

Lecture: Mon 10/17

1. Why bother with complex numbers \mathbb{C} ?

- Define new number systems to solve equations that have no solutions in old number systems.
- $x + 1 = 0$ has no soln in \mathbb{N} , so define \mathbb{Z} (negative numbers)
- $2x - 1 = 0$ has no soln in \mathbb{Z} , so define \mathbb{Q} (fractions)
- $x^2 - 2$ has no soln in \mathbb{Q} , so define \mathbb{R} (irrational numbers)
- $x^2 + 1 = 0$ has no soln in \mathbb{R} , so define \mathbb{C} (imaginary numbers)

2. Formal definition of \mathbb{C}

- As with \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{R} , we do not try to uncover the “essence” of a new number like $i = \sqrt{-1}$. We just define it by enough information to determine all its properties.
- $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} = \{(a, b) \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R}\}$, pairs of real numbers: (a, b) represents the complex number $a + bi$.
- Addition: $(a, b) + (c, d) := (a + c, b + d)$.
Motivation: $(a+bi) + (c+di) = (a+c) + (b+d)i$.
- Multiplication: $(a, b) \cdot (c, d) := (ac - bd, ad + bc)$.
Motivation: $(a+bi) \cdot (c+di) = ac + bdi^2 + adi + bci = (ac - bd) + (ad + bc)i$.
- Check the field axioms for \mathbb{C} . Identity elements: $(0, 0)$, $(1, 0)$.
Multiplicative associativity:

$$\begin{aligned} [(a, b) \cdot (c, d)] \cdot (e, f) &= (ace - adf - bcf - bde) + (acf + ade + bce - bdf)i \\ &= (a, b) \cdot [(c, d) \cdot (e, f)]. \end{aligned}$$

- The only tricky property is the existence of multiplicative inverses. We *should* have:

$$\frac{1}{a + bi} = \frac{a - bi}{(a + bi)(a - bi)} = \frac{a - bi}{a^2 + b^2} = \frac{a}{a^2 + b^2} - \frac{b}{a^2 + b^2}i.$$

This is motivation, but proves nothing, because we have not established that $1/(a + bi)$ even exists.

- Given $(a, b) \neq (0, 0)$, we *define* the multiplicative inverse as:

$$(a, b)^{-1} := \left(\frac{a}{a^2 + b^2}, -\frac{b}{a^2 + b^2} \right).$$

Now we *prove* that $(a, b) \cdot (a, b)^{-1} = (1, 0)$ by applying the definition of multiplication.

- Notation: a real number $a \in \mathbb{R}$ is identified with $(a, 0)$, so we can regard $\mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C}$. Define $i := (0, 1)$.
- Prove that $i^2 = -1$ and $(a, b) = a + b \cdot i$.

3. Geometric picture of \mathbb{C}

- Picture: $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R}^2$, $x + iy = (x, y)$, vectors in the real plane
- Addition of complex numbers = usual addition of vectors (diagonal of parallelogram)
- Multiplication of complex numbers = some kind of multiplication of plane vectors:

$$(a + ib) \cdot (x + iy) = (a, b) \cdot (x, y).$$

- Multiplying by $a = (a, 0)$, we have

$$a \cdot (x, y) = (ax, ay) = \text{stretch } (x, y) \text{ by } a,$$

the usual scalar multiple of a vector

- Multiplying by $i = (0, 1)$, we have

$$i \cdot (1, 0) = (0, 1) \quad , \quad i \cdot (0, 1) = (-1, 0)$$

and: $(x, y) \mapsto i \cdot (x, y) = (-y, x)$ is an \mathbb{R} -linear map. Thus:

$$i \cdot (x, y) = \text{rotate } (x, y) \text{ by } 90^\circ.$$

- Multiplying by a unit-length vector $u = \cos\theta + i \sin\theta = (\cos\theta, \sin\theta)$:

$$u \cdot (1, 0) = (\cos\theta, \sin\theta) \quad , \quad u \cdot (0, 1) = (-\sin\theta, \cos\theta)$$

and $(x, y) \mapsto u \cdot (x, y)$ is an \mathbb{R} -linear map. Thus:

$$u \cdot (x, y) = \text{rotate } (x, y) \text{ by } \theta.$$

•

$$\begin{aligned} (\cos \theta + i \sin \theta) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} x \cos \theta - y \sin \theta \\ x \sin \theta + y \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

4.

•

•

•

•