# Monomial Bases for NBC Complexes

Jason I. Brown Department of Mathematics and Statistics Dalhousie University Halifax, NS B3H 3J5, CANADA

Bruce E. Sagan Department of Mathematics Michigan State University East Lansing, MI 48824-1027, USA sagan@math.msu.edu www.math.msu.edu/~sagan

- 1. Complexes and chromatic polynomials
- 2. NBC complexes
- 3. Stanley-Reisner rings and hsop's
- 4. Monomial ideals
- 5. Comments

#### 1. Complexes and chromatic polynomials

Let  $\Delta$  be a *simplicial complex* on a finite set *E*, so  $\Delta$  is a family of subsets of *E* satisfying

 $S \in \Delta$  and  $T \subseteq S$  implies  $T \in \Delta$ .

The  $S \in \Delta$  are called *faces*. We assume  $\Delta$  is *pure* of rank r meaning that |S| = r for all maximal faces  $S \in \Delta$ . For  $0 \le i \le r$ , let

 $f_i = f_i(\Delta) = \#$  of faces  $S \in \Delta$  with |S| = i. The *f*-polynomial of  $\Delta$  is

$$f(x) = f_0 + f_1 x + f_2 x^2 + \dots + f_r x^r.$$

The *h*-polynomial of  $\Delta$  is

$$h(x) = (1-x)^r f\left(\frac{x}{1-x}\right)$$
  
=  $f_0(1-x)^r + f_1 x (1-x)^{r-1} + \dots + f_r x^r.$ 

and let

$$h_i = h_i(\Delta) = \text{coefficient of } x^i \text{ in } h(x).$$

Let G = (V, E) be a graph with |V| = p and |E| = q. A *proper coloring* of G is  $c : V \rightarrow \{1, 2, ..., \lambda\}$  such that

 $vw \in E$  implies  $c(v) \neq c(w)$ .

The chromatic polynomial of G is

 $P(G) = P(G; \lambda) = \#$  of such proper colorings.



P(G) = # ways to color t, then u, then v, then w $= \lambda(\lambda - 1)(\lambda - 2)(\lambda - 2)$  $= \lambda^4 - 5\lambda^3 + 8\lambda^2 - 4\lambda.$ 

**Proposition 1** Let  $\overline{K}_p$  be the edgeless graph and let T be a tree on p vertices. Then

 $P(\overline{K}_p; \lambda) = \lambda^p$  and  $P(T; \lambda) = \lambda(\lambda - 1)^{p-1}$ .

Let G be a graph and  $e \in E$ . Let

 $G \setminus e = G$  with *e* deleted, G/e = G with *e* contracted.



Theorem 2 (Deletion-Contraction) For  $e \in E$  $P(G) = P(G \setminus e) - P(G/e)$ 

**Proof.** If e = vw then  $P(G \setminus e) = (\# \text{ proper } c \text{ for } G \setminus e \text{ s.t. } c(v) \neq c(w))$   $+(\# \text{ proper } c \text{ for } G \setminus e \text{ s.t. } c(v) = c(w))$   $= P(G) + P(G/e). \quad \blacksquare$ 

**Corollary 3** For any graph G:

- 1.  $P(G; \lambda)$  is a monic polynomial in  $\lambda$ .
- 2. deg  $P(G; \lambda) = p = |V|$ .
- 3. Coefficients of  $P(G; \lambda)$  alternate in sign.

## 2. NBC complexes

Define coefficients  $f_i$  by

$$P(G;\lambda) = f_0 \lambda^p - f_1 \lambda^{p-1} + \cdots$$

and coefficients  $h_i$  by

$$P(G;\lambda) = h_0 \lambda (\lambda - 1)^{p-1} - h_1 \lambda (\lambda - 1)^{p-2} + \cdots$$
  
Let

 $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(G) = \text{set of cycles/circuits of } G.$ 

Let G be ordered meaning that E has been given a total order  $e_1 < e_2 < \ldots < e_q$ . Then each  $C \in C$ has broken circuit

$$\overline{C} = C - \min C.$$

The *NBC complex* of G is

$$\Delta = \Delta(G) = \{ S \subseteq E : S \text{ contains no } \overline{C} \}.$$

Then  $\Delta(G)$  is a pure simplicial complex.

**Theorem 4** Let  $P(G; \lambda)$  have coefficients  $f_i$  and  $h_i$  as defined above. Then for  $0 \le i \le p$ 

$$f_i = f_i(\Delta(G))$$
 and  $h_i = h_i(\Delta(G))$ .



$$\bar{\mathcal{C}}(G) = \{35, 34, 245\} \\
\Delta(G) = \{\emptyset\} \cup \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\} \\
\cup\{12, 13, 14, 15, 23, 24, 25, 45\} \\
\cup\{123, 124, 125, 145\} \\
(f_i(\Delta)) = (1, 5, 8, 4, 0). \\
P(G; \lambda) = \lambda(\lambda - 1)(\lambda - 2)^2 = \lambda^4 - 5\lambda^3 + 8\lambda^2 - 4\lambda.$$

$$P\left(\diamondsuit ; \lambda\right) = P\left(\diamondsuit ; \lambda\right) - P\left(\diamondsuit ; \lambda\right)$$
$$= P\left(\checkmark ; \lambda\right) - P\left(\checkmark ; \lambda\right) - P\left(\checkmark ; \lambda\right) + P\left(\downarrow ; \lambda\right)$$
$$= \lambda(\lambda - 1)^3 - \lambda(\lambda - 1)^2 - \lambda(\lambda - 1)^2 + \lambda(\lambda - 1)$$
$$= \lambda(\lambda - 1)^3 - 2\lambda(\lambda - 1)^2 + \lambda(\lambda - 1)$$
$$(h_i(\Delta)) = (1, 2, 1, 0, 0)$$

#### 3. Stanley-Reisner rings and hsop's

Let  $F[\mathbf{x}]$  be the polynomial ring over field F with variables  $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_q\}$ . If  $E = \{e_1, \ldots, e_q\}$  then  $S \subseteq E$  has monomial

$$\mathbf{x}^S = \prod_{e_i \in S} x_i.$$

Simplicial complex  $\Delta$  has *Stanley-Reisner ring* 

$$F(\Delta) = F[\mathbf{x}]/(\mathbf{x}^S : S \notin \Delta).$$

In particular, for an ordered graph G we let

$$F(G) = F(\Delta(G)) = F[\mathbf{x}]/(\mathbf{x}^{\overline{C}} : C \in \mathcal{C}(G)).$$

Now F(G) has a homogeneous system of parameters (hsop) of degree one  $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_t$ , i.e.,

- 1.  $\theta_i$  is linear without constant term for all *i*,
- 2.  $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_t$  are algebraically independent,
- 3.  $F(G)/(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_t)$  is finite dim. over F.

Brown gave an explicit hsop for F(G). WLOG G is connected and let T be a spanning tree of G. If  $e \in E(T)$  then e has fundamental disconnecting set

 $D_e = D_e(G) = \{f \in E(G) : T - e + f \text{ connected}\}$ and hsop element (when  $F = \mathbb{Z}_2$ )

$$\theta_e = \sum_{e_i \in D_e} x_i.$$



 $\mathcal{C}(G) = \{13467, 2345, 12567\}$  $\mathbb{Z}_2(G) = \mathbb{Z}_2[x_1, \dots, x_7] / (x_3 x_4 x_6 x_7, x_3 x_4 x_5, x_2 x_5 x_6 x_7)$ 



- $\theta_3 = x_3 + x_1 + x_2$
- $\theta_4 = x_4 + x_1 + x_2$

- $\theta_5 = x_5 + x_2$
- $\theta_6 = x_6 + x_1$
- $\theta_7 = x_7 + x_1$

#### 4. Monomial ideals

If  $F(\Delta)$  has an hsop  $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_t$  we let

$$R(\Delta) = F(\Delta)/(\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_t).$$

Consider

 $Mon(k) = set of monomials in F[x_1, \dots, x_k].$ A subset  $L \subseteq Mon(k)$  is a *lower order ideal* if

 $m \in L$  and n|m imples  $n \in L$ .

The *lower order ideal generated* by  $S \subseteq Mon(k)$  is

 $L(S) = \{ m \in \mathsf{Mon}(k) : m | n \text{ for some } n \in S \}.$ 

Upper ideal and U(S) are defined dually.

**Theorem 5 (Macaulay, Stanley)** Suppose  $\Delta$  is a simplicial complex and that the ring  $F(\Delta)$  is Cohen-Macaulay. Then  $R(\Delta)$  has a basis, L, which is a lower order ideal of monomials and

 $h_i(\Delta) = \#$  of monomials of total degree *i* in *L*.

For a graph G, F(G) is Cohen-Macaulay. We have a conjectured construction of a basis for R(G).

An ordering  $e_1 < \ldots < e_q$  is *standard* if the last p-1 edges form a tree. Let k = |E(G) - E(T)|. We can pick the monomial basis for R(G) inside Mon(k) since Brown's  $\theta_i$  can be used to eliminate the other variables, replacing each  $\mathbf{x}^{\overline{C}}$  by a polynomial  $p_{\overline{C}}$ .

## **Example.** In our running example, k = 2 and

 $\mathbb{Z}_{2}(G) = \mathbb{Z}_{2}[x_{1}, \dots, x_{7}]/(x_{3}x_{4}x_{6}x_{7}, x_{3}x_{4}x_{5}, x_{2}x_{5}x_{6}x_{7}).$   $\theta_{3} = x_{3} + x_{1} + x_{2}, \ \theta_{4} = x_{4} + x_{1} + x_{2}, \ \theta_{5} = x_{5} + x_{2}.$ So, picking one of the broken circuit monomials  $\mathbf{x}^{\overline{C}} = x_{3}x_{4}x_{5}$  becomes  $p_{\overline{C}} = (x_{1} + x_{2})^{2}x_{2}.$ 

For  $1 \le i \le k$ , the graph  $T + e_i$  has a unique *fundamental circuit*  $C_i$ .

**Conjecture 6** Let G be connected. Then there is a standard ordering of E such that R(G) has basis

$$L(G) = \mathsf{Mon}(k) - U(m_{\overline{C}} : C \in \mathcal{C}(G))$$

where

$$m_{\overline{C}} = \begin{cases} x_i^{\#\overline{C}_i} & \text{if } C = C_i \text{ fundamental,} \\ \min p_{\overline{C}} & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Here min p picks out the lexicographically smallest monomial in p.



Fundamental cycles:



$C_1 = \{1, 3, 4, 6, 7\}$	$m_{\overline{C}_1} = x_1^4$
$C_2 = \{2, 3, 4, 5\}$	$m_{\overline{C}_2} = x_2^3$

Nonfundamental cycle:



 $C_{3} = \{1, 2, 5, 6, 7\} \qquad m_{\overline{C}_{3}} = x_{1}^{2}x_{2}^{2}$  $\mathbf{x}^{\overline{C}_{3}} = x_{2}x_{5}x_{6}x_{7}$  $p_{\overline{C}_{3}} = x_{2}x_{2}x_{1}x_{1}$ 

So R(G) has basis

 $L(G) = Mon(2) - U(x_1^4, x_2^3, x_1^2x_2^2).$ 

# 5. Comments

A graph with a standard ordering satisfying the conjecture is said to have a *broken circuit basis*.

a. (Generalized) theta graphs and phi graphs have broken circuit bases.

b. By only considering the fundamental circuits:

**Proposition 7** If G has a broken circuit basis and  $c_i = |C_i|$  for  $1 \le i \le k$ , then R(G) is spanned by

$$L\left(\prod_{1\leq i\leq k} x_i^{c_i-2}\right).$$

Stanley showed that the number of acyclic orientations of G is given by P(G; -1). So one can use this proposition to estimate their number.

c. The results we have about broken circuit bases are proved by deletion/contraction. It is hoped that together with the ear decomposition of a block we will be able to prove the full conjecture.

d. The conjecture may even be true for representable matroids.