

Čech complex for crystalline cohomology

Pavel Čoupek

The purpose of this note is to give a proof of the remark [Sta20, 07MM] about Čech–theoretic computation of crystalline cohomology.

Let X be a separated Noetherian scheme over A/I for a dp- $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -algebra (A, I, γ) , such that p is nilpotent on X . Recall that the small crystalline site $\mathit{Cris}(X/A)$ is given by:

- Objects: Divided power thickenings (U, T, δ) of X relative to (A, I, γ) , that is, the datum of a closed immersion $U \hookrightarrow T$ inducing homeomorphism of underlying topological spaces, such that the associated ideal sheaf \mathcal{I} is endowed with the dp-structure δ , together with a morphism $T \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} A$ of divided power schemes, and an open immersion $U \rightarrow X$ over

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & U & \hookrightarrow & T \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Spec} A, & X & & \mathrm{Spec} A \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & \mathrm{Spec} A/I & \hookrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec} A \end{array}$$

Spec A , that is, a diagram

- Morphisms: Divided power morphisms $(U, T, \delta) \rightarrow (U', T', \delta')$, making everything commutative.
- topology: Zariski topology, i.e. cover of (U, T, δ) is given by $\{(U_i, T_i, \delta_i) \rightarrow (U, T, \delta)\}$, where $T_i \rightarrow T$ are open immersions ($U_i \rightarrow U$ are open immersions automatically), making everything commutative.

For an affine open $V \subseteq X$, denote by h_V the functor that sends an object $(U, T, \delta) \in \mathit{Cris}(X/A)$ to the set of factorizations of the implicit map $U \hookrightarrow X$ through $V \subseteq X$, that is,

$$h_V((U, T, \delta)) = \begin{cases} * & \text{if } U \hookrightarrow X \text{ has image contained in } V, \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

When $(U, T, \delta) \rightarrow (U', T', \delta')$ is a morphism in $\mathit{Cris}(X/A)$ and $U' \hookrightarrow X$ factors through V , then so does $U \hookrightarrow X$. Thus, the map $h_V((U', T', \delta')) \rightarrow h_V((U, T, \delta))$ is well-defined, and h_V is thus a presheaf of sets on $\mathit{Cris}(X/A)$.

Proposition 0.1. *The presheaf h_V is a sheaf.*

Proof. Let $\{(U_i, T_i, \delta_i) \rightarrow (U, T, \delta)\}_i$ be a cover. We need to check that the sequence

$$h_V((U, T, \delta)) \rightarrow \prod_i h_V((U_i, T_i, \delta_i)) \rightrightarrows \prod_{i,j} h_V((U_i, T_i, \delta_i) \times_{(U, T, \delta)} (U_j, T_j, \delta_j))$$

is an equalizer sequence. Given the fact that the two terms on the right have at most one element, only two things can happen:

- (A) $\prod_i h_V((U_i, T_i, \delta_i)) = \emptyset$. Then there is nothing to check, as then $h_V((U, T, \delta)) = \emptyset$ automatically since it maps into the empty set, and the equalizer condition is trivially satisfied.
- (B) $\prod_i h_V((U_i, T_i, \delta_i)) \neq \emptyset$. Then the term on the right-hand side is also nonempty. In this case, both are one-element sets, and the maps on the right are thus necessarily the same. Therefore we need to check that $h_V((U, T, \delta))$ is also nonempty, then the sequence above will be an equalizer sequence again trivially. In this case, we know that U_i are subsets of V (via $U_i \hookrightarrow X$) and since they cover U (also viewed as a subset of X via $U \hookrightarrow X$), it follows that U is also a subset of X . Thus, $h_V((U, T, \delta)) \neq \emptyset$, and we are done.

□

Clearly h_X is the final sheaf of $Sh(Cris(X/A))$.

Let $V = \text{Spec } C \subseteq X$ be an affine open subset, and choose a surjective map

$$0 \rightarrow J_V \rightarrow P_V \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$

where P_V is a free A -algebra. Let (D_V, I_V, δ) be the pd-envelope of (P_V, J_V) . For $e \geq 1$ we define $D_{V;e} = D_V/p^e D_V$. Then, for all e sufficiently large, $(D_{V;e}, I_{V;e}, \delta)$ naturally defines an object (V, S_e, δ) of $Cris(X/A)$ (and of $Cris(V/A)$), [Sta20, 07KG].

We define the sheaf \mathcal{C}_V by the formula

$$\mathcal{C}_V((U, T, \delta)) = \varinjlim_e \text{Hom}_{Cris(X/A)}((U, T, \delta), (V, S_e, \delta)).$$

Remark 0.2. 1. The meaning of the definition is that the sheaf \mathcal{C}_V is the sheaf represented by the object given by the dp-ring

$$\widehat{D}_V := \varprojlim_e D_{V;e}, \quad \widehat{I}_V = \text{Im} \left(\varprojlim_e I_{V;e} \rightarrow \widehat{D}_V \right).$$

The only obstacle is that such a triple $(\widehat{D}_V, \widehat{I}_V, \delta)$ is, strictly speaking, not an object of $Cris(X/A)$ since the map $\widehat{D}_V \rightarrow \widehat{D}_V/\widehat{I}_V$ is not a thickening (but rather a pro-thickening) and p is not nilpotent on \widehat{D}_V (only topologically nilpotent). However, ignoring this issue, it is clear that for an affine object (U, T, δ) of $Cris(X/A)$, corresponding to the dp-algebra (B, J, δ) where p is nilpotent, each morphism $(\widehat{D}_V, \widehat{I}_V, \delta) \rightarrow (B, J, \delta)$ factors through $(D_{V;e}, I_{V;e}, \delta)$ for some sufficiently large e . Taking $e' \geq e$, these factorizations are compatible, which leads to the formula above.

2. It is clear that \mathcal{C}_V is "extended by \emptyset " from a sheaf on $Cris(V/A)$ in the following sense: There is the sheaf \mathcal{C}'_V on $Cris(V/A)$ defined analogously, i.e. by the formula

$$\mathcal{C}'_V((U, T, \delta)) = \varinjlim_e \text{Hom}_{Cris(V/A)}((U, T, \delta), (V, S_e, \delta)).$$

Then we have, for any $(U, T, \delta) \in Cris(X/A)$,

$$\mathcal{C}_V((U, T, \delta)) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{C}'_V((U, T, \delta)) & \text{if } U \subseteq V \text{ (hence } (U, T, \delta) \in Cris(V/A) \text{)}, \\ \emptyset & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

The symbol \varinjlim_e in the preceding formulas is meant as a filtered colimit operation of presheaves, i.e. computed objectwise. It is therefore important to observe that in the situation at hand, such colimit presheaves are actually sheaves.

Proposition 0.3. (1) *The presheaf \mathcal{C}_V is a sheaf on $\text{Cris}(X/A)$.*

(2) *$\mathcal{C}_V \rightarrow h_V$ is an epimorphism of sheaves.*

Proof. Let $\{(U_i, T_i, \delta) \rightarrow (U, T, \delta)\}_i$ be a cover in $\text{Cris}(X/A)$. As X is assumed Noetherian, U and T are quasi-compact and hence $p^e = 0$ on T for some sufficiently large e . Since $T_i \hookrightarrow T$ are open immersions, $p^e = 0$ on all T_i 's and hence on all U_i 's. By the same reasoning, the same is true also for all the schemes appearing in the covers $\{(U_{i,i'}, T_{i,i'}, \delta) \rightarrow (U_i, T_i, \delta)\}_{i'}$ where $(U_{i,i'}, T_{i,i'}, \delta) := (U_{i'}, T_{i'}, \delta) \times_{(U, T, \delta)} (U_i, T_i, \delta)$. Thus, the sequence for verifying the sheaf axiom

$$\mathcal{C}_V((U, T, \delta)) \rightarrow \prod_i \mathcal{C}_V((U_i, T_i, \delta)) \rightrightarrows \prod_{i,i'} \mathcal{C}_V((U_{i,i'}, T_{i,i'}, \delta))$$

is naturally identified with

$$\text{Hom}((U, T, \delta), (V, S_e, \delta)) \rightarrow \prod_i \text{Hom}((U_i, T_i, \delta), (V, S_e, \delta)) \rightrightarrows \prod_{i,i'} \text{Hom}((U_{i,i'}, T_{i,i'}, \delta), (V, S_e, \delta)).$$

Since the functor $\text{Hom}(-, (V, S_e, \delta))$ is a sheaf, the latter sequence is an equalizer sequence, hence the former is as well. This proves (1).

Proving (2) amounts to showing that whenever (U, T, δ) has $U \subseteq V$ (so that $h_V((U, T, \delta)) = * \neq \emptyset$), there exists a morphism $(U, T, \delta) \rightarrow (V, S_e, \delta)$ in $\text{Cris}(X/A)$, for some $e \geq 1$ sufficiently large. We may additionally assume that (U, T, δ) is affine, given by a dp-algebra (B, J, δ) . In that case, there is (by definition) a map $P_V \rightarrow P_V/J_V \simeq C \rightarrow B/J$ which admits a lift to $P_V \rightarrow B$ since P_V is free. The resulting map of pairs $(P_V, J_V) \rightarrow (B, J)$ then induces a morphism of dp-algebras $(D_V, I_V, \delta) \rightarrow (B, J, \delta)$ by the universal property of pd-envelopes. Finally, one can take e large enough such that $p^e = 0$ in B . Then the above map factors through a map $(D_{V;e}, I_{V;e}, \delta) \rightarrow (B, J, \delta)$, corresponding to the morphism $(U, T, \delta) \rightarrow (V, S_e, \delta)$, as desired. \square

Now let us choose a (finite) affine open cover $X = \bigcup_j V_j$. For each $n \geq 1$ and each (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n) , denote $V_{j_1} \cap \dots \cap V_{j_n} = V_{j_1, \dots, j_n} = \text{Spec } C_{j_1, \dots, j_n}$.

Note that, assuming a good choice of the free algebras P_V in the definition of the complexes \mathcal{C}_V , one has

$$\mathcal{C}_{V_{j_1}} \times \mathcal{C}_{V_{j_2}} \times \dots \times \mathcal{C}_{V_{j_n}} = \mathcal{C}_{V_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n}}.$$

Proposition 0.4. *Given an affine open cover $X = \bigcup_j V_j$, the map $\prod_j h_{V_j} \rightarrow h_X = *$ to the final object is surjective. Consequently, the map $\prod_j \mathcal{C}_{V_j} \rightarrow h_X = *$ is surjective.*

Proof. The "consequently" part follows thanks to Proposition 0.3 (2).

To prove the main statement, it is enough to show that for every object (U, T, δ) there exists a cover $\{(U_i, T_i, \delta_i) \rightarrow (U, T, \delta)\}$ such that $\prod_j^{pre} h_{V_j}((U_i, T_i, \delta_i)) \neq \emptyset$, where \prod_j^{pre} denotes the coproduct as presheaves. Identifying U with the corresponding subset of X , the dp-thickenings

$$(U \cap V_j, T \cap V_j, \delta)$$

make sense ($T \cap V_j$ denotes the open subscheme of T whose set of points corresponds, under the homeomorphism $U \rightarrow T$, to $U \cap V_j$), and naturally defines an object of $\mathit{Cris}(X/A)$. It is then easy to see that $\{(U \cap V_j, T \cap V_j, \delta) \rightarrow (U, T, \delta)\}_j$ is the desired cover, with $h_{V_j}((U \cap V_j, T \cap V_j, \delta)) \neq \emptyset$. \square

Recall the following notation: Let \mathbf{C} be a site and assume that its topology is subcanonical (i.e. all representable presheaves are sheaves). Recall that, when \mathcal{K} is a sheaf of sets on \mathbf{C} , the left exact functor (from the category of abelian sheaves on \mathbf{C} to the category of abelian groups)

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{K}, -) : \mathcal{F} \mapsto \Gamma(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{F}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathit{Shv}(\mathbf{C})}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{F})$$

is left exact, has a right derived functor $\mathrm{R}\Gamma(\mathcal{K}, -)$, and we denote by $H^i(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{F})$ the i -th cohomology group of $\mathrm{R}\Gamma(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{F})$. When $\mathcal{K} = h_A$ is a represented sheaf, we write $H^i(A, \mathcal{F}) = H^i(h_A, \mathcal{F})$. On the other hand, when $\mathcal{K} = *$ is the final sheaf, we have $H^i(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{F}) = H^i(\mathbf{C}, \mathcal{F})$.

The following vanishing is crucial for our Čech-style computation.

Lemma 0.5. *Let \mathcal{F} be a crystal in quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_{X/A}$ -modules on $\mathit{Cris}(X/A)$, and let $V \subseteq X$ be an affine open subset. Then $H^q(\mathcal{C}_V, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for all $q > 0$.*

Proof. We have, functorially in \mathcal{F} ,

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{C}_V, \mathcal{F}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathit{Shv}}(\varinjlim_e h_{(V, S_e, \delta)}, \mathcal{F}) = \varprojlim_e \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathit{Shv}}(h_{(V, S_e, \delta)}, \mathcal{F}) = \varprojlim_e \mathcal{F}((V, S_e, \delta)),$$

so that $\Gamma(\mathcal{C}_V, -)$ factors as

$$\mathit{Shv}(\mathit{Cris}(X/A))^{\{\Gamma((V, \underline{S_e}), -)\}_e} \mathbf{Ab}^{(\mathbb{N}, \geq)} \xrightarrow{\varprojlim_e} \mathbf{Ab},$$

hence

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma(\mathcal{C}_V, -) = (\mathrm{R}\varprojlim_e) \circ (\mathrm{R}\{\Gamma((V, S_e, \delta), -)\}_e).$$

By [Sta20, 07JJ], we have $H^i((V, S_e, \delta), \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for all $i > 0$ and every $e \geq 1$, that is, for an injective resolution $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^\bullet$, the complex $\Gamma((V, S_e, \delta), \mathcal{E}^\bullet)$, which represents $\mathrm{R}\Gamma((V, S_e, \delta), \mathcal{F})$, is acyclic in nonzero degrees. Since $\mathrm{R}\{\Gamma((V, S_e, \delta), -)\}_e(\mathcal{F})$ is represented by the inverse system

$$\Gamma((V, S_1, \delta), \mathcal{E}^\bullet) \leftarrow \Gamma((V, S_2, \delta), \mathcal{E}^\bullet) \leftarrow \Gamma((V, S_3, \delta), \mathcal{E}^\bullet) \leftarrow \dots$$

(interpreted as a chain complex of inverse systems in the obvious manner), the same follows for $\mathrm{R}\{\Gamma((V, S_e, \delta), -)\}_e(\mathcal{F})$, i.e. it is concentrated in degree 0 and hence (quasi-)isomorphic to the inverse system $\{\Gamma((V, S_e, \delta), \mathcal{F})\}_e$. Since \mathcal{F} is a crystal in quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_{X/A}$ -modules, this inverse system has all the transition maps surjective, hence the system is Mittag-Leffler. It follows that $\varprojlim_e^1 \Gamma((V, S_e, \delta), \mathcal{F}) = 0$, that is, $\mathrm{R}\varprojlim_e \{\Gamma((V, S_e, \delta), \mathcal{F})\}_e$ is again concentrated in degree zero and hence (quasi-)isomorphic to $\varprojlim_e \Gamma((V, S_e, \delta), \mathcal{F}) = \Gamma(\mathcal{C}_V, \mathcal{F})$.

Thus, we have verified that $\mathrm{R}\Gamma(\mathcal{C}_V, \mathcal{F})$ is concentrated in degree 0, which proves the claim. \square

Finally, we put all the pieces above together.

Proposition 0.6. *Let \mathcal{F} be a crystal in quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_{X/A}$ -modules on $\text{Cris}(X/A)$. Then the cohomologies $H^i(\text{Cris}(X/A), \mathcal{F})$ can be computed using the Čech complex*

$$(*) \quad 0 \rightarrow \prod_j \Gamma(\mathcal{C}_{V_j}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \prod_{j_1, j_2} \Gamma(\mathcal{C}_{V_{j_1, j_2}}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \dots$$

Proof. By Lemma 0.4, the epimorphism of sheaves $\prod_j \mathcal{C}_{V_j} \rightarrow *$ is an epimorphism. By [Sta20, 079Z], it follows that there is a spectral sequence with E_1 -page

$$E_1^{p,q} = H^q\left(\left(\prod_j \mathcal{C}_{V_j}\right)^{\times p}, \mathcal{F}\right) = H^q\left(\prod_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_p} \mathcal{C}_{V_{j_1, \dots, j_p}}, \mathcal{F}\right) = \prod_{j_1, \dots, j_p} H^q(\mathcal{C}_{V_{j_1, \dots, j_p}}, \mathcal{F})$$

converging to $H^{p+q}(*, \mathcal{F}) = H^{p+q}(\text{Cris}(X/A), \mathcal{F})$.

By Lemma 0.5, $H^q(\mathcal{C}_{V_{j_1, \dots, j_n}}, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for every $q > 0$ and every n -tuple of indices j_1, \dots, j_n . The first page of the spectral sequence is thus concentrated in a single row of the form $(*)$, and so the spectral sequence collapses on the second page. This proves the claim. \square

References

[Sta20] The Stacks Project Authors, *Stacks project*, available online at <http://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2020.