

## Counting Sets and Functions

We will learn the basic principles of combinatorial enumeration: counting all possible objects of a specified kind.

The first objects to count are functions whose domain is an interval of integers,  $f : \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow C$ , where  $C$  is a given finite set. We will use the notation  $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ , so we are dealing with  $f : [n] \rightarrow C$ . These can be formally modeled more neatly than general functions: we can present the data of  $f$  simply by listing its values:

$$f = (f(1), f(2), \dots, f(n)) \in \underbrace{C \times \dots \times C}_{n \text{ factors}} = C^n.$$

Conversely, any list  $(c_1, \dots, c_n) \in C^n$  represents a function with  $f(i) = c_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Hence, the number of functions is equal to the number of lists in  $C^n$ , namely:

PROPOSITION 1: The number of all possible functions  $f : [n] \rightarrow C$  is  $|C|^n$ .

For example, the number of functions  $f : [3] \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  is  $2^3 = 8$ , namely:

$$(0, 0, 0), (1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1), (1, 1, 0), (1, 0, 1), (0, 1, 1), (1, 1, 1).$$

A list like  $(1, 0, 1)$  represents the function with  $f(1) = 1$ ,  $f(2) = 0$ ,  $f(3) = 1$ .

Next, we wish to count all subsets  $S \subseteq [n]$ . For example, there are 8 subsets  $S \subseteq [3]$ :

$$S = \{\}, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 3\}.$$

Surprisingly, we can reduce this problem to the previous one through the Bijection Principle of combinatorics: if we can transform one data structure into another by an invertible mapping (a bijection), then the two types of data have the same number of possibilities. Formally: suppose we have sets  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  and mappings (functions)  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  and  $\psi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  which are inverses, meaning that they undo each other:

$$\psi(\phi(a)) = a \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{A}, \text{ and } \phi(\psi(b)) = b \text{ for all } b \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Then  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are bijections, and  $|\mathcal{A}| = |\mathcal{B}|$ .

In our case, we can define the *Indicator Transform*, an invertible mapping  $\phi$  which changes subsets  $S \subseteq [n]$  into functions  $f : [n] \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ . That is, if we let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the set of all such subsets  $S$ , and  $\mathcal{B}$  the set of all such functions  $f$ , we define a mapping  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  by:

$$\phi(S) = f, \text{ where } f(i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \in S, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \notin S. \end{cases}$$

We call  $f$  the *indicator function* of  $S$ . The inverse mapping  $\psi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  takes each function to a corresponding subset:

$$\psi(f) = S = \{i \in [n] \mid f(i) = 1\}.$$

In the example above, each set  $S \subseteq [3]$  has its characteristic function  $f : [3] \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  listed in the corresponding place above it in the previous example.

PROPOSITION 2: The number of all possible subsets  $S \subseteq [n]$  is  $2^n$  by Prop. 1.

*Proof:* If we show that  $\phi$  is a bijection, this will imply  $|\mathcal{A}| = |\mathcal{B}|$ , and we already know that  $|\mathcal{B}|$ , the number of functions  $f : [n] \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ , is  $2^n$ .

We check that  $\phi, \psi$  are inverses, using their definitions above:

$$\psi(\phi(S)) = \psi(f) = \{i \mid f(i) = 1\} = \{i \mid i \in S\} = S.$$

Also,  $\phi(\psi(f)) = \phi(\{i \mid f(i) = 1\}) = f'$ , where  $f'(j) = 1$  whenever  $j \in \{i \mid f(i) = 1\}$ . That is,  $f'(j) = 1$  whenever  $f(j) = 1$ , and otherwise  $f'(j) = f(j) = 0$ , so  $f' = f$  and  $\phi(\psi(f)) = f$ . This shows that  $\phi$  is invertible, and hence a bijection. Q.E.D.

PROPOSITION 3: (i) The number of possible *injective* functions  $f : [n] \rightarrow C$  is:

$$|C|(|C|-1)(|C|-2)\cdots(|C|-n+1).$$

(ii) The number of possible bijective functions  $f : [n] \rightarrow [n]$  is:  $n!$ .

(iii) The number of possible injective functions  $f : [k] \rightarrow [n]$  is:  $n(n-1)\cdots(n-k+1)$ .

*Proof.* (i) An injective function corresponds to  $(f(1), \dots, f(n))$  with all the entries different from each other. We can choose  $f(1)$  to be any element of  $C$ , giving  $|C|$  possible choices; then for  $f(2)$ , we can choose any element of  $C$  except  $f(1)$ , giving  $|C| - 1$  possibilities; and similarly  $|C| - 2$  possibilities for  $f(3)$ , etc. The number of possible combined choices for  $f$  is the product of the individual possibilities, which gives the desired formula.

(ii) From part (i), we see that the number of injective functions  $f : [n] \rightarrow [n]$  is  $n(n-1)\cdots(n-n+1) = n!$ . But every injective function is bijective: the image of  $f$  has the same size as its domain, namely  $n$ , so the image fills the codomain  $[n]$ , and  $f$  is surjective and thus bijective.

(iii) In part (i), replace the domain by  $[k]$  and the codomain by  $[n]$ . Q.E.D.

Our last problem is to count the number of subsets  $S \subseteq [n]$  with a fixed number of elements  $|S| = k$ . For example, the number of 3-element subsets  $S \subseteq [5]$  is 10:

$\{1, 2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 4\}, \{1, 2, 5\}, \{1, 3, 4\}, \{1, 3, 5\}, \{1, 4, 5\}, \{2, 3, 4\}, \{2, 3, 5\}, \{2, 4, 5\}, \{3, 4, 5\}$ .

We define the symbol  $\binom{n}{k}$ , pronounced “ $n$  choose  $k$ ”, to be the answer to the counting problem, so by definition  $\binom{5}{3} = 10$ . We call these the *choose numbers* or *binomial coefficients*.

PROPOSITION 4: For any integers  $0 \leq k \leq n$ , we have:

$$\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-k+1)}{k!}.$$

We will not give a formal proof, but rather examine the above example to see why the formula works. Consider the following table, which contains all the injective functions  $f : [3] \rightarrow [5]$ , each listed in the row corresponding to its image set  $S = \{f(1), f(2), f(3)\}$ .

$\{1, 2, 3\}$	$\{1, 2, 4\}$	$\cdots$	$\{2, 4, 5\}$	$\{3, 4, 5\}$
(1, 2, 3)	(1, 2, 4)	$\cdots$	(2, 4, 5)	(3, 4, 5)
(1, 3, 2)	(1, 4, 2)	$\cdots$	(2, 5, 4)	(3, 5, 4)
(2, 1, 3)	(2, 1, 4)	$\cdots$	(4, 2, 5)	(4, 3, 5)
(2, 3, 1)	(2, 4, 1)	$\cdots$	(4, 5, 2)	(4, 5, 3)
(3, 1, 2)	(4, 1, 2)	$\cdots$	(5, 2, 4)	(5, 3, 4)
(3, 2, 1)	(4, 2, 1)	$\cdots$	(5, 4, 2)	(5, 4, 3)

The columns correspond to subsets, which by definition are counted by the unknown value  $\binom{5}{3}$ . The rows correspond to bijections  $g : [3] \rightarrow [3]$ , and there are  $3!$  of these by Prop. 3(ii). The total number of injections in the table is  $(5)(4)(3)$  by Prop. 3(iii). Now, the number of columns times the number of rows equals the total number of entries in the table, so we have:

$$\binom{5}{3} \cdot 3! = (5)(4)(3),$$

which immediately gives the desired formula  $\binom{5}{3} = \frac{(5)(4)(3)}{3!}$ .

In a general proof, we would define an invertible mapping  $\phi : \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$ , where  $\mathcal{S}$  is the set of all  $k$ -element subsets  $S \subseteq [n]$ ;  $\mathcal{B}$  is the set of all bijections  $g : [k] \rightarrow [k]$ ; and  $\mathcal{I}$  is the set of all injections  $f : [k] \rightarrow [n]$ . This would guarantee  $|\mathcal{S}| \cdot |\mathcal{B}| = |\mathcal{I}|$ , that is:  $\binom{n}{k} \cdot k! = n(n-1) \cdots (n-k+1)$ , giving the desired formula. If you want a challenge, try to define this mapping  $\phi$  and its inverse  $\psi$ .