

Lec 4

K-theory for C^* -algebras

①

Goal: Construct an invariant of C^* -algebras using projections and unitaries.

By "an invariant", we mean a function from $(C^*\text{-algebras}, \text{*-hom})$ to $(\text{Abelian groups}, \text{group hom.})$

↑ really graded abelian groups.
two groups
not just one.

The K₀-group

Let A be a ~~weak~~ ^{natural} C^* -algebra.

$P(A) = \{p \in A \mid p^* = p \text{ and } p^2 = p\} \subset \text{Projectors}$

$P(A) = \{p \in A \mid p^* = p \text{ and } p^2 = p\} \subset \text{Projectors}$

- Three equivalence relations on $P(A)$:
- ① $p \sim q$ if there exist $\alpha \in \text{norm cd's}$ s.t. $\alpha^* \alpha = 1$ and $\alpha \alpha^* = 1$ s.t. $\alpha^* p \alpha = q$ and $\alpha^* q \alpha = p$
 - ② $p \sim q$ if there exist $u \in A^\times$ s.t. $q = u p u^*$ if A is unital
 - ③ $p \sim q$ if there exist $V \in A$ s.t. $p = V^* V$ and $q = V V^*$ if A is * -unital
- B = partial boundary.

(2)

Issues:

(I) We have three different relations,
so it look like we'll get three different
measures

(II) Our C*-algebra might have very
few projections (e.g.: If X is connected)

(III) We want to "add" projections, but $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & q+q \end{pmatrix}$ is typical not projection
For (I), are these relations really different?

Yes, $p \sim q \Rightarrow p \wedge q \not\sim q \wedge q$.

Ex_{II} ($p \sim q \not\Rightarrow q \sim q$)

Let $A = \mathcal{B}(H)$

$S: \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ $\stackrel{\text{o.n. basis}}{\downarrow} e_i \mapsto e_{i+1}$

Then $S^*S = I$ and $SS^* = \text{projection in } \text{span}\{e_2, e_3\}^\perp$

so $S^*S \sim SS^*$ by def'n.

However, $u^*Iu = I$ for any unitary
so $S^*S \not\sim SS^*$.

Prop II

① If $p \approx q$, then

$$\psi_{M_2(A)}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} q & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(3)

② If $p \approx q$, then

$$\psi_{M_2(A)}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} q & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(A)$$

Key point: We can "solve" issues ① and
② by consider projections in matrices on
A (rather than just A).

Let $P_n(A) = P(M_n(A))$

and $P_\infty(A) = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} P_n(A)$.

disjoint union

Def'n // Suppose $p \in P_n(A)$ and $q \in P_m(A)$. We
write $p \approx q$ if there exist $v \in M_{m,n}(A)$ s.t.
 $p = v^*v$ and $q = vv^*$.

We also let $p \oplus q := \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & q \end{pmatrix}$ ← this "solves"
issue ③.

(4)

Def'n / Lemma // $P(A) := \frac{Pos(A)}{N_0}$

is an abelian semigroup with a zero element (namely $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is the zero element).

To prove this we would prove

i) $P \sim P \oplus O_n$ for any $O_n \in M_n(K)$

(Idea: Use $\begin{pmatrix} P \\ O_n \end{pmatrix}$)

ii) If $p \sim p'$ and $q \sim q'$, then $p \oplus q \sim p' \oplus q'$
 (Idea: Use $\begin{pmatrix} V & 0 \\ 0 & W \end{pmatrix}$ where V gives $p \sim p'$, W gives $q \sim q'$)

iii) $p \oplus q \sim q \oplus p$
 (Idea: Use $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & q \\ p & 0 \end{pmatrix}$)

etc ...

There is a general way of constructing an abelian group from an abelian semigroup.
 Denote the abelian semigroup by $(S, +)$

(5)

Define \sim_G on $S \times S$ via

$(x, y) \sim_G (\hat{x}, \hat{y})$ if $\exists z \in S$

$$\text{where } x + \hat{y} + z = \hat{x} + \hat{y} + z$$

and let $G(S) = \frac{S \times S}{\sim_G}$

$$\text{with } [(x, y)] + [(\hat{x}, \hat{y})] = [(x + \hat{x}, y + \hat{y})]$$

Prop., $(G(S), +)$ is a abelian group where

$$O_{G(S)} = [(x, x)] \quad \text{and}$$

$$-[(x, y)] = [(y, x)]$$

Proof: Exercise

There is also a map $\gamma_S: S \rightarrow G(S)$
 $x \mapsto [(x + y, y)]$
 indep. of y .

$$K_0(A) := G((\oplus(A), +))$$

from direct sum.

(6)

Ex., $S = \mathbb{N}$ with usual +

$$G(\mathbb{N}) = \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \quad \cancel{\text{NG}}$$

$$= \{[(n, m)] \mid (n, m) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}\}$$

Elements can be written as " $n - m$ "

(E.g.) $[(2, 1)] = [(3, 2)] = [(4, 3)] = \dots$
 and this corresponds to $2-1 = 1 \in \mathbb{Z}$

Likewise $[(1, 2)] \leftrightarrow 1-2 = -1 \in \mathbb{Z}$

In the case of $K_0(A)$, we can write
 elements as $[p]_0 - [q]_0$ where

$[p]_0$ denotes the equivalence class words, no
 associated to $p \in \text{Pos}(A)$.

Given $\psi: A \rightarrow B$ a *-hom., one
 can show that there is an induced
 *-group hom. $K_0(\psi): K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(B)$.

It extends the map $P_{\psi}(A) \rightarrow K_0(B)$
 $p \mapsto [\psi(p)]_0$

Prop Thm // (K_0 is a functor + more)

$$\textcircled{1} \quad K_0(\text{id}_A) = \text{id}_{K_0(A)}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad K_0(\psi \circ \varphi) = K_0(\psi) \circ K_0(\varphi)$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad K_0(f \circ g) = f \circ g$$

$$\textcircled{4} \quad K_0(0: A \rightarrow B) = 0: \begin{matrix} K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(B) \\ g \mapsto 0 \end{matrix}$$

$$\textcircled{5} \quad \text{If } A_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} A_2 \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} A_3 \rightarrow \dots \text{ is an inductive system of } C^* \text{-algebras with limit } A, \text{ then } K_0(A) \text{ is the limit of the inductive system of abelian groups } K_0(A_1) \xrightarrow{K_0(\varphi_1)} K_0(A_2) \xrightarrow{K_0(\varphi_2)} K_0(A_3) \rightarrow \dots$$

Ex // $K_0(M_n(\mathbb{C})) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ for any n . ⑧

& Outline:

- ① If $P, Q \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, then TFAE
- (i) $P \sim Q$
 - (ii) $\text{Tr}(P) = \text{Tr}(Q)$ where $\text{Tr}([a_{ij}]) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii}$
 - (iii) $\dim(P\mathbb{C}^n) = \dim(Q\mathbb{C}^n)$

② Use ① to show that $\mathcal{P}(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$

$$\cong \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$$

with usual +.

zero projection projects with true 1 (algebra rank 1)

③ We should have $G(\{0, 1, 2, \dots, +\}) = (\mathbb{Z}, +)$

Ex // $K_0(\mathcal{B}(H)) \cong \{0\}$

Outline:

- ① Show $P \sim Q \iff \dim(PH) = \dim(QH)$
- ② Use ① to show that $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}(H)) = \{0, 1, \dots, +\} \cup \{\infty\}$
- ③ When $n + \infty = \infty + n = \infty$
 $G(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}(H))) = \{0\}$ ~~Since $(n, m) \sim (0, 0)$~~
~~then $n + 0 + \infty = 0 + n + \infty$~~
~~Since $n + 0 + \infty = 0 + m + \infty$~~