

Background: The Mean Value Theorem. This is an essential tool to prove basic facts about derivatives and integrals, making sure there can be no exceptions.

MEAN VALUE THEOREM 1: If a function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable on an interval $[a, b]$, then one of its tangent slopes inside the interval is equal to the secant slope across the interval: there is some $c \in (a, b)$ with

$$f'(c) = \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b - a}.$$

Proof. Consider the vertical difference between the secant line and the graph $y = f(x)$:

$$g(x) = \frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a}(x-a) + f(a) - f(x).$$

This function is differentiable over the interval with end values $g(a) = g(b) = 0$, so it has a max or min point $c \in (a, b)$, and we must have $g'(c) = 0$. (This is Rolle's Theorem, easy to show from the definition $g'(c) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(x)-g(c)}{x-c}$.) But $g'(x) = \frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a} - f'(x)$, so:

$$0 = g'(c) = \frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a} - f'(c).$$

MEAN VALUE THEOREM 2: If a function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous on an interval $[a, b]$, then one of its values inside the interval is equal to the average value over the interval: there is some $c \in (a, b)$ with

$$f(c) = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) dt.$$

Proof. Consider the integral function $F(x) = \int_a^x f(t) dt$. The First Fundamental Theorem of Calculus says this has derivative $F'(x) = f(x)$ for $x \in [a, b]$. (This is because the rate of change of the area under the graph above $[a, x]$ is equal to the height at the right edge.)

Now, applying MVT 1 to $F(x)$, there is some $c \in (a, b)$ with:

$$f(c) = F'(c) = \frac{F(b)-F(a)}{b-a} = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) dt.$$

To illustrate the use of the Mean Value Theorem, we need it to prove:

MONOTONICTY: If $f'(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in [a, b]$, then f is increasing on $[a, b]$.

Proof. Applying MVT 1 to any subinterval $[\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}] \subset [a, b]$ gives $c \in (\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})$ with:

$$\frac{f(\tilde{b})-f(\tilde{a})}{\tilde{b}-\tilde{a}} = f'(c) \geq 0.$$

Multiplying both sides of the inequality by $\tilde{b}-\tilde{a} > 0$ shows $f(\tilde{b}) - f(\tilde{a}) \geq 0$ and $f(\tilde{b}) \geq f(\tilde{a})$ for any $a \leq \tilde{a} < \tilde{b} \leq b$.

Problems

0. First, prove the familiar UNIQUENESS THEOREM: If f_1, f_2 have the same derivative $f_1'(x) = f_2'(x)$ for all $x \in [a, b]$, then $f_1(x) = f_2(x) + C$ for a constant C . *Hint:* Apply MVT 1 to the function $g(x) = f_1(x) - f_2(x)$ over any subinterval $[\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}] \subset [a, b]$.

Next, recall that for a vector field $\vec{F}(x, y) = (p(x, y), q(x, y))$, we defined curl $\vec{F}(x, y)$ as a kind of derivative which measures the counterclockwise rotation of \vec{F} near each point (x, y) . We defined this in two ways: geometrically, it is the rate of circulation around a small loop near (x, y) , per unit area enclosed; computationally, we add a counterclockwise contribution from the change in the vertical component of \vec{F} over a short horizontal increment, $\frac{\partial q}{\partial x}$, and a clockwise contribution from the change in the horizontal component of \vec{F} over a short vertical increment, $\frac{\partial p}{\partial y}$. The main goal is to prove the equivalence of these two definitions.

THEOREM: For given $x, y, \Delta x, \Delta y$, let $\mathbf{c}(t)$ be a closed rectangular curve from (x, y) to $(x + \Delta x, y)$ to $(x + \Delta x, y + \Delta y)$ to $(x, y + \Delta y)$ back to (x, y) . Then:

$$\lim_{\Delta x, \Delta y \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\Delta x \Delta y} \oint_{\mathbf{c}} \vec{F}(\mathbf{c}) \cdot d\mathbf{c} = \frac{\partial q}{\partial x}(x, y) - \frac{\partial p}{\partial y}(x, y).$$

1. Parametrize \mathbf{c} in four linear segments $\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_4$, for example $\mathbf{c}_1(t) = (x + t, y)$ for $t \in [0, \Delta x]$. Find their (constant) tangent vectors $\mathbf{c}'_1(t), \dots, \mathbf{c}'_4(t)$. Split the line integral over the segments as $\oint = \int_1 + \dots + \int_4$, and simplify each term as far as possible using the (x, y) -components of \vec{F} and \mathbf{c} . (Remember that $x, y, \Delta x, \Delta y$ are constants throughout this computation.)

2. To make Step 4 work below, you should substitute the variable $\tilde{t} = \Delta x - t$ in \int_3 to make it match better with \int_1 , and similarly for \int_4 matching with \int_2 . Combine $\int_1 + \int_3$ into a single integral $\int_0^{\Delta x}$, and similarly $\int_2 + \int_4 = \int_0^{\Delta y}$.

3. Apply MVT 2 to the two integrals $\int_0^{\Delta x}$ and $\int_0^{\Delta y}$, obtaining difference quotients involving p and q . (No more integrals.)

4. Apply MVT 1 to each difference quotient, obtaining derivatives

$$-\frac{\partial p}{\partial y}(x + d_1, y + e_1) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial q}{\partial x}(x + d_2, y + e_2),$$

where $d_1, d_2 \in [x, x + \Delta x]$ and $e_1, e_2 \in [y, y + \Delta y]$. Now take the limit as $\Delta x, \Delta y \rightarrow 0$. To get the desired quantity, what assumption do you need about the functions $\frac{\partial p}{\partial y}(x, y), \frac{\partial q}{\partial x}(x, y)$?