## Exercises: (Chapter 1.2-3)

- 1. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and denote the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by  $\mathcal{B}$ .
  - (a) Show that  $\mathcal{B}$  is generated by the collection of *open boxes*

$$(a_1, b_1) \times \cdots \times (a_n, b_n)$$

for  $a_1, b_1, \ldots, a_n, b_n \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $a_1 < b_1, \ldots, a_n < b_n$ .

(b) Fix  $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \ldots, t_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . For a Borel set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , show that

 $E + \mathbf{t} := \{ (x_1 + t_1, \dots, x_n + t_n) \colon (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in E \}$ 

is also a Borel set. We say  $\mathcal{B}$  is **translation invariant**. [**Hint:** Consider the collection  $\{E \in \mathcal{B} : E + \mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{B}\}$ .]

(c) Fix  $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \ldots, t_n) \in (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})^n$ . For a Borel set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , show that

 $E \cdot \mathbf{t} := \{ (x_1 t_1, \dots, x_n t_n) \colon (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in E \}$ 

is also a Borel set. We say  $\mathcal{B}$  is dilation invariant.

2. Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  be a measure space with  $E_n \in \mathcal{M}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(a) Show that

$$\mu(\liminf E_n) \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} \mu(E_n)$$

(b) Suppose  $\mu(\bigcup_n E_n) < \infty$ . Show that

$$\mu(\limsup E_n) \ge \limsup \mu(E_n).$$

- 3. Let  $\mu$  be a finitely additive measure on a measurable space  $(X, \mathcal{M})$ .
  - (a) Show that  $\mu$  is a measure if and only if it satisfies continuity from below.
  - (b) If  $\mu(X) < \infty$ , show that  $\mu$  is a measure if and only if it satisfies continuity from above.
- 4. Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  be a measure space.
  - (a) Suppose  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite. Show that  $\mu$  is semifinite.
  - (b) Suppose  $\mu$  is semifinite. Show that for  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  with  $\mu(E) = \infty$  and any C > 0, there exists  $F \subset E$  with  $C < \mu(F) < \infty$ .
- 5. Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  be a measure space and for  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  define

$$\mu_0(E) := \sup\{\mu(F) \colon F \subset E \text{ with } \mu(F) < \infty\}.$$

We call  $\mu_0$  the **seminfinite part** of  $\mu$ .

- (a) Show that  $\mu_0$  is a semifinite measure.
- (b) Show that if  $\mu$  is itself semifinite, then  $\mu = \mu_0$ .
- (c) <sup>1</sup> We say  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  is  $\mu$ -semifinite if for any  $F \subset E$  with  $\mu(F) = \infty$  there exists  $G \subset F$  with  $0 < \mu(G) < \infty$ . Show that

$$\nu(E) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } E \text{ is } \mu \text{-semifinite} \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

defines a measure on  $(X, \mathcal{M})$  satisfying  $\mu = \mu_0 + \nu$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>not collected

## Solutions:

1. (a) Let  $\mathcal{E}$  denote the collection of open intervals in  $\mathbb{R}$ , then the collection of open boxes in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is precisely

$$\{\prod_{j=1}^{n} E_j \colon E_j \in \mathcal{E}\}.$$

Also denote  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} := \mathcal{E} \cup \{\mathbb{R}\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{E} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{E}}$  and

$$\mathbb{R} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (-k, k)$$

imply  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}})$  and

$$\mathcal{M}(\{\prod_{j=1}^{n} E_j \colon E_j \in \mathcal{E}\}) = \mathcal{M}(\{\prod_{j=1}^{n} E_j \colon E_j \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}\})$$

by Lemma 1.1 from lecture. Since  $\mathbb{R} \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ , we can apply the second part of Proposition 1.4 to get that the above  $\sigma$ -algebra equals  $\bigotimes_{j=1}^{n} \mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}) = \bigotimes_{j=1}^{n} \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E})$ . Since  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$  by Exercise 5 from Homework 1, we thus have

$$\mathcal{M}(\{\prod_{j=1}^{n} E_j \colon E_j \in \mathcal{E}\}) = \bigotimes_{j=1}^{n} \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}) = \bigotimes_{j=1}^{n} \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Finally, by Corollary 1.6 from lecture the above  $\sigma$ -algebra is exactly  $\mathcal{B}$ .

- (b) Denote  $\mathcal{B}' := \{E \in \mathcal{B} : E + \mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{B}\}$  as in the hint. Then clearly  $\mathcal{B}'$  contains all the open boxes, and it is a  $\sigma$ -algebra since the map  $E \mapsto E + \mathbf{t}$  being invertible implies it commutes with taking complements and unions. Lemma 1.1 therefore tells us that  $\mathcal{B}'$  contains the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by open boxes, which is  $\mathcal{B}$  by part (a). On the other hand,  $\mathcal{B}' \subset \mathcal{B}$  by definition. Hence  $\mathcal{B}' = \mathcal{B}$ , and so the translation of any Borel set is a Borel set.  $\Box$
- (c) Let  $\mathcal{B}'' := \{E \in \mathcal{B} : E \cdot \mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{B}\}$ . Once again  $\mathcal{B}''$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra containing the open boxes, and so arguing exactly as in the previous part we see that  $\mathcal{B}'' = \mathcal{B}$ . Hence the dilation of any Borel set is a Borel set.
- 2. (a) Denote

$$F_n := \bigcap_{n=k}^{\infty} E_k.$$

Then  $\liminf E_n = \bigcup_n F_n$ , and  $F_n \subset F_{n+1}$ . Thus continuity from below implies

$$\mu(\liminf E_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(F_n).$$

Also, since  $F_n \subset E_k$  for all  $k \ge n$ , monotonicity gives us  $\mu(F_n) \le \mu(E_k)$ . Thus

$$\mu(\liminf E_n) \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf_{k \ge n} \mu(E_k) = \liminf_{n \to \infty} \mu(E_n).$$

(b) Denote

$$G_n := \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} E_k$$

Then  $\limsup E_n = \bigcap_n G_n$ , and  $G_n \supset G_{n+1}$ . Since

$$\mu(G_1) = \mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n) < \infty$$

by assumption, continuity from above implies

$$\mu(\limsup E_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(G_n).$$

Now,  $\mu(G_n) \ge \mu(E_k)$  for all  $k \ge n$  by monotonicity, and thus

$$\mu(\limsup E_N) \ge \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{k \ge n} \mu(E_k) = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \mu(E_n).$$

- 3. Note that the forward directions of both parts follow from Theorem 1.8 in lecture, so it suffices to prove only the backward directions. Additionally, by definition of a finitely additive measure, it suffices in each part to show that  $\mu$  is countably additive.
  - (a) Suppose  $\mu$  satisfies continuity from below and let  $\{E_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathcal{M}$  be a disjoint collection. Letting  $F_n := E_1 \cup \cdots \cup E_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we see that

$$\mu(F_n) = \mu(E_1) + \dots + \mu(E_n),$$

and  $F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \cdots$ . Thus by continuity from below we have

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\right) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n\right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(F_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\mu(E_1) + \dots + \mu(E_n)\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_n).$$
  
e  $\mu$  is a measure.

Hence  $\mu$  is a measure.

(b) Suppose  $\mu$  satisfies continuity from above,  $\mu(X) < \infty$ , and let  $\{E_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathcal{M}$  be a disjoint collection. Note that by finite additivity

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\right) = \mu(E_1) + \dots + \mu(E_{k-1}) + \mu\left(\bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} E_n\right)$$
(1)

for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Define  $G_k := \bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} E_n$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $G_1 \supset G_2 \supset \cdots$ . Also note that

$$\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} G_k = \limsup E_n = \emptyset,$$

since the  $E_n$  are disjoint and therefore no x belongs to infinitely many  $E_n$ . We also have  $\mu(G_1) \leq 1$  $\mu(G_1) + \mu(G_1^c) = \mu(X) < \infty$ , so by continuity from above:

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \mu(G_k) = \mu(\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} G_k) = \mu(\emptyset) = 0$$

Letting  $k \to \infty$  in (1) then gives

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\right) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\right) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \mu(E_1) + \dots + \mu(E_{k-1}) + \mu(G_k) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_n).$$

Hence  $\mu$  is a measure.

4. (a) Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space, and let  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  with  $\mu(E) = \infty$ . By  $\sigma$ -finiteness, there exists  $\{G_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{M}$  satisfying  $\bigcup_n G_n = X$  and  $\mu(G_n) < \infty$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We claim  $0 < \mu(E \cap G_n)$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Indeed, if not then by subadditivity we have

$$\mu(E) = \mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E \cap G_n) \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(E \cap G_n) = 0,$$

contradicting  $\mu(E) = \infty$ . Thus  $0 < \mu(E \cap G_n)$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and by monotonicity we also have  $\mu(E \cap G_n) < \infty$ . Thus  $\mu$  is semifinite.

(b) Note that by semifiniteness,

$$\mathcal{F} := \{ F \in \mathcal{M} \colon F \subset E, \ 0 < \mu(F) < \infty \}$$

is non-empty, and therefore  $\alpha := \sup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \mu(F) > 0$ . Suppose, towards a contradiction, that  $\alpha < \infty$ . Let  $(F_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{F}$  be a sequence satisfying  $\mu(F_n) \to \alpha$ . Note that  $F_1 \cup \cdots \cup F_n \in \mathcal{F}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by subadditivity, and hence  $\mu(F_1 \cup \cdots \cup F_n) \leq \alpha$ . By continuity from below, we therefore have

$$\mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(F_1 \cup \dots \cup F_n) \le \alpha.$$

On the other hand,  $\mu(F_1 \cup \cdots \cup F_n) \ge \mu(F_n)$  by monotonicity. It follows that  $G := \bigcup_n F_n \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $\mu(G) = \alpha$ . Now,

$$\mu(E) = \mu(E \setminus G) + \mu(G)$$

implies  $\mu(E \setminus G) = \infty$ . As  $\mu$  is semifinite, there exists  $F \subset E \setminus G$  with  $0 < \mu(F) < \infty$ . But then  $\mu(F \cup G) = \mu(F) + \mu(G) \in (\alpha, \infty)$  so that  $F \cup G \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $\mu(F \cup G) > \alpha$ , contradicting the definition of  $\alpha$ .

Thus  $\alpha = \infty$  and hence for any C > 0 one can find  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $\mu(F) > C$ .

5. (a) We first show that  $\mu_0$  is a measure. For  $E = \emptyset$ , any subset is necessarily the empty set and hence

$$\mu_0(\emptyset) = \sup\{\mu(\emptyset)\} = 0.$$

If  $\{E_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathcal{M}$  is a disjoint collection, then for any  $F \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$  with  $\mu(F) < \infty$  one has that  $\mu(F \cap E_n) < \infty$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by monotonicity. Thus

$$\mu(F) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(F \cap E_n) \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(E_n),$$

and taking the supremum over all finite measure subsets  $F \subset \bigcup E_n$  one obtains

$$\mu_0\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\right) \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(E_n).$$

Conversely, if  $\mu_0(E_n) = \infty$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then there exists  $F_R \subset E_n$  with  $\mu(F_R) \geq R$  for all R > 0. Since  $F_R \subset \bigcup E_n$ , this implies  $\mu_0(\bigcup E_n) = \infty$ . Now suppose  $\mu(E_n) < \infty$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $F_n \subset E_n$  be such that  $\mu(F_n) < \infty$  and  $\mu_0(E_n) - \frac{\epsilon}{2^n} < \mu(F_n)$ . Then for each  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{N} F_n \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$$

and is of finite measure. Thus

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu_0(E_n) \le \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu(F_n) + \frac{\epsilon}{2^n} = \mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{N} F_n\right) + \epsilon(1 - (1/2)^N) < \mu_0\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\right) + \epsilon.$$

Letting  $N \to \infty$  and  $\epsilon \to 0$  yields the inequality needed to show  $\mu_0$  is countably additive. Next, we must show  $\mu_0$  is semifinite. Suppose  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  satisfies  $\mu_0(E) = \infty$ . The definition of  $\mu_0$  implies there exists  $F \subset E$  with  $0 < \mu(F) < \infty$ . Then for any  $G \subset F$  one has  $\mu(G) \leq \mu(F)$  and hence  $\mu_0(F) = \mu(F) \in (0, \infty)$ . Thus  $\mu_0$  is semifinite.

- (b) Suppose  $\mu$  is semifinite and let  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ . If  $\mu(E) < \infty$ , then the argument at the end of part (a) shows  $\mu_0(E) = \mu(E)$ . If  $\mu(E) = \infty$ , then by Exercise 4.(a) for any C > 0 there exists  $F \subset E$  with  $C < \mu(F) < \mu(E)$ . Hence  $\mu_0(E) > C$  for all C > 0, and therefore  $\mu_0(E) = \infty = \mu(E)$ .  $\Box$
- (c) We will first show  $\mu = \mu_0 + \nu$ . First claim that if *E* is  $\mu$ -semifinite, then  $\mu(E) = \mu_0(E)$ . Indeed, if  $\mu(E) < \infty$  then this follows from the argument at the end of part (a). Otherwise, arguing as in Exercise 4.(b) we see that

$$\{\mu(F)\colon F\subset E,\ 0<\mu(F)<\infty\}$$

is unbounded, and hence  $\mu_0(E) = \infty$  as the supremum of the above set. This proves the claim and consequently,  $\mu(E) = \mu_0(E) = \mu_0(E) + \nu(E)$  for any  $\mu$ -semifinite E. If E is not  $\mu$ -semifinite, then necessarily  $\mu(E) = \infty = \nu(E) = \mu_0(E) + \nu(E)$ .

Now we show  $\nu$  is a measure. Since  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0 < \infty$ , we see that  $\emptyset$  is  $\mu$ -semifinite and therefore  $\nu(\emptyset) = 0$ . Next, let  $\{E_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathcal{M}$  be a disjoint collection. If  $E_k$  is not  $\mu$ -semifinite for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then there exists  $F \subset E_k$  with  $\mu(F) = \infty$  but  $\mu(G) \in \{0, \infty\}$  for all  $G \subset F$ . This same F is a subset for  $\bigcup E_n$ , and so we see that  $\bigcup E_n$  is also not  $\mu$ -semifinite in this case and hence

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \nu(E_n) = \infty = \nu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\right).$$

Otherwise,  $E_n$  is  $\mu$ -semifinite for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and therefore

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \nu(E_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 0 = 0.$$

So we must show that in this case,  $\bigcup E_n$  is also  $\mu$ -semifinite. Let  $F \subset \bigcup E_n$  with  $\mu(F) = \infty$ . Note that

$$\infty = \mu(F) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \cap F\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_n \cap F),$$

and so  $\mu(E_k \cap F) > 0$  for at least one  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $\mu(E_k \cap F) < \infty$ , we take  $G := E_k \cap F$ . Otherwise,  $\mu(E_k \cap F) = \infty$ , and then invoking the  $\mu$ -semifiniteness of  $E_k$  we can find  $G \subset E_k \cap F$  with  $0 < \mu(G) < \infty$ . This G is of course also a subset of F, and so  $\bigcup E_n$  is  $\mu$ -semifinite.  $\Box$