

Numbers modulo n . We have previously seen examples of clock arithmetic, an algebraic system with only finitely many “numbers.” In this lecture, we make a formal analysis.

DEFINITION: Fix a positive integer n , the *modulus*, and let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. We say a is *equivalent to b modulo n* , in symbols $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$, to mean that $n \mid (a-b)$.

EXAMPLE: A standard clock with $n = 12$ hours has hour marks at $1, 2, \dots, 11, 12$ o'clock. The time 13 hours after noon is 1 o'clock, which corresponds to $13 \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$. Similarly, 11 hours before noon is also 1 o'clock, since $-11 \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$; and 0 hours (noon itself) is 12 o'clock, since $0 \equiv 12 \pmod{12}$. Note that we consider only *whole number hours*, never fractions of an hour.

For a fixed modulus n , the relation \equiv has the properties of an *equivalence relation* on the set of integers. For any $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$, we can show:

- Reflexive: $a \equiv a$
- Symmetric: If $a \equiv b$, then $b \equiv a$.
- Transitive: If $a \equiv b$ and $b \equiv c$, then $a \equiv c$

Each element $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ has its *equivalence class* \bar{a} , the set of all elements equivalent to it:

$$\bar{a} = \{b \in \mathbb{Z} \mid b \equiv a\}.$$

Note: Some authors denote the equivalence class as $[a]$.

In the clock example with $n = 12$, each class consists of all the hours before or after noon which give the same clock-time:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{0} &= \{\dots, -12, 0, 12, 24, \dots\} \\ \bar{1} &= \{\dots, -11, 1, 13, 25, \dots\} \\ \bar{2} &= \{\dots, -10, 2, 14, 26, \dots\} \\ &\vdots \\ \bar{11} &= \{\dots, -1, 11, 23, 35, \dots\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the next class $\bar{12} = \{\dots, -12, 0, 12, 24, 36, \dots\}$ is actually the same set as $\bar{0}$: that is, $\bar{12} = \bar{0}$, since $12 \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$. Similarly, $\bar{13} = \bar{-11} = \bar{1}$, etc. These classes have no common elements, and form a partition of the set \mathbb{Z} :

$$\mathbb{Z} = \bar{0} \cup \bar{1} \cup \bar{2} \cup \dots \cup \bar{11}.$$

LEMMA: For fixed n , the following conditions are logically equivalent. For any $a, a' \in \mathbb{Z}$:

- (i) The numbers are equivalent modulo n : $a \equiv a' \pmod{n}$.
- (ii) The numbers have the same equivalence class modulo n : $\bar{a} = \bar{a}'$.
- (iii) The numbers have the same remainder when divided by n :

$$a = qn + r \quad \text{and} \quad a' = q'n + r \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq r < n.$$

DEFINITION: We write $\mathbb{Z}_n = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}, \dots, \overline{n-1}\}$, the set of all equivalence classes modulo n .

Modular operations. We would like to define addition and multiplication operations on the classes in \mathbb{Z}_n by adding or multiplying the integers in each class. However, there is a danger of ambiguity: we do not know which element in each class to add or multiply.

For the example of $n = 12$, we can try to compute in \mathbb{Z}_{12} as follows:

$$\bar{3} + \bar{11} = \bar{14} = \bar{2}, \quad \bar{3} \cdot \bar{11} = \bar{33} = \bar{9},$$

since $3 + 11 = 14 \equiv 2$ and $3 \cdot 11 = 33 \equiv 9 \pmod{12}$. Now, we could also take the alternative forms $\bar{3} = \bar{27}$ and $\bar{11} = \bar{-1}$, and do the same computation with these:

$$\bar{27} + \bar{-1} = \bar{26} = \bar{2}, \quad \bar{27} \cdot \bar{-1} = \bar{-27} = \bar{9},$$

since $27 + (-1) = 26 \equiv 2$ and $27 \cdot (-1) = -27 \equiv 9 \pmod{12}$. The answers came out the same, but why? In fact, this will always happen:

PROPOSITION: Fix a modulus n . For $a, a', b, b' \in \mathbb{Z}$, suppose $a \equiv a'$ and $b \equiv b'$. Then:

$$a + b \equiv a' + b' \quad \text{and} \quad ab \equiv a'b'.$$

Proof. The hypothesis $a \equiv a'$ and $b \equiv b'$ means $n \mid (a - a')$ and $n \mid (b - b')$. Then:

$$n \mid (a - a') + (b - b') = (a + b) - (a' + b'),$$

so by definition $a + b \equiv a' + b'$. Also, n divides the integer combination:

$$(a - a')b + (b - b')a' = ab - a'b + ba' - b'a' = ab - a'b'.$$

That is, $n \mid (ab - a'b')$, so by definition $ab \equiv a'b'$. Q.E.D.

This means that we can unambiguously add and multiply equivalence classes.

DEFINITION: For $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, define the sum $\bar{a} + \bar{b}$ to be $\overline{a+b}$, the class of the integer sum $a+b$. Define the product $\bar{a} \cdot \bar{b}$ to be \overline{ab} , the class of the integer product ab .

The proposition guarantees that if $\bar{a} = \overline{a'}$ and $\bar{b} = \overline{b'}$, then $\overline{a+b}$ is the same class as $\overline{a'+b'}$, and the same for multiplication. The sum or product is specified as a unique class, and we say the operations are *well-defined*.

Properties of modular arithmetic. The addition and multiplication on \mathbb{Z}_n satisfy most of the usual group properties familiar from the real numbers. They are easily shown to be closed, associative, commutative, and distributive. Also $\bar{0}$ is the additive identity, and $\overline{-a}$ is the additive inverse of \bar{a} . Finally, $\bar{1}$ is the multiplicative identity.

The only group axiom which is not clear for \mathbb{Z}_n is multiplicative inverses: any $\bar{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ with $\bar{a} \neq \bar{0}$ should have some $\bar{b} \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ with $\bar{a} \cdot \bar{b} = \bar{1}$. (We denote such \bar{b} by \bar{a}^{-1} .) Note that we cannot just take \bar{b} to be $\overline{1/a}$ or $\frac{1}{a}$, because we do not allow fractional modular numbers in \mathbb{Z}_n . Rather, we must find an integer $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\bar{a} \cdot \bar{b} = \bar{1}$, meaning $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$. This means $n \mid ab - 1$, or $ab - 1 = nk$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. If we rewrite this as $a(b) + n(-k) = 1$, we recognize this as a familiar problem: find an integer solution $(x, y) = (b, -k)$ to the equation:

$$ax + ny = 1, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Using the Euclidean Algorithm, we can find a solution provided $\gcd(a, n) = 1$, but not otherwise. In other words, $\bar{b} = \bar{a}^{-1}$ exists if and only if a is relatively prime to n .

For the example of \mathbb{Z}_{12} , we can find $\bar{5}^{-1}$ by solving $5x + 12y = 1$. The Euclidean Algorithm gives $5(5) - 2(12) = 1$, or $5(5) + 12(-2) = 1$, so that $(b, k) = (x, -y) = (5, 2)$. That is, $b = 5$, so $\bar{5}^{-1} = \bar{b} = \bar{5}$, and indeed: $\bar{5} \cdot \bar{5} = \overline{25} = \bar{1}$. Thus, $\bar{5} \in \mathbb{Z}_{12}$ is analogous to $a = -1 \in \mathbb{R}$, which has $a^2 = 1$ and hence $a^{-1} = a$.

On the other hand, if we want $\bar{3}^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{12}$, we would have to solve $3x + 12y = 1$. This is impossible since the left side is divisible by $\gcd(3, 12) = 3$, but the right side 1 is not divisible by 3.

There is one case in which every non-zero element $\bar{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ has an inverse $\bar{a}^{-1} = \bar{b} \in \mathbb{Z}_n$:

PROPOSITION: If $n = p$ is prime, then the non-zero classes $\mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{\bar{0}\}$ with the multiplication operation form a commutative group.

Proof. As noted above, the only non-obvious condition is the existence of inverses. If $\bar{a} \neq \bar{0}$, then $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, meaning $p \nmid a$. Since p is prime, this implies $\gcd(a, p) = 1$, and the Euclidean algorithm gives integers $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $ax + py = 1$. Then $ax - 1 = -py$, so $ax \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, so $\bar{a} \cdot \bar{x} = \bar{1}$, and $\bar{a}^{-1} = \bar{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Q.E.D.

For example, for the prime modulus $n = p = 11$, we can check that:

$$\bar{1} = \bar{1} \cdot \bar{1} = \bar{2} \cdot \bar{6} = \bar{3} \cdot \bar{4} = \bar{5} \cdot \bar{9} = \bar{7} \cdot \bar{8} = \bar{10} \cdot \bar{10},$$

so every non-zero $\bar{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{11}$ has a multiplicative inverse.

Modular algebra. Since \mathbb{Z}_p (for p a prime) obeys all the usual axioms of addition and multiplication, almost everything we know about algebra carries over to \mathbb{Z}_p , provided we remember that $\bar{p} = \bar{0}$.

For example, the quadratic formula gives the solutions to the equation $ax^2 + bx + c = 0$:

$$x = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a}.$$

Now, if we want to solve an equation like:

$$x^2 + \bar{2}x + \bar{3} = 0 \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{Z}_{11},$$

we apply the quadratic formula to the number system \mathbb{Z}_{11} . We need the square root of $b^2 - 4ac = \overline{-8} = \bar{3}$, which by definition is some $y \in \mathbb{Z}_{11}$ with $y^2 = \bar{3}$. By trial and error we find $\bar{5}^2 = \overline{25} = \bar{3}$, so we take $y = \pm\bar{5}$. Also, dividing by $2a = \bar{2}$ means multiplying by $\bar{2}^{-1} = \bar{6}$. Thus we get:

$$x = (-b \pm y)(2a)^{-1} = (-\bar{2} \pm \bar{5})(\bar{6}) = \overline{18}, \overline{-42} = \bar{7}, \bar{2}.$$

Check: for $x = \bar{7}$, we have: $(\bar{7})^2 + \bar{2}(\bar{7}) + \bar{3} = \overline{66} = \bar{0}$, and similarly for $x = \bar{2}$.

Public-key cryptography. The coding methods used in internet security have one basic requirement: a *trap-door function*, namely a bijection $f : S \rightarrow S$ on some finite set S , such that f is publicly known and efficiently computable, but its inverse function is not practically computable without knowing a secret number, the so-called *private key*. That is, anyone can compute $f(a) = b$, but given only the function f and output b , no one can recover the input a with a reasonable amount of computing power, unless they have access to the private key number.

Public-key cryptography (conceived by Diffie and Hellmann in 1976) is a paradigm for secret communication over insecure channels. Everyone has a personal trap-door function f , which they reveal publicly; but they keep their private key d a secret. The sender puts a message into the form of a number $a \in S$, encodes it as $b = f(a)$ using the recipient's public function f , then transmits the encoded message b along an insecure connection to the recipient, who recovers the message a with her private key d . However, an attacker who intercepts the encoded message b will be unable to recover a , not knowing the private key. (The image is that the message a falls through the trap-door f and becomes $b = f(a)$; then it cannot climb out without the rope d .)

A baby example of a trap-door function is multiplication in \mathbb{Z}_p for some large prime p . Fix some value $\bar{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, and take $f(x) = \bar{c}x$ for $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Given an output $\bar{b} = f(\bar{a}) = \bar{c}\bar{a}$, to recover \bar{a} we would need to perform the inverse of multiplication by \bar{c} , i.e. multiplication by $\bar{d} = \bar{c}^{-1}$. If we did not know the Euclidean Algorithm, it would be difficult to find \bar{d} , and thus not practical to recover $\bar{a} = \bar{d}\bar{b}$. We could then take \bar{d} as the private key, and have a good trap-door function: no one could undo $f(x)$ unless they knew the secret value $\bar{d} = \bar{c}^{-1}$.

However, we do know the Euclidean algorithm, so we need a better trap-door function. The one used by the ubiquitous RSA coding method is not multiplication, but exponentiation in \mathbb{Z}_n . For appropriate positive integers n and c , we define the function $f : \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n$ by $f(x) = x^c$. The inverse function is very difficult to compute, even though everyone knows n and c . In fact, it is possible to find certain n and pairs (c, d) such that, for any output $\bar{b} = f(\bar{a}) = \bar{a}^c$, we recover the input as $\bar{a} = \bar{b}^d$. We then make public the function f , but keep d as the private key.

Such pairs (c, d) are found by analyzing the multiplicative structure of \mathbb{Z}_n , where the modulus is a product of two large primes: $n = pq$ with p, q prime. We say $\bar{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ is *invertible* if there exists an inverse \bar{a}^{-1} , i.e. if $\gcd(a, n) = 1$. The set of invertible classes is denoted \mathbb{Z}_n^\times , and it forms a multiplicative group. The size of this group, the number of invertible elements, is $(p-1)(q-1)$. (Try to prove this.) Euler's Theorem says that, for any $\bar{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_n^\times$, we have $\bar{a}^{(p-1)(q-1)} = \bar{1}$. Now we use the Euclidean Algorithm to compute an inverse pair $cd \equiv 1 \pmod{(p-1)(q-1)}$, so that $cd = 1 + k(p-1)(q-1)$. Now we define:

$$f : \mathbb{Z}_n^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n^\times, \quad f(x) = x^c.$$

We choose our message-numbers to be $\bar{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_n^\times$, and $\bar{b} = f(\bar{a}) = \bar{a}^c$ can be reversed by:

$$\bar{b}^d = \bar{a}^{cd} = \bar{a}^{1+k(p-1)(q-1)} = \bar{a}\bar{1}^k = \bar{a},$$

and we decode the message \bar{a} . However, an attacker who knows only $n = pq$ and c would not be able to find d , since that requires knowing $(p-1)(q-1) = n - p - q + 1$, which requires finding the factors p, q . But there is no known practical factoring algorithm for very large n .

Problems

1. Prove that the relation \equiv modulo n has the properties stated on p. 1: reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.

2. The Lemma on p. 1 asserts that the three conditions (i), (ii), (iii) are all logically equivalent. A complete proof requires several independent parts.

a. Prove (i) \Rightarrow (ii). That is, if $a \equiv a' \pmod{n}$, then the equivalence classes \bar{a} and \bar{a}' are the same set. Hint: You do not need to consider the definition of $a \equiv b$ or mess with divisibility: just use the basic properties of \equiv in part (a) to show that $b \in \bar{a} \iff b \in \bar{a}'$.

b. Prove (ii) \Rightarrow (i). That is, if $\bar{a} = \bar{a}'$ are the same set, then $a \equiv a' \pmod{n}$. Hint: Again, this follows immediately from the definition of \bar{a} , without worrying about divisibility.

c. Prove (i) \Rightarrow (iii): that is, if $a \equiv a' \pmod{n}$, then a and a' have the same remainder when divided by n . Hint: By the Division Lemma, we can always write $a = qn + r$ and $a' = q'n + r'$, and you must show that $r = r'$.

d. Prove (iii) \Rightarrow (i): that is, if a and a' have the same remainder mod n , then $a \equiv a'$.

3. Consider the modular number system \mathbb{Z}_9

a. Write the complete 9×9 addition and multiplication tables. For example, we have $\bar{6} + \bar{7} = \bar{13} = \bar{4}$, so in the addition table, the entry in the $\bar{6}$ row and $\bar{7}$ column should be $\bar{4}$. Hint: For simplicity, don't write the lines over the numbers in the table: just keep in mind that all the entries are classes in \mathbb{Z}_9 , so that everything is modulo 9.

b. Looking at the multiplication table, determine which elements $\bar{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_9$ have inverses \bar{a}^{-1} . Explain how this matches the general rule for when \bar{a}^{-1} exists at the top of p. 3.

c. Determine which elements have square roots. That is, for which $\bar{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_9$ is there some $\bar{b} \in \mathbb{Z}_9$ with $\bar{b}^2 = \bar{a}$?

d. Use the quadratic formula to solve the equation $x^2 + \bar{3}x + \bar{5} = \bar{0}$ for $x \in \mathbb{Z}_9$.

4. I have encoded a secret message by the following method. Each letter of my message is represented by a number using the obvious code A = 1, B = 2, ..., Z = 26, and also: comma = 27, period = 28, exclamation point = 29, question mark = 30, space = 31.

Next, I encrypt each number by the function $f : \mathbb{Z}_{31} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{31}$ with $f(x) = \bar{7}x$. For example, the letter T is the 20th letter of the alphabet, and $f(\bar{20}) = \bar{7} \cdot \bar{20} = \bar{140} = \bar{16}$, so the encrypted number is 16.

The encrypted numbers of my message are:

4, 23, 22, 4, 2, 17.

Break this code and find the original message. That is, for each encrypted number $b = f(a)$, reverse the function f to find the original a , and look up its letter. Hint: What is the reverse operation of multiplying by $\bar{7}$ in \mathbb{Z}_{31} ?