NAME:

Math 481

PROPOSITION: Let G be a connected graph containing a cycle C with edge e = xy, so $e \subset C \subset G$. Then the graph G - e, removing e but keeping vertices x, y, is connected.

Sketch a proof, first writing the hypothesis at the top and the conclusion at the bottom, with their definitions. Notation: let C = xeyQx, from x along e to y, then along path Q back to x.

Informal argument: We need to show any two vertices v, w are connected in G - e. There is a path vPw in G, which might contain the edge e = xy in C. In that case, avoid e by going the other way around C, getting a new path which connects v to w in G - e.

Formal proof: By hypothesis, assume that G is a connected graph, meaning any vertices v, w are connected by a path $vPw \subset G$. Also, G contains a cycle of the form C = xeyQx, where e = xy is an edge and yQx is a path not containing e. Let G-e be the graph with the same vertices as G but missing edge e.

Since G is connected, any two vertices v, w are connected by some path vPw in G. If P does not contain e, then P' = P is a path $vP'w \subset G-e$.

If P does contain e = xy, we may write it as vP_1xeyP_2w , and construct

$$R = vP_1 xQyP_2 w,$$

the walk from v along P to x, then around Q to y, continuing along P to w. By definition, the path P and the cycle C can use e only once, so the path segments vPx, xQy, yPw cannot contain e. Thus R is a walk in G-e connecting v, w, and we can cut it down to a path $vP'w \subset G-e$.

Either way, arbitrary vertices v, w are connected by vP'w in G-e, so it is a connected graph.