

**2.** Let  $G$  be a connected  $n$ -vertex graph with largest vertex degree  $\Delta$ , with adjacency matrix  $A$  having eigenvalues  $\mu_1 > \mu_2 \geq \dots \geq \mu_n$ , and with Laplacian  $L = D - A$  having eigenvalues  $0 = \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$ .

**a.** Take  $G = C_n$  the  $n$ -cycle, which we may think of as the union of a directed  $n$ -cycle and its opposite. We may thus decompose  $A = C + C^T$ , where  $C$  is the  $n$ -cycle permutation matrix, and  $C^T$  is its transpose.<sup>1</sup> A vector  $\vec{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$  is an eigenvector with  $C\vec{a} = \lambda\vec{a}$  whenever  $a_i = \lambda_i a_1$  for  $i = 2, \dots, n$ , and  $a_1 = \lambda^n a_1$ . Thus, the eigenvectors are  $\vec{a} = (1, \lambda, \lambda^2, \dots, \lambda^{n-1})$  for any  $n^{\text{th}}$  root of unity  $\lambda = \zeta^j$  for  $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/n}$ . These are also eigenvectors for  $C^{-1} = C^T$ , but with eigenvalue  $\zeta^{-j}$ . Hence the eigenvalues of  $A$  are:  $\mu_1 = 2 \cos(0) = 2$ ;

$$\mu_{2j} = \mu_{2j+1} = \zeta^j + \zeta^{-j} = 2 \cos\left(\frac{2\pi j}{n}\right) \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil - 1;$$

and also  $\mu_n = 2 \cos\left(\frac{2\pi(n/2)}{n}\right) = -2$  if  $n$  is even. Note that  $\mu_1 = 2$ , as expected for a 2-regular graph, and  $\mu_j = -\mu_{n+1-j}$  exactly when  $n$  is even and  $G$  is bipartite. Finally, since  $G$  is 2-regular, we have  $\lambda_j = 2 - \mu_j$ .

**b.** Take  $G = K_{n_1, n_2}$  a complete bipartite graph with vertices  $V = V_1 \sqcup V_2$ . We may immediately give eigenvectors, expressed as functions  $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . The function:

$$f_1(v) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{n_2} & \text{for } v \in V_1 \\ \sqrt{n_1} & \text{for } v \in V_2 \end{cases}$$

has  $Af_1 = \sqrt{n_1 n_2} f_1$ . Similarly:

$$f_n(v) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{n_2} & \text{for } v \in V_1 \\ -\sqrt{n_1} & \text{for } v \in V_2 \end{cases}$$

has  $Af_n = -\sqrt{n_1 n_2} f_n$ . Finally, we have the  $n-2$  dimensional null-space of  $A$ , consisting of functions with  $\sum_{v \in V_1} f(v) = \sum_{v \in V_2} f(v) = 0$ . Thus the eigenvalues of  $A$  are:  $\pm\sqrt{n_1 n_2}$  with multiplicity 1, and 0 with multiplicity  $n-2$ . Note that  $G$  is regular whenever  $n_1 = n_2 = \sqrt{n_1 n_2}$ .

The Laplacian spectrum can be given similarly, but it can also be done using a trick. The complement of a regular bipartite graph is a disjoint union of complete graphs:  $\overline{K}_{n_1, n_2} = K_{n_1} \sqcup K_{n_2}$ .

<sup>1</sup>The directed  $n$ -cycle is the Cayley graph of the cyclic group  $\Gamma = \langle \sigma \mid \sigma^n = 1 \rangle$ , and the adjacency matrix  $C$  acts on the space of functions  $f : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  via right translation by the sum of the generators, that is  $(Cf)(v_i) = f(v_i \sigma) = f(v_{i+1})$ .

CLAIM: Let  $G$  be an  $n$ -vertex graph. If  $\vec{a}$  is a non-null eigenvector of the Laplacian with  $L_G(\vec{a}) = \lambda\vec{a} \neq 0$ , then  $\vec{a}$  is also an eigenvector of the complementary Laplacian, and  $L_{\overline{G}}(\vec{a}) = (n-\lambda)\vec{a}$ .

*Proof:* Let  $J$  be  $n \times n$  matrix with every entry equal to 1, and  $I$  the identity matrix. The vector  $\vec{j} = (1, \dots, 1)$  is always a nullvector of  $L_G$ , and is also an eigenvector with  $J\vec{j} = n\vec{j}$ . Let  $\vec{a}$  be any eigenvector with  $L_G\vec{a} = \lambda\vec{a} \neq 0$ . Then  $\langle \vec{a}, \vec{j} \rangle = 0$ , and  $J\vec{a} = 0$ . Now,  $L_G + L_{\overline{G}} = L_{K_n} = nI - J$ , so:

$$L_{\overline{G}}(\vec{a}) = (nI - J - L_G)(\vec{a}) = (n - 0 - \lambda)\vec{a}.$$

This proves the Claim.

Now, recall that the eigenvalues of  $K_{n_1}$  are 0 (once) and  $n_1$  ( $n_1 - 1$  times). Thus  $G = K_{n_1, n_2}$  has eigenvalues  $n_1 + n_2 - n_1 = n_2$  with multiplicity  $n_1$ ; and similarly  $n_1$  with multiplicity  $n_2$ . Finally, since  $G$  is connected, it has only one nullvector, so  $L_G$  must have one more non-zero eigenvalue  $\lambda$  with eigenvector  $\vec{a}$ ; this must be one of the remaining eigenvectors of  $L_{\overline{G}}$ , namely a nullvector, and  $n_1 + n_2 - \lambda = 0$ , so that  $\lambda = n_1 + n_2$ .

That is, the eigenvalues of  $L_G$  are: 0 with multiplicity 1;  $n_1$  with multiplicity  $n_2$ ; and  $n_1 + n_2$  with multiplicity 1.

**3.** Consider a connected graph  $G = (V, E)$  with  $|V| = n$  vertices and maximum vertex degree  $\Delta$ . Define the vertex expansion constant:

$$g(G) = \min \left\{ \frac{|\Gamma(U) - U|}{|U|} \text{ for } U \subset V, |U| \leq \frac{n}{2} \right\},$$

where  $\Gamma(U) - U$  denotes the neighbors of  $U$  which do not lie in  $U$ . Let  $A$  be the adjacency matrix,  $D$  the diagonal matrix of vertex degrees, and  $L = D - A$  the Laplacian, having eigenvalues  $0 = \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n \leq 2\Delta$ .

PROPOSITION:  $g(G) \geq \frac{2\lambda_2}{\Delta + 2\lambda_2}$ .

*Proof:* Let  $g = g(G)$ . The inequality is trivial if  $g \geq 1$ , so we assume  $g < 1$ . Solving the inequality for  $\lambda_2$  under the condition  $1 - g > 0$ , we see the Proposition is equivalent to:

$$\lambda_2 \stackrel{?}{\leq} \frac{g\Delta}{2(1-g)}.$$

Now, the zero-eigenvector of  $L$  is the constant function  $f_1(x) = 1$ , so the variational definition of eigenvalues implies:

$$\lambda_2 = \min \left\{ \frac{\langle Lf, f \rangle}{\langle f, f \rangle} \text{ for } f \neq 0 \text{ with } \langle f, f_1 \rangle = 0 \right\}.$$

However,  $L = BB^T$  for the edge-vertex incidence matrix  $B$ , giving  $\langle Lf, f \rangle = |Bf|^2 = \sum_{xy \in E} (f(x) - f(y))^2$  for any function  $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Therefore:

$$\lambda_2 \leq \frac{\sum_{xy \in E} (f(x) - f(y))^2}{\sum_{x \in V} f(x)^2}$$

for any  $f \neq 0$  with  $\sum_x f(x) = 0$ .

Next consider a set  $U$  of  $u \leq \frac{n}{2}$  vertices which realizes the expansion constant, so that the separating set  $S = \Gamma(U) - U$  has  $gu$  vertices, and the complement  $W = V - U - S$  has  $w = n - u - gu$  vertices. Define a step function:

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \begin{cases} w & \text{if } x \in U \\ \frac{1}{2}(w-u) & \text{if } x \in S \\ -u & \text{if } x \in W, \end{cases}$$

where the function drops by  $\frac{1}{2}(w+u)$  at each step. The mean is  $\mu = \frac{1}{n} \sum_x \tilde{f}(x) = \frac{gu(w-u)}{2n}$ , and the shifted function  $f = \tilde{f} - \mu$  has  $\sum_x f(x) = 0$ . The square norm may be estimated as:

$$\sum_{x \in V} f(x)^2 \geq \sum_{x \in U \cup W} f(x)^2 = u(w-\mu)^2 + w(u+\mu)^2 \geq uw^2 + wu^2 = uw(w+u),$$

since the quadratic function  $\phi(\mu) = u(w-\mu)^2 + w(u+\mu)^2$  has its minimum at  $\mu = 0$ . Lastly, we estimate the quadratic form  $\langle Lf, f \rangle$  as:

$$\sum_{xy \in E} (f(x) - f(y))^2 = E(S, \bar{S}) \left( \frac{w+u}{2} \right)^2 \leq \Delta gu \frac{(w+u)^2}{4},$$

since each of the  $gu$  vertices of  $S$  has at most  $\Delta$  edges to  $\bar{S}$ .

Now we apply the variational inequality to  $f$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_2 &\leq \frac{\sum_{xy \in E} (f(x) - f(y))^2}{\sum_{x \in V} f(x)^2} \\ &\leq \frac{\Delta gu (w+u)^2}{4uw(w+u)} = \frac{\Delta g(w+u)}{4w} = \frac{\Delta g(n-gu)}{4(n-u-gu)} \\ &\leq \frac{\Delta gn}{4(n-u-gu)} = \frac{\Delta g}{4(1 - \frac{u}{n}(1+g))} \\ &\leq \frac{\Delta g}{4(1 - \frac{1}{2}(1+g))} = \frac{\Delta g}{2(1-g)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from  $\frac{u}{n} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . This concludes the proof.

*Notes*

- The above function  $f(x)$  gives such a good upper bound on  $\lambda_2$  because it breaks up the step  $w+u$ , with its bond energy  $(w+u)^2$ , into two equal steps, minimizing the total bond energy  $2(\frac{w+u}{2})^2 = \frac{1}{2}(w+u)^2$ .
- Other step functions give generally weaker bounds. Again assuming  $U$  with  $u$  vertices realizes the expansion constant  $g$ , the function:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} n-u & \text{if } x \in U \\ -u & \text{if } x \in \bar{U}, \end{cases}$$

leads to  $\lambda_2 \leq 2\Delta g$ , which is smaller than  $\frac{\Delta g}{2(1-g)}$  when  $\frac{3}{4} < g < 1$ .

- It might be possible to balance our step function more subtly, choosing the step locations to minimize boundary edges. Again taking the vertex boundary  $S = \Gamma(U) - U$  with  $gu$  vertices, suppose we could find  $S' \subset S$  so that the partition  $V = U' \sqcup W'$ , with  $U' = U \cup S'$  and  $W' = \bar{U}'$ , satisfies  $|\Gamma(x) \cap U'| \geq |\Gamma(x) \cap W'|$  for  $x \in U'$ , and  $|\Gamma(x) \cap W'| \geq |\Gamma(x) \cap U'|$  for  $x \in W'$ . Then the edge boundary has size  $|E(U', W')| \leq \frac{\Delta}{2}|S| = \frac{1}{2}\Delta gu$ . Defining:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} w' & \text{if } x \in U' \\ -u' & \text{if } x \in \bar{U}, \end{cases}$$

for  $u' = |U'|$  and  $w' = |W'|$ , we obtain  $\lambda_2 \leq \frac{\Delta g}{1-g^2}$ . Unfortunately, this is larger than  $\frac{\Delta g}{2(1-g)}$  for all  $g$ , so it is not really worth trying to find  $V = U' \sqcup W'$ , though it is an intriguing problem in itself.