

## Lecture 24 — April 14, 2019

Inst. Mark Iwen

Scribe: Thomas Swearingen

## 1 Overview

In the last lecture we talked about:

- Proving the Rademacher Chaos Lemma

In this lecture we will:

- Show and Prove that RIP implies the Johnson-Lindenstrauss Lemma

## 2 RIP implies the Johnson-Lindenstrauss Lemma

**Theorem 1** (RIP implies the Johnson-Lindenstrauss Lemma). *Let  $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$  have  $|P| = M$ . Choose  $\delta, \eta \in (0, 1)$  and suppose that  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times N}$  has  $\epsilon_{2k}(A) \leq \frac{\eta}{4}$ . Let  $\vec{\psi} \in \mathbb{R}^N$  have i.i.d. Bernoulli entries ( $\pm 1$  with probability  $\frac{1}{2}$ ). Suppose,  $k \geq 16 \ln\left(\frac{4M}{\delta}\right)$ . Then,*

$$(1 - \eta) \|\vec{x}\|_2^2 \leq \left\| A \operatorname{diag}(\vec{\psi}) \vec{x} \right\|_2^2 \leq (1 + \eta) \|\vec{x}\|_2^2$$

$\forall \vec{x} \in P$  with probability  $\geq 1 - \delta$ .

*Proof:* Let  $\vec{x} \in P$  and assume that  $\|\vec{x}\|_2 = 1$ .

- We partition  $[N]$  into disjoint sets  $S_1, \dots, S_{\lceil \frac{N}{k} \rceil} \subseteq [N]$  (i.e. a partition of  $[N]$ ), each of size  $k$ . That is

$$|S_1| = |S_2| = \dots = \left| S_{\lceil \frac{N}{k} \rceil} \right| = k$$

Where  $S_1 \subseteq [N]$  contains the indexes of the  $k$ -largest magnitude entries of  $\vec{x}$ ,  $S_2 \subseteq [N]$  contains the indexes of the  $k$  second-largest magnitude entries of  $\vec{x}$ , etc.

- $D_{\vec{\psi}} = \operatorname{diag}(\vec{\psi})$ , that is, a diagonal matrix with  $\vec{\psi} \in \mathbb{R}^N$  on the diagonal

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| AD_{\vec{\psi}} \vec{x} \right\|_2^2 &= \left\langle AD_{\vec{\psi}} (\vec{x}_{S_1} + \vec{x}_{\bar{S}_1}), AD_{\vec{\psi}} (\vec{x}_{S_1} + \vec{x}_{\bar{S}_1}) \right\rangle \\ &= \left\| AD_{\vec{\psi}} \vec{x}_{S_1} \right\|_2^2 + 2 \left\langle AD_{\vec{\psi}} \vec{x}_{S_1}, AD_{\vec{\psi}} \vec{x}_{\bar{S}_1} \right\rangle + \left\| AD_{\vec{\psi}} \vec{x}_{\bar{S}_1} \right\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

- Expand the last term and rearrange to get

$$\left\| AD_{\vec{\psi}} \vec{x} \right\|_2^2 = \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^{\lceil \frac{N}{k} \rceil} \left\| AD_{\vec{\psi}} \vec{x}_{S_j} \right\|_2^2}_{\text{term 1}} + \underbrace{2 \left\langle AD_{\vec{\psi}} \vec{x}_{S_1}, AD_{\vec{\psi}} \vec{x}_{\overline{S_1}} \right\rangle}_{\text{term 2}} + \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{i \neq j \\ j, i \geq 2}}^{\lceil \frac{N}{k} \rceil} \left\langle AD_{\vec{\psi}} \vec{x}_{S_j}, AD_{\vec{\psi}} \vec{x}_{S_i} \right\rangle}_{\text{term 3}}$$

**Bound on Term 1.** Note:  $\epsilon_k(A) \leq \epsilon_{2k}(A) \leq \frac{\eta}{4}$

$$\begin{aligned} \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{4}\right) \|\vec{x}\|_2^2 &= \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{4}\right) \left\| D_{\vec{\psi}} \vec{x} \right\|_2^2 && D_{\vec{\psi}} \text{ is unitary} \\ &\leq \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{4}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{\lceil \frac{N}{k} \rceil} \left\| D_{\vec{\psi}} \vec{x}_{S_j} \right\|_2^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\lceil \frac{N}{k} \rceil} \left\| AD_{\vec{\psi}} \vec{x}_{S_j} \right\|_2^2 && \text{By RIP} \\ &\leq \left(1 + \frac{\eta}{4}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{\lceil \frac{N}{k} \rceil} \left\| D_{\vec{\psi}} \vec{x}_{S_j} \right\|_2^2 \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{\eta}{4}\right) \|\vec{x}\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

In specific,

$$\left(1 - \frac{\eta}{4}\right) \|\vec{x}\|_2^2 \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\lceil \frac{N}{k} \rceil} \left\| AD_{\vec{\psi}} \vec{x}_{S_j} \right\|_2^2 \leq \left(1 + \frac{\eta}{4}\right) \|\vec{x}\|_2^2$$

**Bound on Term 2.**

$$\begin{aligned} X &:= \left\langle AD_{\vec{\psi}} \vec{x}_{S_1}, AD_{\vec{\psi}} \vec{x}_{\overline{S_1}} \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle A_{S_1} D_{\vec{\psi}_{S_1}} \vec{x}_{S_1}, A_{\overline{S_1}} D_{\vec{\psi}_{\overline{S_1}}} \vec{x}_{\overline{S_1}} \right\rangle \\ &= \underbrace{\left\langle D_{\vec{x}_{\overline{S_1}}} A_{\overline{S_1}}^* A_{S_1} D_{\vec{\psi}_{S_1}} \vec{x}_{S_1}, \vec{x}_{\overline{S_1}} \right\rangle}_{\vec{a}} \end{aligned} \quad \text{Note } \vec{a} \text{ and } \vec{x}_{\overline{S_1}} \text{ are independent}$$

- We can use Hoeffding's inequality from lecture 11a to bound the inner product because of the independence.
- We need a bound for  $\vec{a}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| D_{\vec{x}_{\overline{S_1}}} A_{\overline{S_1}}^* A_{S_1} D_{\vec{\psi}_{S_1}} \vec{x}_{S_1} \right\|_2 &= \sup_{\|\vec{z}\|=1} \sum_{j \geq 2}^{\lceil \frac{N}{k} \rceil} \langle \vec{z}_{S_j}, D_{\vec{x}_{\overline{S_1}}} A_{\overline{S_1}}^* A_{S_1} D_{\vec{\psi}_{S_1}} \vec{x}_{S_1} \rangle \\
&= \sup_{\|\vec{z}\|=1} \sum_{j \geq 2}^{\lceil \frac{N}{k} \rceil} \langle \vec{z}_{S_j}, D_{\vec{x}_{S_j}} A_{S_j}^* A_{S_1} D_{\vec{\psi}_{S_1}} \vec{x}_{S_1} \rangle \\
&\leq \sup_{\|\vec{z}\|=1} \sum_{j \geq 2}^{\lceil \frac{N}{k} \rceil} \|\vec{z}_{S_j}\|_2 \left\| D_{\vec{x}_{S_j}} A_{S_j}^* A_{S_1} D_{\vec{\psi}_{S_1}} \right\|_2 \|\vec{x}_{S_1}\|_2 \quad \text{Cauchy-Schwarz} \\
&\leq \sup_{\|\vec{z}\|=1} \sum_{j \geq 2}^{\lceil \frac{N}{k} \rceil} \|\vec{z}_{S_j}\|_2 \overbrace{\|\vec{x}_{S_1}\|_2}^{\text{bounded by 1}} \|\vec{x}_{S_j}\|_\infty \|A_{S_j}^* A_{S_1}\|_2 \\
&\leq \sup_{\|\vec{z}\|=1} \sum_{j \geq 2}^{\lceil \frac{N}{k} \rceil} \|\vec{z}_{S_j}\|_2 \frac{\|\vec{x}_{S_{j-1}}\|_\infty}{\sqrt{k}} \epsilon_{2k}(A) \quad \text{by Lemma 1 Lect. 15} \\
&\leq \frac{\epsilon_{2k}(A)}{\sqrt{k}} \sup_{\|\vec{z}\|=1} \sum_{j \geq 2}^{\lceil \frac{N}{k} \rceil} \|\vec{x}_{S_{j-1}}\|_2 \|\vec{z}_{S_j}\|_2 \\
&\leq \frac{\epsilon_{2k}(A)}{\sqrt{k}} \sup_{\|\vec{z}\|=1} \sum_{j \geq 2}^{\lceil \frac{N}{k} \rceil} \frac{\overbrace{\|\vec{x}_{S_{j-1}}\|_2^2}^{\text{bounded by 1}} \overbrace{\|\vec{z}_{S_j}\|_2^2}^{\text{bounded by 1}}}{2} \quad \text{Cauchy-Schwarz} \\
&\leq \frac{\epsilon_{2k}(A)}{\sqrt{k}} \quad \left( \leq \frac{\eta}{4} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Hoeffding's inequality from lecture 11a yields,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P} \left( \left| 2 \langle AD_{\vec{\psi}} \vec{x}_{S_1}, AD_{\vec{\psi}} \vec{x}_{\overline{S_1}} \rangle \right| \geq t \right) &\leq 2 \exp \left( -\frac{t^2 k}{2\epsilon_{2k}^2(A)} \right) \\
&\leq 2 \exp \left( -\frac{8kt^2}{\eta^2} \right) \quad \forall t > 0
\end{aligned}$$

**Bound on Term 3.** Term 3 can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{\substack{i \neq j \\ j, i \geq 2}}^{\lceil \frac{N}{k} \rceil} \langle \vec{\psi}, D_{\vec{x}_{S_j}} (A_{S_j}^* A_{S_i}) D_{\vec{x}_{S_i}} \vec{\psi} \rangle = \vec{\psi}^* B \vec{\psi}$$

where

$$B_{k,l} = \begin{cases} x_k \vec{a}_k^* \vec{a}_l x_l & k, l \in \overline{S_1} \text{ and belong to different } S_j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Note:

- B has 0's on the diagonal
- B is symmetric

We can use Lemma 2 (Rademacher Chaos) from Lecture 22 to bound term 3. But first we have to bound  $\|B\|_F$  and  $\|B\|_2$ . A bound on  $\|B\|_2$  can be found using a method similar to that in Lecture 23.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|B\|_F^2 &= \sum_{\substack{j,k \geq 2 \\ j \neq k}} \sum_{i \in S_j} \sum_{l \in S_k} (x_i \vec{a}_i^* \vec{a}_l x_l)^2 \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{j,k \geq 2 \\ j \neq k}} \sum_{i \in S_j} x_i^2 \left\| D_{\vec{x}_{S_k}} A_{S_k}^* a_i \right\|_2^2 \\
 &\leq \sum_{\substack{j,k \geq 2 \\ j \neq k}} \sum_{i \in S_j} x_i^2 \|\vec{x}_{S_k}\|_\infty^2 \|A_{S_k}^* a_i\|_2^2 \\
 &\leq (\epsilon_{2k}(A))^2 \sum_{\substack{j,k \geq 2 \\ j \neq k}} \|\vec{x}_{S_j}\|_2^2 \frac{\|\vec{x}_{S_{k-1}}\|_2^2}{k} \quad \text{by HW problems below}
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{\substack{i \neq j \\ j, i \geq 2}}^{\lfloor \frac{N}{k} \rfloor} \langle AD_{\vec{\psi}} \vec{x}_{S_j}, AD_{\vec{\psi}} \vec{x}_{S_i} \rangle \leq \frac{(\epsilon_{2k}(A))^2}{k}$$

**Combining the Bounds.** For term 2 choose  $t = \frac{\eta}{8}$ . Similarly for term 3 choose  $t$  as a function of  $\eta$ . Then combine to get the statement in Theorem 1.  $\square$

### 3 Homework

**Problem 1** Prove

$$\|\vec{x}_{S_j}\|_\infty \leq \frac{\|\vec{x}_{S_{j-1}}\|_2}{\sqrt{k}}$$

**Problem 2** Prove

$$\left\| A_{S_j}^* \vec{a}_i \right\|_2^2 \leq (\epsilon_{2k}(A))^2 \forall i \in S_j$$