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## Conjecture

Every index of a hyperfinite finite depth irreducible subfactor is the index of a hyperfinite irreducible $A_{\infty}$ subfactor.
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- $\left[A_{1, \infty}: A_{0, \infty}\right]=\|G\|^{2}=\|L\|^{2}$
- Always hyperfinite
- Irreducible if $G$ (or $L$ ) satisfy Wenzl's criterion

Lots of examples constructed in [Sch13].

## Embedding theorem

Using Ocneanu's compactness, some facts about Pimsner-Popa basis and loop algebra formulas from [JP11] we prove the following:

## Theorem

Let $P_{\bullet}$ be the subfactor planar algebra associated to $A_{0, \infty} \subset A_{1, \infty}$ and $\operatorname{GPA}(G)$. the graph planar algebra associated to the Bratelli diagram of $A_{0,0} \subset A_{1,0}$. Then $P_{\bullet}$ embeds into $\operatorname{GPA}(G)$.

## Fusion graphs and embeddings

Another embedding theorem:

## Theorem (GMPPS'18)

Suppose $P_{\bullet}$ is a finite depth subfactor planar algebra. Let $\mathcal{C}$ denote the unitary multifusion category of projections in $P_{\bullet}$, with distinguished object $X=\mathrm{id}_{1,+} \in P_{1,+}$, and the standard unitary pivotal structure with respect to $X$. There is an equivalence between:
1 Planar algebra embeddings $P_{\bullet} \rightarrow \operatorname{GPA}(G)_{\bullet}$, where $\operatorname{GPA}(G)_{\bullet}$ is the graph planar algebra associated to a finite connected bipartite graph G, and
$\mathbf{2}$ indecomposable finitely semisimple pivotal left $\mathcal{C}$-module $C^{*}$ categories $\mathcal{M}$ whose fusion graph with respect to $X$ is $G$.

## Main Idea

Let $N \subset M$ be a finite depth hyperfinite subfactor with unitary multifusion category $\mathcal{C}$ and $\left\{A_{i j}, i, j=0,1\right\}$ a commuting square.

If $G$ isn't a fusion graph for any ${ }_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M}$, then $A_{0, \infty} \subset A_{1, \infty}$ isn't isomorphic to $N \subset M$.
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Let $N \subset M$ be a finite depth hyperfinite subfactor with unitary multifusion category $\mathcal{C}$ and $\left\{A_{i j}, i, j=0,1\right\}$ a commuting square.
If $G$ isn't a fusion graph for any ${ }_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M}$, then $A_{0, \infty} \subset A_{1, \infty}$ isn't isomorphic to $N \subset M$.

We know a lot about the left $\mathcal{C}$-module $C^{*}$ categories $\mathcal{M}$ when $\mathcal{C}$ comes from:

- [Pet10]: Haagerup subfactor (3 graphs)
- [GMP ${ }^{+}$18]: Extended Haagerup subfactor (4 graphs)
- [GS16] \& [GIS18]: Asaeda-Haagerup subfactor (14 graphs)


## Fusion graphs for Asaeda-Haagerup














Principal graph


Dual principal graph

## Double brooms
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Medium double broom $-\|\cdot\|^{2}=3+\sqrt{3}$


Small double broom - $\|\cdot\|^{2}=5$

## Bi-unitary connections

$$
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That is $u=\bigoplus_{(p, s)} u^{(p, s)}$ and $v=\bigoplus_{(q, r)} v^{(q, r)}$ such that

- $u^{(p, s)}=\left(u_{q, r}^{(p, s)}\right)_{q, r}$
- $v^{(q, r)}=\left(v_{p, s}^{(q, r)}\right)_{p, s}$
- $v_{p, s}^{(q, r)}=\sqrt{\frac{\lambda(p) \eta(s)}{\lambda(q) \eta(r)}}\left(u_{q, r}^{(p, s)}\right)^{t}$
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## Theorem

If $G$ is one of the double brooms, there exist $H$ and $K$ for which we can construct a bi-unitary connection.

In particular, we have constructed an irreducible hyperfinite subfactor with infinite depth and index $\frac{5+\sqrt{17}}{2}$ (the same as Asaeda-Haagerup), by classification it has to have trivial standard invariant.

## Remark

Unlike the commuting squares in [Sch13], $K$ is never a polynomial in $G^{t} G$.

## Another approach

- In [Kaw23] it is proven that given a finite depth subfactor $N \subset M$, there are only countably many non-equivalent commuting squares associated to it.
- By classification of small index subfactors we have finitely many finite depth subfactors at the indices $\frac{5+\sqrt{17}}{2}, 3+\sqrt{3}$, $\frac{5+\sqrt{21}}{2}, 5$ and $3+\sqrt{5}$.
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Let $G=S(i, i, j, j)$, the 4 -star with two pairs of legs of equal length. It's been proved in [Sch13] that there exists bi-unitary connections for inclusions of the form:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
A_{1,0} & \subset & \mathrm{G}^{t} \\
\cup_{G} & & A_{1,1} \\
A_{0,0} & \stackrel{G}{\subset} & \cup_{G} \\
A_{0,1}
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We proved there exists a 1-parameter family of bi-unitary connections for all $i, j$ !

## Indices of $S(i, i, j, j)$

| $j^{i}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | $\cdots$ | $\infty$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | $\frac{5+\sqrt{17}}{2}$ | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | $3+\sqrt{3}$ | 5.1249 | $3+\sqrt{5}$ |  |  |  |
| 4 | $\frac{5+\sqrt{21}}{2}$ | 5.1642 | 5.2703 | $\frac{7+\sqrt{13}}{2}$ |  |  |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ |  |
| $\infty$ | $2+2 \sqrt{2}$ | 5.1844 | 5.2870 | 5.3184 |  | $\frac{16}{3}$ |

Hence we have infinite depth at $\frac{5+\sqrt{17}}{2}, 3+\sqrt{3}, \frac{5+\sqrt{21}}{2}, 5$ and $3+\sqrt{5}$. All but the last must have $A_{\infty}$ standard invariant.

## Future work

- Can we construct a hyperfinite $A_{\infty}$ subfactor with index 4.3772... (Extended Haagerup index)?
- Are the $A_{\infty}$ subfactors obtained from the Large double broom and $S(1,1,2,2)$ the same?
- Are all the infinite depth subfactors coming from a 1 -parameter family of connections the same?
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