

REMARKS ON JONES SLOPES AND SURFACES OF KNOTS

EFSTRATIA KALFAGIANNI

ABSTRACT. We show that the strong slope conjecture implies that the degrees of the colored Jones knot polynomials detect the figure eight knot. Furthermore, we propose a characterization of alternating knots in terms of the Jones period and the degree span of the colored Jones polynomial.

1. INTRODUCTION

The colored Jones polynomial of a knot $K \subset S^3$ is a collection of Laurent polynomials $\{J_K(n) := J_K(n, t)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in a variable t , such that $J_K(1) = 1$ and $J_K(2)$ is the classical Jones polynomial. In this note we use the normalization

$$J_{\text{unknot}}(n) = \frac{t^{n/2} - t^{-n/2}}{t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2}}.$$

Let $d_+[J_K(n)]$ and $d_-[J_K(n)]$ denote the maximal and minimal degrees of $J_K(n)$ in t , respectively. These degrees are quadratic quasi-polynomials in n . The strong slope conjecture asserts that they contain information about essential surfaces in knot exteriors. More specifically, the coefficients of the quadratic terms are *boundary slopes* of K and the linear terms encode information about the topology of essential surfaces that realize these boundary slopes.

In [17] we observed that the strong slope conjecture implies that $d_+[J_K(n)]$ and $d_-[J_K(n)]$ detect the unknot and in [16] we show that they detect all the torus knots. In this note we show the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let K be knot that satisfies the strong slope conjecture. If the degrees $d_+[J_K(n)]$ and $d_-[J_K(n)]$ are the same as these of the figure eight knot then K is isotopic to the figure eight knot.*

Theorem 1.1 implies that the degrees $d_+[J_K(n)]$ and $d_-[J_K(n)]$ detect the figure eight knot within the classes of knots for which the strong slope conjecture is known (e.g. the class of adequate knots). The proof of the theorem relies on Gordon's result [10] that gives bounds of the distance between boundary slopes of punctured tori in irreducible 3-manifolds with toroidal boundary.

We also observe that results on the strong slope conjecture, together with a result of Howie [14], suggest the following conjecture that proposes a characterization of alternating knots in terms of their colored Jones polynomial.

This research is partially supported by NSF grants DMS-1708249 and DMS-2004155.
October 12, 2020.

Conjecture 1.2. *Given a knot K let p_K denote the Jones period of K . Then, K is alternating if and only if we have*

$$(1) \quad p_K = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 2d_+[J_K(n)] - 2d_-[J_K(n)] = cn^2 + (2 - c)n - 2,$$

for some $c \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Alternating knots satisfy Equation (1) with $c = c(K)$, the crossing number of K . Conversely, by [15] if K is knot that satisfies Equation (1) with $c = c(K)$, then K must be alternating. See Proposition 4.7. Conjecture 1.2 is seeking to remove the knot diagrammatic reference to crossing numbers and provide a characterization only in terms of properties of the degree of $J_K(n)$. The conjecture is known to be true for all the knots for which the strong slope conjecture holds. These include adequate knots, large classes of non-adequate Montesinos knots, graph knots, and knots obtained from these classes by certain satellite operations. See Section 2 for more details.

There are non-alternating knots with Jones period one. For instance, for any adequate knot K we have $p_K = 1$ but there exist also families of non-adequate knots that have this property. On the other hand, alternating knots are the only knots with zero Turaev genus and they form a sub-class of adequate knots. The degree span of the colored Jones polynomial of adequate knots is known to satisfy an analogue of Equation (1) involving the Turaev genus. See Equation (2) in Section 4. We show that this generalized equation, however, does not characterize adequate knots. See Proposition 4.8.

Acknowledgement. The author thanks Christine Lee for useful conversations and in particular for help with Proposition 4.8. This material is based on research supported by NSF grants DMS-1708249 and DMS-2004155 and by a grant from the Institute for Advanced Study School of Mathematics.

2. BACKGROUND

2.1. Slopes Conjectures. Garoufalidis [7] proved that the degrees $d_+[J_K(n)]$ and $d_-[J_K(n)]$ are quadratic quasi-polynomials: Given a knot K , there is $n_K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n > n_K$ we have

$$d_+[J_K(n)] = a(n)n^2 + b(n)n + c(n) \quad \text{and} \quad d_-[J_K(n)] = a^*(n)n^2 + b^*(n)n + c^*(n),$$

where the coefficients are periodic functions from \mathbb{N} to \mathbb{Q} . For a sequence $\{x_n\}$, let $\{x_n\}'$ denote the set of its cluster points.

Definition 2.1. The *Jones period* of K , denoted by p_K , is the least common multiple of the periods of these coefficient functions $a(n), b(n), c(n)$.

The elements of the sets

$$js_K := \{4n^{-2}d_+[J_K(n)]\}' \quad \text{and} \quad js_K^* := \{4n^{-2}d_-[J_K(n)]\}'$$

are called *Jones slopes* of K .

Let $\ell d_+[J_K(n)]$ and $\ell d_-[J_K(n)]$ denote the linear terms of $d_+[J_K(n)]$ and $d_-[J_K(n)]$, respectively. Now we set

$$jx_K := \{2n^{-1}ld_+[J_K(n)]\}' \quad \text{and} \quad jx_K^* := \{2n^{-1}ld_-[J_K(n)]\}'.$$

Given a knot $K \subset S^3$, let $n(K)$ denote a tubular neighborhood of K and let $M_K := \overline{S^3 \setminus n(K)}$ denote the exterior of K . Let $\langle \mu, \lambda \rangle$ be the canonical meridian–longitude basis of $H_1(\partial n(K))$.

Definition 2.2. A properly embedded surface $(S, \partial S) \subset (M_K, \partial n(K))$, is called *essential* if it's π_1 -injective and it is not a boundary parallel annulus. An element $\alpha/\beta \in \mathbb{Q} \cup \{1/0\}$, where α and β are relatively prime integers, is called a *boundary slope* of K if there is an essential surface $(S, \partial S) \subset (M_K, \partial n(K))$, such that each component of ∂S represents $\alpha\mu + \beta\lambda \in H_1(\partial n(K))$.

The longitude λ of every knot bounds an essential orientable surface in the exterior of K . Thus $0 = 0/1$ is a boundary slope of every knot in S^3 . Hatcher showed that every knot $K \subset S^3$ has finitely many boundary slopes [12].

For a surface $(S, \partial S) \subset (M_K, \partial n(K))$ we will use the notation $|\partial S|$ to denote the number of boundary components of S .

Garoufalidis conjectured [8, Conjecture 1.2], that the Jones slopes of any knot K are boundary slopes. The following statement, which is a refinement of the original conjecture, was stated by the author and Tran in [18, Conjecture 1.6].

Conjecture 2.3. (Strong slope conjecture)

- Given a Jones slope $a(n) = \alpha/\beta \in js_K$, with $\beta > 0$ and $\gcd(\alpha, \beta) = 1$, there is an essential surface S in M_K such that each component of ∂S has slope α/β and we have $2b(n) = \frac{\chi(S)}{|\partial S|\beta} \in jx_K$.
- Given a Jones slope $a^*(n) = \alpha^*/\beta^* \in js_K^*$, with $\beta^* > 0$ and $\gcd(\alpha^*, \beta^*) = 1$, there is an essential surface S^* in M_K such that each component of ∂S^* has slope α^*/β^* and we have $2b^*(n) = -\frac{\chi(S^*)}{|\partial S^*|\beta^*} \in jx_K^*$.

Remark 2.4. Strictly speaking in [18, Conjecture 1.6] we only required that $\frac{\chi(S)}{|\partial S|\beta} \in$

jx_K and $-\frac{\chi(S^*)}{|\partial S^*|\beta^*} \in jx_K^*$ without specifying that these values should correspond to points that correspond to the same values of n for which the slopes $a(n)$ and $a^*(n)$ occur. We don't know if the seemingly stronger version statement of [18, Conjecture 1.6] is stronger than Conjecture 2.3. A related point is that, at the moment we don't know if there exist knots for which the sets js_K or js_K^* contain more than one point. In all cases for which the Jones slopes are computed, we have exactly one Jones slope in each of js_K or js_K^* .

2.2. **Progress.** Conjecture 2.3 is known for the following families of knots:

- Adequate knots and in particular alternating knots [4, 5].
- Iterated torus knots and iterated cables of adequate knots [1, 18, 26].
- Graph knots [2].
- Families of non-alternating 3-tangle pretzel knots [22, 21].
- Families of non-adequate Montesinos knots [9, 23, 21].
- Knots with up to 9 crossings [8, 13, 18].
- Near-alternating knots [20] constructed by taking Murasugi sums of an alternating diagram with a non-adequate diagram.
- Iterated untwisted generalized Whitehead doubles of adequate knots and torus knots [2].
- Knots obtained by any finite sequence of cabling, connect sums, and untwisted generalized Whitehead doubles of adequate knots and torus knots [1, 18, 26].

Under certain conditions Conjecture 2.3 is known to be closed under cabling operations and Whitehead doubling operations [2, 18].

3. EXCEPTIONAL SURGERIES AND THE FIGURE EIGHT KNOT

In [16] we noted that Conjecture 2.3 implies that the degrees of the colored Jones polynomial distinguish torus knots and in particular the unknot:

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that K is a knot that satisfies the strong slope conjecture and let $T_{p,q}$ denote the (p, q) -torus knot. If $d_+[J_K(n)] = d_+[J_{T_{p,q}}(n)]$ and $d_-[J_K(n)] = d_-[J_{T_{p,q}}(n)]$, for all n , then, up to orientation change, K is isotopic to $T_{p,q}$.*

The proof of Theorem 3.1 begins with the observation that one of the Jones surfaces for $T_{p,q}$ is an annulus (the cabling annulus). This implies that K also admits a Jones surface of zero Euler characteristic, which in turn implies that K must be a cable knot. The proof of the next theorem is similar in flavor as it begins with the observation that both the Jones surfaces of the figure eight knot are punctured Klein bottles.

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose that K is a knot that satisfies the strong slope conjecture and let F_8 denote the figure eight knot. If $d_+[J_K(n)] = d_+[J_{F_8}(n)]$ and $d_-[J_K(n)] = d_-[J_{F_8}(n)]$, for all n , then K is isotopic to F_8 .*

Proof. The degrees $d_\pm[J_{F_8}(n)]$ are known (see, for example, [8, 4]). We have

$$d_-[J_K(n)] = d_-[J_{F_8}(n)] = -n^2 + \frac{1}{2}n + \frac{1}{2},$$

and

$$d_+[J_K(n)] = d_+[J_{F_8}(n)] = n^2 - \frac{1}{2}n - \frac{1}{2}.$$

Thus we obtain

$$js_K := \{4n^{-2}d_+[J_K(n)]\}' = \{4\} \quad \text{and} \quad js_K^* := \{4n^{-2}d_-[J_K(n)]\}' = \{-4\},$$




FIGURE 1. From left to right: A crossing, the A -resolution and the B -resolution.

and

$$jx_K := \{2n^{-1}ld_+[J_K(n)]\}' = \{-1\} \quad \text{and} \quad jx_K^* := \{2n^{-1}ld_-[J_K(n)]\}' = \{-1\}.$$

Since K satisfies the strong slope conjecture we have essential surfaces S, S^* in the exterior of K such that

- (1) the boundary slope of S is 4 and $\frac{\chi(S)}{|\partial S|} = -1$, and
- (2) the boundary slope of S^* is -4 and $\frac{\chi(S^*)}{|\partial S^*|} = -1$.

This implies that $\chi(S) = -|\partial S|$ and $\chi(S^*) = -|\partial S^*|$. Thus S, S^* are either punctured tori or punctured Klein bottles. By passing to the orientable double we can assume that each component of S, S^* is a punctured torus. Thus the knot exterior M_K contains punctured tori with boundary slopes $s = 4$ and $s^* = -4$. Let $i(s, s^*)$ denote the geometric intersection of s, s^* on ∂M_K . In this case we have $i(s, s^*) = 8$. By a result of Gordon [10, Theorem 1.1] there are only two irreducible 3-manifolds M such that a toroidal component of ∂M contains two boundary slopes s, s^* of punctured tori with $i(s, s^*) = 8$. These are the lowest volume hyperbolic 3-manifolds with one cusp. From these two, the only one that is a knot complement in S^3 is the complement of the figure eight knot. Thus we conclude that M_K is homeomorphic to the complement of F_8 . By the Gordon-Luecke Knot Complement theorem [11], K has to be isotopic to F_8 . \square

To continue, we briefly recall the definition and some notation about adequate knots: Let D be a link diagram, and x be a crossing of D . Associated to D and x are two link diagrams, called the A -resolution and B -resolution of the crossing. See Figure 1. A *state* on D is a function $\sigma = \sigma(D)$ that assigns one of these two resolutions to each crossing of D . Applying the A -resolution (resp. B -resolution) to each crossing leads to a collection of disjointly embedded circles $s_A(D)$ (resp. $s_B(D)$).

Definition 3.3. The diagram D is called A -adequate (resp. B -adequate) if for each crossing of D the two arcs of $s_A(D)$ (resp. $s_B(D)$) resulting from the resolution of the crossing lie on different circles. A knot diagram D is *adequate* if it is both A - and B -adequate. Finally, a knot that admits an adequate diagram is also called *adequate*.

Starting with a state $\sigma = \sigma(D)$ we construct a *state surface* $S_\sigma = S_\sigma(D)$ as follows: Each circle of $\sigma(D)$ bounds a disk on the projection sphere $S^2 \subset S^3$. This collection

of disks can be disjointly embedded in the ball below the projection sphere. At each crossing of D , we connect the pair of neighboring disks by a half-twisted band to construct a surface whose boundary is K . For details see [4, 5].

The state surfaces corresponding to $s_A(D)$ and $s_B(D)$ are denoted by $S_A(D)$ and $S_B(D)$, respectively. In [27] Ozawa showed that the state surface $S_A(D)$ is essential in the exterior of K if and only if D is an A -adequate diagram. Similarly, $S_B(D)$ is essential in the exterior of K if and only if D is an B -adequate diagram. For a different proof of these results see [5]. Thus, in particular, if D is an adequate diagram of a knot K then, $S_A(D)$ and $S_B(D)$ are essential surfaces in the exterior of K .

To continue we recall the following well known definition.

Definition 3.4. A slope s for a hyperbolic knot is called *exceptional* if the 3-manifold obtained by filling M_K along s is not hyperbolic.

The proof of Theorem 3.1 shows that both the Jones slopes of the knot F_8 are exceptional. Next we will see that F_8 is the only adequate knot that has this property.

Corollary 3.5. *Suppose that K is a hyperbolic adequate knot such that both the Jones slopes of K are exceptional. Then $K = F_8$.*

Proof. It is known that the number of negative crossings $c_-(D)$ of an A -adequate knot diagram is a knot invariant. Similarly, the number of positive crossings $c_+(D)$ of a B -adequate knot diagram is a knot invariant. In fact, if K is adequate, then the crossing number of K is realized by the adequate diagram; that is we have $c(K) = c(D) = c_-(D) + c_+(D)$ [24]. Let $v_A(D)$ and $v_B(D)$ be the numbers of circles in $s_A(D)$ and $s_B(D)$, respectively. The boundary slope of S_A is $-2c_-(D)$ and $\chi(S_A) = v_A(D) - c(D)$. The boundary slope of S_B is $2c_+(D)$ and $\chi(S_B) = v_B(D) - c(D)$. By [4], the surfaces $S_A = S_A(D)$ and $S_B = S_B(D)$ satisfy the strong slope conjecture for K .

That is we have

$$4d_-[J_K(n)] = -2c_-(D)n^2 + 2(c(D) - v_A(D))n + 2v_A(D) - 2c_+(D),$$

and

$$4d_+[J_K(n)] = 2c_+(D)n^2 + 2(v_B(D) - c(D))n + 2c_-(D) - 2v_B(D).$$

Thus the distance of the two Jones slopes is $i(2c_+(D), -2c_-(D)) = |2c_+(D) + 2c_-(D)| = 2c(D)$.

Gordon's conjecture, proved by Lackenby and Meyerhoff [19], states that if s, s^* are exceptional boundary slopes for K then $i(s, s^*) \leq 8$. Thus in order for $s = 2c_+(D)$ and $s^* = -2c_-(D)$ to be exceptional we must have $c(D) \leq 4$. Since K is hyperbolic, $K = F_8$. \square

Example 3.6. Consider the 3-string pretzel knots $K = P(r, s, t)$ such that $r < 0 < s, t$ and $-2r < s, t$. It has exactly two Jones slopes with distance $2(s + t)$ (see

Proposition 4.8 below). Since by assumption $s, t > 2$, we cannot have $2(s + t) \leq 8$. Thus not both of the Jones slopes can be exceptional.

As another example, let us mention the knot pretzel knot $P(-2, 3, 7)$, which is known to have seven exceptional slopes. The Jones slopes of $P(-2, 3, 7)$ are $\{\frac{37}{2}, 0\}$ and from these only $\frac{37}{2}$ is exceptional.

Question 3.7. *Are there hyperbolic knots, other than the figure eight, that have more than one exceptional Jones slopes?*

4. CHARACTERISTIC JONES SURFACES AND ALTERNATING KNOTS

We begin by recalling from [17] that in all the cases where Conjecture 2.3 is proved, for each Jones slope we can find a Jones surface where the *number of sheets* $b|\partial S|$ divides the Jones period p_K . This observation led to the following definition [17, Definition 3.2].

Definition 4.1. We call a Jones surface S of a knot K *characteristic* if the number of sheets of S divides the Jones period of K .

Example 4.2. An adequate knot (and thus in particular an alternating knot) has Jones period $p_K = 1$, two Jones slopes and two corresponding Jones surfaces each with a single boundary component [6, 4]. Note, that the characteristic Jones surfaces are spanning surfaces that are often non-orientable. In these cases the orientable double cover is also a Jones surface but it is no longer characteristic since it has two boundary components.

Question 4.3. *Is it true that for every Jones slope of a knot K we can find a characteristic Jones surface?*

If K is an alternating knot then we have

$$p_K = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 2d_+[J_K(n)] - 2d_-[J_K(n)] = cn^2 + (2 - c)n - 2,$$

where $c = c(K)$ is the crossing number of K . Thus, one direction Conjecture 1.2 is known. Furthermore, an alternating knot K satisfies the strong slope conjecture and every Jones slope is realized by a characteristic Jones surface. This follows, for example, from the discussion in the proof of Corollary 3.5. The state surfaces $S_A(D), S_B(D)$ corresponding to any reduced alternating diagram $D = D(K)$ are in fact the checkerboard surfaces of D .

We have the following converse:

Theorem 4.4. *Suppose that K is a knot that satisfies the strong slope conjecture and such that every Jones slope is realized by a characteristic Jones surface. Suppose, moreover, that we have*

$$p_K = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 2d_+[J_K(n)] - 2d_-[J_K(n)] = cn^2 + (2 - c)n - 2,$$

for some $c \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, K is alternating and c is the crossing number of K .

Proof. Since we have $p_K = 1$, for each of $d_{\pm}[J_K(n)]$ we have exactly one Jones slope. That is, we have $js_K = \{s\}$ and $js_K^* = \{s^*\}$. Furthermore, since knots of period one have integer Jones slopes ([8, Lemma 1.11], [17, Proposition 3.1]), both of s , and s^* are integers.

Since we assumed that each Jones slope of K is realized by a characteristic Jones surface, we conclude that we can take the Jones surfaces, say S, S^* , corresponding to s, s^* , respectively, to be spanning surfaces of K .

Finally, since we assumed that $2d_+[J_K(n)] - 2d_-[J_K(n)] = cn^2 + (2 - c)n - 2$, for some $c \in \mathbb{Z}$, we conclude that $i(\partial S, \partial S^*) = s - s^* = 2c$, where $i(\partial S, \partial S^*)$ denotes the geometric intersection of the curves $\partial S, \partial S^*$ on ∂M_K , and that $\chi(S) + \chi(S^*) = 2 - c$. Thus in particular, we have

$$\chi(S) + \chi(S^*) + \frac{1}{2}i(\partial S, \partial S^*) = 2.$$

By Howie's result [14, Theorem 2] it follows that K is alternating and in fact S, S^* are the checkerboard surfaces corresponding to an alternating diagram of K . But then $c = c(K)$ by the discussion before the statement of the theorem. \square

As a corollary of Theorem 4.4 we have the following.

Corollary 4.5. *Suppose that K is an adequate knot. Then K is alternating if and only if we have*

$$2d_+[J_K(n)] - 2d_-[J_K(n)] = cn^2 + (2 - c)n - 2,$$

for some $c \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Conjecture 2.3 has been proved for adequate knots [18]. Furthermore, as discussed earlier, adequate knots have period one and for every Jones slope we can find a characteristic Jones surface. Thus, the conclusion follows from Theorem 4.4. \square

Remark 4.6. Theorem 4.4 shows that the strong slope conjecture together with a positive answer to Question 4.3 implies Conjecture 1.2 stated in the Introduction. We also note, that if Conjecture 1.2 is true then the degrees $d_{\pm}[J_K(n)]$ would detect an alternating knot as long as they detect it among alternating knots with the same crossing number. That is, if we had a knot K such that $d_{\pm}[J_K(n)] = d_{\pm}[J_{K'}(n)]$, where K' is alternating, then by Theorem 4.4 we would conclude that K is also alternating with $c(K) = c(K')$. So if $d_{\pm}[J_K(n)]$ distinguishes K' among alternating knots of the same crossing number, it will detect it among all knots. Given a prime reduced alternating diagram $D = D(K)$, the degrees $d_{\pm}[J_K(n)]$ are completely determined by the quantities $c_-(D), c_+(D), v_B(D), v_A(D)$, introduced in the proof of Corollary 3.5. Thus, since $v_B(D), v_A(D)$ are also the numbers of the checkerboard regions of D , given two reduced alternating diagrams of the same crossing number one can decide whether they are distinguished by the degree of their colored Jones polynomial by a direct diagrammatic inspection. We illustrate these points with a few examples. We

already know that Conjecture 2.3 implies that $d_{\pm}[J_K(n)]$, detect the trefoil and figure eight knots.

- If Conjecture 1.2 is true then the degrees $d_{\pm}[J_K(n)]$, would detect the 5_2 knot: For, suppose that for a knot K the degrees $d_{\pm}[J_K(n)]$ are the same as these of the knot 5_2 . Then by Theorem 4.4 K is alternating, and we have $c(K) = 5$. Thus $K = T_{2,5}$ or $K = 5_2$. Since $T_{2,5}$ is distinguished from 5_2 by the degrees of the colored Jones polynomial we conclude that $K = 5_2$.
- Now we discuss alternating knots with crossing number six: The degrees $d_{\pm}[J_K(n)]$ distinguish the knots $6_1, 6_2, 6_3$ from each other. More specifically, the quantities $c_-(D), c_+(D)$ (a.k.a. the Jones slopes) distinguish 6_1 from 6_2 and 6_3 , while the quantities $v_B(D), v_A(D)$ distinguish 6_2 and 6_3 from each other. Hence, if Conjecture 1.2 is true then, the degrees $d_{\pm}[J_K(n)]$ would detect any of $6_1, 6_2, 6_3$.

The next proposition shows that in order to prove Conjecture 1.2, it is enough to show that if K is a knot that satisfies equation 1, then we must have $c = c(K)$.

Proposition 4.7. *If K is a knot such that*

$$2d_+[J_K(n)] - 2d_-[J_K(n)] = c(K)n^2 + (2 - c(K))n - 2,$$

then K is alternating.

Proof. Let D be a knot diagram of K that realizes $c(K)$ and let $g_T(D)$ denote the Turaev genus of D [3]. Then we have

$$2d_+[J_K(n)] - 2d_-[J_K(n)] \leq c(K)n^2 + (2 - c(K) - 2g_T(D))n + 2g_T(K) - 2,$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. See for example [15]. Thus we must have $2 - c(K) \leq 2 - c(K) - 2g_T(D)$, which implies $g_T(D) = 0$. Now by [3, Corollary 4.6], D must be an alternating diagram. \square

As mentioned above, alternating knots are the only knots that have Turaev genus zero [3, Corollary 4.6]. Thus the degree span condition in the statement of Conjecture 1.2 can be reformulated to say

$$(2) \quad 2d_+[J_K(n)] - 2d_-[J_K(n)] = cn^2 + (2 - 2g_T(K) - c)n + 2g_T(K) - 2,$$

where $g_T(K)$ denotes the Turaev genus of K and c is an integer. By [15] adequate knots satisfy condition (2) above, and they have Jones period equal to one. One can ask whether these conditions characterize adequate knots. The following proposition, shown to me by Christine Lee, shows that this is not the case.

Proposition 4.8. *Consider any 3-string pretzel knot $K = P(r, s, t)$ with $r < 0 < s, t$ and $-2r < s, t$. Then we have $p_K = 1$ and*

$$2d_+[J_K(n)] - 2d_-[J_K(n)] = cn^2 + (2 - 2g_T(K) - c)n + 2g_T(K) - 2,$$

but K is non-adequate.

Proof. The standard 3-string pretzel diagram D of $K = P(r, s, t)$ has $s+t-r$ crossings and by [25] this is the crossing number of K . That is $c(K) = c(D) = s+t-r$. The diagram D is also B -adequate with $c_+(D) = c(D) = s+t-r$ and $v_B(D) = -r+1$. Thus we have

$$2d_+[J_K(n)] = (s+t-r)n^2 + (-s-t+1)n + (r-1).$$

On the other hand, Lee [20] shows that

- the Jones slope coming from $d_+[J_K(n)]$ is equal to $2c_-(D) - 2r = -2r$;
- it is realized by a Jones surface that is actually the state surface S_σ corresponding to the state σ that assigns the $-r$ crossings the B -resolution and the $s+t$ crossings the A -resolution.

Note that the hypothesis $-2r < s, t$ is needed for these claims.

The number of state circles for above state σ is given by $v_\sigma(D) = -r-1+s-1+t-1+2 = -r+s+t-1$. We have

$$-\chi(S_\sigma) = -(v_\sigma(D) - c(D)) = -(-r+s+t-1-s-t+r) = 1,$$

and

$$2d_-[J_K(n)] = -rn^2 + n + (r-1).$$

It follows that

$$2d_+[J_K(n)] - 2d_-[J_K(n)] = (s+t)n^2 - (s+t)n.$$

The Turaev genus of non-alternating 3-string pretzel knots is known to be one and hence $2 - 2g_T(K) = 0$. With this observation we see that the last equation can be written in the form of Equation (2) where $c = s+t \in \mathbb{Z}$. Finally since $s+t < s+t-r = c(K)$, the knot K is not adequate. \square

Remark 4.9. In [15] we show that if in Equation (2) we require that the constant c is actually the crossing number of K , then K must be adequate. Proposition 4.8 and its proof show that the condition $c = c(K)$ is necessary.

Remark 4.10. The proof of Proposition 4.8 shows, in particular, that there are non-adequate knots K that admit spanning surfaces S, S^* such that

$$\chi(S) + \chi(S^*) + \frac{1}{2}i(\partial S, \partial S^*) = 2 - 2g_T(K).$$

This should be compared with the main result of [14] that states that for $g_T(K) = 0$ this equation characterizes alternating knots and with [15, Problem 1.3].

REFERENCES

- [1] K. L. Baker, K. Motegi, and T. Takata. The strong slope conjecture for graph knots. arXiv:1809.01039.
- [2] K. L. Baker, K. Motegi, and T. Takata. The strong slope conjecture for twisted generalized Whitehead doubles. *Quantum Topology*, to appear.
- [3] O. T. Dasbach, D. Futer, E. Kalfagianni, X. S. Lin, and N. W. Stoltzfus. The Jones polynomial and graphs on surfaces. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 98(2):384–399, 2008.
- [4] D. Futer, E. Kalfagianni, and J. S. Purcell. Slopes and colored Jones polynomials of adequate knots. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 139:1889–1896, 2011.
- [5] D. Futer, E. Kalfagianni, and J. S. Purcell. *Guts of surfaces and the colored Jones polynomial*, volume 2069 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, Heidelberg, 2013.
- [6] D. Futer, E. Kalfagianni, and J. S. Purcell. Jones polynomials, volume and essential knot surfaces: a survey. In *Knots in Poland. III. Part 1*, volume 100 of *Banach Center Publ.*, pages 51–77. Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math., Warsaw, 2014.
- [7] S. Garoufalidis. The degree of a q -holonomic sequence is a quadratic quasi-polynomial. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 18(2):Paper 4, 23, 2011.
- [8] S. Garoufalidis. The Jones slopes of a knot. *Quantum Topol.*, 2(1):43–69, 2011.
- [9] S. Garoufalidis, C. R. S. Lee, and R. van der Veen. The slope conjecture for Montesinos knots. *Internat. J. Math.*, 31(7):2050056, 66, 2020.
- [10] C. McA. Gordon. Boundary slopes of punctured tori in 3-manifolds. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 350(5):1713–1790, 1998.
- [11] C. McA. Gordon and J. Luecke. Knots are determined by their complements. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 20(1):83–87, 1989.
- [12] A. E. Hatcher. On the boundary curves of incompressible surfaces. *Pacific J. Math.*, 99(2):373–377, 1982.
- [13] J. A. Howie. Coiled surfaces and the strong slope conjecture. preprint.
- [14] J. A. Howie. A characterisation of alternating knot exteriors. *Geom. Topol.*, 21(4):2353–2371, 2017.
- [15] E. Kalfagianni. A Jones slopes characterization of adequate knots. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 67(1):205–219, 2018.
- [16] E. Kalfagianni. The strong slope conjecture and torus knots. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 72(1):73–79, 2020.
- [17] E. Kalfagianni and C. R. S. Lee. Normal and Jones surfaces of knots. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 27(6):1850039, 15, 2018.
- [18] E. Kalfagianni and A. T. Tran. Knot cabling and degrees of colored Jones polynomials. *New York Journal of Mathematics*, Volume 21:905–941, 2015.
- [19] M. Lackenby and R. Meyerhoff. The maximal number of exceptional Dehn surgeries. *Invent. Math.*, 191(2):341–382, 2013.
- [20] C. R. S. Lee. Jones slopes and coarse volume for near-alternating links. *Communications in Analysis and Geometry*, to appear.
- [21] C. R. S. Lee and R. van der Veen. Cancellations in the degree of the colored Jones polynomial. arXiv:2006.01303.
- [22] C. R. S. Lee and R. van der Veen. Slopes for pretzel knots. *New York J. Math.*, 22:1339–1364, 2016.
- [23] X. Leng, Z. Yang, and X. Liu. The slope conjectures for 3-string Montesinos knots. *New York J. Math.*, 25:45–70, 2019.
- [24] W. B. R. Lickorish. *An introduction to knot theory*, volume 175 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.

- [25] W. B. R. Lickorish and M. B. Thistlethwaite. Some links with nontrivial polynomials and their crossing-numbers. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 63(4):527–539, 1988.
- [26] K. Motegi and T. Takata. The slope conjecture for graph knots. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 162(3):383–392, 2017.
- [27] M. Ozawa. Essential state surfaces for knots and links. *J. Aust. Math. Soc.*, 91(3):391–404, 2011.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY, EAST LANSING, MI, 48824
E-mail address: `kalfagia@math.msu.edu`