

GOALS PREREQUISITE NOTES

These notes represent a crash course in functional analysis, focusing on ideas and concepts that will be referenced during the GOALS mini-courses. While it is likely you have been exposed to some of this material in a graduate analysis course, for the purposes of GOALS there is no expectation that you have seen all of it before. Moreover, you are **not** expected to master all of the concepts presented here. Instead, we ask only that you familiarize yourself with these notes enough to not be caught off guard when these concepts arise in the mini-courses. We encourage you to post questions in the GOALS Slack channels, or email the organizers directly.

Many of the results below are presented without proofs. In most cases, proving them yourself would be an excellent exercise. Detailed proofs can also be found in most standard textbooks on functional analysis. The presentation below is based on this [MSU Functional Analysis Course](#), which followed John B. Conway's *A Course in Functional Analysis*, Second Edition (Springer, 1990).

Throughout the notes, all vector spaces are taken to be over \mathbb{C} .

CONTENTS

1 Hilbert Spaces	1
1.1 Orthogonality and Convexity	3
1.2 Orthonormal Bases and Dimension	4
1.3 Direct Sums of Hilbert spaces	5
1.4 Bounded Linear Operators	6
2 Banach Spaces	9
2.1 Dual Spaces	10
2.2 Weak and Weak* Topologies	11
2.3 The Hahn–Banach Theorem and Corollaries	12
3 Banach Algebras	14
3.1 The Spectrum	15
4 Tensor products	17
4.1 Tensor products of \mathbb{C} -vector spaces	17
4.2 Tensor products of Hilbert spaces	19
4.3 Tensor Products of Bounded Operators on Hilbert Spaces	20
5 Appendix: Nets	23
5.1 Directed Sets	23
5.2 Nets	23
5.3 Subnets	25
6 Appendix: Inductive Limits	27
7 Introduction to Operator Theory	28
7.1 Projections, Partial Isometries, and Positive Semi-Definite Operators	28
7.2 Finite-Rank Operators	30
7.3 Compact Operators	31
7.4 Trace Class Operators	32
7.5 Hilbert–Schmidt Operators	34

1. Hilbert Spaces

Definition 1.1. For a vector space \mathcal{V} , an **inner product** is a map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying:

1. $\langle a\xi + b\eta, \zeta \rangle = a \langle \xi, \zeta \rangle + b \langle \eta, \zeta \rangle$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\xi, \eta, \zeta \in \mathcal{V}$ (*linearity*);
2. $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle = \overline{\langle \eta, \xi \rangle}$ for all $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{V}$ (*conjugate symmetry*);

3. $\langle \xi, \xi \rangle \geq 0$ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{V}$ (positive semi-definiteness);
 4. $\langle \xi, \xi \rangle = 0$ if and only if $\xi = 0$. (non-degeneracy).

A **degenerate inner product** is a map satisfying (1)-(3), but not (4). That is, there exists a non-zero $\xi \in \mathcal{V}$ with $\langle \xi, \xi \rangle = 0$.

An **inner product space** is a pair $(\mathcal{V}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ consisting of a vector space and a (non-degenerate) inner product on \mathcal{V} .

Example 1.2.

- (1) \mathbb{C}^n has an inner product defined by

$$\langle (a_1, \dots, a_n), (b_1, \dots, b_n) \rangle := \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \bar{b}_j$$

- (2) $M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ has an inner product defined by

$$\langle A, B \rangle := \text{Tr}(AB^*)$$

where B^* is the conjugate transpose (*adjoint*) of B .

- (3) Let (X, μ) be a measure space with positive measure μ . Then $L^2(X, \mu)$ has the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle := \int_X f(x) \overline{g(x)} \, d\mu(x).$$

In particular, if X is an countable set equipped with the counting measure μ , then $L^2(X, \mu)$ can be identified with

$$\ell^2(X) := \{(a_x)_{x \in X} \in \mathbb{C}^X : \sum_{x \in X} |a_x|^2 < \infty\},$$

which has the inner product

$$\langle (a_x)_{x \in X}, (b_x)_{x \in X} \rangle = \sum_{x \in X} a_x \bar{b}_x.$$

■

Exercise 1.3. Let $(\mathcal{V}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be an inner product space. Show that it satisfies *conjugate linearity*:

$$\langle \xi, a\eta + b\zeta \rangle = \bar{a} \langle \xi, \eta \rangle + \bar{b} \langle \xi, \zeta \rangle \quad a, b \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \xi, \eta, \zeta \in \mathcal{V},$$

Given an inner product space $(\mathcal{V}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$, consider the map $\| \cdot \| : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ defined by

$$\|\xi\| := (\langle \xi, \xi \rangle)^{1/2} \quad \xi \in \mathcal{V}.$$

This defines a *norm* on the vector space \mathcal{V} (see Definition 2.1).

Exercise 1.4. Let $(\mathcal{V}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be an inner product space. Show that $\|a\xi\| = |a|\|\xi\|$ for all $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{V}$.

Note that the non-degeneracy of the inner product implies $\|\xi\| = 0$ if and only if $\xi = 0$. Moreover, the previous exercise implies $\|\xi - \eta\| = \|\eta - \xi\|$ for all $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{V}$. Thus, up to establishing the triangle inequality, we have shown that $d(\xi, \eta) := \|\xi - \eta\|$ defines a metric on \mathcal{V} . This will be a corollary of the next result.

Theorem 1.5 (Cauchy–Schwarz Inequality). *Let $(\mathcal{V}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be an inner product space. For any $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{V}$ we have*

$$|\langle \xi, \eta \rangle| \leq \|\xi\| \|\eta\|.$$

Moreover, if the above is an equality then one of ξ or η is in the linear span of the other.

Corollary 1.6 (Triangle Inequality). *$(\mathcal{V}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be an inner product space. Then for all $\xi, \eta, \zeta \in \mathcal{V}$ we have*

$$\|\xi - \eta\| \leq \|\xi - \zeta\| + \|\zeta - \eta\|.$$

Consequently, $(\xi, \eta) \mapsto \|\xi - \eta\|$ defines a metric on \mathcal{V} .

Exercise 1.7. Use the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality to prove the Triangle Inequality.

Recall that a metric space (X, d) is *complete* when all Cauchy sequences converge.

Definition 1.8. A **Hilbert space** is an inner product space which is complete with respect to the metric induced by its norm.

All of the examples in Example 1.2 are complete and hence Hilbert spaces. For a non-example, consider $(\mathbb{Q} + i\mathbb{Q})^n$ equipped with the inner product it inherits as a subspace from \mathbb{C}^n . It is not complete and hence not a Hilbert space, but its completion is \mathbb{C}^n .

A more sophisticated non-example comes from $C(0, 1)$, the space of continuous functions on the interval $(0, 1)$, which we equip with the inner product:

$$\langle f, g \rangle := \int_0^1 f(t)\overline{g(t)} dt.$$

Observe that this is simply the inner product it inherits as a subspace of $L^2(0, 1)$. Since $C(0, 1)$ is a proper dense subspace of $L^2(0, 1)$, it follows that $C(0, 1)$ is not a Hilbert space with this inner product, but its completion is $L^2(0, 1)$.

Exercise 1.9. Let $(\mathcal{V}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be an inner product space. Show that if $(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, (\eta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{V}$ are Cauchy sequences then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \xi_n, \eta_n \rangle$$

exists. Use this to define an inner product on the completion of \mathcal{V} (as a metric space) making it into a Hilbert space.

Exercise 1.10. Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space and define $\bar{\mathcal{H}} := \{\bar{\xi} : \xi \in \mathcal{H}\}$; that is, $\bar{\mathcal{H}}$ as equivalent to \mathcal{H} as a set but with elements decorated with formal ‘ $\bar{\cdot}$ ’ notation. Show that if we equip $\bar{\mathcal{H}}$ with the vector space operations

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\xi} + \bar{\eta} &= \overline{\xi + \eta} & \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H} \\ a\bar{\xi} &= \overline{a\xi} & a \in \mathbb{C} \end{aligned}$$

and inner product

$$\langle \bar{\xi}, \bar{\eta} \rangle := \langle \eta, \xi \rangle,$$

then $\bar{\mathcal{H}}$ is a Hilbert space. $\bar{\mathcal{H}}$ is called the **conjugate** Hilbert space to \mathcal{H} .

1.1 Orthogonality and Convexity

Definition 1.11. Let $(\mathcal{V}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be an inner product space. We say $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{V}$ are **orthogonal** and write $\xi \perp \eta$ if $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle = 0$. We say two subsets $X, Y \subset \mathcal{V}$ are **orthogonal** and write $X \perp Y$ if $\xi \perp \eta$ for all $\xi \in X$ and $\eta \in Y$.

For $X \subset \mathcal{V}$, the **orthogonal complement** of X is the set

$$X^\perp := \{\eta \in \mathcal{V} : \eta \perp \xi \forall \xi \in X\}.$$

Observe that by the linearity (or conjugate linearity) of the inner product, X^\perp is always a subspace of \mathcal{V} (even if X isn't). Moreover, the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality implies it is always a closed subspace. It is also easy to check that $\mathcal{V}^\perp = \{0\}$ (that is, the only vector orthogonal to every other vector is zero) and $\{0\}^\perp = \mathcal{V}$.

Exercise 1.12. For $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{V}$ orthogonal, establish the *Pythagorean theorem*

$$\|\xi + \eta\|^2 = \|\xi\|^2 + \|\eta\|^2$$

One very important aspect of Hilbert spaces is how they interact with *convex* subsets.

Definition 1.13. Let \mathcal{V} be a vector space. We say a subset $K \subset \mathcal{V}$ is **convex** if for every $\xi, \eta \in K$ one has $t\xi + (1-t)\eta \in K$ for all $0 \leq t \leq 1$.

Theorem 1.14. Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space and let $K \subset \mathcal{H}$ be closed, convex, and non-empty. Then for any $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ there is a unique $\eta_0 \in K$ satisfying

$$\|\xi - \eta_0\| = \inf_{\eta \in K} \|\xi - \eta\| \quad (= \text{dist}(\xi, K)).$$

When K in the above theorem is a subspace, we can achieve a stronger conclusion:

Theorem 1.15. Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space and let $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{H}$ be a closed non-empty subspace. For $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$, $\eta_0 \in \mathcal{K}$ is the unique vector satisfying

$$\|\xi - \eta_0\| = \inf_{\eta \in \mathcal{K}} \|\xi - \eta\|$$

if and only if $\xi - \eta_0 \in \mathcal{K}^\perp$.

Given a closed subspace $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{H}$, define $P_{\mathcal{K}}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ by letting $P_{\mathcal{K}}\xi$ be the unique $\eta_0 \in \mathcal{K}$ which minimizes the distance from ξ to \mathcal{K} . Then $P_{\mathcal{K}}$ is linear (**Exercise:** check this) and the previous theorem implies $\xi - P_{\mathcal{K}}\xi \in \mathcal{K}^\perp$. Consequently, every $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ can be written uniquely as a sum of vectors in \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{K}^\perp :

$$\xi = P_{\mathcal{K}}\xi + (\xi - P_{\mathcal{K}}\xi).$$

Definition 1.16. The linear operator $P_{\mathcal{K}}$ defined above is called the **(orthogonal) projection** onto \mathcal{K} .

Exercise 1.17. For a closed subspace $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{H}$, show

- (a) $\xi \in \mathcal{K}$ if and only if $\xi = P_{\mathcal{K}}\xi$.
- (b) $\xi \in \mathcal{K}^\perp$ if and only if $P_{\mathcal{K}}\xi = 0$.
- (c) $\|P_{\mathcal{K}}\xi\| \leq \|\xi\|$ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$.

Exercise 1.18. Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space. For any $X \subset \mathcal{H}$, show that $(X^\perp)^\perp$ equals $\overline{\text{span}X}$, the closure of the span of X .

1.2 Orthonormal Bases and Dimension

Definition 1.19. Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space. A subset $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{H}$ is called **orthonormal** if for all $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{F}$ we have

$$\langle \xi, \eta \rangle = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\xi\| = 1$$

We say $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{H}$ is an **orthonormal basis** for \mathcal{H} if it is an orthonormal set satisfying $\overline{\text{span}\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{H}$.

Just as every vector space admits a basis (i.e. a linearly independent spanning set) every Hilbert space admits an orthonormal basis. In fact, one can characterize an orthonormal basis as a *maximal* orthonormal set, and consequently the existence of an orthonormal basis can be shown using Zorn's lemma.

Example 1.20.

- (1) In \mathbb{C}^n ,

$$e_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, e_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, e_n := \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is an orthonormal basis.

- (2) Let $E_{i,j} \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ with 1 in the (i,j) entry and zeros elsewhere. Then $\{E_{i,j} : 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ is an orthonormal basis. These are sometimes referred to as **matrix units**.
- (3) In $L^2([0, 1], m)$, define $f_n(t) := e^{2\pi i n t}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\{f_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is orthonormal (**Exercise:** check this). Moreover, Fourier analysis tells us that it is actually an orthonormal basis. ■

Exercise 1.21. Let $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{H}$ be an orthonormal set in a Hilbert space. Show that \mathcal{E} is an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H} if and only if $\mathcal{E}^\perp = \{0\}$.

Theorem 1.22. Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space with orthonormal basis \mathcal{E} . Then for all $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ one has

$$\xi = \sum_{\eta \in \mathcal{E}} \langle \xi, \eta \rangle \eta \quad \text{and} \quad \|\xi\|^2 = \sum_{\eta \in \mathcal{E}} |\langle \xi, \eta \rangle|^2.$$

In particular, $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle = 0$ for all but countably many $\eta \in \mathcal{E}$.

A vector space \mathcal{V} does not have a unique basis, but any two bases have the same size. The same holds true for Hilbert spaces:

Theorem 1.23. If \mathcal{H} is a Hilbert space then any two orthonormal bases for \mathcal{H} have the same cardinality.

Definition 1.24. For a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , the **dimension** of \mathcal{H} , denoted $\mathbf{dim} \mathcal{H}$, is the cardinality of any orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H} .

Moreover, just as any two vector spaces of the same dimension are isomorphic, we have the following.

Theorem 1.25. If \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K} are Hilbert spaces and $\dim(\mathcal{H}) = \dim(\mathcal{K})$, then \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K} are isomorphic in the sense that there exist a bijection $U \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ satisfying

$$\langle U\xi, U\eta \rangle = \langle \xi, \eta \rangle$$

for all $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$.

Exercise 1.26. Show that $U \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ satisfies

$$\langle U\xi, U\eta \rangle = \langle \xi, \eta \rangle$$

for all $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$ if and only if $\|U\xi\| = \|\xi\|$. Thus, in this case U is automatically injective.

Recall that a metric space is said to be *separable* if it admits a countable dense set.

Theorem 1.27. A Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is separable if and only if $\dim(\mathcal{H})$ is countable.

1.3 Direct Sums of Hilbert spaces Let \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 be Hilbert spaces. The **direct sum** of \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 is the vector space

$$\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2 := \{(\xi, \eta) : \xi \in \mathcal{H}_1, \eta \in \mathcal{H}_2\}$$

with operations

$$a(\xi_1, \eta_1) + (\xi_2, \eta_2) = (a\xi_1 + \xi_2, a\eta_1 + \eta_2).$$

This is naturally a Hilbert space with the inner product

$$\langle (\xi_1, \eta_1), (\xi_2, \eta_2) \rangle := \langle \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle + \langle \eta_1, \eta_2 \rangle$$

Note that

$$\|(\xi, \eta)\| = (\|\xi\|^2 + \|\eta\|^2)^{1/2}.$$

When $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H}_2 = \mathcal{H}$ we write $\mathcal{H}^2 := \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$, and more generally for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we write

$$\mathcal{H}^n := \underbrace{\mathcal{H} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{H}}_{n \text{ times}}.$$

One can also define countable direct sums as follows: if $\{\mathcal{H}_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a family of Hilbert spaces indexed by a countable set I , we define the direct sum as the vector space

$$\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{H}_i := \left\{ (\xi_i)_{i \in I} : \xi_i \in \mathcal{H}_i, \sum_{i \in I} \|\xi_i\|^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

with operations

$$a(\xi_i)_{i \in I} + (\eta_i)_{i \in I} = (a\xi_i + \eta_i)_{i \in I}$$

and inner product

$$\langle (\xi_i)_{i \in I}, (\eta_i)_{i \in I} \rangle = \sum_{i \in I} \langle \xi_i, \eta_i \rangle.$$

Exercise 1.28. Verify that $\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{H}_i$ is complete, and therefore a Hilbert space.

When $\mathcal{H}_i = \mathcal{H}$ for all $i \in I$, we write

$$\ell^2(I, \mathcal{H}) := \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{H}_i.$$

Note that for $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}$, one has $\ell^2(I, \mathbb{C}) = \ell^2(I)$.

Exercise 1.29. Let \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 be Hilbert spaces with orthonormal bases $(\xi_i)_{i \in I}$ and $(\eta_j)_{j \in J}$. Compute an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$.

1.4 Bounded Linear Operators

Proposition 1.30. *Let \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} be Hilbert spaces. For a linear operator $x: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$, the following are equivalent:*

- (i) x is uniformly continuous.
- (ii) x is continuous at zero.
- (iii) $\sup_{\xi \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \{0\}} \|x\xi\|/\|\xi\| < \infty$

Definition 1.31. We say a linear operator $x: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ is **bounded** if it satisfies any (hence all) of the conditions in Proposition 1.30. The **operator norm** of x is the quantity

$$\|x\| := \sup_{\xi \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|x\xi\|}{\|\xi\|}.$$

The collection of all bounded linear operators from \mathcal{H} to \mathcal{K} is denoted $B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$, and we write $B(\mathcal{H}) := B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$. In the case that $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{C}$, then we call $x \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathbb{C})$ a **bounded linear functional**.

Note that for $x \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ we have $\|x\xi\| \leq \|x\|\|\xi\|$.

Exercise 1.32. Let $x: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ be a bounded linear operator. Show that

$$\|x\| = \sup_{\|\xi\|=1} \|x\xi\| = \sup_{\|\xi\|=1} \|x\xi\| = \inf\{c > 0: \|x\xi\| \leq c\|\xi\| \ \forall \xi \in \mathcal{H}\}.$$

Example 1.33. Let (X, μ) be a positive measure space. For $\phi \in L^\infty(X, \mu)$ define $M_\phi \in B(L^2(X, \mu))$ by $M_\phi f = \phi f$. It is easy to see that $\|M_\phi\| \leq \|\phi\|_\infty$, and if μ is σ -finite then one can prove that $\|M_\phi\| = \|\phi\|_\infty$. ■

On a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , the linear functionals $\mathcal{H} \ni \xi \mapsto \langle \xi, \eta \rangle$ are bounded by the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality. It turns out all bounded linear functionals are of this form.

Theorem 1.34. *If $x: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a bounded linear functional, then there exists a unique $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$ so that $x\xi = \langle \xi, \eta \rangle$ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$. Moreover, $\|x\| = \|\eta\|$.*

Using this one can establish the existence of the *adjoint* of a bounded operator.

Theorem 1.35. *Let \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} be Hilbert spaces. For each $x \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ there exists a unique $y \in B(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ satisfying*

$$\langle x\xi, \eta \rangle = \langle \xi, y\eta \rangle \quad \forall \xi \in \mathcal{H}, \eta \in \mathcal{K}$$

Proof. Fix $\eta \in \mathcal{K}$ and use the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality to observe that

$$|\langle x\xi, \eta \rangle| \leq \|x\xi\|\|\eta\| \leq \|x\|\|\xi\|\|\eta\|.$$

Thus $\xi \mapsto \langle x\xi, \eta \rangle$ is a bounded linear functional on \mathcal{H} . The previous theorem implies there exists $\zeta \in \mathcal{H}$ so that $\langle x\xi, \eta \rangle = \langle \xi, \zeta \rangle$. Define $y: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ by $y\eta := \zeta$ so that

$$\langle x\xi, \eta \rangle = \langle \xi, y\eta \rangle.$$

Using the uniqueness of the vector ζ and the linearity of the inner products, one can show y is linear (**Exercise:** check this). Observe that:

$$\|y\eta\|^2 = \langle y\eta, y\eta \rangle = \langle xy\eta, \eta \rangle \leq \|xy\eta\|\|\eta\| \leq \|x\|\|y\eta\|\|\eta\|.$$

Consequently $\|y\eta\| \leq \|x\|\|\eta\|$, and so y is bounded.

It remains to check that y is unique. Suppose $z \in B(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ also satisfies $\langle x\xi, \eta \rangle = \langle \xi, z\eta \rangle$ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\eta \in \mathcal{K}$. This implies

$$\langle \xi, y\eta - z\eta \rangle = \langle \xi, y\eta \rangle - \langle \xi, z\eta \rangle = \langle x\xi, \eta \rangle - \langle x\xi, \eta \rangle = 0$$

for all $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\eta \in \mathcal{K}$. So in particular it holds for $\xi = y\eta - z\eta$, which yields $\|y\eta - z\eta\|^2 = 0$. That is, $y\eta - z\eta = 0$ or $y\eta = z\eta$. □

Definition 1.36. Given an bounded linear operator $x \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$, the unique $y \in B(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ satisfying $\langle x\xi, \eta \rangle = \langle \xi, y\eta \rangle$ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\eta \in \mathcal{K}$ is called the **adjoint** of x and is denoted $x^* := y$.

Example 1.37. For $A \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{C})$, its adjoint when thought of as a element of $B(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^m)$ is the conjugate transpose of A . Indeed, let $[A]_{i,j}$ denote the (i, j) th entry of A . Then for any $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_m) \in \mathbb{C}^m$ we have $[A\xi]_i = \sum_{j=1}^n [A]_{i,j}\xi_j$, and therefore

$$\langle A\xi, \eta \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n [A]_{i,j}\xi_j\bar{\eta}_i.$$

In order for this to equal

$$\langle \xi, A^*\eta \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_j \overline{[A^*]_{j,i}\eta_i}$$

for all $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{C}^n$, we must have $[A^*]_{j,i} = \overline{[A]_{i,j}}$. ■

Definition 1.38. We call an operator $x \in B(\mathcal{H})$:

- **self-adjoint** if $x = x^*$;
- **normal** if $x^*x = xx^*$;
- **invertible** if there exists $y \in B(\mathcal{H})$ with $yx = xy = 1$, where $1 \in B(\mathcal{H})$ denotes the identity operator: $1\xi = \xi$ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$;
- a **unitary** if $x^*x = xx^* = 1$;
- a **projection** if $x = x^* = x^2$;
- an **isometry** if $x^*x = 1$
- a **partial isometry** if $x = xx^*x$.

Note that self-adjoint operators, unitaries, and projections are all normal. A unitary is precisely a normal isometry; equivalently, a unitary is an invertible isometry. Isometries, unitaries, and projections are all partial isometries. (**Exercise:** convince yourself of these statements.)

Example 1.39. In $M_2(\mathbb{C})$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos(t) & \sin(t) \\ -\sin(t) & \cos(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

is a unitary for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

are projections, and

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

are partial isometries. Also, $A \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$ is invertible if and only if $\det(A) \neq 0$, which is equivalent to $\ker(A) = \{0\}$. (However, this latter statement is **not** true for bounded operators on infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces.) ■

Note that an operator is only invertible if it admits both a right and a left inverse. Unlike in linear algebra, the existence of a left inverse does **not** imply the existence for a right inverse, and vice versa, as the following exercise shows.

Exercise 1.40. Consider $S \in B(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$ defined by

$$S(x_1, x_2, \dots) := (0, x_1, x_2, \dots).$$

Show that S is an isometry but **not** a unitary.

Proposition 1.41. Let $x \in B(\mathcal{H})$.

- (1) If x is a projection, then $x(1-x) = 0$ and $x\mathcal{H} \perp (1-x)\mathcal{H}$.
- (2) x is an isometry if and only if $\|x\xi\| = \|\xi\|$ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$.
- (3) x is a partial isometry if and only if x^*x and xx^* are projections.
- (4) x is a unitary if and only if it is surjective and $\langle x\xi, x\eta \rangle = \langle \xi, \eta \rangle$ for all $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$.

Additional Exercises In what follows \mathcal{H} is a Hilbert space.

Exercise 1.42. For $x \in B(\mathcal{H})$, show that $\|x\| = \|x^*\|$.

Exercise 1.43. Suppose $(x_n)_n \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is a sequence that converges in norm to $x \in B(\mathcal{H})$. Show that x_n^* also converges to x^* .

Exercise 1.44. If $p \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is a projection, show that $1 - p$ is also a projection and $(1 - p)\mathcal{H} = (p\mathcal{H})^\perp$.

Exercise 1.45. If $p, q \in B(\mathcal{H})$ are projections such that $q\mathcal{H} \subset p\mathcal{H}$, show that $p - q$ is a projection with $p\mathcal{H} = q\mathcal{H} \oplus (p - q)\mathcal{H}$.

Exercise 1.46. For projections $p, q \in B(\mathcal{H})$, show that $pq = 0$ if and only if $p\mathcal{H} \perp q\mathcal{H}$.

Exercise 1.47. Show that $v \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is a partial isometry if and only if v^*v is a projection. [**Hint:** expand $\|(v - vv^*v)\xi\|^2$ for $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$.]

Exercise 1.48. Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space and $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$ two subspaces of \mathcal{H} . Suppose there is a bijective isometry $\tilde{u} : \mathcal{H}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_2$. Show that for any $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}_1$, we have $\langle u\xi, u\eta \rangle = \langle \xi, \eta \rangle$.

Hint: Use the polarization identity: for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$4\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^3 i^k \|\alpha + i^k \beta\|^2.$$

Exercise 1.49. Show that $v \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is a partial isometry if and only if there exists a closed subspace $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{H}$ such that $v|_{\mathcal{K}}$ is an isometry and $v|_{\mathcal{K}^\perp} \equiv 0$.

Exercise 1.50. Let $v, w \in B(\mathcal{H})$ be partial isometries. Show that if $ww^*\mathcal{H} \subset v^*v\mathcal{H}$, then vw is a partial isometry.

Exercise 1.51. Let $p \in B(\mathcal{H})$ be a projection of rank n . Show that $pB(\mathcal{H})p \simeq B(p\mathcal{H}) \simeq M_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Exercise 1.52. Let $x \in B(\mathcal{H})$.

- (1) Show that $x = x^*$ if and only if $\langle x\xi, \xi \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$.
- (2) Show that if x is positive semi-definite, then x is self-adjoint.

Exercise 1.53. For $x \in B(\mathcal{H})$ with $x = x^*$, show that

$$\sup_{\|\xi\|=1} |\langle x\xi, \xi \rangle| = \|x\|.$$

[**Hint:** show $\operatorname{Re} \langle x\xi, \eta \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle x(\xi + \eta), \xi + \eta \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle x(\xi - \eta), \xi - \eta \rangle$ for all $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$.]

Exercise 1.54. For $x \in B(\mathcal{H})$, show that $\ker(x^*) = (x\mathcal{H})^\perp$.

Exercise 1.55. For $x \in B(\mathcal{H})$, if $\langle x\xi, \eta \rangle = 0$ for all $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$, then $x = 0$. What if $\langle x\xi, \xi \rangle = 0$ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$? (Consider the question both for $x = x^*$ and for general $x \in B(\mathcal{H})$.)

Exercise 1.56. Let $x \in B(\mathcal{H})$. We say x is *bounded below* if there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\|x\xi\| \geq \epsilon\|\xi\|$ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$. Determine the implications between the following properties for $x \in B(\mathcal{H})$:

- (i) x is injective (i.e.
- (ii) x is left-invertible (i.e.
- (iii) x is bounded below.

Exercise 1.57. For $x \in B(\mathcal{H})$, its *numerical range* is the set $W(x) = \{\langle x\xi, \xi \rangle : \|\xi\| = 1\}$ and its numerical radius is $w(x) = \sup\{|z| : z \in W(x)\}$. Show that $\frac{1}{2}\|x\| \leq w(x) \leq \|x\|$.

2. Banach Spaces

Definition 2.1. For a vector space \mathcal{V} , a **norm** is a map $\|\cdot\|: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying

1. $\|\xi + \eta\| \leq \|\xi\| + \|\eta\|$ for all $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{V}$;
2. $\|a\xi\| = |a|\|\xi\|$ for all $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{V}$;
3. $\|\xi\| = 0$ if and only if $\xi = 0$.

A **normed space** is a pair $(\mathcal{V}, \|\cdot\|)$ consisting of a vector space and a norm.

Observe that a norm defines a metric $d(\xi, \eta) := \|\xi - \eta\|$ on \mathcal{V} .

Definition 2.2. A **Banach space** is a normed space which is complete with respect to the metric induced by the norm.

Example 2.3.

- (1) Every inner product space is a normed space and every Hilbert space is a Banach space. However, a normed space (Banach space) is an inner product space (Hilbert space) iff the norm satisfies the *parallelogram law*:

$$\|\xi + \eta\|^2 + \|\xi - \eta\|^2 = 2(\|\xi\|^2 + \|\eta\|^2).$$

In this case, the inner product associated to the norm is unique.

- (2) Let (X, μ) be a positive measure space. For all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $L^p(X, \mu)$ is a Banach space with norm

$$\|f\|_p = \left(\int_X |f(x)|^p d\mu(x) \right)^{1/p}.$$

In particular, $\ell^p(\mathbb{N})$ is a Banach space with norm

$$\|(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\|_p = \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |a_n|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

- (3) Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space. Let $C_b(X)$ denote the collection of bounded continuous functions $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. This is a vector space with pointwise operations and is a Banach space under the norm

$$\|f\| := \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)|.$$

Let $C_0(X)$ denote the subset of $C_b(X)$ which consists of functions *vanishing at infinity*: that is, $f \in C_0(X)$ iff for all $\epsilon > 0$ the set $\{x \in X: |f(x)| \geq \epsilon\}$ is compact. Then $C_0(X)$ is also a Banach space with the norm it inherits from $C_b(X)$. If X is compact, then $C_b(X) = C_0(X) = C(X)$, the collection of all continuous functions on X .

In particular, for $X = \mathbb{N}$ equipped with the discrete topology, we have $C_b(\mathbb{N}) = \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})$ and $C_0(\mathbb{N}) = c_0(\mathbb{N})$ (the collection of sequences converging to zero). ■

Remark 2.4. For another perspective on functions vanishing at infinity, recall that any locally compact Hausdorff space X has a one-point compactification, often denoted $X \cup \{\infty\}$, whose topology is generated by all open sets of X along with all sets of the form $(X \setminus C) \cup \{\infty\}$ where $C \subset X$ is compact. With this perspective, we can view $C_0(X)$ as the subspace of $C(X \cup \{\infty\})$ consisting of continuous functions that are 0 at the point ∞ . For example, when $X = (0, 1]$, its one point compactification is $[0, 1]$, and we view $C_0((0, 1])$ as the functions f in $C([0, 1])$ such that $f(0) = 0$.

The analogue of Proposition 1.30 holds for linear operators between two normed spaces \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{W} . The collection of all such bounded linear operators is denoted $B(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$, and we write $B(\mathcal{V}) := B(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V})$. Note that the operator norm on $B(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$ makes it into a normed space.

Exercise 2.5. Let \mathcal{V} be a normed space and \mathcal{X} a Banach space. Show that $B(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{X})$ is a Banach space under the operator norm. (In particular, $B(\mathcal{V}, \mathbb{C})$ is always a Banach space.)

Example 2.6.

- (1) Let (X, μ) be a positive measure space. For $\phi \in L^\infty(X, \mu)$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, define $M_\phi \in B(L^p(X, \mu))$ by $M_\phi f = \phi f$. Then $\|M_\phi\| \leq \|\phi\|_\infty$ and if μ is σ -finite then this is an equality.

- (2) Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space. For any $x_0 \in X$, $C_b(X) \ni f \mapsto f(x_0)$ defines a bounded linear functional of norm 1. ■

Definition 2.7. Let \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{W} be normed spaces. An **isomorphism** between \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{W} is a bijection $T \in B(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$ such that $T^{-1} \in B(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{V})$. An **isometric isomorphism** between \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{W} is a bijection $T \in B(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$ such that $\|T\xi\| = \|\xi\|$ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{V}$.

If T is an isometric isomorphism between normed spaces then T^{-1} is automatically bounded with $\|T\| = \|T^{-1}\| = 1$.

Bounded linear functionals on a Banach space $(B(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C}))$ are not as simply characterized as those on a Hilbert space. This will be explored further in the next section.

The following four theorems are often first encountered in a graduate analysis course, where they are stated in terms of L^p spaces. However, they are really theorems about general Banach spaces:

Theorem 2.8 (Open Mapping Theorem). *If \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} are Banach spaces and $T \in B(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ is surjective, then $T(U) \subset \mathcal{Y}$ is open for any open $U \subset \mathcal{X}$.*

Theorem 2.9 (Inverse Mapping Theorem). *If \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} are Banach spaces and $T \in B(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ is bijective, then $T^{-1} \in B(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X})$.*

Theorem 2.10 (Closed Graph Theorem). *If \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} are Banach spaces and $T: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a linear transformation whose graph*

$$\text{graph}(T) := \{(\xi, T\xi) : \xi \in \mathcal{X}\} \subset \mathcal{X} \oplus \mathcal{Y}$$

is closed, then $T \in B(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$.

Theorem 2.11 (Principle of Uniform Boundedness). *Let \mathcal{X} be a Banach space and \mathcal{V} a normed space. For any collection of bounded linear operators $\mathcal{C} \subset B(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{V})$, if*

$$\sup_{T \in \mathcal{C}} \|T\xi\| < \infty \quad \forall \xi \in \mathcal{X},$$

then

$$\sup_{T \in \mathcal{C}} \|T\| < \infty.$$

2.1 Dual Spaces

Definition 2.12. For a normed space $(\mathcal{V}, \|\cdot\|)$, its **dual space** is the set $\mathcal{V}^* := B(\mathcal{V}, \mathbb{C})$ of bounded linear functionals on \mathcal{V} .

Recall that $\mathcal{V}^* = B(\mathcal{V}, \mathbb{C})$ is always a Banach space, even if \mathcal{V} is not.

Example 2.13.

- (1) Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space and let $\bar{\mathcal{H}}$ its conjugate Hilbert space (see Exercise 1.10). Theorem 1.34 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathcal{H}} &\rightarrow \mathcal{H}^* \\ \bar{\xi} &\mapsto \langle \cdot, \xi \rangle \end{aligned}$$

is an isometric isomorphism.

- (2) Let (X, μ) be a σ -finite measure space. For $1 \leq p < \infty$, let $1 < q \leq \infty$ be such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ (when $p = 1$ we take $q = \infty$). Given $g \in L^q(X, \mu)$ define $F_g \in L^p(X, \mu)^*$ by

$$F_g(f) := \int_X fg \, d\mu \quad f \in L^p(X, \mu).$$

Then real analysis tells us that

$$\begin{aligned} L^q(X, \mu) &\rightarrow L^p(X, \mu)^* \\ g &\mapsto F_g \end{aligned}$$

is an isometric isomorphism.

In particular, $\ell^q(\mathbb{N})$ is isometrically isomorphic to $\ell^p(\mathbb{N})^*$. ■

Another important example is the dual of $C_0(X)$ for a locally compact Hausdorff space X . However, in order to describe it we must first recall some facts about complex measures.

Definition 2.14. Let Ω be a σ -algebra over a set X . For a complex valued measure μ on (X, Ω) , define for $E \in \Omega$

$$|\mu|(E) := \sup \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mu(E_n)| : \{E_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{ is a } \Omega\text{-measurable partition of } E \right\}.$$

Then $|\mu|$ is a positive measure on (X, Ω) called the **absolute value** of μ . The **total variation** of μ is the quantity $\|\mu\| := |\mu|(X)$.

If μ is a positive measure, then $|\mu| = \mu$. If μ is real valued, then by the Jordan decomposition theorem $\mu = \mu_+ - \mu_-$ for positive measures μ_{\pm} and consequently $|\mu| = \mu_+ + \mu_-$.

Definition 2.15. Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space and let Ω be the Borel σ -algebra on X . A positive measure μ on (X, Ω) is called a **regular Borel** measure if

- (1) $\mu(K) < \infty$ for all compact $K \subset X$; and
- (2) For all $E \in \Omega$

$$\mu(E) = \sup\{\mu(K) : K \subset E \text{ compact}\} = \inf\{\mu(U) : U \supset E \text{ open}\}.$$

We say a complex valued measure ν is a **regular Borel** measure if $|\nu|$ is a regular Borel measure. The set of all complex valued regular Borel measures on X is denoted $M(X)$.

For a locally compact Hausdorff space X , $M(X)$ is a vector space with operations defined by

$$(a\mu + \nu)(E) := a\mu(E) + \nu(E).$$

It is also a normed space with the norm of μ given by its total variation $\|\mu\|$. In fact, the following example implies it is even a Banach space:

Theorem 2.16 (Riesz Representation Theorem). *Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space. For $\mu \in M(X)$, define $F_{\mu} \in C_0(X)^*$ by*

$$F_{\mu}(f) = \int_X f d\mu.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} M(X) &\rightarrow C_0(X)^* \\ \mu &\mapsto F_{\mu} \end{aligned}$$

is an isometric isomorphism.

Example 2.17. As a particular example of the previous theorem, consider \mathbb{N} equipped with the discrete topology so that $C_0(\mathbb{N}) = c_0(\mathbb{N})$. Note that every $\mu \in M(\mathbb{N})$ satisfies

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |\mu(\{n\})| = \|\mu\| < \infty.$$

Thus $c_0(\mathbb{N})^* \cong M(\mathbb{N})$ is isometrically isomorphic to $\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$ via the map $\mu \mapsto (\mu(\{n\}))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. ■

2.2 Weak and Weak* Topologies The relationship between a Banach space and its dual space induces new topologies on each of them.

Definition 2.18. Let \mathcal{X} be a Banach space. The **weak topology** on \mathcal{X} , denoted $\sigma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}^*)$, is the topology generated by sets of the form

$$\{\xi \in \mathcal{X} : |\phi_0(\xi - \xi_0)| < \epsilon\} \quad \phi_0 \in \mathcal{X}^*, \xi_0 \in \mathcal{X}, \epsilon > 0.$$

The **weak* topology** on \mathcal{X}^* , denoted $\sigma(\mathcal{X}^*, \mathcal{X})$, is the topology generated by sets of the form

$$\{\phi \in \mathcal{X}^* : |(\phi - \phi_0)(\xi_0)| < \epsilon\} \quad \phi_0 \in \mathcal{X}^*, \xi_0 \in \mathcal{X}, \epsilon > 0.$$

From the perspective of analysis, these topologies are best understood in terms of convergence. However, they are (generally) not *metrizable* and consequently convergence must be understood through nets rather than sequences (see the Appendix on nets).

A net $(\xi_i)_{i \in I} \subset \mathcal{X}$ converges to some $\xi_0 \in \mathcal{X}$ in the weak topology if and only if for all $\phi \in \mathcal{X}^*$ one has

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \phi(\xi_i) = \phi(\xi_0).$$

In this case we say the net converges **weakly** to ξ_0 .

A net $(\phi_i)_{i \in I} \subset \mathcal{X}^*$ converges to some $\phi_0 \in \mathcal{X}^*$ in the weak* topology if and only if for all $\xi \in \mathcal{X}$ one has

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \phi_i(\xi) = \phi_0(\xi).$$

In this case we say that the net converges **weak*** to ϕ_0 .

Note that if $(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{X}$ converges in norm, then it also converges weakly since each $\phi \in \mathcal{X}^*$ is norm-continuous. Consequently any subset of \mathcal{X} that is closed in the weak topology is also closed in the norm topology. (In topological terms: the weak topology is coarser than the norm topology.) Likewise, any subset of \mathcal{X}^* that is closed in the weak* topology is also closed in the norm topology. The following examples show that the converse of these statements is not true.

Example 2.19.

- (1) Let $e_n \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ be the element which has a 1 in the n th position and zeros elsewhere. Then $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly to zero, but $\|e_n\|_2 = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, so $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ does not converge to zero in norm.
- (2) Let \mathcal{F} be the collection of finite subsets of $[0, 1]$, directed by inclusion. For each $F \in \mathcal{F}$, define $\mu_F \in M([0, 1])$ by

$$\mu_F = \frac{1}{|F|} \sum_{x \in F} \delta_x,$$

where $\delta_x \in M([0, 1])$ is the Dirac probability measure at x . If we identify $M([0, 1])$ with the dual of $C([0, 1])$, then the net $(\mu_F)_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \subset M([0, 1])$ converges weak* to the Riemann integral, but not in the total variation norm. ■

Despite these subtleties, the weak and weak* topologies can be easier to work with than the norm topologies. For example, the closed unit ball $(\mathcal{X}^*)_1 := \{\phi \in \mathcal{X}^* : \|\phi\| \leq 1\}$ is compact in the norm topology if and only if \mathcal{X}^* is finite-dimensional (**Exercise:** prove this). However, it is always compact in the weak* topology:

Theorem 2.20 (Banach–Alaoglu Theorem). *The closed unit ball in the dual of a normed space is weak* compact.*

2.3 The Hahn–Banach Theorem and Corollaries

Definition 2.21. For a vector space \mathcal{V} , a **seminorm** is a map $p: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying

1. $p(\xi + \eta) \leq p(\xi) + p(\eta)$ for all $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{V}$;
2. $p(a\xi) = |a|p(\xi)$ for all $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{V}$.

Every norm is a seminorm, but a seminorm p is only a norm if $p(\xi) = 0$ implies $\xi = 0$. (Note that $p(0) = 0$ always by the second part of the above definition.)

Example 2.22.

- (1) Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space and let $K \subset X$ be compact. Define

$$p_K(f) := \sup_{x \in K} |f(x)| \quad f \in C(X).$$

Then p_K is a seminorm on $C(X)$, and $p_K(f) = 0$ for any f that vanishes on K .

- (2) Let \mathcal{X} be a Banach space. For fixed $\xi_0 \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\phi_0 \in \mathcal{X}^*$, the maps

$$\xi \mapsto |\phi_0(\xi)| \quad \text{and} \quad \phi \mapsto |\phi(\xi_0)|$$

are seminorms on \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{X}^* , respectively. ■

Strictly speaking, the following is not *the* Hahn–Banach Theorem, but rather a corollary of it. However, this is what researchers typically mean when they invoke “The Hahn–Banach Theorem” and we will continue this tradition in the GOALS mini-courses.

Theorem 2.23 (Hahn–Banach Theorem). *Let \mathcal{V} be a vector space, $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{V}$ a subspace, and p a seminorm on \mathcal{V} . If $f: \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a linear functional satisfying*

$$|f(\xi)| \leq p(\xi) \quad \forall \xi \in \mathcal{W},$$

then there exists a linear functional $F: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $F|_{\mathcal{W}} = f$ and $|F(\xi)| \leq p(\xi)$ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{V}$.

We note that the power of the above theorem is *not* in the existence of an extension F (of which there are many), but in the fact that one can find an extension which is still “continuous” with respect to the seminorm p .

We mention a few useful corollaries of the Hahn–Banach theorem

Corollary 2.24. *If \mathcal{V} is a normed space and $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{V}$ is a closed subspace, then for any bounded linear functional $f: \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ there exists a bounded linear functional $F: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $F|_{\mathcal{W}} = f$ and $\|F\| = \|f\|$.*

Corollary 2.25. *If \mathcal{V} is a normed space, then for any $\xi \in \mathcal{V}$,*

$$\|\xi\| = \sup\{|\phi(\xi)|: \phi \in \mathcal{V}^*, \|\phi\| = 1\}.$$

In particular, there exists $\phi_0 \in \mathcal{V}^$ with $\|\phi_0\| = 1$ and satisfying $\phi_0(\xi) = \|\xi\|$.*

Corollary 2.26. *If \mathcal{V} is a normed space, then for any subspace $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{V}$*

$$\overline{\mathcal{W}} = \bigcap \{\ker(\phi): \phi \in \mathcal{V}^* \text{ with } \mathcal{W} \subset \ker(\phi)\}.$$

In particular, \mathcal{W} is dense if and only if $\mathcal{W} \subset \ker(\phi)$ for some $\phi \in \mathcal{V}^$ implies $\phi = 0$.*

By adopting a geometric perspective, one can obtain the following result as another corollary of the Hahn–Banach theorem:

Theorem 2.27 (Hahn–Banach Separation Theorem). *Let \mathcal{V} be a normed space. If $X, Y \subset \mathcal{V}$ are disjoint closed convex subsets with Y compact, then there exists $\phi \in \mathcal{V}^*$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\epsilon > 0$ such that*

$$\operatorname{Re}[\phi(\xi)] < t < t + \epsilon < \operatorname{Re}[\phi(\eta)] \quad \forall \xi \in X, \eta \in Y.$$

The above theorem may seem innocuous at first glance, but it is in fact quite useful for proving (by way of contradiction) equalities of various closures. For example:

Proposition 2.28. *Let \mathcal{X} be a Banach space. If $C \subset \mathcal{X}$ is convex, then its norm closure is equal to its weak closure.*

Proof. Let C_1 and C_2 denote the norm and weak closures, respectively, of C . Recall that this means C_1 (resp. C_2) equals the intersection of all norm (resp. weak) closed sets containing C . Also recall that being weak closed implies being norm closed, so C_2 is norm closed and hence $C_1 \subset C_2$.

Now suppose, towards a contradiction, that there exists $\xi_0 \in C_2 \setminus C_1$. Then, in the norm topology, C_1 and $\{\xi_0\}$ are disjoint closed convex sets (**Exercise:** show that C_1 is indeed convex) and $\{\xi_0\}$ is compact. Thus the Hahn–Banach Separation Theorem implies that there exists $\phi \in \mathcal{X}^*$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\operatorname{Re}[\phi(\xi)] < t < t + \epsilon < \operatorname{Re}[\phi(\xi_0)] \quad \forall \xi \in C_1.$$

In particular, $\operatorname{Re}[\phi(\xi)] < t$ for all $\xi \in C$. Consider the set

$$D := \{\xi \in \mathcal{X}: \operatorname{Re}[\phi(\xi)] \leq t\}.$$

It is convex and weakly closed (**Exercise:** check this) and contains C . Hence $C_2 \subset D$, but this contradicts $\xi_0 \notin D$. Thus we must have $C_2 \setminus C_1 = \emptyset$ and so $C_1 = C_2$. \square

3. Banach Algebras

Definition 3.1. An algebra is a vector space \mathcal{A} that admits a multiplication operation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} &\rightarrow \mathcal{A} \\ (x, y) &\mapsto xy \end{aligned}$$

satisfying

1. $(xy)z = x(yz)$ for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}$ (*associativity*);
2. $x(y+z) = xy + xz$ and $(x+y)z = xz + yz$ for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}$ (*distributivity*);
3. $c(xy) = (cx)y = x(cy)$ for all $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$.

We say \mathcal{A} is **unital** if it admits an element $e \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $ex = xe = x$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. We call e the **identity** (or **unit**) of \mathcal{A} . We say \mathcal{A} is **abelian** (or **commutative**) if $xy = yx$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$.

Exercise 3.2. Show that the identity in a unital algebra is unique.

Definition 3.3. A **Banach algebra** is an algebra \mathcal{A} equipped with a norm that makes \mathcal{A} into a Banach space and satisfies

$$\|xy\| \leq \|x\|\|y\| \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{A}.$$

If \mathcal{A} is unital with unit $e \in \mathcal{A}$, it is assumed that $\|e\| = 1$.

Observe that for a unital Banach algebra, $\|ce\| = |c|$ and so $\mathbb{C} \ni c \mapsto ce$ is an isometry. Consequently, we typically write $1 := e$ and $c := ce$ for all $c \in \mathbb{C}$.

Example 3.4.

- (1) For a locally compact Hausdorff space X , $C_b(X)$ is a Banach algebra with multiplication operation given by point-wise multiplication

$$(fg)(x) := f(x)g(x)$$

and the same norm which makes it a Banach space. Moreover, it is unital with identity given by the constant function $x \mapsto 1$.

The same multiplication and norm make $C_0(X)$ into a Banach algebra. However, $C_0(X)$ is unital if and only if X is compact.

- (2) For (X, Ω, μ) a σ -finite measure space, $L^\infty(X, \mu)$ is a unital Banach algebra with point-wise multiplication and identity given by the constant function $1(x) = 1$ for μ -a.e. $x \in X$.
- (3) For \mathcal{H} a Hilbert space, $B(\mathcal{H})$ is a unital Banach algebra with multiplication operation given by composition, identity given by the identity operator $1: \xi \mapsto \xi$, and norm given by the operator norm.

In particular, $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is a Banach algebra under matrix multiplication and the operator norm. ■

Exercise 3.5. For $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ define their convolution $f * g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$(f * g)(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t-s)g(s) ds.$$

Show that with convolution as the multiplication operation, $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ is a Banach algebra that is abelian but not unital.

Banach algebras (and their subalgebras, homomorphisms, and related structures) are a cornerstone of the field of operator algebras. *Ideals* in Banach algebras will be particularly important for us.

Definition 3.6. For a Banach algebra \mathcal{A} , an **ideal** is a closed subspace $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{A}$ which satisfies $xy, yx \in \mathcal{I}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $y \in \mathcal{I}$.

Example 3.7. Let $\phi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a continuous homomorphism. Then $\ker \phi$ is an ideal of \mathcal{A} . Indeed, it is closed subspace since ϕ is, in particular, a continuous linear functional. Also, if $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and $y \in \ker \phi$ then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(xy) &= \phi(x)\phi(y) = 0 \\ \phi(yx) &= \phi(y)\phi(x) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $xy, yx \in \ker \phi$.

If \mathcal{A} is unital, then for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$, $x - \phi(x)1 \in \ker(\phi)$. Since we can write $x = (x - \phi(x)1) + \phi(x)1$, it follows that $\mathcal{A}/\ker(\phi) \cong \mathbb{C}$. ■

By considering the quotient map, we can see that any ideal $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{A}$ in a unital Banach algebra that satisfies $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I} \cong \mathbb{C}$ is of this form:

Theorem 3.8. *If $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{A}$ is an ideal in a unital Banach algebra satisfying $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I} \cong \mathbb{C}$, then there exists a continuous homomorphism $\phi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $\ker \phi = \mathcal{I}$.*

Remark 3.9. Our use of the word “ideal” here is more specific than what is typically seen in algebra (where one can consider *non-closed* ideals, *left* ideals, or *right* ideals). The above theorem is our motivation for adopting this convention throughout GOALS (and likewise is the motivation for the convention appearing in most of the operator algebras literature).

Sometimes, as in the case of $B(\mathcal{H})$ or $C_0(X)$, there is also a natural involution on a given Banach algebra.

Definition 3.10. A ***-algebra** is an algebra \mathcal{A} with involution $*$ (called the **adjoint**) which is an anti-isomorphism, i.e. for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ and $c \in \mathbb{C}$,

- $(x + y)^* = x^* + y^*$
- $(cx)^* = \bar{c}x^*$
- $(x^*)^* = x$
- $(xy)^* = y^*x^*$

A **Banach *-algebra** is a Banach algebra equipped with an involution making it a *-algebra.

When we are working in a Banach *-algebra, we will also assume ideals are *-closed.

Exercise 3.11. Verify that $B(\mathcal{H})$ is a Banach *-algebra.

3.1 The Spectrum

Definition 3.12. A element $x \in \mathcal{A}$ of a unital algebra is said to be **invertible** if there exists $y \in \mathcal{A}$ with $xy = yx = 1$. In this case, we write $x^{-1} := y$.

Example 3.13.

- (1) Let X be a compact Hausdorff space. Then $f \in C(X)$ is invertible if and only if $f(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in X$. In this case, its inverse is given by the function $g(x) = 1/f(x)$.
- (2) Let (X, Ω, μ) be a σ -finite measure space. For $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, the *essential range* of f is the set

$$\text{ess.im}(f) := \{a \in \mathbb{C}: \mu(\{x \in X: |f(x) - a| \leq \epsilon\}) > 0 \text{ for all } \epsilon > 0\}$$

Then $f \in L^\infty(X, \mu)$ is invertible if and only if $0 \notin \text{ess.im}(f)$.

- (3) Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space. The Inverse Mapping Theorem implies $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is invertible if and only if T is bijective. ■

Theorem 3.14. *Let \mathcal{A} be a unital Banach algebra. Then*

$$G := \{x \in \mathcal{A}: x \text{ is invertible}\}$$

is open and the map $G \ni x \mapsto x^{-1}$ is continuous.

Definition 3.15. Let \mathcal{A} be a unital Banach algebra. The **spectrum** of $x \in \mathcal{A}$ is the set

$$\sigma(x) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}: x - \lambda \text{ is not invertible}\}.$$

The **resolvent** of x is the set $\rho(x) := \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(x)$.

Example 3.16.

- (1) For $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, $\sigma(A)$ is given by the eigenvalues of A . Indeed, $A - \lambda$ is not invertible if and only if $\det(A - \lambda) = 0$, which is equivalent to λ being an eigenvalue of A .
- (2) Let X be a compact Hausdorff space. For $f \in C(X)$, $\sigma(f) = f(X)$ since $f - \lambda$ is invertible if and only if $0 \neq (f - \lambda)(x) := f(x) - \lambda$ for all $x \in X$.
- (3) Let (X, Ω, μ) be a σ -finite measure space. For $f \in L^\infty(X, \mu)$, $\sigma(f) = \text{ess.im}(f)$. ■

Theorem 3.17. *Let \mathcal{A} be a unital Banach algebra. For all $x \in \mathcal{A}$, $\sigma(x)$ is a non-empty compact subset of $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}: |\lambda| \leq \|x\|\}$.*

We will just prove that it is a subset of $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}: |\lambda| \leq \|x\|\}$.

Proof. If $|\lambda| > \|x\|$, then the series

$$\lambda^{-1} \sum_{n \geq 0} \lambda^{-n} x^n$$

converges in norm. Notice that for each $N > 0$,

$$(\lambda - x)\lambda^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^N \lambda^{-n} x^n = 1 - \frac{x^{N+1}}{\lambda^{N+1}} \rightarrow 1.$$

A similar computation with multiplication on the left shows that the limit of the series is $(\lambda - x)^{-1}$. \square

Corollary 3.18. *For any element x in a unital Banach algebra, if $\|x\| < 1$, then $1 - x$ is invertible with inverse $\sum_{n \geq 0} x^n$.*

Definition 3.19. Given $x \in \mathcal{A}$ in a unital Banach algebra, the **spectral radius** of x is the quantity:

$$r(x) := \sup_{\lambda \in \sigma(x)} |\lambda|.$$

Theorem 3.17 implies that we always have $r(x) \leq \|x\|$. However, the reverse inequality need not hold as the following example demonstrates.

Example 3.20. Consider

$$A := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{C}).$$

From linear algebra we know the only eigenvalue of A is zero, so $\sigma(A) = \{0\}$ and hence $r(A) = 0$. On the other hand, $\|A\| = 1$ (**Exercise:** verify this). \blacksquare

Observe that in the previous example we have $A^2 = 0$ and so we do have the equality $r(A) = \|A^2\|^{1/2}$. It turns out one can always prove a modified equality:

Theorem 3.21 (Spectral Radius Theorem). *Let \mathcal{A} be a unital Banach algebra. For all $x \in \mathcal{A}$*

$$r(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x^n\|^{1/n}.$$

4. Tensor products

Tensor products are an important construction in operator algebras. Generally, one should think of the tensor product of two vector spaces as a sort of product of the spaces themselves. However, unlike direct products, tensor products allow for more interaction between elements in the spaces.

Tensor products are already an important construction for vector spaces and algebras. So, we begin by extracting a few important facts there before we add on any topological information. A fairly standard practice is to use different notation for algebraic tensor products and tensor products with some extra topological information. We adopt the convention of writing $A \odot B$ for the algebraic tensor product of two (potentially Banach) algebras (i.e., just their tensor product as plain 'ol algebras) and $A \otimes B$ when the algebraic tensor product is completed with respect to some topology.

4.1 Tensor products of \mathbb{C} -vector spaces We begin with tensor products of vector spaces (and algebras) as well as linear maps between them. Especially in this generality, it's often helpful to think about tensor products via their universal property.

Definition 4.1. Let A and B be \mathbb{C} -vector spaces. Their **tensor product** is a vector space $A \odot B$, together with a bilinear map $\odot : A \times B \rightarrow A \odot B$, such that $A \odot B$ is universal in the following sense:

For any \mathbb{C} -vector space C and any bilinear map $\phi : A \times B \rightarrow C$, there exists a unique bilinear map $\tilde{\phi} : A \odot B \rightarrow C$ so that $\tilde{\phi}(a \odot b) = \phi(a, b)$ for all $a \in A$ and $b \in B$.

The bilinearity of the map $\odot : A \times B \rightarrow A \odot B$ means that we have the following algebraic relations in $A \odot B$:

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1 + a_2) \odot b &= (a_1 \odot b) + (a_2 \odot b) & a_1, a_2, a \in A \\ a \odot (b_1 + b_2) &= (a \odot b_1) + (a \odot b_2) & b, b_1, b_2 \in B, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\lambda(a \odot b) = (\lambda a) \odot b = a \odot (\lambda b) \quad a \in A, b \in B, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Elements of the form $a \odot b$ for $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ are called *simple tensors*. Note that if $a = 0$ or $b = 0$, then $a \odot b = 0$.

Remark 4.2.

- (1) One can also think of $A \odot B$ as a vector space consisting of linear combinations of elements of the form $a \odot b$ ($a \in A, b \in B$) such that the algebraic relations above are satisfied. (Indeed, this is usually the “right” intuition for tensor products.) That is, $A \odot B$ is spanned by its simple tensors.
- (2) Although $A \odot B$ is spanned by its simple tensors, it consists of many more elements. For example, in general the element $(a_1 \odot b_1) + (a_2 \odot b_2)$ cannot be written as a simple tensor $a \odot b$.

As a vector space, the notion of linear independence in an algebraic tensor product is a little technical but also technically very useful. We lay out the following propositions for later use. Many of these are proved in [Brown & Ozawa, Section 3.1-3.2], but proving them for yourself might be a good exercise!

As far as linear independence goes, the following propositions can be useful:

Proposition 4.3. Suppose $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \subset A$ are linearly independent and $\{b_1, \dots, b_n\} \subset B$. Then

$$\sum_1^n a_i \odot b_i = 0 \Rightarrow b_i = 0, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Proposition 4.4. If $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a basis for A and $\{e'_j\}_{j \in J}$ is a basis for B , then $\{e_i \odot e'_j\}_{(i,j) \in I \times J}$ is a basis for $A \odot B$.

Proposition 4.5. If $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a basis for B and $x \in A \odot B$, then there exists a unique finite set $I_0 \subset I$ and $\{a_i\}_{i \in I_0} \subset A$ so that $x = \sum_{i \in I_0} a_i \odot e_i$.

Example 4.6. Let A be a \mathbb{C} -vector space and fix $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Recall from Example 1.20.(2) that $\{E_{i,j} : 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ is a basis for $M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{C})$, where $E_{i,j}$ is the matrix with a 1 in the (i, j) entry and zeros

elsewhere. The previous proposition therefore implies that every element of $A \odot M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ can be written as

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}} a_{i,j} \odot E_{i,j}.$$

It is helpful to think of the elementary tensor $a_{i,j} \odot E_{i,j}$ as an $m \times n$ matrix with the vector $a_{i,j}$ in the (i, j) entry and zeros elsewhere. From this perspective, the above element becomes

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}} a_{i,j} \odot E_{i,j} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,1} & \cdots & a_{1,n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m,1} & \cdots & a_{m,n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

That is, we can think of $A \odot M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ as $M_{m \times n}(A)$: the $m \times n$ matrices with entries in A . The vector space operations on $M_{m \times n}(A)$ are then determined by the entrywise operations from A . \blacksquare

When our vector spaces are moreover $(*)$ -algebras, their tensor products will have a natural $(*)$ -algebraic structure.

Proposition 4.7. *Given \mathbb{C} -algebras A and B , we define multiplication on $A \odot B$ by*

$$\left(\sum_i a_i \odot b_i \right) \left(\sum_j c_j \odot d_j \right) = \sum_{i,j} a_i c_j \odot b_i d_j$$

for all finite sums $\sum_i a_i \odot b_i, \sum_j c_j \odot d_j \in A \odot B$.

When A and B are moreover $*$ -algebras, then we define involution by

$$\left(\sum_i a_i \odot b_i \right)^* = \left(\sum_i a_i^* \odot b_i^* \right)$$

Example 4.8. Let A be a $*$ -algebra and fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Example 4.6, we can view $A \odot M_n(\mathbb{C})$ as $M_n(A)$. Since $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is also a $*$ -algebra (see Example 1.37), the previous proposition implies there is a multiplication operations on $A \odot M_n(\mathbb{C})$:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} a_{i,j} \odot E_{i,j} \right) \left(\sum_{1 \leq k,\ell \leq n} b_{k,\ell} \odot E_{k,\ell} \right) &= \sum_{1 \leq i,j,k,\ell \leq n} a_{i,j} b_{k,\ell} \odot E_{i,j} E_{k,\ell} \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i,j,\ell \leq n} a_{i,j} b_{j,\ell} \odot E_{i,\ell} \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i,\ell \leq n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{i,j} b_{j,\ell} \right) \odot E_{i,\ell}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that this is simply the usual matrix multiplication under the identification $A \odot M_n(\mathbb{C}) \cong M_n(A)$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{1,1} & \cdots & a_{1,n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n,1} & \cdots & a_{n,n} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_{1,1} & \cdots & b_{1,n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_{n,1} & \cdots & b_{n,n} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^n a_{1,j} b_{j,1} & \cdots & \sum_{j=1}^n a_{1,j} b_{j,n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sum_{j=1}^n a_{n,j} b_{j,1} & \cdots & \sum_{j=1}^n a_{n,j} b_{j,n} \end{pmatrix}$$

Similarly, the involution on $A \odot M_n(\mathbb{C})$ given by the previous proposition corresponds to taking the transpose of the matrix and the adjoint of its entries:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{1,1} & \cdots & a_{1,n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n,1} & \cdots & a_{n,n} \end{pmatrix}^* = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,1}^* & \cdots & a_{n,1}^* \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{1,n}^* & \cdots & a_{n,n}^* \end{pmatrix}$$

Remark 4.9. If the $*$ -algebra A in Example 4.8 is moreover a C^* -algebra (to be defined in the C^* -lectures), then one can endow $M_n(A)$ with a norm making it into a C^* -algebra as well. This will require some additional theory, which we will build up in the lectures. \blacksquare

Exercise 4.10. Verify the following identifications for $*$ -algebras A and B with B unital.

$$A \simeq A \odot \mathbb{C} \simeq A \odot \mathbb{C}1_B \subset A \odot B.$$

Just as we take tensor products of linear spaces, we can take tensor products of linear maps.¹ The following is more of a proposition/ definition; existence and uniqueness of these maps come from the above universal property.

Definition 4.11. Suppose A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2 are \mathbb{C} -vector spaces and $\phi_i : A_i \rightarrow B_i$, $i = 1, 2$ are linear maps. Then there is a unique linear map

$$\phi_1 \odot \phi_2 : A_1 \odot B_1 \rightarrow A_2 \odot B_2$$

so that $\phi_1 \odot \phi_2(a \odot b) = \phi_1(a) \odot \phi_2(b)$ for all $a \in A_1$, $b \in A_2$. This is called the *tensor product* of the maps ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 .

Example 4.12. Let $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ be a linear map between \mathbb{C} -vector spaces and fix $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. If we let $I_{m \times n}$ denote the identity map on $M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{C})$, then $\phi \odot I_{m, n} : A \odot M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow B \odot M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{C})$. In particular, we have

$$\phi \odot I_{m \times n} \left(\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}} a_{i,j} \odot E_{i,j} \right) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}} \phi(a_{i,j}) \odot E_{i,j}.$$

If we identify $A \odot M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{C}) \cong M_{m \times n}(A)$ and $B \odot M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{C}) \cong M_{m \times n}(B)$ as in Example 4.6, then

$$\phi \odot I_{m, n} \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,1} & \cdots & a_{1,n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m,1} & \cdots & a_{m,n} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi(a_{1,1}) & \cdots & \phi(a_{1,n}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \phi(a_{m,1}) & \cdots & \phi(a_{m,n}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

That is, $\phi \odot I_{m, n}$ is simply the map that applies ϕ to each entry. This map is called a *matrix amplification* of ϕ and is sometimes denoted $\phi^{(m \times n)}$, or simply $\phi^{(n)}$ when $m = n$. ■

The tensor product of linear maps preserves both injectivity and exact sequences:

Proposition 4.13. Suppose A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2 are \mathbb{C} -vector spaces and $\phi_i : A_i \rightarrow B_i$, $i = 1, 2$ are injective linear maps. Then $\phi_1 \odot \phi_2$ is also injective.

Proposition 4.14. Suppose J, A, B, C are \mathbb{C} -vector spaces. If $0 \rightarrow J \xrightarrow{\iota} A \xrightarrow{\pi} B \rightarrow 0$ is a short exact sequence (i.e. ι is injective, π is surjective, and $\ker(\pi) = \iota(J)$), then so is

$$0 \rightarrow J \odot C \xrightarrow{\iota \odot id_C} A \odot C \xrightarrow{\pi \odot id_C} B \odot C \rightarrow 0.$$

We highlight a special case of this tensor product map when $B_1 = B_2$ is an algebra.

Definition 4.15. Suppose A_1, A_2 are \mathbb{C} -vector spaces, B a \mathbb{C} -algebra, and $\psi_i : A_i \rightarrow B$ are linear maps. Then there exists a unique linear map

$$\psi_1 \times \psi_2 : A_1 \odot A_2 \rightarrow B$$

so that $\psi_1 \times \psi_2(a \odot b) = \psi_1(a)\psi_2(b)$ for all $a \in A_1$, $b \in A_2$. This is called the *product* of the maps ψ_1 and ψ_2 .

Exercise 4.16. Explain what is meant by $\psi_1 \times \psi_2$ is a “special case” of a tensor product of maps. (Think of the universal property and the bilinear map $B \odot B \rightarrow B$ given on simple tensors by $b_1 \odot b_2 \mapsto b_1 b_2$.)

4.2 Tensor products of Hilbert spaces If \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 are a pair of Hilbert spaces, the **tensor product** of \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 , denoted by $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$, is defined as follows. Consider first the algebraic tensor product

$$\mathcal{H}_1 \odot \mathcal{H}_2 = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j \odot \eta_j : n \in \mathbb{N}, \xi_j \in \mathcal{H}_1, \eta_j \in \mathcal{H}_2 \right\}$$

¹For those categorically inclined, tensors play well with linear categories and act like “multiplication” for objects/ morphisms.

with operations

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_1 \odot \eta + \xi_2 \odot \eta &= (\xi_1 + \xi_2) \odot \eta \\ \xi \odot \eta_1 + \xi \odot \eta_2 &= \xi \odot (\eta_1 + \eta_2) \\ (a\xi) \odot \eta &= \xi \odot (a\eta) = a(\xi \odot \eta)\end{aligned}$$

(for $a \in \mathbb{C}$) and inner product

$$\langle \xi_1 \odot \eta_1, \xi_2 \odot \eta_2 \rangle := \langle \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle \langle \eta_1, \eta_2 \rangle.$$

$\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$ is then defined as the completion of $\mathcal{H}_1 \odot \mathcal{H}_2$ with respect to metric induced by this inner product.

Exercise 4.17. Show that the norm in $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$ satisfies $\|\xi \odot \eta\| = \|\xi\| \|\eta\|$.

If we want to emphasize that a simple tensor $\xi \odot \eta$ lives in the completion $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$, we will sometimes write $\xi \otimes \eta$ instead of $\xi \odot \eta$.

Exercise 4.18. Let \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 be Hilbert spaces with orthonormal bases $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ and $(f_j)_{j \in J}$. Compute an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$. Use this to show that

$$\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2 \cong \ell^2(J, \mathcal{H}_1) \cong \ell^2(I, \mathcal{H}_2).$$

Exercise 4.19. Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space. Show that $\ell^2(I) \otimes \mathcal{H} \cong \ell^2(I, \mathcal{H})$.

Exercise 4.20. Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space and fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Show that $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathbb{C}^n \cong \mathcal{H}^n$.

4.3 Tensor Products of Bounded Operators on Hilbert Spaces Given operators $x_i \in B(\mathcal{H}_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$, we have a natural algebraic tensor product mapping $x_1 \odot x_2 : \mathcal{H}_1 \odot \mathcal{H}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_1 \odot \mathcal{H}_2$ given on simple tensors by

$$(x_1 \odot x_2)(\xi \odot \eta) = x_1 \xi \odot x_2 \eta.$$

This extends linearly to a linear map $\mathcal{H}_1 \odot \mathcal{H}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_1 \odot \mathcal{H}_2$ which is defined on sums of simple tensors by

$$x_1 \odot x_2 \left(\sum_1^n c_j (\xi_j \odot \eta_j) \right) = \sum_1^n c_j (x_1 \xi_j \odot x_2 \eta_j).$$

The map $x_1 \odot x_2$ extends to an operator in $B(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$ by the following proposition. To emphasise that this extension is defined on $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$, we denote it as $x_1 \otimes x_2$.

Proposition 4.21. *Given Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 and operators $x_i \in B(\mathcal{H}_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, there is a unique linear operator $x_1 \otimes x_2 \in B(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$ such that*

$$x_1 \otimes x_2 (\xi_1 \odot \xi_2) = x_1 \xi_1 \odot x_2 \xi_2$$

for all $\xi_i \in \mathcal{H}_i$, $i = 1, 2$, and moreover $\|x_1 \otimes x_2\| = \|x_1\| \|x_2\|$.

Proof. First, we want to show that the operator $x_1 \odot x_2$ is bounded on $\mathcal{H}_1 \odot \mathcal{H}_2$, which means we can extend it to a bounded operator on $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$. Assume for now that $x_2 = 1_{\mathcal{H}_2}$, and write $x = x_1$. Let $\sum_1^n c_j (\xi_j \odot \eta_j) \in \mathcal{H}_1 \odot \mathcal{H}_2$. Using a Gram-Schmidt process, we may assume η_j are orthonormal (check). Then we compute

$$\begin{aligned}\left\| x \odot 1_{\mathcal{H}_2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_j (\xi_j \odot \eta_j) \right) \right\|^2 &= \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n c_j x \xi_j \odot \eta_j \right\|^2 = \left| \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x \xi_i \odot \eta_i, \sum_{j=1}^n c_j x \xi_j \odot \eta_j \right\rangle \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n c_i \bar{c}_j \langle x \xi_i, x \xi_j \rangle \langle \eta_i, \eta_j \rangle \right| = \sum_{j=1}^n |c_j|^2 \|x \xi_j\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 \sum_{j=1}^n |c_j|^2 \|\xi_j\|^2 \\ &= \|x\|^2 \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n c_i \bar{c}_j \langle \xi_i, \xi_j \rangle \langle \eta_i, \eta_j \rangle \right| = \|T\|^2 \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n c_j (\xi_j \odot \eta_j) \right\|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Then $\|x \odot 1_{\mathcal{H}_2}\| \leq \|x\|$ on $\mathcal{H}_1 \odot \mathcal{H}_2$, meaning it extends to an operator in $B(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$, denoted by $x \otimes 1_{\mathcal{H}_2}$, with $\|x \otimes 1_{\mathcal{H}_2}\| \leq \|x\|$. Similarly, one shows that for any $x_2 \in B(\mathcal{H}_2)$, we have $1_{\mathcal{H}_1} \otimes x_2 \in B(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$.

Now, for $x_1 \in B(\mathcal{H}_1)$ and $x_2 \in B(\mathcal{H}_2)$, we compose $(1_{\mathcal{H}_1} \otimes x_2)(x_1 \otimes 1_{\mathcal{H}_2})$ to get $x_1 \otimes x_2 \in B(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$ with $\|x_1 \otimes x_2\| \leq \|x_1\| \|x_2\|$ and

$$x_1 \otimes x_2(\xi_1 \otimes \xi_2) = x_1 \xi_2 \otimes x_2 \xi_2$$

for all $\xi_1 \otimes \xi_2 \in \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$. To show that, in fact, we have $\|x_1 \otimes x_2\| = \|x_1\| \|x_2\|$, we find, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, unit vectors $\xi_i \in \mathcal{H}_i$ with $\|x_i \xi_i\| \geq \|x_i\| + \varepsilon$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then, using Exercise 4.17, we have

$$\|x_1 \otimes x_2\| \geq \|(x_1 \otimes x_2)(\xi_1 \otimes \xi_2)\| = \|x_1 \xi_1 \otimes x_2 \xi_2\| = \|x_1 \xi_1\| \|x_2 \xi_2\| \geq (\|x_1\| + \varepsilon)(\|x_2\| + \varepsilon).$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ yields the claimed equality. \square

We will take for granted that taking tensor products of operators is well-behaved with respect to addition, (scalar) multiplication, and adjoints.

Exercise 4.22. For $A = [a_{ij}] \in M_2(\mathbb{C}) = B(\mathbb{C}^2)$ and $B = [b_{ij}] \in M_3(\mathbb{C}) = B(\mathbb{C}^3)$, write a matrix array for $A \otimes B \in B(\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^3)$. (This is called a Kronecker product.)

In infinite dimensions, we do not have $B(\mathcal{H}_1) \odot B(\mathcal{H}_2) = B(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$ (the former is no longer automatically closed).

Proposition 4.23. For Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 , we define $*$ -homomorphisms $\iota_i : B(\mathcal{H}_i) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$ by identifying $B(\mathcal{H}_1) \simeq B(\mathcal{H}_1) \odot \mathbb{C}1_{\mathcal{H}_2}$ and $B(\mathcal{H}_2) \simeq \mathbb{C}1_{\mathcal{H}_1} \odot B(\mathcal{H}_2)$. These induce a product $*$ -homomorphism $\iota_1 \times \iota_2 : B(\mathcal{H}_1) \odot B(\mathcal{H}_2) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$, which is injective.

Proof. Since $B(\mathcal{H}_1) \simeq B(\mathcal{H}_1) \odot \mathbb{C}1_{\mathcal{H}_2}$ and $B(\mathcal{H}_2) \simeq \mathbb{C}1_{\mathcal{H}_1} \odot B(\mathcal{H}_2)$ (**Exercise:** check) and $B(\mathcal{H}_1) \odot \mathbb{C}1_{\mathcal{H}_2}$ and $\mathbb{C}1_{\mathcal{H}_1} \odot B(\mathcal{H}_2)$ commute in $B(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$ (**Exercise:** check), we have from Section 4.2 the product $*$ -homomorphism

$$B(\mathcal{H}_1) \odot B(\mathcal{H}_2) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2),$$

given by

$$\sum_{j=1}^n x_j \odot y_j \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j \otimes 1_{\mathcal{H}_2})(1_{\mathcal{H}_1} \otimes y_j) = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \otimes y_j.$$

We just need to show that this map is injective, i.e. if the operator $\sum_{j=1}^n x_j \otimes y_j \in B(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$ is zero, then the sum of elementary tensors $\sum_{j=1}^n x_j \odot y_j \in B(\mathcal{H}_1) \odot B(\mathcal{H}_2)$ is also zero. By possibly re-writing the coefficients of the x_j , we may assume that the operators $\{x_j\}$ are linearly independent. If $0 = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \otimes y_j \in B(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$, then for all vectors $\xi_1, \eta_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1$ and $\xi_2, \eta_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left\langle \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j \otimes y_j \right) (\xi_1 \otimes \xi_2), (\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2) \right\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n \langle x_j \xi_1 \otimes y_j \xi_2, \eta_1 \otimes \eta_2 \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \langle x_j \xi_1, \eta_1 \rangle \langle y_j \xi_2, \eta_2 \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n \langle (\langle y_j \xi_2, \eta_2 \rangle) x_j \xi_1, \eta_1 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since this holds for all $\xi_1, \eta_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1$ the operator $\sum_{j=1}^n \langle y_j \xi_2, \eta_2 \rangle x_j \in B(\mathcal{H}_1)$ is zero (by Exercise 1.55). Since we assumed the $\{x_j\}$ are linearly independent, the coefficients $\langle y_j \xi_2, \eta_2 \rangle$ must all be 0. Again, since this holds for all $\xi_2, \eta_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2$, it follows that each $y_j = 0 \in B(\mathcal{H}_2)$, which finishes the proof. \square

Example 4.24. Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space and fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that since $M_n(\mathbb{C}) = B(\mathbb{C}^n)$, the previous proposition implies that $B(\mathcal{H}) \odot M_n(\mathbb{C})$ embeds into $B(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathbb{C}^n)$, which is equal to $B(\mathcal{H}^n)$ by Exercise 4.20. This embedding is very natural when identify $B(\mathcal{H}) \odot M_n(\mathbb{C})$ with $M_n(B(\mathcal{H}))$ via Example 4.8. Indeed, under this identification we have

$$\sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} x_{i,j} \odot E_{i,j} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{1,1} & \cdots & x_{1,n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{n,1} & \cdots & x_{n,n} \end{pmatrix},$$

and the element on the right naturally acts on vectors in \mathcal{H}^n via the usual matrix action:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{1,1} & \cdots & x_{1,n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{n,1} & \cdots & x_{n,n} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \vdots \\ \xi_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^n x_{1j}\xi_j \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{j=1}^n x_{nj}\xi_j \end{pmatrix} \quad \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n \in \mathcal{H}.$$

In particular, this defines a bounded operator with

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} x_{1,1} & \cdots & x_{1,n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{n,1} & \cdots & x_{n,n} \end{pmatrix} \right\| \leq \left(\sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \|x_{i,j}\|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

(**Exercise:** check this). ■

Exercise 4.25. Show that $M_n(B(\mathcal{H})) = B(\mathcal{H}^n)$. [**Hint:** how would you do this for $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}$?]

5. Appendix: Nets

Roughly speaking, nets are a generalization of sequences wherein the indexing set \mathbb{N} is replaced by a *directed set*. As the name suggests, these sets have a notion of direction much like \mathbb{N} does ($1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \cdots$), however they may be uncountable and may have multiple “paths to infinity.” The elements that are indexed by a directed set live in a topological space so that one can consider the notion of convergence of a net. Nets are essential for general topology in the sense that they can characterize closedness, compactness, and continuity in the same way that sequences do in metric spaces.

5.1 Directed Sets

Definition 5.1. A **directed set** I is a set equipped with a binary relation \leq that satisfies:

1. $i \leq i$ for all $i \in I$ (*reflexive*);
2. if $i \leq j$ and $j \leq k$, then $i \leq k$ (*transitive*);
3. for any $i, j \in I$ there exists $k \in I$ with $i, j \leq k$ (*upper bound property*).

Typically reflexivity and transitivity are obvious, whereas the upper bound property may need to be justified.

Example 5.2. $\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}$ are all directed sets with the usual ordering. In fact, any subset of \mathbb{R} (even finite ones) are directed sets with the order they inherit from \mathbb{R} . ■

Example 5.3. Let X be a set, and let \mathcal{F} denote the collection of all finite subsets of X . For $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$, write $A \leq B$ if $A \subset B$. This makes \mathcal{F} into a directed set. Note that $A \cup B$ serves as an upper bound for both A and B . ■

Example 5.4. Let X be a topological space, and fix $x_0 \in X$. Let $\mathcal{N}(x_0)$ denote the collection of open neighborhoods of x_0 . For $A, B \in \mathcal{N}(x_0)$, write $A \leq B$ if $A \supset B$. This makes $\mathcal{N}(x_0)$ into a directed set where $A \cap B$ is an upper bound for A and B . ■

Example 5.5. Let X be a topological space. Then $\{(\epsilon, K) : \epsilon > 0, K \subset X \text{ compact}\}$ is a directed set where

$$(\epsilon, K) \leq (\epsilon', K')$$

if and only if $\epsilon \geq \epsilon'$ and $K \subset K'$. (**Exercise:** determine a common upper bound for (ϵ, K) and (ϵ', K') .) ■

Exercise 5.6. Let $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{C}$. Show that the following are equivalent:

- (a) The net $(\sum_{n \in F} a_n)_{F \in \mathcal{F}}$ converges, where \mathcal{F} is the collection of finite subsets $F \subset \mathbb{N}$.
- (b) For any permutation $\pi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{\pi(n)}$ converges.
- (c) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|$ converges.

5.2 Nets

Definition 5.7. Let X be a topological space. A **net** in X is a map $x : I \rightarrow X$ where I is a directed set.

A net $x : I \rightarrow X$ is usually denoted $(x(i))_{i \in I}$ or $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ where $x_i := x(i)$. This is supposed to remind you of sequence notation. As with sequences in a metric space, there is a notion of convergence:

Definition 5.8. A net $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ **converges** to $x \in X$ if for every open subset $U \subset X$ containing x there is $i_0 \in I$ so that $x_i \in U$ whenever $i \geq i_0$. In this case we call x the **limit** of the net and write

$$x = \lim_i x_i.$$

When $I = \mathbb{N}$, this is simply the usual notion of convergence for a sequence. When $I = \mathbb{R}$ this is also capturing familiar behavior:

Example 5.9. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function. Recall that we say f has a limit at ∞ if there exists $L \in \mathbb{R}$ so that for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ so that

$$|f(t) - L| < \epsilon \quad \forall t \geq t_0.$$

But this is precisely saying that the net $(f(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ converges to L . ■

Example 5.10. Let X be a topological space, let $x_0 \in X$ and let $\mathcal{N}(x_0)$ be as in Example 5.4. For each $U \in \mathcal{N}(x_0)$ pick any point in U and label it x_U . Then $(x_U)_{U \in \mathcal{N}(x_0)}$ is a net which converges to x_0 . Indeed, let $U \subset X$ be an open set containing x_0 . Then $U \in \mathcal{N}(x_0)$ and for any $U' \in \mathcal{N}(x_0)$ with $U' \geq U$, we have $x_{U'} \in U' \subset U$. ■

Example 5.11. Let X be a topological space and let $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a function. For each pair (ϵ, K) as in Example 5.5, let $f_{(\epsilon, K)}$ be any function $g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $|f(x) - g(x)| < \epsilon$ for all $x \in K$. Then the net $(f_{(\epsilon, K)})$ converges to f in the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets. Indeed, fix $K \subset X$ compact and let $\epsilon > 0$. Then for any $(\epsilon', K') \geq (\epsilon, K)$ we have $|f(x) - f_{(\epsilon', K')}(x)| < \epsilon' \leq \epsilon$ for all $x \in K'$; in particular, for all $x \in K$. ■

Proposition 5.12. *Let X be a topological space. Then $V \subset X$ is closed if and only if for every convergent net $(x_i)_{i \in I} \subset V$ one has $\lim_i x_i \in V$.*

Proof. (\Rightarrow): Let $(x_i)_{i \in I} \subset V$ be a convergent net. Suppose, towards a contradiction, that $x := \lim_i x_i$ is not contained in V . Then $x \in V^c$ which is an open set. Consequently, by definition of the convergence of a net, there exists $i_0 \in I$ such that $x_i \in V^c$ for all $i \geq i_0$. But this contradicts $x_i \in V$ for all $i \in I$.

(\Leftarrow): To show that V is closed, we will show that V^c is open. Suppose, towards a contradiction, that there exists $x \in V^c$ such that for all open subsets U containing x one has $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$. Let $\mathcal{N}(x)$ be as in Example 5.4. For each $U \in \mathcal{N}(x)$, let $x_U \in U \cap V$. Then $(x_U)_{U \in \mathcal{N}(x)} \subset V$ and it converges to x by Example 5.10. By assumption we must have $x \in V$, but this contradicts $x \in V^c$. Thus for any $x \in V^c$ there is an open set containing x which does not intersect V ; that is, V^c is open. □

We say a subset $S \subset X$ in a topological space is *sequentially closed* if whenever $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset S$ is a convergent sequence one has $\lim_n x_n \in S$. Since sequences are particular kinds of nets, the above proposition implies that closed sets are sequentially closed. In a metric space, the two notions are equivalent. However, for general topological spaces sequentially closed does not imply closed, as the following example illustrates.

Example 5.13.² Consider $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ with the product topology, which we think of as arbitrary functions $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Recall that under the product topology, an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ is a union of subsets of the form

$$\prod_{t \in \mathbb{R}} U_t,$$

where $U_t \subset \mathbb{R}$ is open for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $U_t \neq \mathbb{R}$ for only finitely many $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Consequently, a net $(f_i)_{i \in I} \subset \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ converges to $f \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ if and only if the functions $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ converge pointwise to f on \mathbb{R} . Let B be the subset of Borel functions. Then B is sequentially closed because we know from measure theory that the pointwise limit of a sequence of Borel functions is Borel. B is also dense. Indeed, let $f \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$. Let \mathcal{F} be the collection of finite subsets of \mathbb{R} , ordered by inclusion. Then for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$ we can find a polynomial p_F such that $p_F(t) = f(t)$ for each $t \in F$. The net $(p_F)_{F \in \mathcal{F}}$ converges pointwise to f and consists of Borel functions. Therefore the closure of B is all of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$. On the other hand, we know there are non-Borel functions, so B cannot be closed. ■

Proposition 5.14. *Let X and Y be topological spaces. Then $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous if and only if for every convergent net $(x_i)_{i \in I} \subset X$ one has that $(f(x_i))_{i \in I} \subset Y$ is a convergent net with $\lim_i f(x_i) = f(\lim_i x_i)$.*

Proof. (\Rightarrow): Suppose f is continuous and $(x_i)_{i \in I} \subset X$ converges to some $x \in X$. Let $U \subset Y$ be an open subset containing $f(x)$. Then $f^{-1}(U) \subset X$ is an open subset containing x . Consequently there exists $i_0 \in I$ such that for all $i \geq i_0$ we have $x_i \in f^{-1}(U)$. Thus for all $i \geq i_0$ we have $f(x_i) \in U$. So $(f(x_i))_{i \in I}$ converges to $f(x)$.

(\Leftarrow): Let $U \subset Y$ be an open subset. We must show $f^{-1}(U)$ is open. If not, then there is an $x \in f^{-1}(U)$ such that $N \cap f^{-1}(U)^c \neq \emptyset$ for all $N \in \mathcal{N}(x)$. We can then define a net by letting $x_N \in N \cap f^{-1}(U)^c$ for each $N \in \mathcal{N}(x)$. Then the net $(x_N)_{N \in \mathcal{N}(x)}$ converges to x by Example 5.10. By construction, $f(x_N) \in U^c$ for all $N \in \mathcal{N}(x)$. By assumption, $(f(x_N))_{N \in \mathcal{N}(x)}$ converges to $f(x)$, and since U^c is closed the previous proposition implies $f(x) \in U^c$. But this contradicts $x \in f^{-1}(U)$. Thus $f^{-1}(U)$ must be open and therefore f is continuous. □

²Thanks to Ben Hayes for supplying this example.

Let (X, d) be a metric space. We say a net $(x_i)_{i \in I} \subset X$ is *Cauchy* if for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $i_0 \in I$ so that whenever $i, j \geq i_0$ we have $d(x_i, x_j) < \epsilon$. We conclude this section by examining Cauchy nets in metric spaces. In particular, we will show that Cauchy nets in a complete metric space converge. The idea is to extract a Cauchy sequence from the Cauchy net, so as to use the completeness.

Proposition 5.15. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ be a Cauchy net. Then $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ converges.*

Proof. Let $i(1) \in I$ be such that $d(x_i, x_j) < 1$. Let $i(2) \in I$ be such that $i(2) \geq i(1)$ and $d(x_i, x_j) < \frac{1}{2}$ for all $i, j \geq i(2)$. We inductively find $i(n) \in I$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $i(n) \geq i(n-1)$ and $d(x_i, x_j) < \frac{1}{n}$ for all $i, j \geq i(n)$. We claim that the sequence $(x_{i(n)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy. Indeed, let $\epsilon > 0$. If $N \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfies $\frac{1}{N} < \epsilon$, then for $n, m \geq N$ we have $d(x_{i(n)}, x_{i(m)}) < \frac{1}{N} < \epsilon$. Since (X, d) is complete, $(x_{i(n)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to some $x \in X$. We claim the original net also converges to this x . Indeed, let $\epsilon > 0$ and choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq N$ we have $d(x_{i(n)}, x) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. By choosing a larger N if necessary, we may assume $\frac{1}{N} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Then for any $i \geq i(N)$ we have

$$d(x_i, x) \leq d(x_i, x_{i(N)}) + d(x_{i(N)}, x) < \frac{1}{N} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \leq \epsilon.$$

Hence the $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ converges to x . □

Remark 5.16. When (X, d) is a metric space, any Cauchy sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X$ is bounded. Indeed, let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $d(x_n, x_m) \leq 1$ for all $n, m \geq N$. Then setting $R := \max\{d(x_1, x_N), \dots, d(x_{N-1}, x_N), 1\}$, we have $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset B(x_N, R)$. This same argument does **not** work for nets. We can still find $i_0 \in I$ such that $d(x_i, x_j) \leq 1$ for all $i, j \geq i_0$, but then there are not necessarily finitely many $i \leq i_0$. For example, the net $(e^{-t})_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ converges in \mathbb{R} to zero but is not bounded.

5.3 Subnets *Subnets* are the analogue of subsequences, though they are a bit more subtle.

Definition 5.17. Let $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ be a net in a topological space. Then $(y_j)_{j \in J}$ is a **subnet** of $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ if there exists a map $\sigma: J \rightarrow I$ such that

- (i) $x_{\sigma(j)} = y_j$ for all $j \in J$;
- (ii) if $j_1 \leq j_2$ then $\sigma(j_1) \leq \sigma(j_2)$; (monotone)
- (iii) for any $i \in I$ there exists $j \in J$ such that $\sigma(j) \geq i$. (final)

Example 5.18. For a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, any subsequence $(x_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a subnet where $\sigma(k) = n_k$. However, because we only require the map σ to be monotone (rather than strictly monotone) there are subnets of the sequence which are **not** subsequences. For example, $(x_1, x_1, x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots)$ is a valid subnet, even though it is not a valid subsequence. ■

Proposition 5.19. *Let X be a topological space. If a net $(x_i)_{i \in I} \subset X$ converges, then every subnet converges to the same limit.*

Proof. Let $x := \lim_i x_i$. Let $(y_j)_{j \in J}$ be a subnet with monotone final map $\sigma: J \rightarrow I$. Let $U \subset X$ be an open subset containing x . Then there exists $i_0 \in I$ such that $x_i \in U$ for all $i \geq i_0$. By finality there exists $j_0 \in J$ such that $\sigma(j_0) \geq i_0$. Thus, by monotonicity, for all $j \geq j_0$ we have $\sigma(j) \geq \sigma(j_0) \geq i_0$ and hence $y_j = x_{\sigma(j)} \in U$. That is, $(y_j)_{j \in J}$ converges to x . □

Finally, we conclude this note by characterizing compactness in terms of convergent subnets. This is the analogue of the fact that in a metric space a set is compact if and only if every sequence in it has a convergent subsequence (which is sometimes called being sequentially compact).

Proposition 5.20. *Let X be a topological space. Then $K \subset X$ is compact if and only if every net $(x_i)_{i \in I} \subset K$ has a convergent subnet.*

Proof. (\Rightarrow): Let K be compact. We recall that it has the finite intersection property: if $\{C_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a collection of closed subsets of K satisfying $\bigcap_{i \in F} C_i \neq \emptyset$ for any finite subset $F \subset I$, then $\bigcap_{i \in I} C_i \neq \emptyset$. Indeed, otherwise $\{C_i^c\}_{i \in I}$ is an open cover for K with no finite subcover.

Now, let $(x_i)_{i \in I} \subset K$ be a net. Define $C_i := \overline{\{x_j : j \geq i\}}$. Then for $F \subset I$ finite, we can find j such that $j \geq i$ for each $i \in F$ and so

$$x_j \in \bigcap_{i \in F} C_i \neq \emptyset.$$

By the finite intersection property we therefore have $\bigcap_{i \in I} C_i \neq \emptyset$. Let y be an element of this set. Then for every $i \in I$, $y \in C_i$ which means for every neighborhood U of y , $U \cap \{x_j : j \geq i\} \neq \emptyset$. That is, for every $i \in I$ and every neighborhood U , there exists $j \geq i$ such that $x_j \in U$. Set $y_{(U,j)} := x_j$. Then $(y_{(U,j)})$ is a net (where $(U,j) \leq (U',j')$ means $U \supset U'$ and $j \leq j'$), which converges to y . Defining $\sigma(U,j) := j$ yields a monotone final map and so $(y_{(U,j)})$ is a (convergent) subnet of $(x_i)_{i \in I}$.

(\Leftarrow): Towards a contradiction, let $\{U_i : i \in I\}$ be an open cover of K with no finite subcover. Let \mathcal{F} be the collection of finite subsets of I , which we make into a directed set by ordering by inclusion. For each $F \in \mathcal{F}$ let x_F be any point in $K \setminus \bigcup_{i \in F} U_i$ (which exists by virtue of there being no finite subcover). Then $(x_F)_{F \in \mathcal{F}}$ is a net and consequently has a convergent subnet $(x_{\sigma(j)})_{j \in J}$, say with limit x . Then $x \in U_i$ for some $i \in I$ and consequently there is $j_0 \in J$ such that $x_{\sigma(j)} \in U_i$ for all $j \geq j_0$. Let $j_1 \in J$ be such that $\sigma(j_1) \geq \{i\} \in \mathcal{F}$. Then there exists $j \geq j_1$ and $j \geq j_0$. For this j we have $x_{\sigma(j)} \in U_i$ but $\sigma(j) \geq \sigma(j_1) \geq \{i\}$ implies $x_{\sigma(j)} \notin U_i$, a contradiction. Thus every open cover of K has a finite subcover and K is therefore compact. \square

6. Appendix: Inductive Limits

An inductive limit is a way to start with many “smaller” objects that are assembled in a specific way to construct a larger object of the same type. These objects may be groups, rings, vector spaces, or algebras depending on the context. How these small pieces come together to build the limiting object is determined by a family of group homomorphisms, ring homomorphisms, linear maps, or algebra homomorphisms between these smaller objects.

As a motivating example, we consider a sequence of subgroups $(G_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $G_n \leq G_{n+1}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e. we have an ascending sequence of subgroups. It is left as an exercise to verify that $G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$ is also a group. Here, there are families of homomorphisms $\phi_{n,m} : G_n \rightarrow G_m$ whenever $n \geq m$ given by the inclusion of subgroups $G_n \subseteq G_m$ with the property that if $n \leq k \leq m$ then the composition of the intermediary maps is $\phi_{n,m} = \phi_{k,m} \circ \phi_{n,k}$. Moreover, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a map $\phi_n : G_n \rightarrow G$. These observations form the prototype of essential properties of the inductive limit of the sequence of G_n 's.

Definition 6.1. Let $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of objects of the same type (groups, rings, etc) along with suitable maps $\phi_{n,m} : A_n \rightarrow A_m$ whenever $n \leq m$ so that

- (1) $\phi_{n,n} = \text{id}_{A_n}$, and
- (2) for every $n \leq k \leq m$, $\phi_{n,m} = \phi_{k,m} \circ \phi_{n,k}$.

Then the collection $(A_n, \phi_{n,m})$ is called a **directed system**. An object B along with a family of maps $\phi_n : A_n \rightarrow B$ is **compatible** if for every $n \leq m$ we have that $\phi_n = \phi_m \circ \phi_{n,m}$.

Let A along with maps $\phi_n : A_n \rightarrow A$ be compatible. A is called an **inductive limit** of the directed system $(A_n, \phi_{n,m})$, denoted $A = \varinjlim A_n$, if it satisfies the following universal property: if whenever B with maps ψ_n is compatible we have that there exists a unique map $\rho : A \rightarrow B$ so that $\psi_n = \rho \circ \phi_n$.

Exercise: check that the definition above ensures that if an inductive limit exists, it is in fact unique up to isomorphism in the appropriate sense.

Remark 6.2. Sometimes inductive limits are also called direct limits, but they should not be confused with “co-limits” or inverse limits, which are more often seen in topology, where the arrows go the other way.

Inductive limits may be generalized by allowing the family of objects $(A_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ to be indexed by an arbitrary directed set \mathcal{I} .

Example 6.3. Let $A_n = M_{2^n}(\mathbb{C})$ be the algebra of $2^n \times 2^n$ matrices with maps $\phi_{n,n+1} : M_{2^n}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_{2^{n+1}}(\mathbb{C})$ defined by

$$x \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix}.$$

Letting $\phi_{n,m} := \phi_{m,m-1} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_{n,n+1}$ whenever $m > n$, we see that by construction this forms a directed system. Since these are inclusions, one can identify the inductive limit with $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$. ■

Example 6.4. Let \mathcal{H} be a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space with orthonormal basis $(\mathbf{e}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Let P_n be the projection onto the subspace spanned by $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$, and let $A_n = P_n B(\mathcal{H}) P_n$ with $\phi_{n,n+1} : A_n \rightarrow A_{n+1}$ the canonical inclusion map. Again, the direct limit of this system can be identified with $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$. ■

Suppose that (A_n) is a directed system of algebraic objects (e.g. rings, algebras, vector spaces). The direct limit can be constructed by considering

$$A = \bigsqcup A_n / \sim, \tag{6.1}$$

the disjoint union of the A_n 's modulo the following equivalence relation: $x_n \in A_n$ and $x_m \in A_m$ are equivalent if and only if there exist $k \geq n, m$ so that $\phi_{n,k}(x_n) = \phi_{m,k}(x_m)$. Loosely, this means that two elements are equivalent under \sim if they eventually become equal in some A_k . Notice that this was automatic in the prototype example of nested groups.

While this construction is well-behaved for algebraic objects (if each A_n is a group, then the object A defined in Equation (6.1) is clearly a group), this may not be the case when working with *analytic* objects such as Hilbert spaces or Banach algebras. In these situations, one must take extra care to show that an inductive limit of the appropriate type actually exists.

7. Introduction to Operator Theory

Given a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , we will explore several ideals of operators in $B(\mathcal{H})$: *finite-rank*, *compact*, *trace class*, and *Hilbert-Schmidt*.

7.1 Projections, Partial Isometries, and Positive Semi-Definite Operators We will begin by taking a closer look at certain types of operators that will be important throughout the mini-courses: *projections*, *partial isometries*, and *positive semi-definite operators*. We encountered the first two types in the prerequisite notes, while the last type is defined below.

Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space and $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{H}$ a closed subspace. Recall that we defined the projection onto \mathcal{K} as the operator $P_{\mathcal{K}} \in B(\mathcal{H})$ such that, for all $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$, we have $P_{\mathcal{K}}\xi \in \mathcal{K}$ and

$$\|\xi - P_{\mathcal{K}}\xi\| = \inf_{\eta \in \mathcal{K}} \|\xi - \eta\|.$$

We also saw that $P_{\mathcal{K}}$ is equivalently defined to be the operator such that $P_{\mathcal{K}}\xi \in \mathcal{K}$ and $\xi - P_{\mathcal{K}}\xi \in \mathcal{K}^{\perp}$ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$. In particular, if $\xi \in \mathcal{K}$ then $P_{\mathcal{K}}\xi = \xi$ and if $\xi \in \mathcal{K}^{\perp}$ then $P_{\mathcal{K}}\xi = 0$ (see Exercise 1.17).

From an operator algebras perspective, there is a much more natural way to think about projections, which is given in the following theorem.

Theorem 7.1. *For $p \in B(\mathcal{H})$, p is a projection if and only if $p = p^* = p^2$. In this case, $p\mathcal{H}$ is a closed subspace and $p = P_{p\mathcal{H}}$.*

Proof. First suppose $p = P_{\mathcal{K}}$ for some closed subspace $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{H}$. Since $P_{\mathcal{K}}\xi \in \mathcal{K}$ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ and $P_{\mathcal{K}}\eta = \eta$ for all $\eta \in \mathcal{K}$, we have $P_{\mathcal{K}}^2\xi = P_{\mathcal{K}}(P_{\mathcal{K}}\xi) = P_{\mathcal{K}}\xi$. Thus $P_{\mathcal{K}}^2 = P_{\mathcal{K}}$. To see that $P_{\mathcal{K}} = P_{\mathcal{K}}^*$, let $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$ and use the fact that \mathcal{K} is closed (and so $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{K} \oplus \mathcal{K}^{\perp}$) to compute

$$\langle P_{\mathcal{K}}\xi, \eta \rangle = \langle P_{\mathcal{K}}\xi, P_{\mathcal{K}}\eta + (1 - P_{\mathcal{K}})\eta \rangle = \langle P_{\mathcal{K}}\xi, P_{\mathcal{K}}\eta \rangle = \langle P_{\mathcal{K}}\xi + (1 - P_{\mathcal{K}})\xi, P_{\mathcal{K}}\eta \rangle = \langle \xi, P_{\mathcal{K}}\eta \rangle.$$

So $P_{\mathcal{K}}^* = P_{\mathcal{K}}$.

Conversely, suppose $p \in B(\mathcal{H})$ satisfies $p = p^* = p^2$. Let $\mathcal{K} := p\mathcal{H}$. Then \mathcal{K} is a subspace and moreover it is closed: suppose $(p\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{K}$ converges to some $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$. Then since p is continuous we have

$$p\eta = p \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p\xi_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p^2\xi_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p\xi_n = \eta.$$

Thus $\eta = p\eta \in \mathcal{K}$, and so \mathcal{K} is closed. Additionally, for $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ and $p\eta \in \mathcal{K}$ we have

$$\langle \xi - p\xi, p\eta \rangle = \langle \xi, p\eta \rangle - \langle p\xi, p\eta \rangle = \langle \xi, p\eta \rangle - \langle \xi, p^*p\eta \rangle = \langle \xi, p\eta \rangle - \langle \xi, p^2\eta \rangle = \langle \xi, p\eta \rangle - \langle \xi, p\eta \rangle = 0.$$

Thus $\xi - p\xi \in \mathcal{K}^{\perp}$, and by definition of \mathcal{K} we have $p\xi \in \mathcal{K}$ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$. Consequently, $p = P_{\mathcal{K}}$. \square

The previous theorem allows us to redefine a projection as an operator $p \in B(\mathcal{H})$ satisfying $p = p^* = p^2$. We will typically take this more algebraic perspective in the mini-courses. Note that if $p \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is a projection, then one can use this definition to quickly verify that $1 - p$ is also a projection (see Exercise 1.44). In particular, $1 - p$ is the projection onto $(p\mathcal{H})^{\perp}$.

Definition 7.2. We say two projections $p, q \in B(\mathcal{H})$ are **orthogonal** to one another if $pq = 0$. We say a family of projections $\{p_i\}_{i \in I} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ is **(pairwise) orthogonal** if $p_i p_j = 0$ for all $i, j \in I$ with $i \neq j$.

For a projection $p \in B(\mathcal{H})$, observe that $p = p^2$ is equivalent to $p(1 - p) = 0$. Thus p and $1 - p$ are always orthogonal projections. Also $p\mathcal{H}$ and $(1 - p)\mathcal{H}$ are always orthogonal subspaces (see Exercise 1.44). This is not a coincidence: two projection $p, q \in B(\mathcal{H})$ are orthogonal if and only if $p\mathcal{H} \perp q\mathcal{H}$ (see Exercise 1.46, which explains the terminology).

We will now move onto a related class of operators: partial isometries. Recall that we defined a partial isometry as an operator $v \in B(\mathcal{H})$ that satisfies $v = vv^*v$. We will soon see why this terminology makes sense, but we first make a few observations. By taking the adjoint of each side of $v = vv^*v$, we see that $v^* = v^*vv^*$, and so (since $(x^*)^* = x$ for all $x \in B(\mathcal{H})$) v^* is also a partial isometry. Moreover, vv^* and v^*v are projections: they are both self-adjoint and

$$(vv^*)^2 = vv^*vv^* = (vv^*v)v^* = vv^*,$$

and similarly $(v^*v)^2 = v^*v$. Thus v^*v and vv^* are projections by Theorem 7.1. In fact, this gives an alternate characterization of partial isometries (see Exercise 1.47).

Definition 7.3. For a partial isometry $v \in B(\mathcal{H})$, the **source projection** of v is v^*v and the **range projection** of v is vv^* .

Note that the source projection of v is the range projection of v^* , and vice versa.

Theorem 7.4. Let $v \in B(\mathcal{H})$ be a partial isometry and consider the subspaces $\mathcal{S} := v^*v\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{R} := vv^*\mathcal{H}$. Then $v|_{\mathcal{S}}$ and $v^*|_{\mathcal{R}}$ are isometries and $v|_{\mathcal{S}^\perp} \equiv 0 \equiv v^*|_{\mathcal{R}^\perp}$. Moreover,

$$v|_{\mathcal{S}}: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$$

is an isometric isomorphism with inverse $v^*|_{\mathcal{R}}$.

Proof. By definition of \mathcal{S} , we have $v^*v\xi = \xi$ for any $\xi \in \mathcal{S}$. Consequently,

$$\|v\xi\|^2 = \langle v\xi, v\xi \rangle = \langle v^*v\xi, \xi \rangle = \langle \xi, \xi \rangle = \|\xi\|^2.$$

Hence $v|_{\mathcal{S}}$ is an isometry. The proof of $v^*|_{\mathcal{R}}$ is similar. Also, using $v = vv^*v$, we see that

$$v(1 - v^*v) = v - vv^*v = v - v = 0.$$

Consequently, v is identically zero on $(1 - v^*v)\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{S}^\perp$. Similarly, $v^*|_{\mathcal{R}^\perp} \equiv 0$.

To see that $v|_{\mathcal{S}}$ is valued in \mathcal{R} , note that $v\xi = (vv^*v)\xi = (vv^*)v\xi \in \mathcal{R}$. Finally, for $\xi \in \mathcal{S}$ we have

$$v^*(v\xi) = (v^*v)\xi = \xi.$$

Since $\mathcal{R} \subseteq v\mathcal{H}$, this shows that $v^*|_{\mathcal{R}} = v|_{\mathcal{S}}^{-1}$. □

Thus if $v \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is a partial isometry, then its restriction to $v^*v\mathcal{H}$ is an isometry while its restriction to $(1 - v^*v)\mathcal{H} = (v^*v\mathcal{H})^\perp$ is identically zero. It turns out that this is actually equivalent to v being a partial isometry (see Exercise 1.49).

Definition 7.5. We say $x \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is **positive semi-definite** if $\langle x\xi, \xi \rangle \geq 0$ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$.

If $x = y^*y$ for some $y \in B(\mathcal{H})$, then x is positive semi-definite: for $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ we have

$$\langle x\xi, \xi \rangle = \langle y^*y\xi, \xi \rangle = \langle y\xi, y\xi \rangle = \|y\xi\|^2 \geq 0.$$

In particular, if $p \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is a projection, then $p = p^2 = p^*p$ and so is positive semi-definite. We will eventually see in the C*-algebras mini-course that $x = y^*y$ for some $y \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is the *only* way for x to be positive semi-definite. Moreover, we can require that y also be positive-semidefinite, in which case it is unique and we denote it $x^{1/2} := y$. For now, we can check this when \mathcal{H} is finite dimensional and $B(\mathcal{H}) = M_n(\mathbb{C})$:

Example 7.6. Suppose $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is positive semi-definite. If λ is an eigenvalue of A with eigenvector \mathbf{x} , then

$$\lambda\|\xi\|^2 = \langle \lambda\xi, \xi \rangle = \langle A\xi, \xi \rangle \geq 0.$$

Thus $\lambda \geq 0$. Now, A is self-adjoint by Exercise 1.52, and consequently it is diagonalizable: $A = UDU^*$ for U a unitary matrix and

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are the eigenvalues of A counting multiplicities. Since $\lambda_i \geq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ by the argument above, we can define

$$D^{1/2} := \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\lambda_1} & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \sqrt{\lambda_n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that $D^{1/2}D^{1/2} = D$ and $(D^{1/2})^* = D^{1/2}$. If we set $B := UD^{1/2}U^*$ then we have

$$B^*B = (UD^{1/2}U^*)^*(UD^{1/2}U^*) = (UD^{1/2}U^*)(UD^{1/2}U^*) = UD^{1/2}D^{1/2}U^* = UDU^* = A.$$

Thus $A = B^*B$. ■

7.2 Finite-Rank Operators All of the classes of operators we will consider in this section contain this first class:

Definition 7.7. We say $x \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is a **finite-rank** operator if $\dim(x\mathcal{H}) < \infty$. In particular, if $\dim(x\mathcal{H}) = n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we say x is a **rank n** operator. The collection of finite-rank operators on \mathcal{H} is denoted $FR(\mathcal{H})$.

Example 7.8. For $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$, define $\Theta_{\xi, \eta} \in B(\mathcal{H})$ by

$$\Theta_{\xi, \eta}(\zeta) = \langle \zeta, \eta \rangle \xi \quad \zeta \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Then $\Theta_{\xi, \eta}(\mathcal{H}) = \text{span}\{\xi\}$, so that $\Theta_{\xi, \eta}$ is a rank 1 operator. Observe that for $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in \mathcal{H}$ we have

$$\langle \Theta_{\xi, \eta}(\zeta_1), \zeta_2 \rangle = \langle \langle \zeta_1, \eta \rangle \xi, \zeta_2 \rangle = \langle \zeta_1, \eta \rangle \langle \xi, \zeta_2 \rangle = \langle \zeta_1, \langle \zeta_2, \xi \rangle \eta \rangle = \langle \zeta_1, \Theta_{\eta, \xi}(\zeta_2) \rangle.$$

Thus $\Theta_{\xi, \eta}^* = \Theta_{\eta, \xi}$. In particular, $\Theta_{\xi, \xi}$ is self-adjoint.

If $\|\xi\| = 1$, then in fact $\Theta_{\xi, \xi}$ is a *projection*: $(\Theta_{\xi, \xi})^2 = \Theta_{\xi, \xi}^* = \Theta_{\xi, \xi}$. To see that $(\Theta_{\xi, \xi})^2 = \Theta_{\xi, \xi}$, we compute:

$$\Theta_{\xi, \xi}(\Theta_{\xi, \xi}(\zeta)) = \Theta_{\xi, \xi}(\langle \zeta, \xi \rangle \xi) = \langle \zeta, \xi \rangle \langle \xi, \xi \rangle \xi,$$

which equals $\Theta_{\xi, \xi}(\zeta)$ since $\|\xi\| = \langle \xi, \xi \rangle^{1/2} = 1$.

More generally, it turns out that the product of any two of these operators $\Theta_{\rho, \zeta}$ is also a rank 1 operator of the form $\Theta_{\xi, \eta}$: for $\xi_1, \xi_2, \eta_1, \eta_2, \zeta \in \mathcal{H}$ we have

$$\Theta_{\xi_1, \eta_1}(\Theta_{\xi_2, \eta_2}(\zeta)) = \Theta_{\xi_1, \eta_1}(\langle \zeta, \eta_2 \rangle \xi_2) = \langle \langle \zeta, \eta_2 \rangle \xi_2, \eta_1 \rangle \xi_1 = \langle \zeta, \eta_2 \rangle \langle \xi_2, \eta_1 \rangle \xi_1 = \langle \zeta, \langle \eta_1, \xi_2 \rangle \eta_2 \rangle \xi_1.$$

In other words,

$$\Theta_{\xi_1, \eta_1} \Theta_{\xi_2, \eta_2} = \Theta_{\langle \xi_2, \eta_1 \rangle \xi_1, \eta_2} = \Theta_{\xi_1, \langle \eta_1, \xi_2 \rangle \eta_2}.$$

To remember this formula, it can be helpful to think of the special case where $\eta_1 = \xi_2$ has norm 1. In that case, we have

$$\Theta_{\xi_1, \eta_1} \Theta_{\xi_2, \eta_2} = \Theta_{\xi_1, \eta_2}.$$

Finite rank operators behave a lot like matrices. For example, we have a ‘‘Rank-Nullity’’ type result.

Lemma 7.9. For $x \in FR(\mathcal{H})$, the rank of x is $\dim(\ker(x)^\perp)$.

Proof. First note that since $\mathcal{H} = \ker(x) \oplus \ker(x)^\perp$, we have $x\mathcal{H} = x\ker(x)^\perp$, and so the rank of x is $\dim(x\ker(x)^\perp) \leq \dim(\ker(x)^\perp)$.

On the other hand, let \mathcal{E} be an orthonormal basis for $\ker(x)^\perp$. Since x is injective on $\ker(x)^\perp$, $|\mathcal{E}| = |x\mathcal{E}|$. So, we just need to show that the set $\{x\xi : \xi \in \mathcal{E}\}$ is linearly independent. If $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\xi_j \in \mathcal{E}$ are such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j x\xi_j = 0,$$

then $\sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j \xi_j \in \ker(x) \cap \ker(x)^\perp$, and so we must have $\alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_k = 0$ since ξ_1, \dots, ξ_k are orthonormal. That means $|\{x\xi : \xi \in \mathcal{E}\}| \leq n$. \square

It turns out the operators in Example 7.8 give *all* rank 1 operators. In fact, we have

Theorem 7.10. If $x \in FR(\mathcal{H})$ is rank n for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then there exist $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_n \in \mathcal{H}$ so that

$$x = \sum_{j=1}^n \Theta_{\xi_j, \eta_j}.$$

In particular, if x is the projection onto a finite dimensional subspace $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{H}$, then

$$x = \sum_{j=1}^n \Theta_{\xi_j, \xi_j}$$

for any orthonormal basis ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n for \mathcal{K} .

Proof. Let $\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis for $\ker(x)^\perp$, and set $\xi_j := x\eta_j$ for each $j = 1, \dots, n$. For $\zeta \in \mathcal{H}$, we can write

$$\zeta = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \eta_j + \zeta_0$$

for some $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\zeta_0 \in \ker(x)$. We then have

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \Theta_{\xi_j, \eta_j} \right) (\zeta) = \sum_{j=1}^n \langle \zeta, \eta_j \rangle \xi_j = \sum_{j=1}^n \left\langle \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \xi_k + \zeta_0, \eta_j \right\rangle \xi_j x \zeta = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \langle \eta_j, \eta_j \rangle \xi_j \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j x \eta_j = x \zeta$$

Thus $x = \sum_{j=1}^n \Theta_{\xi_j, \eta_j}$.

If x is a projection onto a subspace $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{H}$ with orthonormal basis ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n , then in the above argument we have $\eta_j = x\xi_j = \xi_j$. Thus x has the claimed form. \square

Corollary 7.11. *$FR(\mathcal{H})$ is a (not necessarily norm-closed) two-sided $*$ -ideal in $B(\mathcal{H})$.*

Proof. Let $x \in B(\mathcal{H})$. By the previous theorem, it suffices to show $x\Theta_{\xi, \eta}, \Theta_{\xi, \eta}x \in FR(\mathcal{H})$ for $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$. For $\zeta \in \mathcal{H}$ we have

$$x\Theta_{\xi, \eta}(\zeta) = x \langle \zeta, \eta \rangle \xi = \langle \zeta, \eta \rangle x\xi = \Theta_{x\xi, \eta}(\zeta).$$

So $x\Theta_{\xi, \eta} = \Theta_{x\xi, \eta} \in FR(\mathcal{H})$. Likewise one can show $\Theta_{\xi, \eta}x = \Theta_{\xi, x^*\eta} \in FR(\mathcal{H})$. \square

Corollary 7.12. *Any nonzero two-sided ideal in $B(\mathcal{H})$ contains $FR(\mathcal{H})$ – even if the ideal is not $*$ -closed or norm-closed.*

Proof. Let $0 \neq J \triangleleft B(\mathcal{H})$. By Theorem 7.10, it suffices to show that J contains all rank one projections. (Pause and convince yourself of this.) Let $x \in J$ be a nonzero operator, $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $x\xi \neq 0$, and $p \in B(\mathcal{H})$ a rank one projection. Then by Theorem 7.10, $p = \Theta_{\eta, \eta}$ for some $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$. Let $y \in B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $yx\xi = \eta$. (What would such an operator look like?) Then for any $\zeta \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$yx(\Theta_{\xi, \xi})x^*y^*\zeta = yx \langle x^*y^*\zeta, \xi \rangle \xi = \langle x^*y^*\zeta, \xi \rangle yx\xi = \langle \zeta, yx\xi \rangle yx\xi = \langle \zeta, \eta \rangle \eta = p\zeta.$$

So, $p = yx(\Theta_{\xi, \xi})x^*y^* \in J$. Since p was arbitrary, it follows that J contains all rank one projections. \square

Exercise 7.13. Show that for any $*$ -closed ideal $J \triangleleft B(\mathcal{H})$, if J contains one rank one projection, then J contains all of $FR(\mathcal{H})$.

If \mathcal{H} is finite dimensional, say with $\dim(\mathcal{H}) = n$, then $FR(\mathcal{H}) = B(\mathcal{H})$ since every operator is at most rank n . However, if \mathcal{H} is infinite dimensional then $FR(\mathcal{H})$ is not closed. Indeed, let $\{\xi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{H}$ be an orthonormal set and let $(\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in c_0(\mathbb{N})$. Recall from Example 7.8 that Θ_{ξ_n, ξ_n} is a projection and so has $\|\Theta_{\xi_n, \xi_n}\| = 1$. From Exercise 7.34, it follows that

$$x := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \Theta_{\xi_n, \xi_n}$$

is the norm limit of the partial sums (which are finite-rank operators). Since $x\xi_j = \alpha_j \xi_j$, we see that $\dim(x\mathcal{H}) = \infty$ and so x is not finite-rank. However, it is an example of the next class of operators.

7.3 Compact Operators As we specified in the Prerequisite Notes, we will primarily be concerned with norm-closed ideals. The *compact operators* are the norm closure of $FR(\mathcal{H})$.

Definition 7.14. We say $x \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is compact if $x = \lim_i x_i$ is the norm limit of a sequence of finite-rank operators. The collection of compact operators on \mathcal{H} is denoted $K(\mathcal{H})$.

Of course, the distinction between $FR(\mathcal{H})$ and $K(\mathcal{H})$ is nonexistent when \mathcal{H} is finite dimensional.

Exercise 7.15. How would $K(\mathcal{H})$ change if we took it to be the set of limits of *nets* of finite-rank operators?

Exercise 7.16. Prove that $K(\mathcal{H})$ is a (norm-closed) ideal in $B(\mathcal{H})$.

It follows from Corollary 7.12 that every norm-closed 2-sided ideal in $B(\mathcal{H})$ contains $K(\mathcal{H})$. In particular, Exercise 7.13 tells us that if p is any rank-one projection, then the norm-closed ideal generated by p is precisely $K(\mathcal{H})$.

Exercise 7.17. Fix a rank one operator $\Theta_{\xi,\eta}$ in $FR(\mathcal{H})$. Show that the $*$ -closed, norm-closed ideal of $B(\mathcal{H})$ generated by $\Theta_{\xi,\eta}$ is $K(\mathcal{H})$.

Moreover, we shall see later in the C^* -algebra course that if $J \triangleleft B(\mathcal{H})$ and $I \triangleleft J$, then $I \triangleleft B(\mathcal{H})$. It follows then that $K(\mathcal{H})$ is simple.

In fact, it turns out that when \mathcal{H} has a countable basis, then $K(\mathcal{H})$ is the unique closed 2-sided ideal in $B(\mathcal{H})$. That means that the quotient $B(\mathcal{H})/K(\mathcal{H})$ is also simple. This quotient is called the *Calkin algebra* and has played a fundamental role in the theory of extensions of C^* -algebras.

As seen in Example 3.16, the spectrum of an operator is a generalization of the notion of eigenvalues for matrices. The following definition gives another, more explicit generalization.

Definition 7.18. For $x \in B(\mathcal{H})$, the **point spectrum** of x is the set

$$\sigma_p(x) := \{\alpha \in \mathbb{C} : \exists \xi \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \{0\} \text{ satisfying } x\xi = \alpha\xi\}.$$

For any $\alpha \in \sigma_p(x)$, $x - \alpha$ has a non-trivial kernel and therefore is not invertible. Hence we always have the inclusion $\sigma_p(x) \subset \sigma(x)$. The reverse inclusion holds when \mathcal{H} is finite dimensional (by Example 3.16.(1)), but does not hold in general.

Example 7.19. Let $S \in B(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$ be the shift operator:

$$S(a_1, a_2, \dots) = (0, a_1, a_2, \dots)$$

From Exercise 1.40 we know S is an isometry but not a unitary. That is, S is injective but not surjective. Consequently, $0 \in \sigma(S) \setminus \sigma_p(S)$. ■

When \mathcal{H} is finite-dimensional, we can diagonalize any normal element $x \in B(\mathcal{H})$. In other words, there exists a unitary $u \in B(\mathcal{H})$ such that u^*xu is a diagonal operator whose entries are the eigenvalues of x . In infinite dimensions, this is no longer necessarily true. However, we do have the following result. Because it often appears in a graduate real analysis course, we will omit the proof. But one can be found in [Conway, Section 7.4].

Theorem 7.20 (Spectral Theorem for Compact Normal Operators). *Let $x \in K(\mathcal{H})$ be normal. Then $\sigma_p(x)$ is countable, has no non-zero cluster points, and for each $\lambda \in \sigma_p(x)$ the subspace $\ker(x - \lambda)$ is finite-dimensional. Let $p_\lambda \in FR(\mathcal{H})$ be the projection onto $\ker(x - \lambda)$. Then $\{p_\lambda : \lambda \in \sigma_p(x)\}$ are pairwise orthogonal, sum in the SOT to 1, and*

$$x = \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma_p(x)} \lambda p_\lambda$$

where the series converges in the norm topology.

Observe that in the above theorem, $p_\lambda \in FR(\mathcal{H})$ for each $\lambda \in \sigma_p(x)$ implies that for any finite $F \subset \sigma_p(x)$, the partial sum $\sum_{\lambda \in F} \lambda p_\lambda$ lies in $FR(\mathcal{H})$. This gives an explicit description of a compact normal operator x as the norm limit of finite-rank operators.

7.4 Trace Class Operators Let $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{H}$ be an orthonormal basis. For $x \in B(\mathcal{H})$ positive semi-definite, we have $\langle x\xi, \xi \rangle \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$. Consequently, we can define

$$\text{Tr}(x) := \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{E}} \langle x\xi, \xi \rangle \in [0, \infty]$$

It turns out the above formula does not depend on the particular orthonormal basis (see Exercise 7.37).

Example 7.21. Let $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{H}$ be a finite dimensional subspace and let $x \in B(\mathcal{H})$ be the projection onto \mathcal{K} . We claim $\text{Tr}(x) = \dim(\mathcal{K})$. Indeed, let $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n\} \subset \mathcal{K}$ be an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{K} so that

$$x = \sum_{j=1}^n \Theta_{\xi_j, \xi_j}$$

by Theorem 7.10. Extend $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n\}$ to an orthonormal basis \mathcal{E} for \mathcal{H} . We have

$$\text{Tr}(x) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{E}} \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^n \Theta_{\xi_j \otimes \xi_j}(\xi), \xi \right\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n \|\xi_j\|^2 = n = \dim(\mathcal{K}),$$

as claimed. ■

Given $x \in B(\mathcal{H})$, recall that x^*x is positive semi-definite and so we can consider $|x| := \sqrt{x^*x}$, which we call the *absolute value* of x .

Definition 7.22. We say $x \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is **trace class** if

$$\|x\|_1 := \operatorname{Tr}(|x|) < \infty.$$

The collection of trace class operators is denoted $L^1(B(\mathcal{H}))$.

The notation $L^1(B(\mathcal{H}))$ is motivated by a concept in von Neumann algebras called the *predual*, which will be explored later in the von Neumann algebras mini-course. For now, let the following example serve as justification for the notation.

Example 7.23. Let \mathcal{H} be a separable Hilbert space and let $\{\xi_n \in \mathcal{H} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be an orthonormal basis. For any $(\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^1(\mathbb{N})$,

$$x := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \Theta_{\xi_n \otimes, \xi_n} \in L^1(B(\mathcal{H})).$$

Indeed, first note that the series defines a bounded operator by Exercise 7.34 (using the fact that $\ell^1(\mathbb{N}) \subset c_0(\mathbb{N})$). In order to compute $\|x\|_1$, we must first determine $|x|$. By Example 7.8 we have

$$x^*x = \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} \overline{\alpha_m} \alpha_n (\Theta_{\xi_m, \xi_m})(\Theta_{\xi_n, \xi_n}) = \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} \overline{\alpha_m} \alpha_n (\langle \xi_n, \xi_m \rangle \xi_m) \xi_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_n|^2 \Theta_{\xi_n, \xi_n}.$$

By a similar computation, the quantity on the right is the square of the positive semi-definite operator $\sum |\alpha_n| \Theta_{\xi_n, \xi_n}$. So by the uniqueness of $|x|$, we obtain

$$|x| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_n| \Theta_{\xi_n, \xi_n}.$$

We then compute

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_1 = \operatorname{Tr}(|x|) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle |x| \xi_n, \xi_n \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\langle \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_m| \Theta_{\xi_m, \xi_m} (\xi_n), \xi_n \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle |\alpha_n| \xi_n, \xi_n \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_n| = \|(\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\|_1 < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and so $x \in L^1(B(\mathcal{H}))$ as claimed. We also note that by a similar computation

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle x \xi_n, \xi_n \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n,$$

which converges. ■

The series obtained at the end of the above example should be viewed as $\operatorname{Tr}(x)$. It turns out that for any $x \in L^1(B(\mathcal{H}))$ and any orthonormal basis $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{H}$ one has

$$\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{E}} |\langle x \xi, \xi \rangle| \leq \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{E}} \langle |x| \xi, \xi \rangle = \|x\|_1.$$

We will delay proving this until later on in the mini-courses, but for now note that it implies the series $\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{E}} \langle x \xi, \xi \rangle$ is absolutely convergent. Thus we make the following definition:

Definition 7.24. For $x \in L^1(B(\mathcal{H}))$, the **trace** of x is the quantity

$$\operatorname{Tr}(x) := \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{E}} \langle x \xi, \xi \rangle$$

where \mathcal{E} is an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H} .

Just as with positive semi-definite operators, the trace is independent of the choice of orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H} . As the name suggests, when \mathcal{H} is finite dimensional this quantity agrees with the usual trace on $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ (see Exercise 7.38). Moreover, like the trace on $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ it is invariant under cyclic permutations: $\text{Tr}(xy) = \text{Tr}(yx)$. We collect this fact along with several others in the next theorem, whose proof is delayed until later in the mini-courses.

Theorem 7.25. *On $L^1(B(\mathcal{H}))$, $\|\cdot\|_1$ is a norm satisfying $\|x\| \leq \|x\|_1$. $L^1(B(\mathcal{H}))$ is a (not necessarily closed) $*$ -ideal in $B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\|x^*\|_1 = \|x\|_1$, $|\text{Tr}(x)| \leq \|x\|_1$,*

$$\|axb\|_1 \leq \|a\|\|b\|\|x\|_1 \quad a, b \in B(\mathcal{H}), x \in L^1(B(\mathcal{H})),$$

and

$$\text{Tr}(ax) = \text{Tr}(xa) \quad a \in B(\mathcal{H}), x \in L^1(B(\mathcal{H})).$$

Moreover, $L^1(B(\mathcal{H}))$ equipped with $\|\cdot\|_1$ is a Banach algebra for which $FR(\mathcal{H})$ is a dense subalgebra.

We leave the proof of the following corollary as an exercise (see Exercise 7.39).

Corollary 7.26. *For any Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , $L^1(B(\mathcal{H})) \subset K(\mathcal{H})$.*

7.5 Hilbert–Schmidt Operators

Definition 7.27. We say $x \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is a **Hilbert–Schmidt** operator if

$$\|x\|_2 := \text{Tr}(x^*x)^{1/2} < \infty.$$

The collection of Hilbert–Schmidt operators is denoted $HS(\mathcal{H})$.

Another way to characterize when $x \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is Hilbert–Schmidt is if $x^*x \in L^1(B(\mathcal{H}))$. Consequently, sometimes the notation $L^2(B(\mathcal{H}))$ is used for $HS(\mathcal{H})$. Since $L^1(B(\mathcal{H}))$ is closed under multiplication, we have $L^1(B(\mathcal{H})) \subset HS(\mathcal{H})$. Indeed, by Theorem 7.25 we have

$$\text{Tr}(x^*x) = \|x^*x\|_1 \leq \|x^*\|\|x\|_1 = \|x\|\|x\|_1 \leq \|x\|_1^2.$$

Thus

$$\|x\|_2 = \text{Tr}(x^*x)^{1/2} \leq \|x\|_1 \quad \forall x \in L^1(B(\mathcal{H})).$$

In particular, we have $FR(\mathcal{H}) \subset HS(\mathcal{H})$.

Example 7.28. Let $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_n \in \mathcal{H}$ and set

$$x := \sum_{j=1}^n \Theta_{\xi_j, \eta_j}.$$

Let $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{H}$ be an orthonormal basis. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_2^2 &= \text{Tr}(x^*x) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{E}} \langle x^*x\xi, \xi \rangle = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{E}} \langle x\xi, x\xi \rangle = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{E}} \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^n \langle \xi, \eta_j \rangle \xi_j, \sum_{k=1}^n \langle \xi, \eta_k \rangle \xi_k \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle \xi_j, \xi_k \rangle \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{E}} \langle \eta_k, \xi \rangle \langle \xi, \eta_j \rangle = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle \xi_j, \xi_k \rangle \left\langle \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{E}} \langle \eta_k, \xi \rangle \xi, \eta_j \right\rangle = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle \xi_j, \xi_i \rangle \langle \eta_i, \eta_j \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that final sum is precisely the square of the norm of $\sum \xi_j \otimes \bar{\eta}_j$ when viewed as a vector in the Hilbert space tensor product $\mathcal{H} \otimes \bar{\mathcal{H}}$ (recall from Exercise 1.10 that $\bar{\mathcal{H}}$ is the conjugate Hilbert space to \mathcal{H}). In fact, you may sometimes see $\Theta_{\xi, \eta}$ denote $\xi \otimes \bar{\eta}$ for this reason. \blacksquare

The observation made at the end of the above example hints that $HS(\mathcal{H})$ has a Hilbert space structure itself.

Theorem 7.29. *On $HS(\mathcal{H})$, $\|\cdot\|_2$ is a norm satisfying $\|x\| \leq \|x\|_2$. $HS(\mathcal{H})$ is a (not necessarily closed) $*$ -ideal in $B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\|x^*\|_2 = \|x\|_2$ and*

$$\|axb\|_2 \leq \|a\|\|b\|\|x\|_2 \quad a, b \in B(\mathcal{H}), x \in HS(\mathcal{H}).$$

For $x, y \in HS(\mathcal{H})$, $xy, yx \in L^1(B(\mathcal{H}))$ with $\text{Tr}(xy) = \text{Tr}(yx)$. Consequently, $HS(\mathcal{H})$ is a Hilbert space with inner product

$$\langle x, y \rangle_2 := \text{Tr}(y^*x) \quad x, y \in HS(\mathcal{H}),$$

and $FR(\mathcal{H})$ is a dense subspace. As a Hilbert space, $HS(\mathcal{H})$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{H} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{H}}$.

We leave the proof of the following corollary as an exercise (see Exercise 7.39).

Corollary 7.30. For any Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , $L^1(B(\mathcal{H})) \subset HS(\mathcal{H}) \subset K(\mathcal{H})$.

Exercises

In what follows \mathcal{H} is a Hilbert space.

Exercise 7.31. For $x \in B(\mathcal{H})$, show that $\|x\| = \|x^*x\|^{1/2}$.

Exercise 7.32. Let $x, y \in B(\mathcal{H})$ be self-adjoint. We say $x \geq y$ if $x - y$ is positive semi-definite. This gives us a partial order on the collection of self-adjoint operators on $B(\mathcal{H})$. Show the following for $x \in B(\mathcal{H})$.

- (a) $x, y \geq 0 \Rightarrow x + y \geq 0$.
- (b) $x \geq y, z = z^* \Rightarrow x + z \geq y + z$.
- (c) $x, y \geq 0 \not\Rightarrow xy \geq 0$.
- (d) $x = x^* \Rightarrow x^2 \geq 0$.
- (e) $x \geq y \geq 0 \not\Rightarrow x^2 \geq y^2$.

Exercise 7.33. For $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$, show that $\|\xi \otimes \bar{\eta}\| \leq \|\xi\| \|\eta\|$.

Exercise 7.34. Let $\{p_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ be a family of pairwise orthogonal projections.

- (a) For $m < n$ and $\alpha_m, \alpha_{m+1}, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}$, show that

$$\left\| \sum_{j=m}^n \alpha_j p_j \right\| = \max_{m \leq j \leq n} |\alpha_j|.$$

- (b) For $(\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in c_0(\mathbb{N})$, show that

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j p_j \right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

is a Cauchy sequence (with respect to the metric induced by the operator norm).

Exercise 7.35. Let $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{H}$ be an orthonormal basis. For $x \in B(\mathcal{H})$ show that

$$\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{E}} \|x\xi\|^2 = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{E}} \|x^*\xi\|^2.$$

[Hint: use Theorem 1.22 and Fubini's theorem.]

Exercise 7.36. Suppose $x, y \in B(\mathcal{H})$ are such that $x = y^*y$. Show that x is positive semi-definite.

Exercise 7.37. Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space and let \mathcal{E} be an orthonormal basis.

- (a) Show that if $u \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is a unitary operator, then $\{u\xi : \xi \in \mathcal{E}\}$ is an orthonormal basis.
- (b) Show that for any other orthonormal basis \mathcal{F} , there exists a unitary operator $u \in B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $u\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{F}$.
- (c) Assume for $x \in B(\mathcal{H})$ that $x = y^*y$ for some $y \in B(\mathcal{H})$. Show that for any $u \in B(\mathcal{H})$ unitary,

$$\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{E}} \langle xu\xi, u\xi \rangle = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{E}} \langle x\xi, \xi \rangle.$$

[Hint: use $yy^* = yuu^*y^*$ and Exercise 7.35.]

Exercise 7.38. For $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^n$ and $A = (A_{i,j})_{i,j=1}^n \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, show that the trace defined in Definition 7.24 is given by

$$\text{Tr}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n A_{i,i}.$$

Exercise 7.39. For a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , prove the inclusions

$$FR(\mathcal{H}) \subset L^1(B(\mathcal{H})) \subset HS(\mathcal{H}) \subset K(\mathcal{H}).$$

[**Hint:** approximate by finite-rank operators in the appropriate norm.]

Exercise 7.40. Fill in the following table. (Group exercise.) Note that some cells can have more than one correct answer.

	Algebraic Definition	Spatial Definition
Normal	$TT^* = T^*T$	
Self-Adjoint	$T = T^*$	(hint: E.1.52)
Projection	$T = T^2 = T^*$	T is an orthogonal projection onto a closed subspace of H
Invertible		
Unitary	$T^*T = I = TT^*$	
Isometry		$\ T\xi\ = \ \xi\ $ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$
Co-Isometry	$TT^* = I$	
Partial Isometry	$T = TT^*T$	For some closed subspace $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{H}$, $T _{\mathcal{K}}$ is an isometry and $T _{\mathcal{K}^\perp} \equiv 0$