

**Exercises:** (Sections 2.5, 3.1)

1. On  $([0, 1], \mathcal{B}_{[0,1]})$ , let  $m$  be the Lebesgue measure and let  $\nu$  be the counting measure. For the diagonal set

$$D := \{(t, t) \in [0, 1]^2 : 0 \leq t \leq 1\},$$

show that  $\iint 1_D \, dm d\nu$ ,  $\iint 1_D \, d\nu dm$ , and  $\int 1_D \, d(m \times \nu)$  are all distinct.

[**Note:** this shows the  $\sigma$ -finiteness assumption in the Fubini–Tonelli theorem is necessary.]

2. Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space and  $f \in L^+(X, \mu)$ .

- (a) Show that

$$G_f := \{(x, t) \in X \times [0, \infty) : t \leq f(x)\}$$

is  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ -measurable with  $\mu \times m(G_f) = \int_X f \, d\mu$ .

- (b) Prove the **layer cake formula**:

$$\int_X f \, d\mu = \int_{[0, \infty)} \mu(\{x \in X : f(x) \geq t\}) \, dm(t).$$

- (c) Use part (a) and continuity from below to give an alternate (albeit circular) proof of the monotone convergence theorem.

3. Suppose  $f \in L^1((0, 1), m)$ , and define

$$g(x) := \int_{(x, 1)} \frac{1}{t} f(t) \, dm(t) \quad 0 < x < 1.$$

Show that  $g \in L^1((0, 1), m)$  with  $\int_{(0, 1)} g \, dm = \int_{(0, 1)} f \, dm$ .

4. Let  $\nu$  and  $\mu$  be signed measures on a measurable space  $(X, \mathcal{M})$ .

- (a) Show that  $E$  is  $\nu$ -null if and only if  $|\nu|(E) = 0$ .

- (b) Show the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $\nu \perp \mu$
- (ii)  $|\nu| \perp \mu$
- (iii)  $\nu^+ \perp \mu$  and  $\nu^- \perp \mu$ .

5. Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{N}, \nu)$  be measure spaces, let  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be  $\mathcal{M}$ -measurable, and let  $g: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be  $\mathcal{N}$ -measurable.

- (a) Show that  $h: X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by  $h(x, y) := f(x)g(y)$  is  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$ -measurable.

- (b) Show that if  $f \in L^1(X, \mu)$  and  $g \in L^1(Y, \nu)$ , then  $h \in L^1(X \times Y, \mu \times \nu)$  with

$$\int_{X \times Y} h \, d(\mu \times \nu) = \left( \int_X f \, d\mu \right) \left( \int_Y g \, d\nu \right).$$

**Solutions:**

1. For  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we have  $(1_D)^t(s) = 1_D(s, t) = 1_{\{t\}}(s)$ . Since  $1_{\{t\}} = 0$   $m$ -almost everywhere, we have  $\int 1_D(s, t) \, dm(s) = 0$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$  and hence  $\iint 1_D \, dm d\nu = 0$ . On the other hand,

$$\int_{[0, 1]} 1_D(s, t) \, d\nu(t) = \int_{[0, 1]} 1_{\{s\}}(t) \, d\nu(t) = \nu(\{s\}) = 1,$$

and so  $\iint 1_D \, d\nu dm = \int 1 \, dm = 1$ . Finally, we have  $\int 1_D \, d(m \times \nu) = m \times \nu(D)$ . To compute this, recall that  $\mu \times \nu$  is by construction the restriction of the outer measure defined by the premeasure  $\pi$  from lecture. Consequently,

$$m \times \nu(D) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m(A_n) \nu(B_n) : D \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \times B_n, A_n, B_n \in \mathcal{B}_{[0,1]} \right\}.$$

Fix some cover  $D \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \times B_n$ . Then  $[0, 1] \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ , and so  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m(A_n) \geq 1$ . Let  $I = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : m(A_n) = 0\}$  and  $E := [0, 1] \setminus \bigcup_{n \in I} A_n$ . Then  $m(E) = 1$  and hence  $E$  is necessarily uncountable. We also have

$$\{(t, t) \in [0, 1]^2 : t \in E\} \subset \bigcup_{n \notin I} A_n \times B_n,$$

and so  $E \subset \bigcup_{n \notin I} B_n$ . Since  $E$  is uncountable, at least one  $B_n$ ,  $n \notin I$ , must be uncountable. Since  $m(A_n) > 0$  by definition of  $I$ , it follows that  $m(A_n) \nu(B_n) = \infty$ . Since this was an arbitrary cover of  $D$  by measurable rectangles, it follows that  $m \times \nu(D) = \infty$ .  $\square$

2. (a) Consider  $F(x, t) := (f(x), t)$ , which is  $(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$ -measurable by Proposition 2.4, and  $[0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \ni (s, t) \mapsto s - t$  which is continuous and hence  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ -measurable. Thus  $(x, t) \mapsto f(x) - t$ , the composition of these maps, is  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$  measurable, and  $G_f$  is the preimage of  $[0, \infty]$  under this map. Note that for  $x \in X$ ,  $(G_f)_x = [0, f(x)]$  and so  $m((G_f)_x) = f(x)$ . Using Theorem 2.35 we have

$$\mu \times m(G_f) \int_X m((G_f)_x) \, d\mu(x) = \int_X f(x) \, d\mu(x) = \int_X f \, d\mu.$$

$\square$

- (b) For  $t \in [0, \infty)$ , observe that  $(G_f)^t = \{x \in X : (x, t) \in G_f\} = \{x \in X : f(x) \geq t\}$ . So using the other part of Theorem 2.35, we have

$$\int_X f \, d\mu = \mu \times m(G_f) = \int_{[0, \infty)} \mu((G_f)^t) \, dm(t) = \int_{[0, \infty)} \mu(\{x \in X : f(x) \geq t\}) \, dm(t).$$

$\square$

- (c) Suppose  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^+(X, \mu)$  increase pointwise to  $f \in L^+(X, \mu)$ . Then  $G_{f_n} \subset G_{f_{n+1}}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} G_{f_n} = G_f.$$

Thus by continuity from below and part (a) we have

$$\int_X f \, d\mu = \mu \times m(G_f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu \otimes m(G_{f_n}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X f_n \, d\mu.$$

$\square$

3. Consider  $F(x, t) := 1_{(x,1)}(t) \frac{1}{t} f(t)$ . Note that  $1_{(x,1)}(t) = 1_{(0,t)}(x)$  for  $x, t \in (0, 1)$ . So by Tonelli's theorem we have

$$\int_{(0,1)^2} |F(x, t)| \, d(m \times m) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 1_{(0,t)}(x) \frac{1}{t} |f(t)| \, dm(x) dm(t) = \int_0^1 |f(t)| \, dm(t) < \infty.$$

So  $F \in L^1((0, 1)^2, m \times m)$  and therefore  $g(x) = \int_{(0,1)} F_x \, dm \in L^1((0, 1), m)$  by Fubini's theorem. Fubini's theorem also implies

$$\int_{(0,1)} g(x) \, dm = \int_{(0,1)^2} F \, d(m \times m) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 1_{(0,t)}(x) \frac{1}{t} f(t) \, dm(x) dm(t),$$

which equals  $\int_0^1 f(t) \, dm(t)$  by the same computation as above.  $\square$

4. (a) Suppose  $E$  is  $\nu$ -null. Recall that  $\nu^+(E) = \nu(E \cap P)$  and  $\nu^-(E) = -\nu(E \cap N)$  where  $X = P \cup N$  is a partition of  $X$  into positive and negative sets for  $\nu$ . Since  $E \cap P \subset E$ , we have  $\nu(E \cap P) = 0$  and similarly  $\nu(E \cap N) = 0$ . Hence

$$|\nu|(E) = \nu^+(E) + \nu^-(E) = \nu(E \cap P) + \nu(E \cap N) = 0.$$

Conversely, suppose  $|\nu|(E) = 0$ . If there exists a measurable subset  $F \subset E$  with  $\nu(F) \neq 0$ , then without loss of generality we may assume  $\nu(F) > 0$ . Consequently,  $\nu^+(F) = \nu(F) + \nu^-(F) > 0$  and hence  $|\nu|(F) \geq \nu^+(F) > 0$ . But since  $|\nu|$  is a measure, this contradicts monotonicity. Thus we must have  $\nu(F) = 0$  for all measurable subsets  $F \subset E$ , and hence  $E$  is  $\nu$ -null.  $\square$

- (b) (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) : Suppose  $\nu \perp \mu$  and let  $X = E \cup F$  be a partition such that  $E$  is  $\mu$ -null and  $F$  is  $\nu$ -null. By part (a),  $F$  satisfies  $|\nu|(F) = 0$  and hence is  $|\nu|$ -null. Consequently  $|\nu| \perp \mu$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) : Let  $X = E \cup F$  be a partition such that  $E$  is  $\mu$ -null and  $F$  is  $|\nu|$ -null. Then  $0 = |\nu|(F) = \nu^+(F) + \nu^-(F)$ , and so  $F$  is  $\nu^+$ -null so that  $\nu^+ \perp \mu$ , and  $F$  is  $\nu^-$ -null so that  $\nu^- \perp \mu$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) : Let  $X = E_+ \cup F_+ = E_- \cup F_-$  be partitions such that  $E_{\pm}$  are  $\mu$ -null and  $F_{\pm}$  is  $\nu^{\pm}$ -null. Then  $E := E_+ \cup E_-$  is also  $\mu$ -null and  $F := X \setminus E = F_+ \cap F_-$  which is both  $\nu^+$ -null and  $\nu^-$ -null. Thus  $F$  is  $\nu$ -null: for any measurable subset  $A \subset F$  we have  $\nu(A) = \nu^+(A) - \nu^-(A) = 0 - 0 = 0$ . Hence  $\nu \perp \mu$ .  $\square$

5. (a) First observe that for  $A \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{N}$  that  $1_A(x)1_B(y) = 1_{A \times B}(x, y)$ . Thus products of measurable simple functions on  $(X, \mathcal{M})$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{N})$  yield measurable simple functions on  $(X \times Y, \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N})$ . So, letting  $(\phi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(\psi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be sequences of simple functions converging pointwise to  $f$  and  $g$ , respectively, we have

$$h(x, y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n(x)\psi_n(y).$$

Since  $h$  is a pointwise limit of measurable functions, it is measurable by Proposition 2.7 from lecture.  $\square$

- (b) We first suppose  $f = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i 1_{A_i}$  and  $g = \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j 1_{B_j}$  are non-negative simple functions. Then

$$h = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_i \beta_j 1_{A_i \times B_j},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{X \times Y} h \, d(\mu \times \nu) &= \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_i \beta_j (\mu \times \nu)(A_i \times B_j) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_i \beta_j \mu(A_i) \nu(B_j) \\ &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \mu(A_i) \right) \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j \nu(B_j) \right) = \left( \int_X f \, d\mu \right) \left( \int_Y g \, d\nu \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that this quantity is finite since  $f \in L^1(X, \mu)$  and  $g \in L^1(Y, \nu)$ , and so  $h \in L^1(X \times Y, \mu \times \nu)$ . Now let  $f \in L^1(X, \mu)$  and  $g \in L^1(Y, \nu)$  be arbitrary. Using Theorem 2.10 we can find sequences of simple functions  $(\phi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, (\psi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converging pointwise to  $f$  and  $g$ , respectively, and satisfying  $|\phi_1| \leq |\phi_2| \leq \dots \leq |f|$  and  $|\psi_1| \leq |\psi_2| \leq \dots \leq |g|$ . Then  $|\phi_1(x)\psi_1(y)| \leq |\phi_2(x)\psi_2(y)| \leq \dots \leq |h(x, y)|$  and  $\phi_n(x)\psi_n(y) \rightarrow h(x, y)$  for all  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ . Thus the monotone convergence theorem and the first part of the proof gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{X \times Y} |h| \, d(\mu \times \nu) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{X \times Y} |\phi_n \psi_n| \, d(\mu \times \nu) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_X |\phi_n| \, d\mu \right) \left( \int_Y |\psi_n| \, d\nu \right) = \left( \int_X |f| \, d\mu \right) \left( \int_Y |g| \, d\nu \right) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

So  $h \in L^1(X \times Y, \mu \times \nu)$ . In particular, this implies  $\phi_n \psi_n \in L^1(X \times Y, \mu \times \nu)$  and the same computation as in the first part of the proof yields

$$\int_{X \times Y} \phi_n \psi_n d(\mu \times \nu) = \left( \int_X \phi_n d\mu \right) \left( \int_Y \psi_n d\nu \right).$$

Finally, using the dominated convergence theorem three times (with dominating functions  $|h|$ ,  $|f|$ , and  $|g|$  respectively) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{X \times Y} h d(\mu \times \nu) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{X \times Y} \phi_n \psi_n d(\mu \times \nu) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_X \phi_n d\mu \right) \left( \int_Y \psi_n d\nu \right) = \left( \int_X f d\mu \right) \left( \int_Y g d\nu \right), \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. □