

**[Hungerford] Section 4.1, #4** In each part, give an example of polynomials  $f, g \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  that satisfy the given condition:

(a) The degree of  $f + g$  is less than the maximum of the degrees of  $f$  and  $g$ .

**Solution.** We just need the leading terms to cancel. For example,  $f = x^2 + 1$  and  $g = 1 - x^2$ . In this case,  $\deg(f) = \deg(g) = 2$ , but  $\deg(f + g) = 0$ .

(b) The degree of  $f + g$  is equal to the maximum of the degrees of  $f$  and  $g$ .

**Solution.** Perhaps the easiest examples would be where  $\deg(f) \neq \deg(g)$ . For example,  $f = x^2$  and  $g = x^3$ . Then  $\deg(f) = 2$ ,  $\deg(g) = 3$ , and  $\deg(f + g) = 3$ .

**[Hungerford] Section 4.1, #11** Show that  $1 + 3x$  is a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}_9[x]$ .

**Solution.** The multiplicative inverse of  $1 + 3x$  is  $1 - 3x = 1 + 6x$ , since

$$(1 + 3x)(1 - 3x) = 1 - 9x = 1 - 0x = 1$$

**[Hungerford] Section 4.1, #21** Let  $h: R \rightarrow S$  be a ring homomorphism, and define  $\bar{h}: R[x] \rightarrow S[x]$  by

$$\bar{h} \left( \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i \right) = \sum_{i=0}^n h(a_i) x^i$$

(a)  $\bar{h}$  is a ring homomorphism.

*Proof.* First, we'll see that  $\bar{h}$  is additive. Take two polynomials  $f$  and  $g$  in  $R[x]$ , given by

$$f = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i$$

$$g = \sum_{i=0}^m b_i x^i$$

Assume (without loss of generality) that  $n > m$ , and that  $b_i = 0$  for  $i > m$ . Let's evaluate  $\bar{h}$  on the sum  $f + g$ . By definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{h}(f + g) &= \bar{h} \left( \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i + \sum_{i=0}^m b_i x^i \right) \\ &= \bar{h} \left( \sum_{i=0}^n (a_i + b_i) x^i \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n h(a_i + b_i) x^i \end{aligned}$$

Now, since  $h$  is assumed to be a homomorphism from  $R$  to  $S$ , we know  $h$  is additive, and so it distributes over the addition  $a_i + b_i$ , and we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{h}(f + g) &= \sum_{i=0}^n (h(a_i) + h(b_i)) x^i \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n h(a_i) x^i + \sum_{i=0}^m h(b_i) x^i \\ &= \bar{h}(f) + \bar{h}(g) \end{aligned}$$

Now let's check that  $\bar{h}$  is multiplicative. Let  $f$  and  $g$  be as above. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{h}(f \cdot g) &= \bar{h} \left( \left( \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i \right) \cdot \left( \sum_{j=0}^m b_j x^j \right) \right) \\ &= \bar{h} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n+m} \sum_{i+j=k} a_i b_j x^k \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n+m} h \left( \sum_{i+j=k} a_i b_j \right) x^k \end{aligned}$$

Now we use that  $h$  is a homomorphism twice in a row. First we use that  $h$  is additive to get that

$$\bar{h}(f \cdot g) = \sum_{k=0}^{n+m} \sum_{i+j=k} h(a_i b_j) x^k$$

Then we use that  $h$  is multiplicative to get that

$$\bar{h}(f \cdot g) = \sum_{k=0}^{n+m} \sum_{i+j=k} h(a_i)h(b_j)x^k$$

Finally, we factor this polynomial to get that

$$\bar{h}(f \cdot g) = \sum_{k=0}^{n+m} \sum_{i+j=k} h(a_i)h(b_j)x^k = \left( \sum_{i=0}^n h(a_i)x^i \right) \cdot \left( \sum_{j=0}^m h(b_j)x^j \right) = \bar{h}(f) \cdot \bar{h}(g)$$

This proves that  $\bar{h}$  is multiplicative, and so it is a homomorphism.  $\square$

(b)  $\bar{h}$  is injective if and only if  $h$  is injective.

*Proof.* “ $\Leftarrow$ ”: Suppose first that  $h$  is injective, and suppose that  $\bar{h}(f) = \bar{h}(g)$  for some polynomials  $f, g \in R[x]$ . We want to show that  $f = g$ . Let’s generically write them as in part (a):

$$f = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i$$

$$g = \sum_{i=0}^m b_i x^i$$

Assume that  $n \geq m$ . We are assuming that  $\bar{h}(f) = \bar{h}(g)$  in  $S[x]$ , which means that all of their coefficients are equal. This gives us that  $h(a_i) = h(b_i)$  for all  $i \leq n$ . But since  $h$  is injective, this tells us that  $a_i = b_i$  for all  $i$ . Thus the coefficients of  $f$  and  $g$  are all equal, and so  $f = g$ . This proves that  $\bar{h}$  is injective.

“ $\Rightarrow$ ”: Now suppose that  $\bar{h}$  is injective, and that  $h(a) = h(b)$  for some  $a$  and  $b$  in  $R$ . Although  $a$  and  $b$  are constants in  $R$ , we can think of them as constant polynomials in  $R[x]$ . For constant polynomials,  $h$  and  $\bar{h}$  are essentially the same. So  $h(a) = h(b)$  means that  $\bar{h}(a) = \bar{h}(b)$  if we consider  $a$  and  $b$  as constant polynomials. But since we assumed that  $\bar{h}$  is injective, this tells us that  $a$  and  $b$  are equal as polynomials. But this also tells us that  $a$  and  $b$  are equal as elements of  $R$ . This proves that  $h$  is injective.  $\square$

(c)  $\bar{h}$  is surjective if and only if  $h$  is surjective.

*Proof.* “ $\Leftarrow$ ”: Suppose that  $h$  is surjective, and let  $f \in S[x]$  be given by

$$f = \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i x^i$$

for constants  $\alpha_i \in S$ . Since  $h$  is surjective, there is some  $a_i \in R$  for each  $\alpha_i \in S$  so that  $h(a_i) = \alpha_i$ . If we let  $g \in R[x]$  be given by  $g = \sum a_i x^i$ , then  $\bar{h}(g) = f$ . This shows that  $\bar{h}$  is surjective.

“ $\Rightarrow$ ”: Suppose now that  $\bar{h}$  is surjective, and let  $\alpha \in S$ . As in part (b), we can think of  $\alpha \in S$  as a

constant polynomial in  $S[x]$ . Then since  $\bar{h}$  is surjective, there is some polynomial  $f \in R[x]$  so that  $\bar{h}(f) = \alpha$ . We can see that  $f$  must, in fact, also be a constant polynomial, corresponding to some constant  $a \in R$ . Then  $h(a) = \alpha$ , and so  $h$  is also surjective.  $\square$

(d) If  $R \cong S$ , then  $R[x] \cong S[x]$ .

*Proof.* If we have some isomorphism  $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$ , then  $\varphi$  is both injective and surjective. By parts (b) and (c), we know that  $\bar{\varphi}$  is also injective and surjective, and so  $\bar{\varphi}$  is an isomorphism between  $R[x]$  and  $S[x]$ .  $\square$