} \in B$  with  $C_R(b) \neq C_R(\tilde{b})$ . Hence,  $C_V(B) = C_V(b) = C_V(\tilde{b})$  is normalized by  $R = \langle C_R(b), C_R(\tilde{b}) \rangle$ , a contradiction.

**Case 3.** *The case  $M \cong \mathrm{Spin}_7(q)$  or  $\mathrm{Spin}_{10}^+(q)$  and  $V$  a corresponding spin module.*

We will use the following facts about the action of  $M$  on  $V$  and the structure of  $M$ . Recall that  $P\Omega_5(q) \cong \mathrm{PSp}_4(q)$ . There exists  $T \leq R \leq M$  such that for  $I_R := C_V(O_p(R))$  the following hold:

- (i)  $\mathrm{Spin}_n^\epsilon(q)/\langle -\mathrm{id}_V \rangle \cong \Omega_n^\epsilon(q)$ .
- (ii)  $R/O_p(R) \cong \mathrm{Spin}_5(q)$  resp.  $\mathrm{Spin}_8^+(q)$ .
- (iii)  $O_p(R)$  is a natural  $\Omega_5(q)$ - resp.  $\Omega_8^+(q)$ -module for  $R$ .
- (iv)  $I_R = [V, O_p(R)]$ .
- (v) If  $n = 7$ , then  $V/I_R$  and  $I_R$  are isomorphic natural  $\mathrm{Sp}_4(q)$ -modules for  $R$ , but  $I_R$  is not isomorphic to  $O_p(R)/O_p(R) \cap Z(R)$ ; while if  $n = 10$ ,  $O_p(R)$ ,  $V/I_R$  and  $I_R$  are pairwise non-isomorphic natural  $\Omega_8^+(q)$ -modules for  $R$ .
- (vi)  $O_p(R)$  acts quadratically on  $V$ .
- (vii) If  $n = 7$  and  $Z$  is a 1-dimensional singular subspace of  $O_p(R)$ , then  $C_M(Z)/O_p(C_M(Z)) \cong \mathrm{Spin}_4^+(q)$ , and  $V/[V, Z]$  is a natural  $\Omega_4^+(q)$ -module for  $C_M(Z)$ .

Put  $\delta = 1$  if  $n = 7$  and  $\delta = 2$  if  $n = 10$ . We first show:

8°.  *$C_V(x) = I_R$  for every non-singular  $x \in O_p(R)$ , and  $|V/C_V(x)| = q^{2\delta}$  for every non-trivial singular  $x \in O_p(R)$ .*

Let  $1 \neq x \in O_p(R)$ . Suppose first that  $x$  is singular in  $O_p(R)$ . Then  $C_M(x) \not\leq R$  and so  $C_V(x) \neq I_R$ . Moreover,  $C_R(x)$  normalizes a unique proper submodule of  $V/I_R$ . This submodule has order  $q^{2\delta}$  and so (8°) holds.

Suppose next that  $x$  is not singular. Then there exists  $g \in M$  such that  $R^g$  and  $R^{gx}$  are opposite Lie-parabolics of  $M$ . So by 5.1  $M = \langle O_p(R^g), O_p(R^{gx}) \leq \langle O_p(R^g), x \rangle$ . Thus  $C_V(O_p(R^g)) \cap C_V(x) = 0$  and  $V = [V, O_p(R^g)] + [V, x]$ . Since  $[V, O_p(R^g)] \leq C_V(O_p(R^g))$  and  $[V, x] \leq C_V(x)$ , this implies  $[V, x] = C_V(x)$  and so  $C_V(x) = C_V(O_p(R)) = I_R$ .

9°.  $B$  is conjugate to a subgroup of  $O_p(R)$ .

Suppose not. Then  $B \not\leq O_p(R)$ . Let  $Z = O_p(R) \cap B$ . If  $Z$  contains a non-singular element  $b$ , then by (8°)  $[V, B] \leq C_V(B) \leq C_V(b) = I_R$ . But then  $\langle B^R \rangle$  centralizes  $V/I_R$ , a contradiction to (v). Thus all elements in  $Z$  are singular. By 1.3 either  $V = I_R + C_V(B)$  and  $[V, B] \leq I_R$ , or  $B$  is an over-offender on  $I_R$ . The first possibility contradicts (v), so  $B$  is an over-offender on  $I_R$ . Then by 3.4

$$C_{I_R}(B) = [I_R, B], |C_{I_R}(B)| = q^{2\delta} \text{ and } q^{2\delta} < |B/Z| = |B/B \cap O_p(R)| \leq q^{3\delta}.$$

Put  $\bar{V} = V/I_R$ . Then  $B$  acts quadratically on  $\bar{V}$ . From  $|B/Z| > q^{2\delta}$  and 3.4 we conclude that  $|\bar{V}, B| = q^{2\delta}$  and so also  $|\bar{V}/C_{\bar{V}}(B)| = q^{2\delta}$ . Thus  $|V/C_V(B)| \geq q^{4\delta}$  and so  $|Z| \geq q^\delta$ . Let  $1 \neq x \in Z$ . Note that  $[V, B] + I_R \leq C_V(x)$ . Since  $x$  is singular in  $O_p(R)$  (8°) gives  $|V/C_V(x)| = q^{2\delta}$ . Thus  $C_V(x) = [V, B] + I_R$  and  $C_R(x)$  normalizes  $[V, B] + I_R$ . But  $R = \langle C_R(x), C_R(y) \rangle$  for any singular  $x, y \in O_p(R)$  with  $\mathbb{F}_q x \neq \mathbb{F}_q y$  and since  $R$  does not normalizes  $[V, B] + I_R$  we conclude that  $Z \leq \mathbb{F}_q x$ . Since  $|Z| \geq q^\delta$ , we conclude that  $Z$  is a 1-dimensional singular subspace of  $O_p(R)$ . Also  $\delta = 1$  and so  $n = 7$ .

Put  $P := C_M(Z)$ . By (vii)  $P/O_p(P) \cong \text{Spin}_4^+(q)$ , and  $C_V(Z)/[V, Z]$  is the natural  $\Omega_4^+(q)$ -module for  $P$ . Thus every singular 1-space of  $C_V(Z)/[V, Z]$  is contained in a  $P$ -conjugate of  $I_R/[V, Z]$ , and the conjugates of  $I_R/[V, Z]$  are TI-subgroups in  $C_V(Z)/[V, Z]$ .

Since  $B$  acts quadratically on  $V$ ,  $[V, B]/[V, Z]$  is a 2-dimensional isotropic subspace and thus contains a 1-dimensional singular subspace. Hence there exists  $g \in P$  such that  $[V, B] \cap I_R^g \not\leq [V, Z]$ . The TI-property of  $I_R/[V, Z]$  implies that  $B$  normalizes  $I_R^g$ , so  $B \leq R^g$ .

If  $B \not\leq O_p(R^g)$ , then the above also applies to  $B$  and  $R^g$  in place of  $B$  and  $R$ , so  $[V, B] \cap I_R^g$  is 2-dimensional and so  $[V, B] \cap I_R^g = [V, Z]$ , a contradiction. Thus, we have that  $B \leq O_p(R^g)$ , and  $B$  is not a counterexample. Hence (9°) is proved.

According to (9°) we may assume that  $B \leq O_p(R)$ . If  $B$  does not contain a non-singular element of  $O_p(R)$ , then  $|B| \leq q^{2\delta}$ . So also  $|V/C_V(B)| \leq q^{2\delta}$  and by (8°)  $C_V(B) = C_V(b)$  for every  $1 \neq b \in B$ . On the other hand, for every such  $b$ ,  $C_{R/O_p(R)}(b)$  is contained in a unique maximal parabolic subgroup of  $R/O_p(R)$ . It follows that  $B$  has order at most  $q$ , a contradiction.

Hence  $B$  contains a non-singular element  $b$ . Then by (8°)

$$(+) \quad I_R = C_V(b) = [V, b] = C_V(B) = [V, B] \text{ and } |B| \geq |V/C_V(B)| = q^{4\delta}$$

If  $M \cong \text{Spin}_{10}^+(q)$ , then  $|O_p(R)| = |I_R| = q^8 = q^{2\delta}$  and so by (+)  $B = O_p(R)$ . Thus  $A \leq C_T(O_p(R)) = O_p(R)$  and  $A = B$ . Since  $O_p(R)$  is weakly closed in  $T$ , we see that case (d) of Theorem 3 follows from (+).

So suppose  $M \cong \text{Spin}_7(q)$ . If  $A \leq O_p(R)$ , then case (c) Theorem 3 follows. So assume for a contradiction that  $A \not\leq O_p(R)$ . Observe that  $[B, A] = 1$ ,  $|B| \geq q^{2\delta} = q^4$  and  $O_p(R)$  is a natural  $\Omega_5(q)$ -module for  $R/O_p(R)$ . We conclude that  $p = 2$ ,  $|B| = q^4$ ,  $B = A \cap O_p(B) = C_{O_p(R)}(A)$  and  $|A/B| \leq q$ . Thus  $|A| \leq q^5$ . Since  $O_p(R)/O_p(R) \cap Z(R)$  is not isomorphic to  $I_R$ , we get that  $|I_R/C_{I_R}(A)| = q^2$  and so  $|V/C_V(A)| = q^6 > q^5 = |A|$ . This contradiction completes (Case 3).

**Case 4.** The case  $M \cong 3.\text{Alt}(6)$  and  $|V| = 2^6$ .

Then  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{F}_4$ ,  $|A| = 4$ , and  $C_V(A)$  is a  $\mathbb{K}$ -hyperplane, so case (e) Theorem 3 follows.

**Case 5.** The case  $K \cong \text{Alt}(n)$ ,  $n \geq 5$ , and  $V$  the natural  $\text{Alt}(n)$ -module for  $K$ .

Let  $W$  be the natural permutation module for  $\text{Sym}(n)$  over  $\mathbb{F}_2$  with basis  $w_i$ ,  $i \in \Omega := \{1, \dots, n\}$ , and  $W_0 := \langle \sum_{\Omega} w_i \rangle$ . For  $\Psi \subseteq \Omega$  put  $W_\Psi = \langle w_i + w_j \mid i, j \in \Psi \rangle$  and  $\overline{W_\Psi} = W_\Psi + W_0/W_0$ . Then  $V \cong \overline{W_\Omega}$ .

**10°.** If  $A$  is a best offender, then case (g) or case (h) of Theorem 3 holds.

Suppose that  $A$  acts transitively on  $\Omega$ . Then  $n = 2^k$ , and since  $n \geq 5$ ,  $k \geq 3$ . Note that  $|A| = 2^k$ ,  $C_{W_\Omega}(A) = W_0$ , and  $|\overline{W_\Omega}| = 2^{2^k-2}$ . The commutator map

$$C_{\overline{W_\Omega}}(A) \times A \rightarrow W_0 \text{ with } (w + W_0, a) \mapsto [w, a]$$

shows that

$$|C_{\overline{W_\Omega}}(A)| = |C_{\overline{W_\Omega}}(A)/C_{\overline{W_\Omega}}(A)| \leq |A| = 2^k,$$

and so

$$2^k = |A| \geq |V/C_V(A)| = |\overline{W_\Omega}/C_{\overline{W_\Omega}}(A)| \geq 2^{2^k-k-2}.$$

Thus  $2^{k-1} \leq k+1$ , so  $k = 3$  and  $|A| = |V/C_V(A)| = 8 = |C_V(A)|$ . Since  $V$  is self-dual, also  $[[V, A]] = 8$  and since  $[V, A] \leq C_V(A)$ ,  $[V, A] = C_V(A)$ . Hence case (h:4) of Theorem 3 holds.

So we may assume from now on that  $A$  does not act transitively on  $\Omega$ . Let  $\Psi$  be an orbit of  $A$  on  $\Omega$  of length say  $2^k$ . Since  $A$  is a best offender,  $A$  is an offender on  $\overline{W_\Psi}$ , and since  $\Psi \neq \Omega$ ,  $W_0 \not\leq W_\Psi$  and so  $\overline{W_\Psi} \cong W_\Psi$ . Thus  $A$  is an offender on  $W_\Psi$ . Note that  $|A/C_A(W_\Psi)| = |A/C_A(\Psi)| = 2^k$ ,  $|W_\Psi| = 2^{2^k-1}$ , and  $|C_{W_\Psi}(A)| = |2|$ . Thus  $2^{2^k-1-1} \leq 2^k$ ,  $2^k \leq k+2$  and  $k \leq 2$ .

Suppose  $A$  has two orbits  $\Psi_1$  and  $\Psi_2$  of length four and put  $\Lambda := \Psi_1 \cup \Psi_2$ . Assume for a contradiction that  $\Lambda = \Omega$  and put  $H := N_M(\{\Psi_1, \Psi_2\})$ . Then  $H \cong \text{Sym}(4) \wr C_2$  and  $A \leq O_2(H)$ . So  $H$  acts simple on  $O_2(H)$ . [MS1, 2.6] shows that  $O_2(H)$  is an offender, and the Timmesfeld Replacement theorem implies that  $O_2(H)$  acts quadratically on  $V$ , a contradiction. Hence  $\Lambda \neq \Omega$  and so  $W_\Lambda \cong \overline{W_\Lambda}$ . Note that  $|A/C_A(W_\Lambda)| = |A/C_A(\Lambda)| \leq 16$ ,  $|W_\Lambda| = 2^7$  and  $|C_{W_\Lambda}(A)| = 4$ . Thus  $2^7/4 \leq 16$ , a contradiction.

Suppose  $\Psi$  is an orbit of length 4 for  $A$  on  $\Omega$  and  $A$  has a fixed-point  $i$  on  $\Omega$ . Put  $V_{\Psi,i} := \langle w_i + w_j \mid j \in \Psi \rangle$ . Then  $V_{\Psi,i}$  is isomorphic to the permutation module for  $A$  on  $\Psi$  and is also isomorphic to  $\overline{V_{\Psi,i}}$ . Thus  $A$  is a best offender on  $V_{\Psi,i}$ . But  $|A/C_A(V_{\Psi,i})| = 4$  and  $|V_{\Psi,i}/C_{W_\Psi}(A)| = 8$ , a contradiction.

We have proved that either all orbits of  $A$  on  $\Omega$  have length 1 or 2, or  $A$  has a unique orbit of length four and all other orbits have length two.

Assume for a contradiction that  $C_{\overline{W_\Omega}}(A) \neq C_{W_\Omega}(A)/W_0$ . Then there exists  $w \in W_\Omega$  such that  $0 \neq [w, A] \in W_0$ ; in particular  $A_0 := C_A(w)$  has index 2 in  $A$ . Let  $X \subseteq \Omega$  with  $w = \sum_{i \in X} w_i$  and  $|X|$  even. Then there exists  $a \in A$  such that  $\{X, X^a\}$  is a partition of  $\Omega$ , and  $A_0$  normalizes  $X$  and  $X^a$ . Note that  $C_{\overline{W_X}}(A) = \langle \overline{w} \rangle$  and that  $|X| \geq 4$  since  $n \geq 5$  and  $|X|$  is even. Thus

$$4 \leq |\overline{W_X}/C_{\overline{W_X}}(A)| \leq |V/C_V(A)| \leq |A|.$$

Thus  $A_0 \neq 1$ , and since  $C_{A_0}(X) = C_{A_0}(X \cap X^a) = 1$ ,  $A_0$  acts non-trivially on  $X$ . Since  $A$  has at most one orbit of length four on  $\Omega$  we conclude that  $|X \setminus C_X(A_0)| = 2$ . Thus  $|A_0| = 2$  and  $|A| = 4$ . The Timmesfeld Replacement Theorem shows that  $A$  acts quadratically on  $V$ . But  $[\overline{W_X}, A_0, a] \neq 0$ , a contradiction.

We have proved that  $C_{W_\Omega/W_0}(A) = C_{W_\Omega}(A)/W_0$ , so  $|V/C_V(A)| = |W_\Omega/C_{W_\Omega}(A)|$ . It follows that  $A$  is an offender on  $W_\Omega$ . Let  $k$  be the number of orbits of length 2. Assume that  $A$  has an orbit of length four, then  $A$  has no fixed-point,  $n = 2k + 4$ ,  $|C_{W_\Omega}(A)| = 2^{k+1}$ ,  $|A| \leq 2^k \cdot 4 = 2^{k+2}$ , and

$$|V/C_V(A)| = |W_\Omega/C_{W_\Omega}(A)| = 2^{n-1-(k+1)} = 2^{k+2}.$$

Since  $A$  is an offender, this implies  $|A| = 2^{k+2}$ , and since  $V$  is self-dual,  $|[V, A]| = |V/C_V(A)| = 2^{k+2} = |A|$ . As  $A$  has on orbit of length 4,  $A$  is not quadratic on  $W_\Omega$  and since  $C_{W_\Omega/W_0}(A) = C_{W_\Omega}(A)/W_0$  also not quadratic on  $V$ . Hence case (h:3) of Theorem 3 holds.

Assume now that  $A$  does not have any orbit of length 4. Then  $[V, A] \leq C_V(A)$  and  $|A| \leq 2^k$ . Suppose  $A$  has a fixed-point in  $\Omega$ . Then  $|V/C_V(A)| = 2^k = |[V, A]|$  and so  $|A| = 2^k$  and case (g) or (h:1) of Theorem 3 holds. So suppose  $A$  has no fixed-points and so  $n = 2k$  and  $|V/C_V(A)| = 2^{k-1} = |[V, A]|$ . Thus  $2^{k-1} \leq |A|$ .

Let  $t_1, \dots, t_k$  be the transpositions corresponding to the non-trivial orbits of  $A$  on  $\Omega$ , say  $t_i \in A$  if and only if  $i > l$ . If  $l = 0$ , then again case (h:1) of Theorem 3 holds. Suppose  $l > 0$ . Let  $1 \leq r < s < l$  and put  $A_{rs} = C_A(C_\Omega(\langle t_r, t_s \rangle))$ . Then  $|A/A_{rs}| \leq 2^{k-2}$  and so  $A_{rs} \neq 1$ . Since  $A_{ts} \leq \langle t_r, t_s \rangle$  and neither  $t_r$  nor  $t_s$  are in  $A$  we conclude that  $A_{rs} = \langle t_r t_s \rangle$ . It follows that

$$A = \langle t_1 t_2, t_2 t_3, \dots, t_{l-1} t_l, t_{l+1}, t_{l+2}, t_k \rangle.$$

Thus case (h:3) of Theorem 3 holds.

**11°.** *Every offender in  $M$  on  $V$  is a best offender.*

Let  $X$  be an offender and let  $Y \leq X$  with  $|C_V(Y)||Y|$  maximal and then  $Y$  minimal. By the Timmesfeld Replacement Theorem,  $Y$  is quadratic. If  $|Y||C_V(Y)| = |V|$ , then  $|Y||C_V(Y)| = |X||C_V(X)|$  and so  $X$  is a best offender. If  $|Y||C_V(Y)| > |V|$ , then (10°) shows that  $Y$  is generated by a maximal set of commuting transpositions. So  $X \leq C_M(Y) = Y$ ,  $X = Y$ , and  $X$  is a best offender.

Observe that (11°) together with (10°) completes (Case 5).

**Case 6.** *The case  $M \cong \text{Alt}(7)$  and  $|V| = 2^4$ .*

Choose  $T \leq R \leq M$  with  $R \cong \text{Alt}(6)$ . Then the previous case applies to  $R$ , and we are done.  $\square$

**Theorem 8.2.** *Let  $M$  be a finite CK-group and  $V$  a faithful  $\mathbb{F}_p M$ -module. Suppose that there exists  $K \in \mathcal{J}_M(V)$  such that  $V = [V, K]$  and  $V$  is a semisimple but not simple  $\mathbb{F}_p K$ -module. Then one of the following holds, where  $q$  is a power of  $p$  and  $J := J_M(V)$ :*

1.  $J \cong \text{SL}_n(q)$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , and  $V \cong N^r \oplus N^{*s}$ , where  $N$  is a natural  $\text{SL}_n(q)$ -module,  $N^*$  its dual, and  $r, s$  are integers with  $0 \leq r, s < n$  and  $\sqrt{r} + \sqrt{s} \leq \sqrt{n}$ .
2.  $J \cong \text{Sp}_{2m}(q)$ ,  $m \geq 3$ , and  $V \cong N^r$ , where  $N$  is a natural  $\text{Sp}_{2m}(q)$ -module and  $r$  is a positive integer with  $2r \leq m + 1$ .
3.  $J \cong \text{SU}_n(q)$ ,  $n \geq 8$ , and  $V \cong N^r$ , where  $N$  is a natural  $\text{SU}_n(q)$ -module and  $r$  is a positive integer with  $4r \leq n$ .
4.  $J \cong \Omega_n^\epsilon(q)$  with  $p$  odd if  $n$  is odd, or  $M \cong \text{O}_n^\epsilon(q)$  with  $p = 2$  and  $n$  even. Moreover  $n \geq 10$  and  $V \cong N^r$ , where  $N$  is a corresponding natural module and  $r$  is a positive integer with  $4r \leq n - 2$ .

*In particular, if  $V$  is not a homogeneous  $\mathbb{F}_p J$  module, then (1) holds with  $r \neq 0 \neq s$  and  $n \geq 4$ .*

*Proof.* By 2.2(f)  $K$  is the unique  $J$ -component of  $M$ ; in particular  $K \trianglelefteq M$ . Since  $V$  is a semisimple  $K$ -module we have

1°.  $V = N_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus N_m$ ,  $m \geq 2$ , where  $N_i$  is a perfect simple  $\mathbb{F}_p K$ -module.

By 2.8  $J$  normalizes  $N_i$  and by 1.2 every best offender on  $V$  is also a best offender on  $N_i$ . Moreover,  $O_p(J/C_J(N_i)) = 1$  since  $N_i$  is simple. Hence

2°.  $J/C_J(N_i)$  and  $N_i$  satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 2.

By 2.2  $K$  is not solvable since  $m \geq 2$ , so  $K$  is a component of  $M$ . Now 2.5 shows that  $J$  acts  $\mathbb{F}_i$ -linearly on  $N_i$ , where  $\mathbb{F}_i = \text{End}_K(N_i)$ . In particular  $[J, C_J(K)] \leq C_J(N_i)$ . Since  $K$  is the unique  $J$ -component and  $K \not\leq C_J(N_i)C_J(K)$ , we get from 2.2(b)  $C_J(N_i)C_J(K) \leq Z(J)$ . Another application of Theorem 2 shows that  $J/KC_J(N_i)$  is a  $p$ -group. Hence  $J/K$  is nilpotent, and since  $J$  is generated by  $p$ -elements and  $O_p(Z(J)) \leq O_p(M) = 1$ , we get that  $Z(J) \leq K$ . It follows:

3°.  $C_J(N_i) \leq C_J(K) = Z(J) = Z(K)$ .

From now on we fix a non-trivial best offender  $A \leq M$ . By 2.3(b) there exists a minimal best offender  $B \leq A$  such that  $[V, B, A] = 0$ ; in particular  $B$  is quadratic on  $V$ .

Note that by (3°)  $C_A(N_i) = 1$ , since  $Z(J)$  is a  $p'$ -group, and that  $B$  is a best offender on  $N_i$  by 1.2. Now (1°) implies

$$|V/C_V(B)| = \prod_{i=1}^m |N_i/C_{N_i}(B)| \leq |B|.$$

Since  $m \geq 2$  there exists  $N \in \{N_1, \dots, N_r\}$  such that

4°.  $|N/C_N(B)| \leq |B|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .

Put  $\mathbb{F} := \text{End}_K(N)$ . Then (2°) and Theorems 2 and 3 imply:

5°.  $J/C_J(N) \cong \text{SL}_n(q)$ ,  $\text{Sp}_n(q)$ ,  $\text{SU}_n(q)$ ,  $\Omega_n^\epsilon(q)$  or  $O_n^\epsilon(q)$  (and  $p = 2$ ),  $n := \dim_{\mathbb{F}} N$  where  $q := |\mathbb{F}|$  if  $J/C_J(N) \not\cong \text{SU}_n(q)$  and  $q = |\mathbb{F}|^{\frac{1}{2}}$  if  $J/C_J(N) \cong \text{SU}_n(q)$ . Moreover,  $N$  is the corresponding natural module.

Let  $N^*$  be the  $\mathbb{F}K$ -module dual to  $N$ . We first treat the cases where each  $N_i$  is isomorphic to  $N$  or  $N^*$ , say  $V \cong N^r \oplus N^{*s}$ ,  $r + s = m$ .

By 1.8(d)  $B$  is quadratic on  $N^*$ . Put

$$D := C_J(C_N(B)) \cap C_J(C_{N^*}(B)), \quad k := \dim_{\mathbb{F}} N/C_N(D), \quad l = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} [N, D].$$

By 1.8(c)  $l = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} N^*/C_{N^*}(D)$ , and by 1.8(d)  $B \leq D$ ,  $C_V(D) = C_V(B)$ ,  $[V, D] = [V, B]$ , and  $D$  is a quadratic offender on  $V$ . Moreover by 1.8(f)  $k + l \leq n$ . We get

6°.  $|V/C_V(D)| = q^{rk+sl} \leq |D|$ .

Recall from 3.2 that  $N$  and  $N^*$  are isomorphic  $\mathbb{F}J$ -modules, if  $J/C_J(N)$  is not isomorphic to  $\text{SL}_n(q)$ . We now treat the cases given in (5°) separately.

**Case 1.** Suppose that  $M \cong \text{SL}_m(q)$  and  $V \cong N^r \oplus N^{*s}$  with  $r + s \geq 2$ . Then (1) holds.

By 3.4  $|D| = q^{kl}$ , and (6°) gives  $|V/C_V(D)| = q^{rk+sl}$ . Thus  $V$  is an FF-module if and only if there exists  $0 < k, l < n$  with  $rk + sl \leq kl$ , that is  $\frac{r}{l} + \frac{s}{k} \leq 1$ . Increasing  $l$  decreases  $\frac{r}{l} + \frac{s}{k}$ . So we may assume that  $k + l = n$ . Put  $g(k) = \frac{r}{n-k} + \frac{s}{k}$ . We will determine the minimal value of  $g(k)$  on the open interval  $(0, n)$ . If  $k$  approaches 0 or  $n$ ,  $g(k)$  approaches  $+\infty$ . So  $f$  obtains a minimum value at some point  $m$  in  $(0, n)$  with  $g'(m) = 0$ . We have  $g'(m) = \frac{r}{(n-m)^2} - \frac{s}{m^2}$ . Straightforward calculations show that  $m = \frac{\sqrt{s}}{\sqrt{r}+\sqrt{s}}n$ ,  $n-m = \frac{\sqrt{r}}{\sqrt{r}+\sqrt{s}}n$  and  $g(m) = \frac{(\sqrt{r}+\sqrt{s})^2}{n}$ . Thus  $g(m) \leq 1$  if and only if  $\sqrt{r} + \sqrt{s} \leq \sqrt{n}$ . So if  $V$  is an FF-module, then  $\sqrt{r} + \sqrt{s} \leq \sqrt{n}$ . (We remark that with a little more effort it can be shown that there even exists an integer  $k$  in  $(0, n)$  with  $g(k) \leq 1$ , so  $V$  is an FF-module if and only if  $\sqrt{r} + \sqrt{s} \leq \sqrt{n}$ .)

In the remaining cases  $M \cong \text{Sp}_n(q)$ ,  $\text{SU}_n(q)$ ,  $\Omega_n^\epsilon(q)$  or  $\text{O}_n^\epsilon(q)$  we get from 3.2(a) that  $N \cong N^*$ . Hence  $k = l$ . Recall that  $[N, D]$  is an isotropic subspace of  $N$  by 3.2(e) since  $D$  is quadratic on  $N$ .

**Case 2.** *Suppose that  $M \cong \text{Sp}_n(q)$  and  $V \cong N^r$  for some  $r \geq 2$ . Then (2) holds.*

By 3.4  $|D| = q^{\binom{k+1}{2}}$  and so as in the case (Case 1)  $rk \leq \frac{k(k+1)}{2}$  and  $2r \leq k+1$ . Since  $[V, D]$  is isotropic and the maximal dimension of an isotropic subspace is  $\frac{n}{2}$  we get  $2r \leq \frac{n}{2} + 1$ . Now  $r \geq 2$  implies  $n \geq 6$ , and (2) holds.

**Case 3.** *Suppose that  $M \cong \text{SU}_n(q)$  and  $V \cong N^r$  with  $r \geq 2$ . Then (3) holds.*

In this case  $|N| = q^{2n}$ . By 3.4  $|D| = q^{k^2}$  and as in the previous cases  $2rk \leq k^2$  and  $2r \leq k$ . Moreover, since  $k + l \leq n$  and  $k = l$ , also  $2k \leq n$  and so  $4r \leq n$ . Now  $r \geq 2$  implies  $n \geq 8$ .

**Case 4.** *Suppose that  $M \cong \Omega_n^\epsilon(q)$  or  $\text{O}_n^\epsilon(q)$  and  $p = 2$ , with  $n$  even if  $p = 2$ , and  $V \cong N^r$  for some  $r \geq 2$ . Then (4) holds.*

Suppose first that  $[N, D]$  is singular. Then by 3.4  $|D| = q^{\binom{k}{2}}$  and so  $rk \leq \binom{k}{2}$  and  $2r \leq k-1$ . Since  $k + l = 2k \leq n$ , we get  $4r \leq 2n-2$ . Now  $r \geq 2$  implies (4).

Suppose next that  $[N, D]$  is not singular. Then  $p = 2$  and so  $n$  is even, and 3.4 yields  $|D| \leq 2q^{\binom{k}{2}}$  and as in the previous cases  $q^{rk} \leq 2q^{\binom{k}{2}}$ . In addition,  $r \geq 2$  implies  $k \geq 2$ . Then

$$rk \leq \log_q 2 + \binom{k}{2} \text{ and } 2r \leq \frac{2 \log_q 2}{k} + k - 1.$$

If  $\frac{2 \log_q 2}{k} \geq 1$ , then  $q = 2 = k$  and  $r = 1$ , a contradiction. Thus  $\frac{2 \log_q 2}{k} < 1$  and  $2r \leq k-1$ . Now again  $2k \leq n$  implies that  $4r \leq 2k-2 \leq n-2$ . Since  $r \geq 2$ ,  $n \geq 10$ , and (4) holds.

**Case 5.** *Suppose  $V$  is not a direct sum of copies of  $N$  and  $N^*$ .*

Without loss  $N_2$  is neither isomorphic to  $N$  nor to  $N^*$ . We will show that this leads to a contradiction.

By (4°)  $B$  is an offender on  $N \oplus N$ . Hence we can apply the previous cases to  $N \oplus N$  in place of  $V$  and get that  $\dim N \geq 3, 6, 8$ , and  $10$ , respectively.

Suppose that  $M/C_M(N) \cong \text{SL}_n(q)$  and  $N$  is the corresponding natural module. Since  $N_2$  is not a natural module, Theorem 2 shows that  $N_2$  is the exterior square of a natural module. For  $n = 3$ ,  $N_2 \cong N^*$  or  $N$ , which is not the case. Hence  $n \geq 4$ . Since  $B$  is an over-offender on  $N_2$ , Theorem 3(b) shows that  $n = 4$ . In this case  $N_2$  is a natural  $\Omega_6^+(q)$ -module for  $J/C_J(N_2)$ . Hence 3.4 gives

$$|N_2/C_{N_2}(B)| = q^s < |B| \leq q^{\binom{s}{2}},$$

where  $s$  is the  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -dimension of a maximal singular subspace of  $N_2$  centralized by  $B$ . On the other hand  $2s \leq 6$  and so  $s \leq 3$ . But then  $s$  does not satisfy the above inequality.

Suppose  $M/C_M(N) \cong \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q)$ . Then by Theorem 2  $n = 3$  and  $N_2$  is a spin module. So we get  $|B| \leq q^5$  and  $|N_2/C_{N_2}(B)| = q^4$ . It follows that  $|N/C_N(B)| \leq q$ , a contradiction to  $|B| \geq q^4$ .

Suppose that  $K/C_K(N) \cong \mathrm{SU}_n(q)$ ,  $n \geq 8$ , or  $\Omega_n^\epsilon(q)$ ,  $n \geq 10$ . Then Theorems 2 and 3 show that every FF-module for  $J$  with an over-offender is a natural module, a contradiction.

Suppose now that  $V$  is not homogeneous as an  $\mathbb{F}_2 J$ -module. Then (1) holds with  $r \neq 0 \neq s$ . Thus  $\sqrt{n} \geq \sqrt{1} + \sqrt{1} = 2$ ,  $n \geq 4$  and all parts of the theorem are proved.  $\square$

**Theorem 8.3.** *Let  $M$  be a finite CK-group with  $O_p(M) = 1$  and  $V$  a faithful  $\mathbb{F}_p M$ -module. Put  $\mathcal{J} := \mathcal{J}_M(V)$ ,  $J := J_M(V)$  and  $W := [V, \mathcal{J}] + C_V(\mathcal{J})/C_V(\mathcal{J})$ . Then the following hold:*

- (a) *Let  $K \in \mathcal{J}$ . Then  $K$  is either quasisimple, or  $p = 2$  or  $3$  and  $K \cong \mathrm{SL}_2(p)'$ .*
- (b)  *$[V, K, L] = 0$  for all  $K \neq L \in \mathcal{J}$ , and  $W = \bigoplus_{K \in \mathcal{J}} [W, K]$ .*
- (c)  *$J^p J' = O^p(J) = F^*(J) = \times \mathcal{J}$ .*
- (d)  *$W$  is a faithful semisimple  $\mathbb{F}_p J$ -module.*
- (e)  *$C_J([W, K]) = C_J([V, K])$ .*

*Proof.* (a) and the first part of (b) follow from 2.2. For the proof of the second part of (b) note that  $C_W(K) = C_{[V, \mathcal{J}]}(K) + C_V(\mathcal{J})/C_V(\mathcal{J})$  since  $K = O^p(K)$ . Thus, by the first part  $C_W(K) \cap [W, K] \leq C_W(\mathcal{J}) = 0$ .

(c): Put  $J_0 := J' J^p$ . First we prove:

1°. *Let  $K \in \mathcal{J}$ . Then  $J_0$  induces inner automorphism on  $K$ .*

Let  $X$  be a quasisimple  $K$ -submodule of  $V$  and  $Y = C_X(K)$ . Then we can apply 2.9 to  $0 \leq Y \leq X \leq V$  and  $S := X/Y$ . By 2.9(a)  $\tilde{J} := J/C_J(S)$  and  $S$  satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 2. We conclude that  $|\tilde{J}/\tilde{K}| \leq p$  and so  $\tilde{J}_0 \leq \tilde{K}$ . Since  $C_J(\tilde{K}) = C_J(K)$  by 2.2(c), (d), (1°) holds.

Let  $D := \langle \mathcal{J} \rangle$ , so  $D = \times \mathcal{J}$  and  $D \leq J_0$  by 2.2. Moreover,  $Z(J) \leq J_0$  since  $Z(J)$  is a  $p'$ -group. By (1°)  $J_0$  induces inner automorphisms on  $D$ . Hence  $J_0 \leq DC_J(D)$ , and by 2.2(g)  $J_0 = DZ(J)$ . Since  $J/J_0$  is an elementary abelian  $p$ -group,  $J/D$  is nilpotent, and since  $J$  is generated by  $p$ -elements  $J/D$  is a  $p$ -group and so  $D = J_0$ .

(d): Since  $O^p(J) \leq \langle \mathcal{J} \rangle$ ,  $J$  acts nilpotently on  $V/[V, \mathcal{J}]$  and  $C_V(\mathcal{J})$ . Hence  $C_J(W)$  acts nilpotently on  $V$  and so  $C_J(W) \leq O_p(M) = 1$ . Thus  $W$  is faithful  $J$ -module.

By 2.8 every perfect simple  $K$ -submodule is also a simple  $J$ -submodule. Hence (d) follows if  $[W, K]$  is a semisimple  $K$ -module. So suppose for a contradiction that  $[W, K]$  is not semisimple  $K$ -module. We will use the bar-convention for the images of subgroups of  $V$  in  $W$ , so  $\bar{X} = X + C_V(D)/C_V(D)$  for  $X \leq V$ .

Let  $X_2 \leq V$  be a  $K$ -submodule of  $W$  that is minimal such that  $X_2 = [X_2, K]$  and  $\bar{X}_2$  is not a semisimple  $K$ -module. The minimality of  $X_2$  implies that  $X_2$  has a unique maximal  $K$ -submodule  $Y_2$  such that  $[Y_2, K] \neq 0$  and  $X_2/Y_2$  is a simple  $K$ -module.

Recall that  $[U, K, K] = [U, K]$  for every  $K$ -section of  $W$  since  $K$  is a  $J$ -component and thus is generated by  $p'$ -elements. It follows that  $C_{Y_2/C_{Y_2}(K)}(K) = 0$ . Hence there exists a  $K$ -submodule  $Y_1$  of  $Y_2$  that is maximal such that  $Y_1 \neq Y_2$  and  $C_{Y_2/Y_1}(K) = 0$ . Put  $X_1 := [Y_2, K] + Y_1$ . Let  $Z_1$  be a  $K$ -submodule of  $Y_2$  with  $Y_1 < Z_1 < Y_2$ . Then by maximality of  $Y_1$ ,  $C_{Y_2/Z_1}(K) \neq 0$ . Let  $Z_2$  be the



inverse image of  $C_{Y_2/Z_1}(K)$  in  $Y_2$ . Then  $C_{Y_2/Z_2}(K) = 0$  and so by maximality of  $Y_1$ ,  $Z_2 = Y_2$ . Hence  $X_1 = [Y_2, K] + Y_1 \leq Z_1$ . It follows that  $X_1/Y_1$  is the unique minimal  $K$ -submodule and  $Y_2/Y_1$  is the unique maximal  $K$ -submodule of  $X_2/Y_1$ , while  $X_1/Y_1$  and  $X_2/Y_2$  are simple  $K$ -modules, and  $X_2/X_1$  is a quasisimple  $K$ -module. In particular,  $K$  and  $X_0 = Y_1 \leq X_1 \leq Y_2 \leq X_2$  satisfy the hypothesis of 2.9. This result shows that  $S := X_1/Y_1 \oplus X_2/Y_2$  and  $\tilde{J} := J/C_J(S)$  satisfies the hypothesis of 8.2 in place of  $V$  and  $M$ . We conclude that

$$\tilde{K} \cong \mathrm{SL}_n(q), n \geq 3, \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q), n \geq 3, \Omega_n^\epsilon(q), n \geq 10, \text{ or } \mathrm{SU}_n(q), n \geq 8,$$

$N := X_1/Y_1$  is a corresponding natural module, and  $X_2/Y_2$  is either isomorphic or dual to  $N$ . In particular,  $C_K(N) = C_K(S) = C_K(X_2/Y_1)$ . Put  $\mathbb{F} := \mathrm{End}_K(N)$ . Note that there exists a  $J$ -invariant symplectic, orthogonal or unitary form on  $N$ , which is non-degenerate with the exception of the natural  $\mathrm{SL}_n(q)$ -module, where it is the zero-form.

Let  $B \leq J$  be a nontrivial quadratic best offender on  $T := X_2/Y_1$  with  $E := [N, B]$  minimal. Since  $B$  is quadratic on  $T$ , by 3.2  $E$  is an isotropic subspace of  $N$ . Put  $P := N_{KB}(E)$  and  $Q = \langle B^P \rangle$ . Then  $[N, Q] \leq E \leq C_N(Q)$  and so  $Q$  is quadratic on  $N$ . In particular

$$Q' \leq C_Q(N) \cap (KB)' \leq C_K(N) = C_K(T).$$

Since  $C_K(T) \leq Z(K)$  is a  $p'$ -group, this implies that  $Q$  is abelian, so  $Q/C_Q(T)$  is elementary abelian. As  $Q$  contains an offender, [MS1, 2.6] and the Timmesfeld Replacement Theorem show that there exists  $R \leq Q$  with  $R \trianglelefteq P$  such that  $R$  is a quadratic best offender on  $T$ . The minimality of  $[N, B]$  gives  $[N, R] = E$ .

Put  $\bar{J} := J/C_J(N)$  and  $U := C_K(E) \cap C_K(N/E)$ . We will show next:

**2°.**  $\bar{U}$  does not possess any central  $\bar{P}$ -chief factor.

Note that  $\bar{R} \cap \bar{K} \leq \bar{U} \trianglelefteq \bar{P}$ . If  $\tilde{K} \cong \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{F})$  or  $\mathrm{SU}_n(\mathbb{F})$ , then  $[\bar{U}, \bar{P}] \neq 1$  and  $\bar{P}$  acts simply on  $\bar{U}$ , so (2°) holds.

Suppose that  $\tilde{K} \cong \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F})$  or  $\Omega_{2n}^\epsilon(\mathbb{F})$ . Let  $l := \dim_{\mathbb{F}} E$ . By 3.4

$$|T/C_T(R)| = q^{2l} \leq |\bar{R}| \leq q^{\binom{l+1}{2}} \text{ resp. } 2q^{\binom{l}{2}}.$$

It follows that  $l \geq 3$  in the first case and  $l \geq 5$  in the second case. Hence 3.5 shows that  $\bar{P}$  has no central chief-factors on  $\bar{U}$  and again (2°) holds.

**3°.**  $C_{KR}(N) = C_{KR}(T)$ .

Put  $C := C_{KR}(N)$  and  $R_0 := R \cap KC$ . Note that  $R_0 \leq UC$ . It follows that

$$R_0C/C \leq UC/C \cong_P \bar{U}.$$

On the other hand  $O^p(\bar{P})$  centralizes  $R_0C/(K \cap R)C$ . Hence (2°) gives  $R_0 \leq (R \cap K)C$ , so  $R_0 = (R \cap K)C_R(N)$ . This shows that

$$KC \cap KR = KR_0 = KC_R(N).$$

By 2.4  $C_R(N) = C_R(K) = C_R(T)$  and, as seen above,  $C_K(N) \leq C_K(T)$ , so  $C_{KR}(N) = C_{KR}(T)$ .

By (3°)  $(KR/C_{KR}(T), T)$  satisfies the hypothesis of 6.6. It follows that there exists a  $K$ -submodule  $U$  of  $T$  with  $T = Y_2/Y_1 + U$  and  $N \not\leq U$ , a contradiction since  $N$  is the unique minimal  $K$ -submodule of  $T$ . Thus (d) is proved.

To proof (e) put  $C = C_J([W, K])$ . Since  $K$  acts faithfully on  $[W, K]$ ,  $C \cap K = 1$  and so  $[C, K] = 1$ . Since  $[V, K] = [V, K, K]$  we have  $[W, K] = [V, K] + C_V(\mathcal{J})/C_V(\mathcal{J})$  and  $[V, K, C] \leq C_V(\mathcal{J})$ . In particular,  $C_J([V, K]) \leq C$ . Let  $c \in C$ . Then  $[V, K, c] \cong [V, K]/C_{[V, K]}(c)$  as a  $K$ -module. But any quotient of  $[V, K]$  is a perfect  $K$  module, while any submodule of  $C_V(\mathcal{J})$  is a trivial  $K$ -module. So  $[V, K, c] = 0$  and  $C \leq C_J([V, K])$ .  $\square$

**The proof of Theorem 1, apart from statement (e):** The first four statements (a) – (d) follow from 8.3. The statements (f) and (g) follow from 8.2.

Theorem 1 (e) will be proved at the very end of the paper.

**Lemma 8.4.** *Let  $M$  be a finite CK-group with  $O_p(M) = 1$  and  $V$  a faithful  $\mathbb{F}_p M$ -module. Suppose that*

- (i)  $M = J_M(V)$  and there exists a unique  $J_M(V)$ -component  $K$ ,
- (ii)  $C_V(K) \leq [V, K]$  and either  $C_V(K) \neq 0$  or  $V \neq [V, K]$ .

Let  $A \leq M$  be a best offender on  $V$  and put  $W := [V, K]$  and  $\bar{V} := V/C_V(K)$ . Then  $p = 2$ , and one of the following holds:

- (a)  $M = K \cong \text{SL}_3(2)$ ,  $V = W$ ,  $|C_V(K)| = 2$ ,  $\bar{V}$  is a natural  $\text{SL}_3(2)$ -module,  $|A| = 4$ ,  $[\bar{V}, A] = 2$  and  $C_V(A) = [V, A]$  has order 4.
- (b)  $M = K \cong \text{SL}_3(2)$ ,  $|V/W| = 2$ ,  $C_V(K) = 0$ ,  $W$  is a natural  $\text{SL}_3(2)$ -module,  $|A| = 4 = |C_W(A)|$  and  $C_V(A) = [V, A] = C_W(A)$ .
- (c)  $M = K \cong \text{SU}_4(2)$ ,  $V = W$ ,  $2 \leq |C_V(K)| \leq 4$ ,  $\bar{V}$  is a natural  $\text{SU}_4(2)$ -module,  $A$  is the centralizer of a singular 2-subspace of  $\bar{V}$ , and  $C_V(A) = [V, A]$ .
- (d)  $M \cong \text{G}_2(q)$ ,  $q = 2^k$ ,  $V = W$ ,  $2 \leq |C_V(K)| \leq q$ ,  $\bar{V}$  is a natural  $\text{G}_2(q)$ -module,  $|A| = q^3$ , and  $C_V(A) = [V, A]$ .
- (e)  $K \cong \text{Alt}(2m)$  and  $M \cong \text{Sym}(2m)$  or  $\text{Alt}(2m)$ . For  $\Omega = \{1, 2, \dots, 2m\}$  let  $N = \{n_\Sigma \mid \Sigma \subseteq \Omega\}$  be the  $2m$ -dimensional natural permutation module and  $\tilde{N}$  be the  $\mathbb{F}_2 M$ -module defined by  $\tilde{N} = N$  as an  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -space and

$$n_\Sigma^g = n_{\Sigma^g} \text{ if } |\Sigma| \text{ is even or } g \in \text{Alt}(\Omega), \text{ and } n_\Sigma^g = n_{\Sigma^g} + n_\Omega \text{ if } |\Sigma| \text{ is odd and } g \notin \text{Alt}(\Omega).$$

Then one of the following holds, where  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m$  is a maximal set of commuting transpositions:

1.  $M = \text{Sym}(n)$ ,  $V$  is isomorphic to  $N$  or  $N/C_N(K)$ , and  $A = \langle t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k \rangle$  for some  $1 \leq k \leq m$ .
  2.  $M = \text{Sym}(n)$ ,  $V \cong \tilde{N}$  and  $A = \langle t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m \rangle$ .
  3.  $V \cong [N, K]$  and  $A$  fulfills one of the cases (h:1) – (h:3) of Theorem 3.
- (f)  $M = K \cong \text{Sp}_{2m}(q)$ ,  $m \geq 1$ ,  $q = 2^k$ ,  $(m, q) \neq (1, 2), (2, 2)$ , and  $\bar{W}$  is the direct sum of  $r$  natural  $\text{Sp}_{2n}(q)$ -modules.<sup>4</sup> Moreover, the following hold:

---

<sup>4</sup>Observe that for  $m = 1$ ,  $\text{Sp}_2(q) \cong \text{SL}_2(q)$  and a natural  $\text{Sp}_2(q)$ -module is also a natural  $\text{SL}_2(q)$ -module.

- (a)  $2r \leq m + 1$ , and if  $V \neq W$  then  $m > 1$  and  $2r < m + 1$ .  
(b) Let  $X$  be the  $2m + 2$ -dimensional  $\mathbb{F}_q M$ -module obtained from the embedding  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2m}(q) \cong \Omega_{2m+1}(q) \leq \Omega_{2m+2}^\pm(q)$ . Then  $V$  is isomorphic to an  $\mathbb{F}_p M$ -section of  $X^r$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $K$  is not quasisimple. Then  $K$  is a  $p'$ -group and  $V = [V, K] \oplus C_V(K)$ . Since  $C_V(K) \leq [V, K]$  this gives  $C_V(K) = 0$  and  $V = [V, K]$ , contrary to the assumptions.

Thus  $K$  is quasisimple. By 8.3,  $\overline{W}$  is a semisimple  $K$ -module and we conclude that there exists simple  $K$ -submodule of  $\overline{U}$  of  $\overline{W}$  such that  $H^1(K, \overline{U}) \neq 0$  or  $H^1(K, \overline{U}^*) \neq 0$ .

Let  $B := C_A([V, A])$ . By the Timmesfeld Replacement Theorem,  $B$  is a non-trivial quadratic best offender on  $V$ . Note that by 2.4 and 1.2  $A$  and  $B$  are offenders on  $\overline{U}$  and  $\overline{W}$ . Comparing 6.1 with Theorem 1(g) we see that  $p = 2$  and the following holds:

**1°.**  $M \cong \mathrm{SL}_3(2), \mathrm{SU}_4(2), \mathrm{G}_2(q), \mathrm{Alt}(2m), \mathrm{Sym}(2m)$  or  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2m}(q)$ , and  $\overline{W}$  is the corresponding natural module, with the exception of the  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2m}(q)$ -case, where  $\overline{W}$  is the direct sum of  $r$  natural modules for some integer  $r$  with  $2r \leq m + 1$ .

We now discuss the cases given in (1°) (and 6.1) separately.

**Case 1.** Suppose  $M \cong \mathrm{SL}_3(2)$  and  $C_W(K) \neq 0$ .

Let  $1 \neq a \in A$ . Since  $W = [W, K]$  has order  $2^4$  and  $K$  is generated by three conjugates of  $a$ ,  $|[W, a]| = |W/C_W(a)| = 4$ . Since  $A$  is an offender we conclude that

$$A = B, |V/C_V(A)| = |A| = |C_W(A)| = 4.$$

In particular  $C_W(A) = [W, A]$ ,  $V = C_V(A) + W$  and  $|\overline{[V, A]}| = 2$ . The latter fact shows that  $V = W + C_V(K)$  and thus  $W = V$ . Hence (a) holds in this case.

**Case 2.** Suppose  $M \cong \mathrm{SL}_3(2)$  and  $C_W(K) = 0$ .

Then  $W$  is a natural module and  $V \neq W$ . As above, for  $1 \neq a \in A$ ,  $|V/C_V(a)| = |A| = 4$ , and  $C_V(a) = C_W(a) = C_V(A)$ . Hence (b) holds.

**Case 3.** Suppose  $M \cong \mathrm{SU}_4(2)$ .

Then  $[\overline{W}, B]$  is a singular subspace of  $\overline{W}$ , and 3.4 shows that  $|B| = 2^4 = |\overline{W}/C_{\overline{W}}(B)|$ . Thus  $A = B$  and  $|V/C_V(A)| = 2^4$ . Moreover, by 5.1  $M$  is generated by two conjugates of  $A$  and so  $|V/C_V(K)| = 2^8$  and  $V = W + C_V(K)$ . Hence  $V = W$ . As  $[V, A]/[V, A] \cap C_V(K)$  has order  $2^4$  and  $M$  is generated by two conjugates of  $A$ ,  $C_V(K) \leq [V, A]$ . Since  $C_{\overline{V}}(A) = [\overline{V}, A]$  this gives  $C_V(A) = [V, A]$ , and (c) holds.

**Case 4.** Suppose  $M \cong \mathrm{G}_2(q)$ .

Then  $|A| = q^3$ ,  $C_{\overline{W}}(A) = [\overline{W}, A]$  has order  $q^3$ ,  $|\overline{W}| = q^6$ , and by 5.2  $M$  is generated by two conjugates of  $A$ . A similar argument as in the  $\mathrm{SU}_4(2)$  case now shows that (d) holds.

**Case 5.** Suppose  $M \cong \mathrm{Alt}(2m)$  or  $\mathrm{Sym}(2m)$ .

Since  $K$  is perfect,  $V$  is as an  $\mathbb{F}_2 K$ -module isomorphic to a section of the  $2m$ -dimensional permutation module  $N$ . If  $V = W$  or  $C_V(K) = 0$  we have  $C_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(K) = 1$  and so  $V$  is also an  $\mathbb{F}_2 M$ -module isomorphic to  $N$ .

If  $H = \mathrm{Sym}(n)$  and  $|V| = 2^{2m}$ , there are two possible isomorphism types for  $V$ , namely  $N$  and  $\tilde{N}$  as described in (e). Note that if  $t$  is a transposition, and  $V \cong \tilde{N}$ , then  $C_V(t) \leq W$ . Since  $A$  is an offender on  $\overline{W}$  we can apply Theorem 3(h).

Suppose that  $C_V(A) \not\leq W$ . Then there exists a proper subset  $\Sigma$  of  $\Omega = \{1, 2, \dots, 2m\}$  such that  $|\Sigma|$  is odd and  $|A|$  normalizes  $\{\Sigma, \Omega \setminus \Sigma\}$ . If  $\Sigma$  is  $A$  invariant, then  $A$  has a fixed-point on  $\Sigma$ . It follows from Theorem 3(h) that  $A$  is generated by transpositions,  $V \cong \tilde{N}$ , and (e:1) holds. So suppose for a contradiction that  $\Sigma^a = \Omega \setminus \Sigma$  for some  $a \in A$ . Then  $|\Sigma| = m$  is odd. So Theorem 3(h:4) does not hold. Put  $A_0 := N_A(\Sigma)$ . Note that  $\text{Supp}(b) = \Omega$  for all  $a \in A \setminus A_0$  and so  $b \in A_0$  for all  $b \in A$  with  $|\text{Supp}(b)| \leq 4$ . In the first three cases of Theorem 3(h),  $A$  is generated by such elements, so  $A = A_0$ , a contradiction.

Suppose that  $C_V(A) \leq W$ . If  $W \neq V$  we conclude that  $A$  is an over-offender on  $W$ . Thus by Theorem 3(h)  $A$  is generated by a maximal set of commuting transpositions. Hence (e:1) or (e:2) holds.

Assume that  $W = V$ . Then  $W \cong [N, K]$ . If  $2m = 8$  and  $A$  acts transitively on  $\Omega$ , then  $C_V(A) = C_V(K)$  and  $|V/C_V(A)| = 2^6 \geq 2^3 = |A|$ , a contradiction. This excludes case (h:4) of Theorem 3, and (e:3) holds.

**Case 6.** *Suppose  $M \cong \text{Sp}_{2m}(q)$ .*

Since  $K$  is perfect we conclude from 6.1, (1°) and 8.2(2) that it remains to prove the second statement of (f:a). Since  $A$  is an offender on  $\bar{V}$  we may assume that  $C_V(K) = 0$  and so  $V \neq W$ .

Suppose that there exists  $v \in C_V(A) \setminus W$ . Then  $C_K(v)$  is contained in a subgroup isomorphic to  $O_{2m}^{\epsilon}(V)$ , and 8.2(4) shows that  $4r \leq 2m - 2$ . Thus  $2r \leq m - 1 < m + 1$ .

Suppose next that  $C_V(A) \leq W$ . Since  $V \neq W$  we conclude that  $A$  is an over-offender on  $W$ . The proof of 8.2(Case 2) now shows that  $r < m + 1$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 8.5.** *Assume the hypothesis of 8.4. Then every best offender in  $M$  on  $V$  is a best offender on  $[V, \mathcal{J}] + C_V(\mathcal{J})/C_V(\mathcal{J})$ .*

*Proof.* According to 1.2 we may assume that  $V = [V, \mathcal{J}]$ . Put  $\bar{V} := V/C_V(\mathcal{J}) =: W$  and  $X := C_V(\mathcal{J})$ . Let  $A$  be a best offender in  $M$  on  $V$ . Choose  $1 \neq B \leq A$  such that  $|B||C_W(B)|$  is maximal and then  $B$  minimal. Since  $A$  is an offender on  $W$ ,  $B$  is a quadratic best offender on  $W$ .

Suppose that  $C_W(B) = \overline{C_V(B)}$ . Since  $A$  is a best offender on  $V$ ,  $|C_V(B)||B| \leq |C_V(A)||A|$  and since  $B \leq A$ ,  $C_X(B) \geq C_X(A)$ . Thus

$$|C_W(B)||B| = \frac{|C_V(B)||B|}{|C_X(B)|} \leq \frac{|C_V(A)||A|}{|C_X(A)|} = |\overline{C_V(A)}||A| \leq |C_W(A)||A|,$$

and so  $A$  is a best offender on  $W$ .

Suppose that  $C_W(B) \neq \overline{C_V(B)}$ . Since  $\bar{V}$  is  $J$ -semisimple by 8.3, there exists a perfect  $J$ -submodule  $Y$  of  $V$  such that  $\bar{Y}$  is simple and  $C_{\bar{V}}(B) \neq \overline{C_Y(B)}$ . Note that there exists a unique  $J$ -component  $K$  with  $[Y, K] \neq 0$ . Moreover,  $Y = [Y, K]$  and  $Y \cap X = C_Y(K) \neq 0$ . Put  $\tilde{J} := J/C_J(Y)$ . The Three Subgroups Lemma implies that  $O_p(\tilde{J})$  centralizes  $Y$  and so we can apply 8.4 to  $(\tilde{J}, \tilde{K}, Y)$  in place of  $(H, K, V)$ .

In Case 8.4(d),(f) we have  $C_J(v) = C_J(\bar{v})$  for all  $v \in V$ , a contradiction.

In Case 8.4(c) we get  $\tilde{A} = \tilde{B}$  and  $C_{\bar{V}}(B) = [\bar{V}, A] = \overline{C_V(A)} = \overline{C_V(B)}$ , contradiction.

Suppose 8.4(e) holds. Then  $A$  is generated by elements of support at most 4 and so  $C_{\bar{V}}(A) = \overline{C_V(A)}$ .

Suppose that 8.4(a) holds. Then  $|\tilde{A}| = 4$  and  $C_{\bar{V}}(A) = [\bar{Y}, A] = \overline{C_Y(A)}$ . Thus  $\tilde{B} \neq \tilde{A}$  and  $|\tilde{B}| = 2 = |\bar{Y}/C_{\bar{V}}(B)|$ . Put  $B_0 = C_B(\bar{Y})$ . Then  $|C_W(B)||B| = |C_W(B_0)||B_0|$ . The minimal choice of  $B$  implies  $B_0 = 1$  and so  $|B| = 2$ . Thus  $|C_W(B)||B| = |W|$ . Since  $A$  is an offender on  $W$ , this gives  $|C_W(B)||B| \leq |C_W(A)||A|$ . Thus  $A$  is a best offender on  $W$ .

Finally Case 8.4(b) does not apply, since  $C_V(K) \neq 0$ .  $\square$

**The proof of Theorem 1(e):** This is 8.5.

## References

- [ATLAS] J.H. Conway, R.T. Curtis, S.P. Norton, R.A. Perkel and R.A. Wilson, Atlas of Finite Groups, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1985.
- [As] M. Aschbacher, *Finite Group Theory*, Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics 10, Cambridge University Press (2000), New York.
- [BHS] D. Bundy, N. Hebbinghaus, B. Stellmacher, The local  $C(G, T)$  Theorem, J. Algebra 300 (2006), no. 2, 741–789.
- [Ch] A. Chermak, Quadratic action and the  $\mathcal{P}(G, V)$ -theorem in arbitrary characteristic, J. Group Theory 2 (1999), 1–13.
- [Co] B.N Cooperstein, An enemies list for factorization theorems, Comm. Algebra 6 (1978), 1239–1288.
- [GLS3] D. Gorenstein, R. Lyons, R. Solomon, *The Classification of the Finite Simple Groups, Number 3* Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Volume 40, Number 3, AMS (1998).
- [GM1] R.M. Guralnick, G. Malle, Classification of  $2F$ -Modules, I, J. Alg. 257 (2002), 348 - 372.
- [GM2] R.M. Guralnick, G. Malle, Classification of  $2F$ -modules, II, *Finite groups 2003*, 117–183, Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co. KG, Berlin, 2004.
- [GLM] R.M. Guralnick, R. Lawther, G. Malle,  $2F$ -modules for nearly simple groups, J. Alg. 307 (2007), 643–676.
- [Gr] R.L. Griess, Schur multipliers of the known finite simple groups, II, The Santa Cruz Conference on Finite Groups (Univ. California, Santa Cruz, Calif., 1979), pp. 279–282, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 37, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1980.
- [JP] W. Jones, B. Parshall, On the 1-cohomology of Finite Groups of Lie-type, in *Proceedings of the Conference of Finite Groups*, ed. W.R. Scott, F.Gross, Academic Press (1976).
- [KS] H. Kurzweil, B. Stellmacher, *Theorie der endlichen Gruppen. Eine Einführung*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1998), 341pp.
- [McL] J. McLaughlin, Some Subgroups of  $SL_n(F_2)$ , Illinois J. Math. 13 (1969), 105–115.
- [M] T. Meixner, Failure of factorization modules for Lie-type groups in odd characteristic, Comm. Alg. 19 (1991), 3193–3222.
- [Me] U. Meierfrankenfeld, A characterization of the spinmodule for  $2 \cdot A_n$ , Arch. Math 57 (1991) 238–246.
- [MS1] U. Meierfrankenfeld, B. Stellmacher, The other PGV Theorem, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova 115 (2006), 41–50.
- [MS3] U. Meierfrankenfeld, B. Stellmacher, Nearly quadratic modules, J. Alg. 319 (2008), 4798–4843.

- [MeSt1] U. Meierfrankenfeld, G. Stroth, On quadratic  $GF(2)$  - modules for Chevalley groups over fields of odd order, Arch. Math. 55 (1990), 105 - 110.
- [MeSt2] U. Meierfrankenfeld, G. Stroth, Quadratic  $GF(2)$  - modules for sporadic groups and alternating groups, Comm. Alg. 18 (1990), 2099 - 2140.
- [Po] H. Pollatsek, First cohomology of some orthogonal groups, J.Alg. 28 (1974), 477-483.
- [St] R. Steinberg, *Lectures on Chevalley Groups*, Notes by J. Faulker and R. Wilson, Mimeographed notes, Yale University Mathematics Department (1968).
- [Ti] F.G. Timmesfeld, A remark on irreducible modules for finite Lie type groups, Arch. Math. 46 (1986), 499-500.