Box-Ball Systems and Robinson–Schensted–Knuth Tableaux

Emily Gunawan, on REU projects by Ben Drucker, Eli Garcia, Aubrey Rumbolt, Rose Silver (UConn Math REU '20) Marisa Cofie, Olivia Fugikawa, Madelyn Stewart, David Zeng (SUMRY '21)

Michigan State University Combinatorics and Graph Theory Seminar, September 8, 2021

Solitary waves

Multicolor box-ball system, Takahashi 1993

A box-ball system (BBS) is a dynamical system with balls labeled by numbers 1 through n in an infinite strip of boxes. Balls take turns jumping to the rightmost empty box, starting with the smallest-numbered ball.

Example

One possible configuration of a box-ball system:

Box-ball move example (from $t = 0$ to $t = 1$)

^t = 0 ⁴ ⁵ ² ³ ⁶ ¹ 4 5 2 3 6 1 4 5 3 6 2 1 4 5 6 2 1 3 5 4 6 2 1 3 4 5 6 2 1 3 ^t = 1 ⁴ ⁵ ² ¹ ³ ⁶

Box-ball system example $(t = 0$ through $t = 5)$

Solitons and steady state

Definition

A soliton of a box-ball system is an increasing run of balls that moves at a speed equal to their length and is preserved by all future box-ball moves.

Example

The strings 4, 25, and 136 are solitons:

After a finite number of BBS moves, the system reaches a *steady state* where:

- \triangleright the system is decomposed into solitons, i.e., each ball belongs to one soliton
- the lengths of the solitons are weakly decreasing from right to left

Tableaux

Definition

A tableau is an arrangement of numbers $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ into rows whose lengths are weakly decreasing.

A tableau is standard if its rows and columns are increasing.

Soliton decomposition

Definition

The *soliton decomposition* $SD(w)$ of a permutation w is the tableau whose rows are the solitons stacked from right to left.

Example

RSK algorithm

The Robinson–Schensted–Knuth (RSK) insertion algorithm is a bijection

$$
\pi \mapsto (P(\pi), Q(\pi))
$$

from S_n onto pairs of size-n standard tableaux of equal shape.

Example

Let
$$
w = 452361
$$
. $P(w) = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} 1 & 3 & 6 \\ \hline 2 & 5 & \end{array}$ and $Q(w) = \begin{array}{|c|c|} 1 & 2 & 5 \\ \hline 3 & 4 & \end{array}$.

RSK algorithm example

Let $w = 452361$. $P: 4$ 4 5 $\frac{2}{4}$ 5 4 2 3 4 5 2 3 6 4 5 1 3 6 2 5 4 $P(w) =$ $1 \, | \, 3 \, | \, 6$ $2\,|\,5$ 4 $Q: \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 & 2 \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{cc} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{2}{2} \end{array}$ 3 1 2 3 4 1 2 5 3 4 1 2 5 3 4 6 $Q(w) =$ $1 \, | \, 2 \, | \, 5$ $3\,|\,4$ 6

Insertion and bumping rule for P

Insert x into the first row of P. If x is larger than every element in the first row, add x to the end of the first row. If not, replace the smallest number larger than x in row 1 with x . Insert this number into the row below following the same rules.

Recording rule for Q

For Q, insert $1, \ldots, n$ in order so that the shape of Q at each step matches the shape of P.

The Q tableau determines the dynamics of a box-ball system Theorem (SUMRY 2021)

If $Q(\pi) = Q(w)$, then the box-ball systems of π and w are identical if we ignore the ball labels, in particular:

- $\blacktriangleright \pi$ and w first reach steady state at the same time, and
- \triangleright the soliton decompositions of π and w have the same shape

Example

$$
\pi = 21435
$$
 and $w = 31425$

$$
Q(\pi) = Q(w) = \frac{1 \ 3 \ 5}{2 \ 4}
$$

Both π and w first reach steady state at $t = 1$.

$$
SD(\pi) = \frac{\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 & 5 \\ \hline 4 & & \end{array}}{2} \quad SD(w) = \frac{\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 5 \\ \hline 4 & & \end{array}}{3}
$$

\blacktriangleright Given a Q tableau, find its steady-state time.

In Find an upper bound for steady-state time.

L-shaped soliton decompositions

The time when w first reaches steady state is called the *time to steady state* of w . Theorem (SUMRY 2021)

If a permutation has an L-shaped soliton decomposition $SD =$

 $1 \mid \cdot \mid \cdot$,

then its time to steady state is either $t = 0$ or $t = 1$.

Example

Such permutations include noncrossing involutions and column reading words of standard tableaux.

Both $\pi = 21435$ and $w = 31425$ have steady-state time $t = 1$.

$$
SD(\pi) = \frac{\boxed{1 \ 3 \ 5}}{\boxed{2}} \quad SD(w) = \frac{\boxed{1 \ 2 \ 5}}{\boxed{3}}
$$

 $\pi = 21435 = (12)(34)$ and $w = 31425$ is the column reading word of $\frac{|1|}{3} \frac{|2|}{4}$

.

Maximum steady-state time

Theorem (UConn 2020) If $n \geq 5$ and

$$
Q(w) = \frac{\begin{array}{|c|c|}\n\hline\n1 & 2 & \cdots & \begin{array}{|c|}\n\hline\nn-2 & n-1 \\
\hline\n\hline\nn\end{array}\n\hline\n\end{array}}{n},
$$

then the steady-state time of w is $n-3$.

Conjecture

For $n \geq 4$, the maximum time to steady state is $n-3$.

Partial Results (SUMRY 2021):

- 1. Applying one box-ball move to a permutation produces the rightmost soliton.
- 2. If the shape of $Q(w)$ is $(n-3, 2, 1)$, the maximum steady-state time is $n-3$.

Box-Ball System Example $(t = 0$ through 5)

Let
$$
w = 452361
$$
. Then $Q(w) = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 2 & 5 \\ 3 & 4 \end{vmatrix}}$ and the steady-state time of w is $3 = n - 3$.

- ▶ When is the soliton decomposition SD a standard tableau?
- ► Can we classify permutations with standard SD using pattern avoidance?

When is SD standard?

Theorem (UConn 2020)

Given $w \in S_n$, the following are equivalent:

- 1. $SD(w)$ is standard
- 2. $SD(w) = P(w)$
- 3. the shape of $SD(w)$ is the same as the shape of $P(w)$

Definition

We say that a permutation w is good if the tableau $SD(w)$ is standard.

 $Q(w)$ determines whether w is good

Fact

Given a Q-equivalence class, either all permutations in it are good or all of them are not good.

Proof

- 1. The recording tableau Q determines the shape of $SD(w)$.
- 2. $SD(w)$ is standard if and only if $sh SD(w) = sh P(w)$

Suppose $Q(w) = Q(\pi)$. Then

 $SD(w)$ is standard \implies sh $SD(\pi) =$ sh $SD(w) =$ sh $P(w) =$ sh $P(\pi)$ $\Rightarrow SD(\pi)$ is standard, that is, π is also good

Definition (Good tableaux)

A standard tableau T is good if each permutation whose Q tableau equals T is good.

Good tableaux and Motzkin numbers

Conjecture

 ${Q(w) | w \in S_n \text{ and } SD(w) \text{ is standard}}$ are counted by the Motzkin numbers.

Other objects counted by Motzkin numbers:

 $n=3$

Consecutive pattern avoidance

Lemma (SUMRY 2021)

If T is a standard tableau which is good, then the tableau T' obtained by removing the largest k cells from T is also good.

Consecutive pattern avoidance

Definition

A permutation σ is said to be a *consecutive pattern* of another permutation w if w has a consecutive subsequence whose elements are in the same relative order as σ .

Example

 $w = 314592687$ contains $\sigma = 2413$ because the consecutive subsequence 5926 is ordered in the same way as $\sigma = 2413$.

Theorem (SUMRY 2021)

The good permutations are closed under consecutive pattern containment. That is, if a permutation is good, then any consecutive subpermutation is also good.

Knuth Relations

Suppose π , $w \in S_n$ and $x \leq u \leq z$. 1. π and w differ by a Knuth relation of the first kind (K_1) if $\pi = x_1 \dots y_x x_1 \dots x_n$ and $w = x_1 \dots y_x x_1 \dots x_n$ or vice versa 2. π and w differ by a Knuth relation of the **second kind** (K_2) if $\pi = x_1 \dots x z y \dots x_n$ and $w = x_1 \dots z x y \dots x_n$ or vice versa In addition, π and w differ by a Knuth relation of **both kinds** (K_B) if they differ

by K_1 and they differ by K_2 , that is,

$$
\pi = x_1 \dots y_1 x z y_2 \dots x_n
$$
 and $w = x_1 \dots y_1 z x y_2 \dots x_n$ or vice versa

where $x < y_1, y_2 < z$

Example 326154 ∼^{K₁</sub> 362154 362154 ~^{K_B 362514}}

We say that π and w are Knuth equivalent if they differ by a finite sequence of Knuth relations.

Facts (Knuth)

- Interest is a path of Knuth moves from w to the row reading word of $P(w)$.
- \blacktriangleright Two permutations have the same P tableau if and only if they are in the same Knuth equivalence class.

Example

The Knuth equivalence class of the row reading word $r = 362514$ of ²

Soliton decompositions and Knuth moves

The soliton decomposition is preserved by non- K_B Knuth moves, but one K_B move changes the soliton decomposition.

Theorem (UConn Math REU 2020)

Let r denote the row reading word of $P(w)$.

- \blacktriangleright SD(r) = $P(r)$.
- If there exists a path of non- K_B Knuth moves from w to r, then $SD(w) = P(w)$.
- If there exists a path from w to r containing an odd number of K_B moves, then $SD(w) \neq P(w)$.

Soliton decompositions in the Knuth equivalence class of 362154

Thank you!

A localized version of Greene's theorem

Definition (A localized version of longest k -increasing subsequences) Let $i(u) :=$ the length of a longest increasing subsequence of u.

For $w \in S_n$ and $k \geq 1$, let $I_k(w) = \max_{w=u_1|\cdots|u_k}$ \sum k $j=1$ $i(u_j)$, where the maximum is taken

over ways of writing w as a concatenation $u_1 | \cdots | u_k$ of consecutive subsequences.

Example

Let $w = 5623714$. For short, we write $I_k := I_k(w)$. Then

 $I_1 = i(w) = 3$ (since the longest increasing subsequences are 567, 237, and 234), $I_2 = 5$ (witnessed by 56|23714 or 56237|14), $I_3 = 7$ (witnessed uniquely by 56|237|14), and $I_k = 7$ for all $k > 3$.

A localized version of Greene's theorem

Definition (A localized version of longest k -decreasing subsequences) Let $D(u) \coloneqq 1 + |\{\text{descents of } u\}|.$

For $w \in S_n$ and $k \geq 1$, let $D_k(w) = \max_{w=u_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup u_k}$ \sum k $j=1$ $D(u_j)$, where the maximum is

taken over ways to write w as the union of disjoint subsequences u_i of w.

Example

Let $w = 5623714$. For short, we write $D_k := D_k(w)$. Then

$$
D_1 = D(w) = 1 + |\text{descents of } 5623714| = 1 + |\{2, 5\}| = 3
$$
, $D_2 = 6$ (one can take subsequences 531 and 6274, among other partitions), $D_3 = 7$ (one can take subsequences 52, 631, and 74, among other partitions), and $D_k = 7$ for all $k \geq 3$.

A localized version of Greene's theorem

Theorem (Lewis–Lyu–Pylyavskyy–Sen 2019) Suppose $w \in S_n$. Let $\Lambda = (\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \Lambda_3, ...)$ denote sh SD(w). Let $M = (M_1, M_2, M_3, \dots)$ denote the conjugate of Λ . Then, for any k,

$$
I_k(w) = \Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2 + \ldots + \Lambda_k,
$$

\n
$$
D_k(w) = M_1 + M_2 + \ldots + M_k.
$$

Example

Let $w = 5623714$. Then sh $SD(w) = (I_1, I_2 - I_1, I_3 - I_2) = (3, 2, 2)$. We can verify this by computing the soliton decomposition $SD(w)$, which turns out to be the (non-standard) tableau

Note: $sh SD(w) = (3, 2, 2)$ is smaller than $sh P(w) = (3, 3, 1)$ in the dominance order.

Examples: permutations with L-shaped SD

L-shaped SD which is not a column reading word:

 $w = 3217654 = (13)(47)(56)$ is a noncrossing involution.

$$
P(w) = Q(w) = \frac{\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{4}{5}}{\frac{3}{7} \cdot 6} \text{ and } SD(w) = \frac{\frac{1}{5} \cdot \frac{4}{5}}{\frac{7}{3}}
$$

An involution which is neither noncrossing nor a column reading word: $\tau = 5274162 = (15)(27)$ has a exercise

$$
\pi = 3274103 = (13)(37)
$$
 has a crossing.
\n
$$
P(\pi) = Q(\pi) = \frac{\begin{array}{|c|c|}\n\hline\n1 & 3 & 6 \\
\hline\n2 & 4 \\
\hline\n5 & 7\n\end{array}}{\text{ and } SD(\pi) = \frac{\begin{array}{|c|c|}\n\hline\n4 \\
\hline\n2 \\
\hline\n\end{array}}{\begin{array}{|c|c|}\n\hline\n4 \\
\hline\n\end{array}}
$$

Good permutations are not closed under classical pattern containment

Starting with $n = 5$, a good permutation in S_n may have a substring which is not good.

Example

- \blacktriangleright The permutation 25143 is good, but its subpermutation 2143 is not good.
- \blacktriangleright The permutation 35142 is good, but its subpermutation 3142 is not good.
- In Let $w = 42513$, which is a good permutation, and let $\sigma = 4253$ be a substring of w. The standardization of σ is 3142, which is not good.

(Therefore, the good permutations cannot be characterized by a set of classical avoided patterns.)

Permutations connected by K_B moves and have the same SD

Two permutations with the same SD which are connected by K_B moves:

$$
r = 35124 \text{ SD}(r) = \frac{1224}{35}
$$

SD = $\frac{124}{5}$ 31524
SD = $\frac{124}{5}$ 31254
SD = $\frac{124}{5}$ 31254
 K_2 , not K_1
SD = $\frac{124}{5}$ 13254
 K_2 , not K_1
SD = $\frac{124}{5}$ 13254
 K_B
 $w = 13524 \text{ SD}(w) = \frac{124}{35}$