MTH 995-003: Intro to CS and Big Data

Spring 2014

Lecture 12 - 13 February, 2014

Inst. Mark Iwen Scribe: Oleksii Karpenko

### 1 Overview

In the last lecture we introduced two important classes of random variables (RVs): sub-exponentials and sub-gausians. Recall that X is a sub-gaussian RV if  $\exists \beta, \kappa > 0$  such that

$$\mathbb{P}\left[|X| \ge t\right] \le \beta e^{-\kappa t^2} \qquad \forall t > 0 \tag{1}$$

This lecture provides a few more important results regarding the characterization of sub-gaussians. We will begin by bounding the absolute moments of sub-gaussian RVs in terms of their parameters  $\beta$  and  $\kappa$ .

# 2 Two Useful Lemmas Concerning Moments and MGFs

**Lemma 1.** If X is a subgaussian random variable with parameters  $\beta > 0$  and  $\kappa > 0$ , then we can bound its moments such that

$$(\mathbb{E}[|X|^p])^{\frac{1}{p}} \le \kappa^{-\frac{1}{2}}\beta^{\frac{1}{p}}p^{\frac{1}{2}} \qquad \forall p \ge 1$$
 (2)

Proof:

Inequality (16) from Lecture 11 tells us that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[|X|^{p}\right] = p \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left[|X| \ge t\right] t^{p-1} dt$$
(3)

After the change of variables  $t \to \frac{u}{\sqrt{2\kappa}}$  we will have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[|X|^{p}\right] = \frac{p}{\left(2\kappa\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left[|X| \ge \frac{u}{\sqrt{2\kappa}}\right] u^{p-1} du \le \frac{\beta p}{\left(2\kappa\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{u^{2}}{2}} u^{p-1} du \tag{4}$$

where we have used the fact that X is a subgaussian RV. After the second change of variables  $x \to -\frac{u^2}{2}$ :

$$\mathbb{E}\left[|X|^{p}\right] \leq \frac{\beta p}{2\kappa^{\frac{p}{2}}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x} x^{\frac{p}{2}-1} dX \tag{5}$$

Note that the integral above is the Gamma-function evaluated at p/2. Thus,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left|X\right|^{p}\right] \leq \frac{\beta p}{2\kappa^{\frac{p}{2}}}\Gamma\left(\frac{p}{2}\right) \tag{6}$$

Applying Sterling's formula to (6) yields

$$\mathbb{E}\left[|X|^{p}\right] \leq \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \cdot \frac{p\beta}{\kappa^{\frac{p}{2}}} \cdot \left(\frac{p}{2}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} e^{\frac{-p}{2}} e^{\frac{1}{6p}} = \kappa^{-\frac{p}{2}} \beta p^{\frac{p}{2}} \left[\sqrt{\frac{p\pi}{(2e)^{p}}} \cdot e^{\frac{1}{6p}}\right] \leq 0.9 \cdot \kappa^{-\frac{p}{2}} \beta p^{\frac{p}{2}} \tag{7}$$

 $\forall p\geq 1.$ 

**Lemma 2.** If X is a subgaussian random variable with parameters  $\beta > 0$  and  $\kappa > 0$ , then  $\exists c \in (0, \kappa)$  and  $\tilde{c} \ge 1 + \frac{\beta c \kappa^{-1}}{1 - c \kappa^{-1}}$  such that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{cX^2}\right] \le \tilde{c}.\tag{8}$$

Proof:

The moment estimate, (6), from the proof of Lemma 1 tells us that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[|X|^{2n}\right] \le \beta \kappa^{-n} n \Gamma(n) = \beta \kappa^{-n} n!$$
(9)

By Fubini and Taylor's Theorem (once again...) we get that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{cX^2}\right] = \int_0^\infty \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{c^n X^{2n}}{n!} d\mathbb{P} \le \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{c^n \mathbb{E}[|X|^{2n}]}{n!}.$$
(10)

The assumption  $c \in (0, \kappa)$  ensures convergence. Applying (9) and then summing up the series yields

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{cX^2}\right] \le 1 + \beta \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c^n \kappa^{-n} \le 1 + \frac{\beta c \kappa^{-1}}{1 - c \kappa^{-1}} \le \tilde{c}.$$
(11)

## 3 A Characterization of Subgaussian Random Variables

**Theorem 1** (see [1], p.193). Let X be a random variable, then:

1. If X is a sub-gaussian RV with parameters  $\beta > 0$ ,  $\kappa > 0$ , and has  $\mathbb{E}[X] = 0$ , then  $\forall c \in \mathbb{R}^+$  with

$$c > \max\left\{\frac{1}{2\kappa} + \frac{4e^2}{\kappa}\ln\left(1+\beta\right), \ \frac{\sqrt{2\beta}e^2}{\kappa\sqrt{\pi}}\right\}$$
(12)

we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\Theta X}\right] \le e^{c\Theta^2} \qquad \forall \Theta \in \mathbb{R}$$
(13)

2. If property (13) holds for  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\mathbb{E}[X] = 0$  and X is a sub-gaussian RV with parameters  $\beta = 2$  and  $\kappa = \frac{1}{4c}$ .

Proof of part (2):

Let  $\Theta > 0$  and t > 0. Then

$$\mathbb{P}[X \ge t] = \mathbb{P}\left[e^{\Theta X} \ge e^{\Theta t}\right] \le e^{-\Theta t} \cdot \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\Theta X}\right]$$
(14)

by Markov's inequality. Using our assumption (13):

$$\mathbb{P}\left[X \ge t\right] \le e^{c\Theta^2 - \Theta t} \tag{15}$$

After minimizing over  $\Theta$ , the optimal value can be shown to be  $\Theta = \frac{t}{2c}$ . Hence,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[X \ge t\right] \le e^{-t^2/4c}.\tag{16}$$

Similarly,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[-X \ge t\right] \le e^{-t^2/4c}.$$
(17)

Applying the union bound, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left[|X| \ge t\right] \le 2e^{-t^2/4c} \tag{18}$$

This gives us subgaussianity as needed, now we shall show that  $\mathbb{E}[X] = 0$ . Note that  $1 + x \leq e^x$  for  $\forall x$ . Thus  $1 + \Theta X \leq e^{\Theta X}$ ,  $\forall \Theta \in \mathbb{R}$ , and so

$$\mathbb{E}\left[1 + \Theta X\right] \le \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\Theta X}\right] \tag{19}$$

Hence, by the inequality (13)

$$1 + \mathbb{E}\left[\Theta X\right] \le e^{c\Theta^2} \tag{20}$$

If  $\Theta$  is sufficiently small

$$1 + \Theta \mathbb{E}[X] \le 1 + 2c\Theta^2 \qquad \forall \Theta \in \left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{c}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{c}}\right)$$
 (21)

$$\mathbb{E}[X]| \le 2c\Theta \qquad \forall \Theta \in \left[0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2c}}\right) \tag{22}$$

It follows that  $\mathbb{E}[X] = 0$ .

#### Proof of part (1):

Let us expand  $\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\Theta X}\right]$  using Taylor's Theorem, Fubini's Theorem, and the assumption that  $\mathbb{E}\left[X\right] = 0$ :

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\Theta X}\right] \le 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Theta^n}{n!} \mathbb{E}\left[|X|^n\right] \le 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{|\Theta|^n}{n!} \mathbb{E}\left[|X|^n\right]$$
(23)

Using Sterling's approximation of n!, Lemma 1, and assuming that  $|\Theta| \leq \Theta_0$  for  $\Theta_0$  sufficiently small, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\Theta X}\right] \le 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{|\Theta|^n \kappa^{-\frac{n}{2}} \beta n^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi} n^n e^{-n}} \le 1 + \frac{\beta \Theta^2 e^2}{\sqrt{2\pi} \kappa} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\Theta_0 \kappa^{-\frac{1}{2}} e\right)^n = 1 + \frac{\Theta^2 \beta e^2}{\sqrt{2\pi} \kappa} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \Theta_0 e^{\kappa^{-\frac{1}{2}}}}$$
(24)

provided that  $\Theta_0 < \frac{\sqrt{\kappa}}{e}$ . Setting  $\Theta_0 = \frac{\sqrt{\kappa}}{2e}$  results in

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\Theta X}\right] \le \exp\left\{\frac{\Theta^2 \sqrt{2\beta}e^2}{\sqrt{\pi}\kappa}\right\}.$$
(25)

The exponent in (25) gives us one of our lower bounds on c we can achieve.

But what happens if  $|\Theta| > \Theta_0$ ? Note that

$$\Theta X - \tilde{c}\Theta^2 = -\left(\sqrt{\tilde{c}}\,|\Theta| - \frac{X}{2\sqrt{\tilde{c}}}\right)^2 + \frac{X^2}{4\tilde{c}} \le \frac{X^2}{4\tilde{c}} \tag{26}$$

 $\forall$  realizations of X and  $\forall \tilde{c} \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . Let the constant from Lemma 2 be  $c_2 \in (0, \kappa)$  such that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{c_2X^2}\right] \le c' \tag{27}$$

by Lemma 2. Then take  $\tilde{c} = \frac{1}{4c_2} = \frac{1}{2\kappa}$ . Now (26) and Lemma 2 imply that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left\{\Theta X - \frac{\Theta^2}{2\kappa}\right\}\right] \le \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left\{\frac{X^2\kappa}{2}\right\}\right] \le c' = 1 + \beta.$$
(28)

Hence,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\Theta X}\right] \le (1+\beta) \exp\left\{\frac{\Theta^2}{2\kappa}\right\} = (1+\beta) \exp\left\{-\ln(1+\beta)\frac{\Theta^2}{\Theta_0^2}\right\} \cdot \exp\left\{\frac{\Theta^2}{2\kappa} + \ln(1+\beta)\frac{\Theta^2}{\Theta_0^2}\right\}$$
(29)

Since  $|\Theta| > \Theta_0$  we now can see that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\Theta X}\right] \le \exp\left\{\Theta^2 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2\kappa} + \frac{\ln(1+\beta)}{\Theta_0^2}\right)\right\}.$$
(30)

The exponent in (30) gives us our other lower bound on c.

In the next lectures we will show that parameter c is quite important, because it is closely related to the size (and sparsity!) of random sampling matrices used in Compressive Sensing.

#### 4 Homework 3

3). Let X be a random variable with the PDF

$$f(x) = p \cdot \delta(x) + \frac{(1-p)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{\frac{-x^2(1-p)}{2}\right\} \quad for \quad p \in (0,1)$$
(31)

Show that X is a subgaussian random variable with  $\mathbb{E}[X] = 0$ ,  $\mathbb{VAR}[X] = 1$  and  $c = \frac{1}{2(1-p)}$ .

4). Let X be a random variable with the PDF

$$f(x) = p \cdot \delta(x) + \left(\frac{1-p}{2}\right) \left[\delta\left(x - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-p}}\right) + \delta\left(x + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-p}}\right)\right] \quad for \quad p \in (0,1)$$
(32)

Note that in this case X = 0 with probability p, and  $X = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-p}}$  each with probability  $\frac{1-p}{2}$ . Show that X is a subgaussian random variable with  $\mathbb{E}[X] = 0$ ,  $\mathbb{VAR}[X] = 1$  and  $c = \frac{1}{1-p}$ . For what values of p can you achieve  $c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-p}}$ ?

# References

[1] Simon Foucart and Holger Rauhut. A Mathematical Introduction to Compressive Sensing. Birkhauser Basel, 2013.