MTH 995-003: Intro to CS and Big Data

Spring 2014

Lecture 25 – April 01, 2014

Inst. Mark Iwen

Scribe: Atreyee Majumder

## Contents

1 Coherence

## 1 Coherence

**Definition 1.** Let  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times N}$  be a matrix with  $\ell_2$ -normalized columns (i.e. such that  $A = (\vec{a}_1, \ldots, \vec{a}_N)$  has  $\|\vec{a}_j\| = 1 \quad \forall j \in [N]$ ). The coherence of A,  $\mu(A)$  is

$$\mu(A) := \max_{i \neq j} |\langle \vec{a}_j, \vec{a}_i \rangle|$$

- If A is an orthogonal matrix, then,  $\mu(A) = 0$ . This is the best case.
- If A has two identical columns, then,  $\mu(A) = 1$ . This is the worst case.
- In general,  $\mu(A) \in [0, 1]$ . The smaller it is, the better.
- It turns out that matrices with low coherence have the R.I.P..
- In order to prove this we need Gershgorin's Disk Theorem.

**Theorem 1** (Gershgorin's Disk Theorem). Let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue of a square matrix  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ . Then,  $\exists$  an index  $j \in [m]$  such that  $|\lambda - A_{j,j}| \leq \sum_{l \in [m] - \{j\}} |A_{j,l}|$ .

*Proof:* Let  $\vec{u} \in \mathbb{C}^m - \{\vec{0}\}$  be an eigenvector for  $\lambda$ . Let  $j \in [m]$  be such that  $|\vec{u}_j| = ||\vec{u}||_{\infty}$ . Then,  $\sum_{l \in [m]} A_{j,l} u_l = \lambda u_j$  such that  $\sum_{l \in [m] - \{j\}} A_{j,l} u_l = \lambda u_j - A_{j,j} u_j$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda - A_{j,j}| \ |u_j| &\leq \sum_{l \in [m] - \{j\}} |A_{j,l}| \ |u_l| \\ &\leq \|\vec{u}\|_{\infty} \sum_{l \in [m] - \{j\}} |A_{j,l}| \end{aligned}$$
(1)

Dividing through by  $|u_j| = \|\vec{u}\|_{\infty}$  yields the desired result.

We can now show that a matrix with small coherence will also have reasonable Restricted Isometry Constants.

1

**Theorem 2.** Let  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times N}$  be a matrix with  $l_2$ -normalized columns. Let  $k \in [N]$ . For all k-sparse vectors  $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{C}^N$ ,

$$\left(1 - (k-1)\mu(A)\right) \|\vec{x}\|_2^2 \leq \|A\vec{x}\|_2^2 \leq \left(1 + (k-1)\mu(A)\right) \|\vec{x}\|_2^2 \tag{\dagger}$$

Note: If (†) is true then it implies that  $A_S^*A_S$  has all eigenvalues in

$$\left[1 - \mu(A)(k-1), 1 + \mu(A)(k-1)\right] \quad \forall S \subset [\mathbf{N}] \text{ with } |\mathbf{S}| \le \mathbf{k}$$

- If (†) is true then it also implies that the R.I.C.  $\epsilon_k(A) \leq \mu(A)(k-1)$ 

Proof of Theorem 2: Let  $S \subseteq [N]$  have |S| = k. Then,  $A_S^* A_S \in \mathbb{C}^{k \times k}$  is positive semi-definite and has k orthonormal eigenvectors.

- Let  $\lambda_{max} = \text{largest eigenvalue} \ge \lambda_{min} = \text{smallest eigenvalue} \ge 0$ .
- If  $\vec{x}$  has support  $\subseteq$  S, then  $||A\vec{x}|| = ||A_S\vec{x}_S|| = \langle A_S^*A_S\vec{x}, \vec{x} \rangle \leq \lambda_{max} ||\vec{x}||_2^2$ . Similarly,  $||A\vec{x}|| = ||A_S\vec{x}_S|| = \langle A_S^*A_S\vec{x}, \vec{x} \rangle \geq \lambda_{min} ||\vec{x}||_2^2$ . Thus, it suffices to bound  $\lambda_{min}, \lambda_{max}$ .
- Let  $\lambda_{min} \leq \lambda \leq \lambda_{max}$  be an eigenvalue of  $A_S^* A_S$ .
- Note that  $(A_S^*A_S)_{j,j} = 1 \ \forall j \in [k]$ . Theorem 1 now tells us that  $|1 \lambda| \leq \sum_{l \in [k] \{j\}} |(A_S^*A_S)_{j,l}|$  for some j. So,  $|1 \lambda| \leq \sum_{l \in S \{j'\}} |\langle \vec{a}_l, \vec{a}_{j'} \rangle| \leq (k 1)\mu(A)$ .

(†) follows by setting  $\lambda = \lambda_{max}$  or  $\lambda_{min}$  and doing some algebra.

• Although coherence implies R.I.P., we **do not** get the right scaling for sparsity in the necessary number of measurements.

**Theorem 3** (Welch Bound). The coherence of a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times N}$  with  $l_2$ -normalized columns satisfies  $\mu(A) \geq \sqrt{\frac{N-m}{m(N-1)}}$ .

Proof: See Theorem 5.7 from [1].

• Theorem 3 implies that  $(\mu(A))^2 \ge \frac{N-m}{m(N-1)}$  $\implies \left(\frac{1}{m(A)}\right)^2 \le \frac{m(N-1)}{N-m}, (m \ge 1)$ 

$$\implies m \gtrsim \left(\frac{1}{\mu(A)}\right)^2 , (N \gg m)$$

- In order to get  $\epsilon_k(A) \leq \epsilon$  by Theorem 2 we need  $\mu(A)(k-1) \leq \epsilon$  $\implies m \gtrsim \frac{(k-1)^2}{\epsilon^2}$  – We end up with quadratic dependence on sparsity!
- Sub-gaussian random matrices and bounded orthonormal (BON) results give us R.I.P. of order k with  $m \sim C \cdot k \cdot \log^{c}(N)$ , which scales much better (linearly) in k.

• Note that asymptotically, the lower bound for  $\mu(A)$  approaches  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}$  as  $N \to \infty$ .

– There are constructions that match this asymptotic lower bound on coherence for small m and N. An example follows:

**Proposition 1** (Proposition 5.13 from [1]). For each prime number  $m \ge 5$  there is an explicit  $m \times m^2$  complex matrix A with  $\mu(A) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}$ .

## References

[1] Simon Foucart, Holger Rauhut. A Mathematical Introduction to Compressive Sensing. Birkhauser Basel, 2013.