The Multiplicities of a Dual-thin Q-polynomial Association Scheme

Bruce E. Sagan
John S. Caughman, IV

Dept. of Mathematics
Michigan State University
E. Lansing, MI 44824-1027

Abstract. Let $Y = (X, \{R_i\}_{0 \le i \le D})$ denote a symmetric association scheme, and assume that Y is Q-polynomial with respect to an ordering $E_0, ..., E_D$ of the primitive idempotents. In [1, p.205], Bannai and Ito conjectured that the associated sequence of multiplicities m_i $(0 \le i \le D)$ of Y is unimodal. Stanton [7] made the related conjecture that $m_i \le m_{i+1}$ and $m_i \le m_{D-i}$ for $i < D/2$. We prove that if Y is dual-thin in the sense of Terwilliger, then the Stanton conjecture is true.

1 Introduction

For a general introduction to association schemes, we refer to [1], [2], [5], or [8]. Our notation follows that found in [3].

Throughout this article, $Y = (X, \{R_i\}_{0 \le i \le D})$ will denote a symmetric, D-class association scheme. Our point of departure is the following well-known result of Taylor and Levingston.

1.1 Theorem. *[6] If Y is P-polynomial with respect to an ordering $R_0, ..., R_D$ of the associate classes, then the corresponding sequence of valencies*

$$k_0, k_1, \ldots, k_D$$

is unimodal. Furthermore,

$$k_i \le k_{i+1} \quad \text{and} \quad k_i \le k_{D-i} \quad \text{for } i < D/2. \qquad \blacksquare$$

Indeed, the sequence is log-concave, as is easily derived from the inequalities $b_{i-1} \ge b_i$ and $c_i \le c_{i+1}$ $(0 < i < D)$, which are satisfied by the intersection numbers of any P-polynomial scheme (cf. [5, p. 199]).

In their book on association schemes, Bannai and Ito made the dual conjecture.

1.2 Conjecture. *[1, p. 205] If Y is Q-polynomial with respect to an ordering $E_0, ..., E_D$ of the primitive idempotents, then the corresponding sequence of multiplicites*

$$m_0, m_1, \ldots, m_D$$

is unimodal.

Bannai and Ito further remark that although unimodality of the multiplicities follows easily whenever the dual intersection numbers satisfy the inequalities $b^*_{i-1} \geq b^*_i$ and $c^*_i \leq c^*_{i+1}$ $(0 < i < D)$, unfortunately these inequalities do not always hold. For example, in the Johnson scheme $J(k^2, k)$ we find that $c^*_{k-1} > c^*_k$ whenever $k > 3$.

Talking to Terwilliger, Stanton made the following related conjecture.

1.3 Conjecture. *[7] If Y is Q-polynomial with respect to an ordering $E_0, ..., E_D$ of the primitive idempotents, then the corresponding multiplicites satisfy*

$$m_i \leq m_{i+1} \quad \text{and} \quad m_i \leq m_{D-i} \quad \text{for } i < D/2.$$

Our main result shows that under a suitable restriction on Y, these last inequalities are satisfied.

To state our result more precisely, we first review a few definitions. Let $\mathrm{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$ denote the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra of matrices with entries in $\mathbb{C}$, where the rows and columns are indexed by X, and let A_0,...,A_D denote the associate matrices for Y. Now fix any $x \in X$, and for each integer i $(0 \leq i \leq D)$, let $E^*_i = E^*_i(x)$ denote the diagonal matrix in $\mathrm{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$ with yy entry

$$(E^*_i)_{yy} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } xy \in R_i, \\ 0 & \text{if } xy \notin R_i. \end{cases} \qquad (y \in X). \tag{1}$$

The *Terwilliger algebra* for Y with respect to x is the subalgebra $T = T(x)$ of $\mathrm{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$ generated by A_0,...,A_D and E^*_0,...,E^*_D. The Terwilliger algebra was first introduced in [8] as an aid to the study of association schemes. For any $x \in X$, $T = T(x)$ is a finite dimensional, semisimple $\mathbb{C}$-algebra, and is noncommutative in general. We refer to [3] or [8] for more details. T acts faithfully on the vector space $V := \mathbb{C}^X$ by matrix multiplication. V is endowed with the inner product $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ defined by $\langle u, v\rangle := u^t\overline{v}$ for all $u, v \in V$.

Since T is semisimple, V decomposes into a direct sum of irreducible T-modules.

Let W denote an irreducible T-module. Observe that $W = \sum E_i^* W$ (orthogonal direct sum), where the sum is taken over all the indices i ($0 \leq i \leq D$) such that $E_i^* W \neq 0$. We set

$$d := |\{i \ : \ E_i^* W \neq 0\}| - 1,$$

and note that the dimension of W is at least $d+1$. We refer to d as the *diameter* of W. The module W is said to be *thin* whenever $\dim(E_i^* W) \leq 1$ ($0 \leq i \leq D$). Note that W is thin if and only if the diameter of W equals $\dim(W) - 1$. We say Y is *thin* if every irreducible $T(x)$-module is thin for every $x \in X$.

Similarly, note that $W = \sum E_i W$ (orthogonal direct sum), where the sum is over all i ($0 \leq i \leq D$) such that $E_i W \neq 0$. We define the *dual diameter* of W to be

$$d^* := |\{i \ : \ E_i W \neq 0\}| - 1,$$

and note that $\dim W \geq d^* + 1$. A *dual thin* module W satisfies $\dim(E_i W) \leq 1$ ($0 \leq i \leq D$). So W is dual thin if and only if $\dim(W) = d^* + 1$. Finally, Y is *dual thin* if every irreducible $T(x)$-module is dual thin for every vertex $x \in X$.

Many of the known examples of Q-polynomial schemes are dual thin. (See [9] for a list.) Our main theorem is as follows.

1.4 Theorem. *Let Y denote a symmetric association scheme which is Q-polynomial with respect to an ordering $E_0, ..., E_D$ of the primitive idempotents. If Y is dual-thin, then the multiplicities satisfy*

$$m_i \leq m_{i+1} \quad \text{and} \quad m_i \leq m_{D-i} \quad \text{for } i < D/2.$$

The proof of Theorem 1.4 is contained in the next section.

We remark that if Y is bipartite P- and Q-polynomial, then it must be dual-thin and $m_i = m_{D-i}$ for $i < D/2$. So Theorem 1.4 implies the following corollary. (cf. [4, Theorem 9.6]). **1.5 Corollary.** *Let Y denote a symmetric association scheme which is bipartite P- and Q-polynomial with respect to an ordering $E_0, ..., E_D$ of the primitive idempotents. Then the corresponding sequence of multiplicites*

$$m_0, m_1, \ldots, m_D$$

is unimodal. ∎

2 Proof of the Theorem

Let $Y = (X, \{R_i\}_{0 \le i \le D})$ denote a symmetric association scheme which is Q-polynomial with respect to the ordering $E_0, ..., E_D$ of the primitive idempotents. Fix any $x \in X$ and let $T = T(x)$ denote the Terwilliger algebra for Y with respect to x. Let W denote any irreducible T-module. We define the *dual endpoint* of W to be the integer t given by

$$t := \min\{i : 0 \le i \le D,\ E_i W \ne 0\}. \tag{2}$$

We observe that $0 \le t \le D - d^*$, where d^* denotes the dual diameter of W.

2.1 Lemma. *[8, p.385] Let Y be a symmetric association scheme which is Q-polynomial with respect to the ordering $E_0, ..., E_D$ of the primitive idempotents. Fix any $x \in X$, and write $E_i^* = E_i^*(x)$ $(0 \le i \le D)$, $T = T(x)$. Let W denote an irreducible T-module with dual endpoint t. Then*

(i) $E_i W \ne 0$ *iff* $t \le i \le t + d^*$ $(0 \le i \le D)$.

(ii) Suppose W is dual-thin. Then W is thin, and $d = d^*$. ∎

2.2 Lemma. *[3, Lemma 4.1] Under the assumptions of the previous lemma, the dual endpoint t and diameter d of any irreducible T-module satisfy*

$$2t + d \ge D. \quad ∎$$

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Fix any $x \in X$, and let $T = T(x)$ denote the Terwilliger algebra for Y with respect to x. Since T is semisimple, there exists a positive integer s and irreducible T-modules W_1, W_2,...,W_s such that

$$V = W_1 + W_2 + \cdots + W_s \qquad \text{(orthogonal direct sum)}. \tag{3}$$

For each integer j, $1 \le j \le s$, let t_j (respectively, d_j^*) denote the dual endpoint (respectively, dual diameter) of W_j. Now fix any nonnegative integer $i < D/2$. Then for any j, $1 \le j \le s$,

$$\begin{array}{lll} E_i W_j \ne 0 & \Rightarrow & t_j \le i \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\quad \text{(by Lemma 2.1(i))} \\ & \Rightarrow & t_j < i+1 \le D - i \le D - t_j \quad \text{(since } i < D/2\text{)} \\ & \Rightarrow & t_j < i+1 \le D - i \le t_j + d_j^* \quad \text{(by Lemmas 2.1(ii), 2.2)} \\ & \Rightarrow & E_{i+1} W_j \ne 0 \text{ and } E_{D-i} W_j \ne 0 \quad \text{(by Lemma 2.1(i))}. \end{array}$$

So we can now argue that, since Y is dual thin,

$$\begin{aligned}\dim(E_iV) &= |\{j \ : \ 0 \leq j \leq s, \, E_iW_j \neq 0\}| \\ &\leq |\{j \ : ; 0 \leq j \leq s, \, E_{i+1}W_j \neq 0\}| \\ &= \dim(E_{i+1}V).\end{aligned}$$

In other words, $m_i \leq m_{i+1}$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}\dim(E_iV) &= |\{j \ : \ 0 \leq j \leq s, \, E_iW_j \neq 0\}| \\ &\leq |\{j \ : \ 0 \leq j \leq s, \, E_{D-i}W_j \neq 0\}| \\ &= \dim(E_{D-i}V)\end{aligned}$$

This yields $m_i \leq m_{D-i}$. ■

References

[1] E. Bannai and T. Ito, "Algebraic Combinatorics I: Association Schemes," Benjamin/Cummings, London, 1984.

[2] A. E. Brouwer, A. M. Cohen, and A. Neumaier, "Distance-Regular Graphs," Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.

[3] J. S. Caughman IV, The Terwilliger algebra for bipartite P- and Q-polynomial association schemes, in preparation.

[4] J. S. Caughman IV, Spectra of bipartite P- and Q-polynomial association schemes, *Graphs Combin.*, to appear.

[5] C. D. Godsil, "Algebraic Combinatorics," Chapman and Hall, New York, 1993.

[6] D. E. Taylor and R. Levingston, Distance-regular graphs, in "Combinatorial Mathematics, Proc. Canberra 1977," D. A. Holton and J. Seberry eds., Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 686, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1978, 313–323.

[7] P. Terwilliger, private communication.

[8] P. Terwilliger, The subconstituent algebra of an association scheme. I, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **1** (1992) 363–388.

[9] P. Terwilliger, The subconstituent algebra of an association scheme. III, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **2** (1993) 177–210.