

- 
1. Finish the proof from class of the monotone convergence theorem by showing that if  $(x_n)_n$  is a sequence that is bounded below and decreasing, then  $(x_n)_n$  converges.

*Proof:* Assume  $(x_n)_n$  is bounded below and decreasing. Since it is bounded below, the infimum  $L := \inf \{x_n\}$  exists. We will show  $\lim_n x_n = L$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then  $L + \epsilon > L$  and so  $L + \epsilon$  cannot be a lower bound for  $\{x_n\}$ . It follows that there is some  $N$  such that  $x_N < L + \epsilon$ . Since  $(x_n)_n$  is decreasing, if  $n \geq N$  then  $x_n \leq x_N$ , and so  $x_n < L + \epsilon$ . Rearranging this, and using the fact that  $L \leq x_n$  for all  $n$ , gives

$$|x_n - L| = x_n - L < \epsilon$$

whenever  $n \geq N$ . Q.E.D.

2. Show that if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \infty$ , then  $(x_n)_n$  diverges. Recall that, by definition,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \infty$  if for all  $B \in \mathbb{R}$ , there is some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq N$ ,  $x_n \geq B$ .

Assume  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \infty$ , and let  $L \in \mathbb{R}$ . It suffices to show that  $(x_n)_n$  does *not* converge to  $L$ . Take  $\epsilon = 1$ , and let  $N$  be any natural number. Using the definition of  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \infty$ , with  $B = L$ , there is some  $N'$  such that  $x_n \geq L + 1$  whenever  $n \geq N'$ . Then let  $n$  be any integer greater than  $N$  and  $N'$ . It follows that

$$|x_n - L| = x_n - L \geq 1 = \epsilon.$$

This proves that  $(x_n)_n$  does not converge to  $L$ , as desired. Q.E.D.

3. Suppose that the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$  both converge. Show that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n + b_n)$  converges and its value equals  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$ .

*Proof:* Assume that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$  both converge, and let  $A, B$  be the values that they converge to. Let  $s_k := \sum_{n=1}^k a_n$  and  $t_k := \sum_{n=1}^k b_n$  denote the partial sums. The assumption that the series converge to  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively, is equivalent to saying that the sequences  $(s_k)_k$  and  $(t_k)_k$  converge to  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively. Then by Beck Proposition 10.23 (iii), we have

$$\lim_k s_k + t_k = \lim_k s_k + \lim_k t_k = A + B.$$

This is exactly the statement that  $\sum_n a_n + b_n$  converges to  $A + B$ , since  $s_k + t_k$  is the  $k$ th partial sum of  $\sum_n a_n + b_n$ . Q.E.D.