Curvature driven flow of bi-layer interfaces
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**Abstract**

We introduce the Functionalized Cahn–Hilliard (FCH) energy, a negative multiple of the Cahn–Hilliard energy balanced against the square of its own variational derivative, as a finite width regularization of the sharp-interface Cahn–Helfrich energy. Mass-preserving gradient flows associated to the FCH energy are higher-order phase field models which develop not only single-layer, or front-type interfaces, but also bi-layer, or homoclinic interfaces with associated endcap and multi-junction structures. The single-layer interfaces manifest a fingering instability which grows into ended bi-layers. The meandering growth of the bi-layer interfaces and the subsequent merging lead to a multi-junction dominated network that bears a striking similarity to the phase separated domains of both perfluorosulfonic membranes and amphiphilic di-block co-polymer solutions. The bi-layers generated by the gradient flows of the FCH energy have an interfacial width which scales with , however for fixed , there is a class of bi-layers parameterized by width and background state. Our primary result is the asymptotic derivation of the normal velocity of a closed bi-layer hypersurface in coupled to the evolution for the surface width, curvature, and background state. We also show the convergence of the FCH energy to a scaled Cahn–Helfrich type energy for both single and bi-layer interfaces, with the surface area coefficient of the limiting Cahn–Helfrich energy coupling to the bi-layer width. Thus the bi-layer networks grow to maximize surface area while minimizing the square of curvature, up to the point that the increase in surface area stretches the bi-layers too thin.

1. The functionalized Cahn–Hilliard energy

The controlled generation of self-organized, nanoscale networks from coarser mixtures is central to polymer chemistry. One mechanism to generate such networks is through the “functionalization” of hydrophobic polymer chains and nanoparticles by the addition of acid or alkaline tipped side-chains. In the presence of a polar solvent the end groups interact exothermically, driving the spontaneous generation of polymer–solvent or nanoparticle–solvent interfaces. The resulting phase separated networks can be exploited for charge selective conduction, and have important applications to efficient energy conversion devices such as polymer electrolyte membranes for fuel cells, [1,2], dye sensitized solar cells [3], and bulk-heterojunction solar cells, [4,5].

The phase separation of microemulsions is typically dominated by interfacial energies. The Cahn–Helfrich free energy, [6,7], is a sharp interface model which characterize interfaces by their intrinsic properties of surface area and curvature. In three space dimensions the Cahn–Helfrich energy of an interface is written in terms of its mean, H, and Gaussian, K, curvatures and its surface area,

\[
\mathcal{E}_{\text{CH}}(\Gamma) = \int_{\Gamma} a_1 + a_2 (H - a_3)^2 + a_4 K \, dS.
\]  

(1.1)

The parameter, , denotes the energy density per unit surface area while and denote the energy density attributed to the curvatures, with specifying the intrinsic, or zero-energy, value of the mean curvature. The contribution from the Gaussian curvature over a closed interface depends only upon the interface’s Euler characteristic, and neglecting changes in topological type, its surface integral is independent of . An extension of the Cahn–Helfrich energy has been proposed by Gurtin and Jabbour, [8], who include regularizations for sharp corners which may arise at grain boundary intersections in crystalline materials. However for soft-condensed matter, the Cahn–Helfrich energy is broadly considered to be generic, [9]. An important limitation of a sharp-interface model, particularly when considering the casting of polymer–solvent mixtures, is the need both to couple the interfacial energy to physics outside the interface, such as energy and momentum balance equations, and more importantly, to track interfaces through merging and pinch-off events. Moreover, the Cahn–Helfrich energy cannot predict the dependence of its parameters upon the interfacial structure, these exigencies are beyond the scope of sharp-interface models.
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Phase-field models can be viewed as regularizations of sharp-interface energies that afford a finite interfacial width while naturally accommodating both topological change in interfacial structure and coupling to the physics of the environment in which they are immersed, [10,11]. Chief among these models is the Cahn–Hilliard equation, [12], which describes the phase separation and domain coarsening of binary mixtures of inert materials. It is a mass-conserving $H^{-1}$ gradient flow on a free energy functional of Cahn–Hilliard type

$$\mathcal{E}(u) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + W(u) \, dx.$$  \tag{1.2}

Here $u$ is a scalar quantity representing mixture volume fraction over a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 2$. For an appropriate choice of double well potential $W$, solutions of the Cahn–Hilliard equation rapidly undergo a spinodal decomposition into “pure states” or “phases” occupying respective minima of wells of $W$, separated by transition layers of $O(\varepsilon)$ thickness. The question of the evolution of the spatial domains occupied by the respective phases has received considerable attention, with Pego first establishing the motion of the interfaces through a Mullins– Sekerka type flow, [13]. He introduced the chemical potential as the solution of Laplace’s equation on each spinodal domain with the interfacial curvature as a Dirichlet condition on the internal interfaces, for $\varepsilon \ll 1$ he showed that the leading order normal velocity of the interface of the spinodal domains can be obtained from the jump in the normal derivative of the chemical potential defined on the complementary domains. More rigorous derivations of Pego’s results quickly followed, particularly [14] and later [15]. Around the same time, the $I^*$ convergence of the Cahn–Hilliard energy to the surface functional, that is the Canham–Helfrich energy with $a_2 = a_4 = 0$, was rigorously established, [16,17].

While mixtures of inert materials generically seek to minimize surface area, functionalized materials have embedded charged groups which interact exothermically with polar solvents, spontaneously generating polymer–solvent interface. A prime example is Nafion, a functionalized fluorocarbon polymer frequently used as a membrane separator in polymer electrolyte membrane fuel cells. From their small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) experiments, [18], Hsu and Gierke hypothesized that the water domain within the Nafion forms small 4–5 nanometer balls interconnected by thin 1–2 nanometer cylindrical pores. They further suggested such a network could arise from a balance between the elastic energy of the interface and the hydrophilic surface interactions among the charged functional groups and the solvent, [18]. There has since been considerable numerical and experimental investigation of the microstructure of Nafion and other perfluorinated membranes, [19–24], with a variety of possible morphologies postulated to explain the available data.

We propose a model for interfacial development in functionalized polymer–solvent mixtures which is a regularization of the Canham–Helfrich energy. The model affords a finite interfacial width, accommodates merging and other topological reorganization, and couples naturally to momentum balance and other macroscopic mass transport equations. We assign a negative value to interfacial energy via the Cahn–Hilliard energy, and balance the negative Cahn–Hilliard energy against the square of its own variation derivative. In general, we denote such an energy, $\mathcal{F}$, the functionalization of the original energy, $\mathcal{E}$,

$$\mathcal{F}(u) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\delta \mathcal{E}}{\delta u} (u) \right)^2 - \eta \mathcal{E}(u).$$ \tag{1.3}

In particular the Functionalized Cahn–Hilliard (FCH) energy takes the form

$$\mathcal{F}(u) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} \left( \varepsilon^2 \Delta u - W(u) \right)^2 - \eta \left( \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + W(u) \right) \, dx.$$ \tag{1.4}

where $W$ is a smooth, double-well potential with equal global minima at states $u = b_{\pm}$, where $b_- < b_+$ and $\mu_\pm = W'(b_\pm) > 0$. Without loss of generality, we assume $W(b_{\pm}) = 0$. Viewing the square of the first variation as the bending energy of the interface, physical considerations suggest the constant $\eta > 0$ be small since bending energy typically dominates the hydrophilic surface energy; for mathematical reasons it is natural to scale $\eta$ as $\eta = \varepsilon^2 \eta_2$, where $\eta_2$ is positive and independent of $\varepsilon$. It has been demonstrated for a broad class of energies that their associated functionalized form is bounded below and possesses global minimizers over natural function spaces, [25]. An energy similar to the FCH, called the $\phi^6$ model, has been proposed for amphiphilic systems, in which two immiscible fluids are mixed with a surfactant forming a microemulsion at the interface, [26]. The $\phi^6$ model was motivated by SAXS data which can be related to the reciprocal of the Fourier transform of the second variation of the energy evaluated at a constant background state. The De Giorgi conjecture, concerning the $I^*$-convergence of [1.4] in the case $\eta = -\varepsilon^2$, when both terms are positive, has recently been established, [27]; however the nature of the energy and the dynamics of the associated gradient flows are substantially different in the case $\eta > 0$. This paper concerns the long-time evolution of the zero-mass projection gradient flow of the FCH energy on a periodic domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ for $d \geq 2$, $u_t = -\Pi_\partial F(u)$, \tag{1.5}

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x),$$ \tag{1.6}

where $F$ is the first variation of the FCH energy. It is significant that $F$ factors into a constant offset of the second variation of $\mathcal{E}$ acting on the first variation of $\mathcal{E}$,

$$F(u) \equiv \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta u} = \left( \frac{\delta \mathcal{E}}{\delta u^2} + \varepsilon^2 \eta_2 \right) \frac{\delta \mathcal{E}}{\delta u} = \left( \varepsilon^2 \Delta - W''(u) + \varepsilon^2 \eta_2 \right) \left( \varepsilon^2 \Delta u - W'(u) \right).$$ \tag{1.7}

We also introduce the zero-mass projection

$$\Pi_\partial = f - \left\langle f \right\rangle_\Omega,$$ \tag{1.8}

which is the complement of the average value operator

$$\left\langle f \right\rangle_\Omega = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_\Omega f(x) \, dx.$$ \tag{1.9}

The evolution of the zero-mass projection functionalized Cahn–Hilliard (ZMFCH) equation preserves the mass of the initial data, $\Pi_\partial (u_0) = 0$, while decreasing the FCH energy, $\frac{d}{dt} F(u) \leq 0$. Analysis of the zero-mass projection gradient flow of the Cahn–Hilliard energy may be found in [28].

Fig. 1.1 depicts the spontaneous network formation in $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ which is typical of the ZMFCH equation. Reading left to right, the initial data is four circles of “water” $(u = b_+)$ within a background of “polymer” $(u = b_-)$. The boundary between the two domains is given by a front-type or single-layer interface which the FCH shares with the Cahn–Hilliard energy. The higher curvature circles grow at the expense of the lower curvature ones, however, as can be seen in the second frame, the circular domains are unstable to an antipodal elongation. The elongated circle assumes a dumbbell shape which stretches and merges with the adjacent circles in frames 3 and 4, forming a narrow bi-layer, capped by two semi-circular endcaps. Cross sections of the bi-layer show a homoclinic or bi-layer structure. Progressing from frame 5 to 6 and 7, the bi-layer begins to narrow as it stretches and meanders, meanwhile
the endcaps intersect and merge with the other domains. Finally, in frame 8, we find a single meandering bi-layer with a triple junction and one endcap. The bi-layer is sufficiently thin that the resulting structure is stationary, at least up to times $t$ of $O(\varepsilon^{-4})$, as compared to the $t = O(\varepsilon^{-2})$ evolution depicted in frames 1–8.

### 1.1. Summary of results

We consider a closed hypersurface $\Gamma$ with curvatures and surface area uniformly bounded with respect to $\varepsilon \ll 1$, and which is far from self-intersection when distance is measured in units of $\varepsilon$. We “dress” such hypersurfaces with either a single or a bi-layer interfacial structure. We do not consider hypersurfaces with boundary or self-intersection, which excludes endcaps and multijunctions. The construction of the bi-layer interfaces is the reformulation of the fourth-order FCH energy as a square of a second order energy plus an $O(\epsilon^{-2})$ zeroth order perturbation, (4.9). At the leading order in the transverse variable, the second order energy supports heteroclinic connections which are degenerate, and can be unfolded at $O(\epsilon)$ into a family of homoclinic bi-layer solutions parameterized by a detuning parameter $\alpha$ that is inversely related to the bi-layer width, (4.13), and a background state $b$. While $\alpha$ and $b$ are independent in the leading order construction, we show in Proposition 4.1 that if $\alpha$ and $b$ are properly related then the leading order term can be extended to a 1D homoclinic solution. The unfolding is generic for functionalized energies, arising from the factored form of the first variation of a functionalized energy. The unfolding of a heteroclinic orbit into a homoclinic orbit (a front and a back) has been studied in detail [29,30] in the well-separated limit, corresponding to $|\ln \varepsilon| \gg 1$, for which the homoclinics are exponentially close to equilibrium at the plateau. For the bi-layer interfaces, we construct homoclinics which are $O(\sqrt{\varepsilon})$ away from the equilibrium value at the pulse maximum, and show that the ground state eigenvalue of the corresponding linear operator is $O(\varepsilon)$. Within this regime we demonstrate that as $\varepsilon \to 0^+$ the FCH energy evaluated at a dressed hypersurface converges to a Canham–Helfrich energy, see (3.3) and (4.65). In particular for the bi-layer interfaces, the limiting surface area term, $\eta$, depends upon the value of the detuning parameter, becoming positive if the bi-layer is sufficiently narrow (see Fig. 1.2).

Our main result concerns solutions of the ZMFCH Eq. (1.5) which start sufficiently close to either a single-layer or bi-layer dressing of a hypersurface $\Gamma$. We show that as long as the curvatures of $\Gamma$ remain bounded and $\Gamma$ remains far from self-intersection, then the flow under (1.5) can be reduced to an evolution of the hypersurface, through its normal velocity, coupled to evolution equations for the interfacial parameters, which may vary in time and with position along the interface. For a single-layer interface, we uncover a two time-scale evolution. On the fastest time scale, $t = O(\varepsilon^{-1})$, the spatially constant background state is driven to a value determined by a weighted integral of the normal velocity over the hypersurface. The normal velocity is manifest on the slower time-scale, $t = O(\varepsilon^{-2})$, on which the adiabatically driven background adjusts to maintain a zero average value for the normal velocity over the interface. In $\mathbb{R}^3$, the leading order normal velocity is given by

$$V_n = 2P_{0,r} \left( \Delta_4 + \eta_2 + 2H^2 - 2K \right) H + O(\varepsilon),$$

(1.10)

where $P_{0,r}$ is the zero-mass projection operator over the hypersurface $\Gamma$, the parameter $\eta = \varepsilon^2 \eta_2$, $H = \frac{1}{2} (k_1 + k_2)$ and $K = k_1k_2$ are the mean and Gaussian curvatures, and $\Delta_4$ is the Laplace–Beltrami or surface diffusion operator on $\Gamma$. In particular in two dimensions we show that circular interfaces are linearly unstable.
to anti-podal stretching modes if the curvature is too small, as measured against $\eta_2$, see (3.42).

The evolution of a bi-layer dressed hypersurface couples more subtly to the parameters, with three relevant time-scales. At the fastest time-scale, $t = O(\varepsilon^{-1})$, the spatially constant background state is determined by a weighted average value of the bi-layer width over the hypersurface $\Gamma$. At the second fastest time-scale, $t = O(\varepsilon^{-2})$, the adiabatically driven background state adjusts so that the detuning parameter, $\alpha$, satisfies a nonlocal–nonlinear differential equation (5.35). We show this system has a linearly stable family of spatially constant solutions (independent of position along $\Gamma$), and that the value of the constant depends only upon the area of the hypersurface $\Gamma$ and the conserved total mass of the initial data. The normal velocity is manifest at the slowest time-scale, $t = O(\varepsilon^{-3})$, which is also the time-scale depicted in Fig. 1.1. The normal velocity is determined by the curvatures of the hypersurface and the value of the detuning parameter, which in turn evolves as the interfacial area changes under its normal velocity. In $\mathbb{R}^3$ the normal velocity takes the form

$$V_n = (\Delta_s - \beta(\alpha) + 2H^2 - 2K)H + O(\varepsilon),$$

(1.11)

where the coupling parameter $\beta$, given in (5.65) and more explicitly in (5.66), approaches $-\eta_2$ for wide bi-layers ($\alpha \ll 1$) and generically becomes positive as the bi-layer width decreases ($\alpha$ increases). The increase in $\beta$ is associated with an arresting of the growth of the interfacial area. In particular, taking $\Gamma$ to be radially symmetric in $\mathbb{R}^3$, and fixing the total mass, then the equilibrium value of $\alpha$ satisfies $\beta(\alpha) = 0$, with the radius $R$ determined by the mass constraint. For $d \neq 3$ the full system is given by (5.79). Moreover we demonstrate that these equilibrium configurations are linearly stable to non-radial perturbations of the interface shape $\Gamma$. In two space dimensions we more fully characterize stationary interfaces in terms of a second order differential equation in arc-length. In particular, for $\beta > 0$, this curvature equation has a symmetric figure-eight orbit homoclinic to the origin which is surrounded by hour-glass shaped periodic orbits. The periodic orbits give the curvature as a function of arc-length, and the integration of these curvatures yields two-dimensional curves. For periodic orbits that are sufficiently far outside the homoclinic, the resulting curves are non-self intersecting. Moreover these curves evidence a meander pattern which is a generic feature of the 2MFCH flows, for example it is readily identifiable in the bottom-right section of the interfacial structure in frame 8 of Fig. 1.1, and is shown in detail in Fig. 5.3.

2. Whiskered coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^d$

We define admissible interfaces to be smooth, closed (compact and without boundary), orientable hypersurfaces $\gamma : S \subset \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ which are far from self-intersection when distance is measured in units of $\varepsilon$. For such an interface we introduce the whiskered coordinates in a neighborhood of $\Gamma = \gamma(S)$. Although these coordinates have been introduced before in the context of geometric evolution, see [33] for example, their application to the fourth-order problems hinges upon higher order terms which we make precise in this section. We introduce the diffeomorphic change of variables $\psi : (s, z) \rightarrow x$, defined by

$$\psi(s, z) = \gamma(s) + \varepsilon z \nu(s),$$

(2.1)

where the Gauss map, $\nu : S \rightarrow S^{d-1}$, gives the outward pointing unit normal vector perpendicular to the tangent hyperplane to $\Gamma$ at $\gamma(s)$. This change of variables is valid in the neighborhood $\Gamma'(L) \equiv \{\psi(s, z) \in S, -L/\varepsilon \leq z \leq L/\varepsilon\}$, of $\Gamma$, so long as $L$ is sufficiently small, as measured against the $H^1$ norm of $\gamma$, but independent of $\varepsilon$. We will call the line segments $\{\gamma(s) \times [-L/\varepsilon, L/\varepsilon] \in \Gamma\}$ the whiskers of $\gamma$, and refer to $(s, z)$ as the whiskered coordinate system.

The Laplacian takes a particularly instructive form in the whiskered coordinates. Introducing the variables $y = (s_1, \ldots, s_{d-1}, z)$ then $\nu = \psi(y)$ and the $y$ coordinates are a chart for $\Gamma'(L)$, and the Laplace–Beltrami formulation for the Laplacian is given by

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(g)}} \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} (g^{-1})_{ij} \sqrt{\det(g)} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j},$$

(2.3)

where $g$ is the metric tensor,

$$g_{ij} = \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial y_j} \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y_i} / \varepsilon^2.$$ (2.4)

Letting $\mathbf{J}$ denote the Jacobian matrix for (2.1), we have,

$$\mathbf{J} = J \mathbf{J},$$

(2.5)

and consequently, $\det(\mathbf{J}) = J^2$, where $J = J(s, z)$ is the associated Jacobian. We may also write,

$$\mathbf{g} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{00} & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$ (2.6)

where $g_{00}(s, 0)$ is the metric tensor for the hyperplane $\Gamma$. In the whiskered variables the Laplacian takes the form,

$$\Delta = \varepsilon^{-2} J - \frac{\partial J}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + \Delta_s,$$ (2.7)

where $\Delta_s$ is the Laplace–Beltrami operator when restricted to act on $\Gamma$.

$$\Delta_s = \frac{1}{\det(g_{00})} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{d-1} \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial s_j} (g_{00})_{ij} \sqrt{\det(g_{00})} \frac{\partial}{\partial s_j}. \Delta_s.$$ (2.8)

We also introduce the tangential gradient,

$$|\nabla f|^2 \equiv \sum_{i,j=1}^{d-1} (g_{00})_{ij} \frac{\partial f}{\partial s_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial s_j}.$$ (2.9)

To simplify the $z$ derivatives in (2.7) we derive an expression for $J$ in terms of the principal curvatures $\{k_i\}_{i=1}^d$ of $\Gamma$. We first observe that,

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{J}}{\partial s_i} = \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial s_i} + \varepsilon z \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial s_i} = \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial s_i} + \varepsilon z \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial s_j} b_{ij},$$

(2.10)

where $B \equiv (b_{ij})$ is the Weingarten matrix whose elements satisfy,

$$\frac{\partial \nu}{\partial s_i} = \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial s_j} b_{ij}.$$ (2.11)

The eigenvalues of $B$ are necessarily real, and are by definition the principal curvatures of $\Gamma$. From (2.10) we see that the Jacobian matrix takes the form,

$$\mathbf{J} = \left( \begin{array}{cccc} \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial s_1} & \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial s_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial s_{d-1}} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{array} \right) \left( l_{d-1} \pm \varepsilon z B \right),$$

(2.12)

where $l_{d-1}$ is the $d-1 \times d-1$ identity matrix. Without loss of generality, for a sufficiently smooth curve $\Gamma'$ we may choose a parameterization $\gamma'(s)$ for which $\det(\mathbf{J}(s, 0)) = 1$. Taking the determinant of the Jacobian matrix yields

$$J(s, z) = \det(\mathbf{J}) = \det(\mathbf{I} + \varepsilon z B) = \varepsilon^{d-1} \prod_{i=1}^{d-1} (1 + \varepsilon z k_i),$$

(2.13)
where \( K_0 = 1 \) and \( K_i \equiv \sum_{j<i} k_{j} \cdots k_i \) is the \( i \)th Gaussian curvature of \( \Gamma \). Taking the \( z \) derivative of the product form of the Jacobian expression in (2.13) we obtain the identity,

\[
J_z = e^2 \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} k_i \prod_{j<i} (1 + \epsilon^2 \kappa_j) = \epsilon \kappa f, 
\]

(2.14)

where the extended curvature

\[
\kappa(s, z) \equiv J_z/|f| \quad \text{is expressed in terms of the coefficients}
\]

\[
\kappa_j(s) = (-1)^j \sum_{i=j}^{d-1} k_{j+i}^{\prime}\big(s) \quad \text{(2.16)}
\]

is defined in terms of the coefficients \( k_j \).

We call this the extended mean curvature since \( \kappa = \nabla \nu \), \( \nu \) is the Cartesian divergence of the normal to \( \Gamma \) when the normal is extended off of \( \Gamma \) as a constant along whiskers. We remark that while the Jacobian remains smooth, in fact it is a polynomial in \( z \), the extended curvature becomes singular when the whiskers intersect. Distributing the \( z \) derivative in (2.7) and using the identity (2.14) yields the desired change of variables

\[
\Delta_\epsilon = e^{2\epsilon^2} \kappa^2 + e^{-1} \kappa(s, z) \partial_z + \Delta, 
\]

(2.17)

2.1. Dressed interfaces and other notation

A function \( f(x) \) which decays exponentially to zero away from a front \( \Gamma \) at an \( O(1) \) rate in \( z \) will be said to be “localized” on the surface or merely “localized”. Up to exponentially small terms localized functions can be written in the whiskered variables, and that \( f(x) = f(s, z) \). Functions \( \hat{h}(z) \) of one variable which decay exponentially to constant values at \( \pm \infty \) can be extended to \( \Omega \) by setting them to their limiting value for \( |z| > 2L/\epsilon \) and smooth for \( |z| \in (L/\epsilon, 2L/\epsilon) \). The expression the hypersurface \( \Gamma \) dressed by \( h \) refers to the extended function \( h(x) \), understood to mean \( h(z(x)) \).

The \( L^2 \) norm over \( \Omega \) or \( \Gamma \) will be denoted by \( \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \) or \( \|f\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \) respectively. The \( L^2 \) inner product of a localized function over a particular whisker will be unadorned,

\[
(f, g)_2(s) = \int_{\Omega} f(s, z)g(s, z) \, dz, 
\]

(2.18)

where it is understood that we extend localized functions by zero off of \( \Gamma(2L) \). The corresponding whiskered \( L^2 \) norm is denoted \( \|f\|_2 \). Volume integrals of \( f \) in the whiskered variables take the form

\[
\int_{\Gamma(s)} f(x) \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \int_{-1}^1 f(s, z) \kappa(s, z) \, dz \, ds, 
\]

(2.19)

If \( f \) is localized about \( \Gamma \) the whisker integral can be approximated by the integral over all \( \Omega \) as

\[
\int_{\Omega} f(x) \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \int_{-1}^1 f(s, z) \kappa(s, z) \, dz \, ds, 
\]

(2.20)

up to exponentially small terms. Moreover we define the whiskered mass \( \tilde{f} \) of a localized function \( f \) as

\[
\tilde{f}(s) = \int_{\Omega} f(z, s) \, dz. 
\]

(2.21)

Derivatives of a function of one variable, or of a function with a “dominant” variable will be denoted with a prime. For example, given \( \phi = \phi(z; \alpha) \) a function of \( z \) parameterized by \( \alpha \), the partial of \( \phi \) with respect to \( z \) will be denoted by \( \phi' \). For a function \( f \) which decays exponentially to unequal limits in \( z \), we denote the jump of \( f \) across a given whisker by

\[
\|f\|_2(s) = f(s, \infty) - f(s, -\infty). 
\]

(2.22)

A function \( f \) which decays exponentially to a constant background \( b \) as \( z \to \pm \infty \) can be broken into a “near-field” piece, \( f - b \), which is localized, and a “far-field” constant \( b \). We will use \( \gamma \) and \( \rho \) with subscripts to denote constants which are positive, \( O(1) \), and independent of \( \epsilon \) and other parameters (especially the detuning \( \alpha \) and background \( b \)) to leading order.

3. Geometric evolution of single layer interfaces

Fix an admissible evolution \( \Gamma \). We wish to consider a potential minimizer of (1.4) obtained by dressing \( \Gamma \) with a 1D front solution, which we search for among the unconstrained critical points of \( F \). Such critical points solve \( F(u) = 0 \), where \( F \) is given by (1.7), and a natural choice for an approximate critical point is the critical point \( \phi_0(z) \) of the \( \alpha \)-Cahn–Hilliard energy given by

\[
\Delta_\epsilon \phi_\infty = -W'(\phi_\infty) = 0, \quad \text{subject to } \phi_\infty \to b_\pm \text{ as } z \to \pm \infty.
\]

(3.1)

We have \( \phi_\infty \) independent of the tangential variables \( s \), so the tangential gradient and Laplace–Beltrami terms are zero. Scaling \( \eta = \epsilon^\gamma \eta_\infty \) and integrating (3.1) to obtain the point-wise equality

\[
\frac{1}{2} |\phi_\infty|^2 = W(\phi_\infty),
\]

we find

\[
\lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \epsilon^{-3} F(\phi_\infty) = \|\phi_\infty\|^2_2 \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} \left[ 2 \kappa_\infty^2 - \eta_\infty \right] \, ds,
\]

(3.3)

which is a Cahn–Hilfrich energy with zero intrinsic and Gaussian curvatures.

3.1. Fully dressed, single-layer Ansatz

To solve the geometric evolution an admissible interface \( \Gamma \) under the zero-mass gradient descent (1.5) we construct the following fully-dressed, single-layer Ansatz

\[
\phi_\infty(s, z) = \phi_0(z) + \epsilon^3 \phi_2(s, z) + \epsilon^3 b_3,
\]

(3.4)

where the second order term \( \phi_2 \) is chosen to reduce the size of the residual and incorporates curvature dependent corrections. The scalar parameter \( b(t) = b_- + \epsilon^3 b_3(t) \) is a perturbation to the spatially constant background state. We also introduce the linearized one-dimensional operator

\[
L_\epsilon = \partial_z^2 - W''(\phi_\infty),
\]

(3.5)

which enjoys the properties outlined in Lemma A.1.

The fully dressed single-layer Ansatz has the residual

\[
F(\Phi_1) = F(\phi_1) + \mathcal{L}(\epsilon^2 \phi_2 + \epsilon^3 b_3) + O(\epsilon^4),
\]

(3.6)

where the linearization of the first variation of \( F \), see (1.7), about \( \Phi_0 \), that is the second variation of \( F \) evaluated at \( \Phi_0 \), takes the form

\[
\mathcal{L} = (A - \gamma) A - W''(\Phi_1)(\epsilon^2 \Delta \Phi_1 - W'(\Phi_1)),
\]

(3.7)
in terms of the “Cahn–Hilliard” linearization
\[ A := \frac{\delta^2 E}{\delta u^2}(\phi_i) = -\epsilon^2 \Delta + W''(\phi_i). \] (3.8)

It is instructive to remark that for \( \Phi \) an approximate critical point of \( E \), the second variation of \( E \) at \( \Phi \) is approximately a functional mapping of the second variation of \( E \) at \( \Phi \). Changing to whiskered coordinates in the Laplacian and expanding the extended curvature \( \kappa \) according to (2.16) it is straightforward to calculate that
\[ F(\phi_i) = \epsilon^2 (\kappa_0^2 \phi_i^2 + \kappa_i l_j (z \phi_j)) + \epsilon^3 \left( L_j (k_2 z^2 \phi_i) + \kappa_0 k_1 (z \phi_j^2) + \kappa_1 l_j (z \phi_j) + \eta_2 + \Delta_j \kappa_0 \phi_i \right) + O(\epsilon^4). \] (3.9)

while
\[ L = L_j^2 + \epsilon k_0 (L_\Delta + \partial_j \kappa_j - W''(\phi_i) \phi_i) + O(\epsilon^2). \] (3.10)

Plugging these expressions into the residual yields the expansion
\[ F(\phi_i) = \epsilon^2 (L_j^2 \phi_i + \kappa_0^2 \phi_i^2 + \kappa_i l_j (z \phi_j)) + O(\epsilon^3), \] (3.11)

and since \( \kappa_0^2 \phi_i^2 + \kappa_i l_j (z \phi_j) \perp z, \) ker \( (L_j) \), we may choose \( \phi_2 \) to eliminate the \( \epsilon^3 \) terms.
\[ \phi_2 = L_j^{-1} (-\kappa_0^2 \phi_i^2 - \kappa_i l_j (z \phi_j)) = -\left( \frac{k_0^2}{2} + \kappa_i \right) L_j^{-1} (z \phi_j). \] (3.12)

where we used (A.3). With the curvature terms incorporated into \( \phi_2 \) the residual becomes
\[ F(u) = \epsilon^2 \left( L_j (k_0 \phi_2 z + k_2 z^2 \phi_i) + L_j^2 b + \kappa_0 (L_j \phi_2 + \kappa_i z \phi_j) z + \kappa_0 k_1 z \phi_j^2 + (\Delta_j + \eta_2)(\kappa_0 \phi_j) - \kappa_0 W''(\phi_j) \phi_j \phi_j \right) + O(\epsilon^4). \] (3.13)

3.2. Single-layer interfacial dynamics

To address the geometrically driven flow of \( \Gamma \) we decompose \( u \) as,
\[ u(x, t) = \Phi(s, z, t) + v(x, t), \] (3.14)

where \( \Phi \) is the Ansatz (3.4), which depends upon time through the hypersurface \( \Gamma \) and the background \( b = b_-(t) \). The term \( v \) is the perturbation to the Ansatz which we regard as small. From the chain rule we have
\[ \partial_t \Phi_i = \phi_i z_t + \epsilon^3 b_{3, t} + O(\epsilon^2 z_t, \epsilon^3 k_i). \] (3.15)

We will see below that \( z_t, \phi_i = O(\epsilon^3), \) while \( b_{3, t} = O(1), \) and the error terms are \( O(\epsilon^5). \) Putting the decomposition of \( u \) into (1.5), we obtain
\[ \phi_i + \epsilon^3 b_{3, t} + v_t = -\Pi_0 F(\Phi + v) = -\Pi_0 F(\Phi) - L v - \Pi_0 N(\nu). \] (3.16)

where \( L = \Pi_0 L \) and \( \nu(v) \) represents the nonlinear terms in \( v. \) While \( L \) is self-adjoint, \( \nu \) is not, however \( \sigma(L) \subset \sigma(\Pi_0 L \Pi_0) \cup \{0\} \) is real, and moreover \( \sigma(\Pi_0 L \Pi_0) \) interlaces \( \sigma(L) \), so that the spectral set of \( L \) controls that of \( \nu \), see [34] for details (see Fig. 3.1). The adjoint operator \( L^* = L \Pi_0 \) has a kernel spanned by the constant function \( \psi_1 \equiv 1. \) Extending the results of [33], it has been shown in [35] that the spectrum of \( -L \) is bounded above by a constant of \( O \left( \epsilon^2 \kappa_0^2 (\kappa_{\nu, 2}^2, \epsilon^4 \kappa_2^2) \right. \). More significantly, there exists \( \epsilon > 0 \) for which the adjoint eigenspace corresponding to eigenvalues of \( -L \), which are greater than \( -\epsilon \), is spanned by functions

\[ \{ \phi_1^1, 1 \leq n \leq N \} \text{ with a separated variables decomposition} \]
\[ \psi_1 \equiv \phi_1^1(s, z) = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \phi_1(z)} \phi_1^1(s) + \psi_1^\perp(s, z). \] (3.17)

The perturbations \( \psi_1 \) are orthogonal to \( \phi_1 \) on each whisker and are \( O(\epsilon) \) in \( L^2(\Omega) \), while the scaling gives the leading order term an \( L^2(\Omega) \) norm of \( 1 \) with \( \phi_1 \) independent of \( \epsilon. \) Moreover the \( \{ \phi_1^1(s) \}_{s \in \Omega} \) form an approximate basis of \( L^2(\Gamma) \), in the sense that any function \( f \) which is orthogonal to this set in \( L^2(\Gamma) \) satisfies the inequality
\[ \| f \|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \leq c \epsilon \| \Delta f \|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \] (3.18)

for a constant \( c > 0 \) which depends only upon \( \nu \) and \( \Gamma). \) To render the decomposition (3.14) unique, we impose the non-degeneracy conditions
\[ v \perp \{ \phi_1^1 \} \cup \{ \psi_{1,j} \} \leq 1 \leq n \leq N \}. \] (3.19)

These conditions make the semigroup generated by \( L \) uniformly contractive on \( v. \) In particular, so long as the flow leaves \( \nu \) within the admissible class of interfaces, we assume that \( v \) remains the same as the residual, namely \( O(\epsilon^3) \) in \( L^2(\Omega) \), and that the nonlinear terms \( \nu(v) \) are yet higher order and hence negligible, see [36,37] for rigorous treatments.

To obtain the normal front velocity we project (3.16) onto the eigenspace spanned by \( \{ \phi_1^1 \}_{s \in \Omega}. \) The linear terms in \( v \) and \( v_t \) drop out due to (3.19). Neglecting the nonlinear terms in \( v \), the remaining terms are localized on \( \Gamma \), and we may change to the whiskered coordinate system. Using the Jacobian expansion (2.13) we obtain the leading order expression
\[ \int_{\Gamma} \left( \| \phi_i^1 \|_{L_2}^2 z_t + \epsilon^3 \| \phi_i^1 \|_{L_2}^2 \phi_i \phi_i(s) \right) \] (3.20)

The integrands of (3.20) depend upon only the curvatures of \( \Gamma \), and for admissible interfaces these are smooth functions. Thus it follows from the approximate basis property of the \( \phi_i \)’s that we may equate the two integrands as \( L^2(\Gamma) \) functions at leading order.
\[ z_t = -\frac{\partial_t (F(\Phi), \phi_i^1)}{\| \phi_i^1 \|_{L_2}^2} + (F(\phi_i^1)_{z} - \epsilon^3 b_{3, z}) \frac{\phi_i^1}{\| \phi_i^1 \|_{L_2}^2}. \] (3.21)

To simplify (3.21) we take the \( L^2(\mathbb{R}) \) inner product of (3.13) with \( \phi^i \) and integrate by parts to cancel the \( \kappa_0 k_i \) terms. We obtain
\[ (F(\Phi), \phi_i^1) = \epsilon^4 \left[ \kappa_0 (L_j \phi_i, \phi_i^1) + (\Delta_j + \eta_2)(\kappa_0) \phi_i^1 \right] + O(\epsilon^5). \] (3.22)
Using the definition (3.12) of \( \phi_2 \) we evaluate

\[
(L_2 \phi_2, \phi_1') = -\frac{k_2^2}{2} + \kappa_1 \left( z \phi_1', \phi_1' \right)_l^2
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{k_2^2}{2} + \kappa_1 \right) \| \phi_1' \|_2^2, \tag{3.23}
\]

while (3.12) and (A.2) show that

\[
(W''(\phi_1) \phi_1')^2, \phi_2) = -\frac{k_2^2}{2} + \kappa_1 \left( L_2 \phi_1' \right)_l^2
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{k_2^2}{2} + \kappa_1 \right) \| \phi_1' \|_2^2. \tag{3.24}
\]

Combining these results gives the leading order expression

\[
(F(\phi_1), \phi_1') = \epsilon^3 \| \phi_1' \|^3_2 \left( (\Delta_s + \eta_2) \kappa_0 - \left( \frac{k_0^2}{2} + \kappa_0 \kappa_1 \right) \right)
\]

\[
+ O(\epsilon^4), \tag{3.25}
\]

and introducing the scaled normal front velocity \( V_n = -\epsilon^{-3} z_t \), we rewrite (3.21) as

\[
V_n = \left[ (\Delta_s + \eta_2) \kappa_0 - \left( \frac{k_0^2}{2} + \kappa_0 \kappa_1 \right) \right]
\]

\[
- \epsilon^{-3} (F(\phi_1))_l^2 - b_{3,t} \left( \frac{\| \phi_1' \|}{\| \phi_1' \|_2} \right)^2. \tag{3.26}
\]

To evaluate \( (F(\phi_1))_l^2 \) we return to (3.13) and observe that all terms except those involving the background perturbation, \( b_0 \), are localized on \( \Gamma \). We integrate over \( \Omega \) and change to the whiskered variables for the localized terms. So long as \( |\Gamma| = O(1) \ll \epsilon^{-4} \), the extra factor of \( \epsilon \) that the localized terms acquire from the Jacobian renders them lower order than the far-field terms. At the leading order we have

\[
(F(\phi_1))_l^2 = \frac{\epsilon^3}{|\partial \Omega|} \int_{\partial \Omega} b_3 dx + O(\epsilon^4)
\]

\[
= \frac{\epsilon^3}{|\partial \Omega|} \int_{\partial \Omega} (W''(\phi_1))^2 dx
\]

\[
+ O(\epsilon^4) = \epsilon^3 b_3 + O(\epsilon^4), \tag{3.27}
\]

where we have introduced \( \mu_0^2 = \frac{|\partial \Omega| \epsilon^4 + |\partial \Omega| \epsilon^2}{|\partial \Omega|} > 0 \). Combining (3.26) with (3.27) we obtain

\[
V_n = \left( \Delta_s + \eta_2 - \frac{k_0^2}{2} + \kappa_1 \right) \kappa_0 - \frac{\| \phi_1' \|}{\| \phi_1' \|_2} (b_{3,t} - \mu_0^2 b_3) + O(\epsilon).
\]

(3.28)

The evolution of the background state is determined from the total mass conservation. Projecting (3.16) onto \( \phi_0' = 1 \), the right-hand side is identically zero and we obtain

\[
b_{3,t} = -\frac{\epsilon^{-3}}{|\partial \Omega|} \int_{\partial \Omega} z_t \phi_1' dx = -\epsilon^{-2} \frac{\| \phi_1' \|}{|\partial \Omega|} \int_{\partial \Omega} z_t ds.
\]

Substituting the normal velocity, (3.28), into this expressing and dropping the lower order terms yields an ordinary differential equation for \( b_3 \),

\[
b_{3,t} + \epsilon \frac{\mu_0^2 \| \phi_1' \|^3 / |\partial \Omega|}{|\phi_1' \|_2^3} \frac{\phi_1'}{|\partial \Omega|} b_3 = \epsilon \frac{\| \phi_1' \|}{|\partial \Omega|} \int_{\partial \Omega} \left( \Delta_s + \eta_2 - \frac{k_0^2}{2} - \kappa_1 \right) \kappa_0 ds
\]

\[
+ O(\epsilon^2). \tag{3.29}
\]

This equation relaxes on a fast, \( t = O(\epsilon^{-1}) \), time-scale to a stable equilibrium determined by a \( \Gamma \)-averaged value of the front curvatures

\[
b_3 = \frac{\| \phi_1' \|^3 / |\partial \Omega|}{\mu_0^2 \| \phi_1' \|^3 / |\partial \Omega|} \int_{\partial \Omega} \left( \Delta_s + \eta_2 - \frac{k_0^2}{2} - \kappa_1 \right) \kappa_0 ds + O(\epsilon).
\]

(3.31)

In turn the curvatures evolve on a slow \( t = O(\epsilon^{-3}) \) time scale adiabatically driving the equilibrium value of \( b_3 \). On this longer time-scale, the background evolution becomes \( b_{3,2} = O(\epsilon^5) \), which may then be neglected in (3.28). On closer inspection, one observes that the equilibrium value of the background is exactly the average value of the normal velocity over the surface \( \Gamma \). More specifically, the fast–slow system (3.28)–(3.30) yields an effective evolution which may be succinctly written as

\[
V_n = \Pi_{0,\Gamma} \left( \Delta_s + \eta_2 - \frac{k_0^2}{2} - \kappa_1 \right) \kappa_0 + O(\epsilon).
\]

(3.32)

where \( \Pi_{0,\Gamma} \) denotes the zero-mass projection over the interface \( \Gamma \).

3.3 Two-dimensional results

In two space-dimensions the mean curvature is the unique curvature, which determines the shape of the hypersurface up to rigid body rotations. We can relate the curvature back to the \( z \) variable through the equalities \( \nu = \epsilon \nabla z \) and \( \kappa = \nabla \cdot \nu \). Introducing the slow time \( \tau = \epsilon^{-1} t \), we have

\[
\kappa_t = \epsilon^3 \nabla_z \cdot \nabla z_t = -\Delta_s V_n.
\]

(3.33)

However, in two dimensions (2.15) reduces to

\[
\kappa(s, z, \tau) = \frac{\kappa_0 \kappa_0(1 + \epsilon z \kappa_0)}{1 + \epsilon z \kappa_0(1 + \epsilon z \kappa_0)}
\]

(3.34)

where \( \kappa_0 \) is the curvature. In particular \( \kappa = -\kappa_0^2 \), and the normal velocity reduces to

\[
V_n = \Pi_{0,\Gamma} \left( \frac{\delta_2^2 + \kappa_0^2}{4} \right) \kappa_0 + O(\epsilon).
\]

Taking the derivative of (3.34) with respect to \( \tau \) and introducing \( z_t = -\epsilon^{-3} V_n \) we find

\[
\kappa_t = \frac{\partial_t \kappa_0 (1 + \epsilon z \kappa_0) - \kappa_0^2 \epsilon \nabla z - \epsilon z \kappa_0 \partial_t \kappa_0}{(1 + \epsilon z \kappa_0)^2} = \partial_t \kappa_0 + \frac{1}{2} \kappa_0^2 V_n + O(\epsilon)
\]

(3.36)

and the leading order terms in (3.33) become

\[
\partial_t \kappa_0 = -\left( \partial_2^2 + \kappa_0^2 \right) \Pi_{0,\Gamma} \left( \frac{\delta_2^2 + \kappa_0^2}{4} \right) \kappa_0.
\]

(3.37)

In the context of the ZMFCH equation, the explicit normal velocity of a single-layer interface takes the form

\[
\partial_t \kappa_0 = -\left( \partial_2^2 + \kappa_0^2 \right) \Pi_{0,\Gamma} \left( \frac{\delta_2^2 + \kappa_0^2}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \kappa_0^2 \right)
\]

(3.38)

The result above assumes that \( s \) is arc-length along the curve, however as the normal velocity stretches the curve, the arc-length must be re-parameterized. We identify an arbitrary point on the curve as \( s = 0 \), and maintain this label through its evolution by normal velocity. The re-parameterization incorporates a convective term which accounts for the splaying of the lines of constant \( s \). With this modification the \( \partial_2^2 \) evolution equation becomes

\[
\partial_t \kappa_0 + \left( \int_0^s V_n \kappa_0(s) \right) \partial \kappa_0 = \left( \partial_2^2 + \kappa_0^2 \right) \Pi_{0,\Gamma} \left( \frac{\delta_2^2 + \kappa_0^2}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \kappa_0^2 \right).
\]

(3.39)
It follows immediately from (3.39) that a circular interface of any radius is stationary. Indeed the curvature is independent of $s$ and the zero-mass projection $\Pi_0$ annihilates the constant residual on the right-hand side. On the other hand, if $\Gamma$ consists of two well-separated circles of differing radius, then the larger curvature circle (smaller radius) will shrink in curvature (grow in radius) while the smaller curvature circle (larger radius) will grow in curvature (shrink in radius). Barring instability of the circular shape, the two circles will converge to a common radius. However, an analysis of circular curves shows that if their radius is sufficiently large, then they are linearly unstable to non-radial perturbations. Indeed, consider a surface $\Gamma$ whose curvature is a small, zero mass perturbation from a constant value, $\kappa_0 = \kappa_0 + \nu(s, \tau)$ with $\|\nu\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma')} \ll 1$. The linear evolution for $\nu$ is given by

$$\partial_t \nu = -\left(\partial_s^2 + \frac{3}{\kappa_0^2}\right)\left(\partial_s^2 + \eta_2 + \frac{3}{2}\frac{\kappa_0^2}{\kappa_0^2} \right) \nu, \quad (3.40)$$

with the zero mass projection and the convective re-parameterization both contributing at a nonlinear level in $\nu$. On a circle with curvature $\kappa_0$, the eigenfunctions of the linear operator on the right-hand side of (3.40) are of the form $\psi_n(s) = e^{i\xi_n s}$. The constraints that the perturbation correspond to a closed curve, and be $2\pi \kappa_0$-periodic, leaves the spatial frequencies $\xi_n = n\pi \kappa_0$ for $n = \pm 2, \pm 3, \ldots$, The associated eigenvalues of this operator are given by

$$\mu_n = -\frac{\kappa_0^2}{\kappa_0^2} \left(n^2 + 1\right) - n^2 - \frac{\eta_2}{\kappa_0^2} + \frac{3}{2} \frac{\kappa_0^2}{\kappa_0^2} \left(3 + \frac{n^2}{\kappa_0^2}\right), \quad (3.41)$$

The most unstable eigenvalues, $\mu_{-2,2}$, are positive so long as the functionalization constant $\eta_2$ is sufficiently large compared to the curvature,

$$\eta_2 > \frac{\kappa_0^2}{2}. \quad (3.42)$$

The resultant instability is manifest as an “antipodal” stretching of the near-circular interface. Conversely, circles with sufficiently small radius are linearly stable under the evolution. We remark that the rigid body translation of the curve is not detectable through the curvature formation, and constitute neutral modes in the linear stability.

4. The bi-layer dressing

For the single-layer dressing, there was a self-evident class of 1D critical points available. For a bi-layer dressing, we first re-examine the mass-constrained critical points of (1.4) which satisfy the equation

$$\Pi_0 \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta u} (u) = 0. \quad (4.1)$$

This is a fourth order differential operator coupled to a rank-one projection, a combination whose rich structure provides for the existence of families of equilibria. We construct a class of approximate 1D critical points that correspond to interfacial bi-layers with a homoclinic or pulse-like profile in their transverse cross-section. To accomplish this construction we unfold the saddle–saddle connection of the single-layer or heteroclinic solutions by introducing parameters corresponding to neutral modes of the energy. We begin by observing that the kernel of $\Pi_0$ is comprised of the spatial constants on $\Omega$, so if the shifted functional $\delta$ satisfies

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta u} = \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta u} + c, \quad (4.2)$$

for some constant $c$, then $u$ will satisfy (4.1) for $\delta$ exactly when it does for $\mathcal{F}$. The first parameter we introduce is the background state $b$ of the homoclinic pulse profile, which is dual to the kernel of $\Pi_0$. To proceed, after an integration by parts we may write the functionalized energy as

$$\mathcal{F}(u) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} x^2 (\Delta u)^2 + \epsilon^2 f_1(u) |\nabla u|^2 + f_2(u) \, dx, \quad (4.3)$$

where we have introduced

$$f_1(u) = W''(u) - \frac{\eta}{2}, \quad (4.4)$$

$$f_2(u) = \frac{1}{2} \left(W'(u)\right)^2 - \eta W(u). \quad (4.5)$$

We modify the potential $f_2$ to have a double zero at $u = b$,

$$f_2(u) = f_2(u) - f_2(b)(u - b) - f_2(b), \quad (4.6)$$

and define the shifted energy

$$\delta(u) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} x^2 (\Delta u)^2 + \epsilon^2 f_1(u) |\nabla u|^2 + f_1(u) \, dx, \quad (4.7)$$

which satisfies (4.2) for $c = -f_2(b)$. We rewrite the shifted energy in terms of the potential

$$G_0 = \int_{\Omega} \int_{s_1 = b} u^{s_2 = b} \mathcal{F}(s_1, s_2) \, ds_1 \, ds_2 = W_2(u; b) - \frac{\eta}{4} (u - b)^2, \quad (4.8)$$

where $W_2(u; b) = W(u) - W(b) - W'(b)(u - b)$ is the result of shifting the double zero of $W$ at $b$ to $b$ by subtracting the appropriate Taylor polynomial. Integrating by parts on $f_1$ in (4.7) and completing the square we obtain

$$\delta(u) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} \left( \xi T (u - G_0(u))^2 + p(u) \right) \, dx, \quad (4.9)$$

where $p(u) = f_1(u) - \frac{1}{2}(G_0(u))^2$.

**Lemma 4.1.** The potential $p$ takes the form

$$p(u) = \left(W_1(u) - W_2(b)(u - b)\right) W'(u) - \frac{\eta}{2} (u - b)^2, \quad (4.10)$$

with a double zero at $u = b$. With the scaling $b = b_+ + \epsilon^2 b_2$ and $\eta = \epsilon^2 \eta_2$ then $p(u) = \epsilon^2 p_2(u)$ where

$$p_2(u) = \mu_- (W''(u) - W''(b)(u - b)) \frac{b_2}{2} + \frac{\eta}{2} \left(W'(u) - \frac{\eta}{4} (u - b)^2\right) (u - b), \quad (4.11)$$

in $L^\infty([b_-, b])$, and $\mu_- = W''(b)$. Moreover, if $u W''(u) > 0$, then both $p_2$ and $p_{21}$ are non-positive on $[b_-, b]$ and zero at $z = b$.

**Proof.** The expression (4.10) follows from the definitions of $f_2$ and $G_0$. The expression (4.11) follows from an expansion of $W'$ and $W$ about $-b$. To see that $p_{20}$ is non-positive on $[-b, b]$, observe that $p_{20}(b) = 0$ while $\frac{p_{20}(u)}{b_2} = W''(u) - W''(b)$. From the assumption on $W''$ we see that $W''$ has a global minima at $u = 0$ and is strictly decreasing on $[b, 0]$, hence $p_{20} < 0$ on $[b, 0]$, and by symmetry on $[0, -b]$, so that $p_{20}$ is decreasing on $[b, -b]$. Turning to $p_{21}$, we observe that $p_{21}(b) = 0$ while $p_{21}(-b) > 0$ and $p_{21}$ having a third-order zero at $u = b$ with $p_{22}(b) < 0$ for $0 < u < b < 1$. Observing that $p_{22} = W''(u)(u - b)$, is zero at $u = b$ and $u = 0$, we see that $p_{22}$ can have at most one zero in $(b, b)$, and hence $p_{22}$ has exactly one zero on $(b, \infty)$, which must be the zero at $u = b$. □
4.1. The detuning parameter and reduction to 2nd order

To break the degeneracy of the saddle–saddle connection of the heteroclinic associated to the potential \( W \) we introduce a “tilt” parameter \( \alpha \) which tunes the shape of the potential, 
\[
G(u; \alpha, b) = G_0(u; b) - \epsilon \alpha g(u; b). \tag{4.12}
\]

The optimal choice for the perturbation \( g \) will be made explicit below. With this notation \( \delta \) can be written
\[
\delta(s; \alpha, b) = \int_0^1 \left( x^2 \Delta u - G(u) - \epsilon \alpha g'(u) \right)^2 + p(u) \, dx, \tag{4.13}
\]
so that \( (1.5) \) has the equivalent formulation,
\[
u_c = -\frac{\mu_0}{\mu_2} \delta \frac{s}{s'} = -\frac{\mu_0}{\mu_2} \left( \frac{x^2 \Delta u - G(u) - \epsilon \alpha g'(u) + p(u)}{x^2 \Delta u - G(u) - \epsilon \alpha g'(u) + p(u)} \right). \tag{4.14}
\]

We wish to define the leading order bi-layer solution, \( \phi = \phi(z; \alpha, b) \), as the unique solution of the 1D, scaled equation
\[
\phi'' = \epsilon g(\phi), \tag{4.15}
\]
which is homoclinic to \( b \) and symmetric about \( z = 0 \). The existence of \( \phi \) requires that the potential \( G \) has a double zero at \( u = b \) and a second, transverse zero to the right of \( b \). Accordingly we impose the constraints \( g(b) = g'(b) = 0 \), as well as \( g > 0 \) on \((b, \infty)\) with \( g(s) \ll G(s) \) for \( s \) sufficiently large. For such \( g \) the following lemma holds.

**Lemma 4.2.** In the scaling \( b = b_\ast + \epsilon^2 b_\ast \) and \( \eta = \epsilon^2 \eta_\ast \) there exists a smooth function
\[
\alpha_\ast(b) = -\frac{\epsilon}{g(b_\ast)} \left( \mu_2 (b_\ast - b_\ast) + \frac{\eta_2}{4} (b_\ast - b_\ast)^2 \right) + O(\epsilon^2),
\]

for which \( G(\cdot; \alpha_\ast, b) \) has a double zero at \( u = \phi_\ast^0(b) \). For \( \alpha > \alpha_\ast \), this double zero breaks into two zeros, the smaller of which takes the form
\[
\phi_\ast(\alpha, b) = b_\ast + \frac{2 \epsilon \alpha g(b_\ast)}{\mu_2} + O(\epsilon^2). \tag{4.17}
\]

In particular, the value \( \phi_\ast(\alpha) \) is the maximum of \( \phi \) over \( z \in \mathbb{R} \), and
\[
p_2(\phi_\ast) = -\mu_2^2 (b_\ast - b_\ast) b_\ast - \frac{2 \epsilon \alpha g(b_\ast)}{\mu_2} \left( \mu_2 (b_\ast - b_\ast) b_\ast \right) + \frac{\mu_2}{2} (b_\ast - b_\ast) \eta_2 \epsilon + O(\epsilon), \tag{4.18}
\]
which is positive so long as \( b_\ast < 0 \).

**Proof.** The expression \( (4.17) \) follows from a Taylor expansion of \( G \) near \( b_\ast \), and \( (4.18) \) results from substitution of \( (4.17) \) into \( (4.11) \). \( \square \)

Linearizing the differential equation \( (4.15) \) about \( \phi \) yields the operator
\[
L = \partial^2_z - G'(\phi), \tag{4.19}
\]
whose point spectrum and eigenfunctions play a crucial role in the geometric surface evolution. We choose \( g \), satisfying the properties above, to simplify the analysis. The 1D version of the full critical point equation, \( (4.1) \) is a fourth-order ODE whose exponential dichotomies contain complex eigenvalues with \( O(\epsilon^2) \) imaginary parts. As a consequence, an exact homoclinic solution will manifest oscillations of \( O(\epsilon^3) \) magnitude at spatial infinity, which is impossible for homoclinic solutions of second order equations. An optimal choice of \( g \) will yield a second order Eq.\( (4.15) \) whose homoclinic solution is the correct bi-layer Ansatz to \( O(\epsilon^2) \). Achieving this requires that \( g \) satisfies \( \frac{lg'(\phi)}{\phi} = O(\epsilon) \). The choice
\[
g(u; \alpha, b) = \int_b^\infty \sqrt{W_\ast(t; \alpha, b)} \, dt, \tag{4.20}
\]
where \( W_\ast(u; \alpha, b) = W(u - \epsilon^2 b_\ast) \) is a shifting of the double well, realizes this goal by rendering \( g'(\phi) \) asymptotically proportional to the ground state eigenfunction of \( L \) which bifurcates from zero when \( \alpha > \alpha_\ast \). This is the second neutral mode we seek.

**Lemma 4.3.** Let \( g \) be as in \( (4.20) \) with \( \epsilon \ll 1 \). Then there exists \( \nu_0 > 0 \), independent of \( \epsilon \), such that the spectrum of the linear operator \( L \) given in \( (4.19) \) consists of two point eigenvalues
\[
\sigma_\nu(L) = \{ \lambda_1 = 0, \lambda_0(\alpha, b) \}, \tag{4.21}
\]
and a remainder contained in \( (-\infty, -\nu_0) \). The ground state eigenvalue is given by the formula
\[
\lambda_0 = \frac{4\nu_0}{\|\phi\|_2^2} + O(\epsilon^2), \tag{4.22}
\]
where
\[
\gamma_0 = -\left( \frac{g''(\phi)}{g'(\phi)} \right) \epsilon = \sqrt{\mu_2} g'(b_\ast) + O(\epsilon^2) > 0. \tag{4.23}
\]

The corresponding normalized eigenfunctions take the form
\[
\psi_1 = \frac{\phi'}{\|\phi\|_2}, \tag{4.24}
\]
\[
\psi_0 = \frac{g(\phi)}{\|g(\phi)\|_2} + O(\epsilon), \tag{4.25}
\]
with the equality on \( \nu_0 \) holding in \( L^2 \). Moreover we may relate \( g'(\phi) \) to the translational eigenvalue
\[
g'(\phi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \|\psi_1\| + O(\epsilon^2), \tag{4.26}
\]
in the \( L^1 \) norm.

**Proof.** The operator \( L \) is a self-adjoint, 2nd-order, Sturm–Liouville operator and its spectrum is real. It is well known that linearizing about two well-separated front solutions produces a point spectrum consisting of two localized copies of the spectrum of a single front. Since \( \psi_0 \) has a simple eigenvalue at \( \lambda = 0 \) with the remainder of its spectrum to the left of \( -\nu_0 \), it remains to determine the location of the two small eigenvalues of \( L \). We first take the \( z \) derivative of \( (4.15) \) which shows, from the translational symmetry, that \( \phi' \) is in the kernel of \( L \). This eigenvalue has one node so the Sturm–Liouville theory implies that the ground state eigenvalue, \( \lambda_0 \), must be positive.

To develop an expansion for \( \lambda_0 \) and the ground-state \( \psi_0 > 0 \), we first re-write the potential \( G \) as
\[
G(s) = (g'(s))^2 - \epsilon \alpha g(s) + \epsilon^2 h(s), \tag{4.27}
\]
where the higher order term
\[
h(s) = \epsilon^{-2} \left( W_\ast(s; \alpha, b) - \frac{\eta_2}{4} (s - b)^2 \right) = (W''(s) - \mu_2 (s - b)) b_\ast - \frac{\eta_2}{4} (s - b)^2 + O(\epsilon), \tag{4.28}
\]
has a double zero at \( s = b \), is \( O(1) \) over the range of \( \phi \) and is smooth. The function \( g \) is only \( C^1 \) with discontinuities in its second derivative at \( s = b, b_\ast - \epsilon^2 b_\ast \). However \( g \) is piece-wise \( C^\infty \), and
is $C^\infty$ on the range of $\phi$, since $\phi_m(b) < b_- - s^2 b_2$. Applying $L$ to $g'(\phi)$ and using (4.15) and its first integral
\[ \frac{1}{2} (g')^2 = G(\phi), \]
(4.29)
to eliminate derivatives of $\phi$, we obtain
\[ Lg''(\phi) = 2g'''(\phi)G(\phi) + g''(\phi)G'(\phi) - G''(\phi)g'(\phi). \]
(4.30)
Taking derivatives of (4.27) with respect to $s$ we may eliminate $G$ for $g$ and $h$ and their derivatives. The leading order terms cancel, yielding
\[ Lg''(\phi) = -2\alpha g'''(\phi)g(\phi) + \epsilon^2 r(\phi), \]
(4.31)
where the second order term
\[ r(s) = 2g'''h + g'h' - g''h'', \]
(4.32)
is zero at $s = b$ and hence $r(\phi)$ is $O(1)$ in $L^2$. Since the right-hand side of (4.31) is even, it is orthogonal to the kernel of $L$ and we may invert, yielding
\[ g'(\phi) = \frac{-2\alpha (g'''(\phi)g(\phi) + \epsilon r(\phi), (\psi_0))_2}{\lambda_0} \psi_0 + \epsilon g^\perp, \]
(4.33)
in $L^2$, where $g^\perp \psi_0 = O(1)$. Taking the $L^2$ norm of both sides of (4.33), we deduce that $\|g'(\phi)\|_2 = O(1)$ since $\phi$ ranges from $b$ to $\phi_m$ with $O(1)$ derivatives. From this we may infer that $\lambda_0 = O(\epsilon)$, which further yields the asymptotic expression
\[ \lambda_0 = \frac{2\alpha |(g'''(\phi)g(\phi), (\psi_0))_2|}{\|g''(\phi)\|_2} + O(\epsilon^2). \]
(4.34)
Dividing both sides of (4.33) by their $L^2$ norms yields (4.25), and using this to substitute for $\psi_0$ in (4.34) yields (4.22) with $\gamma_0 = - (g'''(\phi)g(\phi), (\psi_0))_2$. Using (4.27) and (4.29) we may isolate $g'(\phi)$,
\[ g'(\phi) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}|\phi|^2 + \epsilon g(\phi) - \epsilon^2 h(s)}. \]
(4.35)
Expanding this expression yields (4.36), except for a neighborhood of $x = 0$ where $\phi''(0) = \phi''(0) + O(\epsilon^2)$ where $\phi''(0) = G(\phi_m) = O(\sqrt{\epsilon})$. These estimates yield the $O(\sqrt{\epsilon})$ error bound in (4.26). To simplify the expression for $\gamma_0$ we use (4.28) to write
\[ \gamma_0 = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_0^\infty g''(\phi)g(\phi') \phi' \, dz + O(\sqrt{\epsilon}) = \sqrt{2} \int_0^\infty g''(\phi) \, dz + O(\sqrt{\epsilon}), \]
\[ = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_0^\infty \partial_2 (g''(\phi)) \, dz + \sqrt{2} \phi''(0)g(0) \]
\[ - g''(\phi_m)g(\phi_m). \]
(4.36)
However $g''(b) = 0$ and $g'(\phi_m) = O(\sqrt{\epsilon})$ so its square is $O(\epsilon)$. Similarly, $g'(b) = 0$, and the expression reduces to
\[ \gamma_0 = -\sqrt{2} g''(\phi_m)g(b_+ + O(\sqrt{\epsilon}). \]
(4.37)
Finally,
\[ g''(\phi_m) = \frac{W'(\phi_m)}{2\sqrt{W'(\phi_m)}} = -\sqrt{\frac{\mu_+}{2}} + O(\sqrt{\epsilon}), \]
(4.38)
and we recover (4.23). □

For $i = 0$ and 1 we introduce the spectral projection $\pi_i$, associated to the eigenvector $\psi_i$,
\[ \pi_i = \{ \psi_i, \psi_i \}, \]
and their sum $\pi = \pi_0 + \pi_1$, and its complement $\pi = I - \pi$. \[ \phi \]

**Lemma 4.4.** The partial derivatives of the pulse with respect to the detuning parameter takes the form
\[ \partial_\nu \phi = -\rho_\nu \psi_0 + \epsilon^2 \phi_\nu, \]
(4.40)
where $\rho_\nu = \rho_\nu_0/(\alpha - \alpha_\nu) + O(e)$ with
\[ \rho_\nu_0 = \frac{\|\phi\|_2^2}{4\sqrt{2}v_0} > 0, \]
(4.41)
and \[ \phi_\nu = -L^{-1}\pi g'(\phi). \]
(4.42)
is $O(1)$ in $L^2$. The partial derivative of the pulse with respect to the background $b$ takes the form
\[ \partial_b \phi = -\epsilon^{-1} \rho_b \psi_0 + 1 + \phi_b^\perp, \]
(4.43)
where
\[ \rho_b = \frac{\mu - \|\phi\|_2^2}{4\alpha \rho_\nu} \psi_0 - \epsilon L^{-1}\pi g'(\phi), \]
(4.44)
and $\|\phi_b^\perp\|_2 = O(1)$, and is orthogonal to $\psi_0$ and $\psi_1$. \[ \phi \]

**Proof.** Taking the $\alpha$ partial derivative of (4.15) yields the equality
\[ L \phi = -\epsilon g'(\phi) = -\epsilon (g'(\phi), \psi_0) + \epsilon \pi g'(\phi). \]
(4.45)
Inverting $L$ yields
\[ \partial_\nu \phi = -\epsilon \frac{(g'(\phi), \psi_0)}{\lambda_0} \psi_0 - \epsilon L^{-1}\pi g'(\phi), \]
(4.46)
using (4.25) and (4.22) to replace $\psi_0$ and $\lambda_0$, and observing that $\pi g'(\phi) = O(\epsilon)$ yields (4.40).

From (4.12) we observe that
\[ \partial_b g'(u) = -W''(b) + \epsilon g''(u) + \epsilon^2 \frac{\eta}{2} = -G''(b) + \epsilon g''(u), \]
(4.47)
so that taking $\partial_b$ of (4.15) yields
\[ L \phi = -G''(b) + \epsilon g''(\phi), \]
(4.48)
which can be written in terms of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ functions as
\[ L(\partial_b \phi - 1) = G''(\phi) - G''(b) + \epsilon g''(\phi) \]
\[ = G''(\phi) - G''(b). \]
(4.49)
Projecting onto $\psi_0$ and its complement and inverting we obtain
\[ \partial_b \phi - 1 = \epsilon^{-1} \rho_b \psi_0 + \phi_b^\perp, \]
(4.50)
where
\[ -\rho_b = \epsilon \partial_b \phi - 1, \]
\[ = -\frac{\epsilon}{\lambda_0} (L \partial_b \phi, \psi_0) - \epsilon \psi_0 \]
\[ = -\frac{\epsilon}{\lambda_0} G''(b) \psi_0 + O(e). \]
(4.51)
However, $G''(b) = W''(b) + O(e) = \mu_+ + O(e)$, and replacing $\lambda_0$ with (4.22) yields (4.43) and (4.44) with
\[ \phi_b^\perp = L^{-1}\pi \left( G''(\phi) - G''(b) \right) = O(\epsilon). \]
(4.52)
4.2. Construction of the homoclinic profile

Prior to constructing the fully dressed Ansatz, we first consider a formal construction of an homoclinic solution of the fourth-order problem in one space dimension. This requires a consistency condition relating $a$ and $b$, which is recovered in Section 5.1 as an equilibrium solution of the dynamics. This construction requires tuning $a$ as a function of the background state $b$. We recall the scalings $b = b_{\infty} + \epsilon^2 b_2$, $\eta = \epsilon^2 \eta_2$, and $p = \epsilon^2 p_2$ and determine $\alpha$ for which we can construct $\Phi = \Phi_0(z; b, \epsilon)$ that solves

$$
S(\Phi_0) = \left( \alpha^2 - G'(\Phi_0) - \epsilon \alpha \eta g'\left(\Phi_0\right) \right)
\times \left( \alpha^2 \Phi_0 - G'(\Phi_0) - \epsilon \alpha g'\left(\Phi_0\right) \right)
+ \epsilon \alpha p_2(\Phi_0) = 0.
$$

(4.53)

We write $\Phi_0 = \phi + \epsilon \phi_1 + \cdots$ where $\phi_1$ is orthogonal to $\psi_0$. We substitute this ansatz into (4.53) and expand in $\epsilon$. At the leading order we find

$$
S(\Phi_0) = \left( L - \epsilon G''(\phi) \phi_1 - \epsilon \alpha g''(\phi) \right)
\times \left( \epsilon \phi L \phi_1 - \epsilon \alpha g'(\phi) + \epsilon^3 A_2 \right) + \epsilon^2 \alpha p_2(\phi) + O(\epsilon^3).
$$

(4.54)

where we will see that the form of the second order term, $A_2$, in the Cahn–Hilliard remainder is not relevant at the leading order. Solving $S(\Phi_0) = 0$ for $\phi_1$ at the leading order we find

$$
L^2 \phi_1 = L \left( G'(\phi) - \epsilon A_2 - \epsilon \alpha g''(\phi) \right) + \epsilon \left( G''(\phi) \phi_1 + \alpha g''(\phi) \right)
\times \left( L \phi_1 - \alpha g'\left(\phi_1\right) \right) + O(\epsilon^2).
$$

(4.55)

By even–odd symmetry the right-hand side of this equation is orthogonal to the translational eigenfunction $\psi_1$ of $L$. Our consistency condition, which keeps $\phi_1 = O(1)$ and determines $\alpha$, requires the right-hand side to be orthogonal to $\psi_0$,

$$
\lambda_0 \left( g'(\phi) - \epsilon A_2, \psi_0 \right) = \left( \alpha g''(\phi), \psi_0 \right) + \epsilon \left( \alpha g''(\phi), \psi_0 \right) = 0.
$$

(4.56)

The Eq. (4.55)–(4.56) appear to be coupled, however, assuming for the moment that (4.54) has a solution, then we may solve (4.55) for $\phi_1$ by projecting with $\pi$ and using the fact that $\pi L$ has an $O(1)$ inverse,

$$
\phi_1 = \alpha L^{-1} \pi g'(\phi) + O(\epsilon).
$$

(4.57)

However, from (4.25), $\pi g'(\phi) = O(\epsilon)$ and we see that $\phi_1 = 0$, and (4.54) reduces to

$$
\lambda_0 \left( g'(\phi), \psi_0 \right) - \alpha^2 \left( g'(\phi) g'(\phi), \psi_0 \right) = \epsilon \left( \alpha g''(\phi), \psi_0 \right) + O(\epsilon^2).
$$

(4.58)

However using (4.25) and (4.35) we find

$$
\left( g'(\phi), g'(\phi) \right)_2 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g''(\phi)(\phi')^2 dz + O(\epsilon)
= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( g''(\phi) \right) \phi' dx + O(\epsilon),
= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi'' \phi' dz + O(\epsilon)
= \frac{1}{2 b_{\infty}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \phi'' \phi' dz + O(\epsilon) = O(\epsilon).
$$

(4.59)

and using (4.22) and (4.25) to eliminate $\lambda_0$ and $\psi_0$ we find

$$
\alpha^2 = \frac{\left( g''(\phi), \psi_0 \right)_2}{2 \sqrt{2 \mu_1 g(b_1)}} + O(\epsilon).
$$

(4.60)

On the other hand, using (4.25) and (4.26) again we see

$$
\left( p_2(\phi), \psi_0 \right)_2 = \frac{\left( p_2(\phi), \psi_0 \right)_2}{2 \sqrt{2 \mu_1 g(b_1)}} + O(\epsilon)
= \frac{2 p_2(\phi_0)}{\left( \psi_0 \right)_2} + O(\epsilon).
$$

(4.61)

so that

$$
\alpha^2 = \frac{2 p_2(\phi_0)}{\sqrt{2 \mu_1 g(b_1)}} + O(\epsilon).
$$

(4.62)

Finally, using the expression (4.18) then for $b_2 < 0$ we find the equilibrium relation

$$
\alpha = \sqrt{-\gamma b_2} + O(\sqrt{\epsilon}),
$$

(4.63)

where

$$
\gamma_1 = \frac{\mu_1 + \mu_2}{2 g(b_1)}.
$$

(4.64)

These results lead to the following proposition

**Proposition 4.1.** For the scaling $b = \eta \epsilon^2$ and $b = b_{\infty} + \epsilon^2 b_2$, then the solution $\phi$ of (4.15) renders $\|S(\phi)\|_2 = O(\epsilon)$. Moreover, for the choice $\alpha(b) = \sqrt{-\gamma b_2}$ given by (4.63) we have $S(\Phi_0, \psi_0, \alpha_0) = O(\epsilon^3)$. Moreover, for any admissible hypersurface $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^d$

$$
\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \epsilon^{-3} \mathcal{F}(\phi) = 0.
$$

(4.65)

**Proof.** Recalling the energy (1.4), we must capture the leading-order non-zero contributions of each of the two terms. From (4.8) and (4.12) we see that

$$
W(u) = G(u) + \epsilon \alpha g'(\phi) + O(\epsilon^2).
$$

(4.66)

Combining this with the change of variables (2.21) we find

$$
\epsilon^2 \Delta \phi - W'(\phi) = G'(\phi) - W'(\phi) + \epsilon \alpha g'(\phi) + O(\epsilon^2),
$$

(4.67)

On the other hand, from the first integral (4.29) of (4.15) we have

$$
\epsilon^2 \int_{\Gamma} \left| \nabla \phi \right|^2 W(\phi) = |\phi'|^2 + O(\epsilon).
$$

(4.68)

Inserting these identities into (1.4) and changing to whiskered coordinates yields

$$
\mathcal{F}(\Phi_0) = \epsilon^3 \int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{2} \left( \kappa_0 \phi' + \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2}} \| \phi' \|_2 \psi_0 \right)^2 - \eta_2 |\phi'|^2 dz + O(\epsilon^4).
$$

(4.69)

The contributions to the energy from points away from the hypersurface $\Gamma$ are $O(\epsilon^4)$ and may be neglected. Performing the integration over $\Gamma$, using the orthogonality of $\phi'$ and $\psi_0$ and the definition of $\kappa_0$ from (2.16), we obtain (4.65). □

From Eq. (4.65) we infer that for small values of $\alpha_1$ (wide bi-layers) the energy is decreased by increasing the surface area of the hypersurface $\Gamma$, while for $\alpha_1 > 2 \sqrt{\eta_2}$, the interfacial energy increases with both increasing curvature and increasing surface area. The corresponding gradient flow (1.5), with its conservation of mass, will couple the evolution of the hypersurface to the bi-layer width and the background value, as is demonstrated in the sequel.
4.3. Fully dressed bi-layer Ansatz

Recovering the leading order dynamics of a bi-layer interface under Eq. (4.14) requires a higher order Ansatz with the residual resolved to $O(\epsilon^3)$. The bi-layer, $\phi$, generated from the reduced second-order Eq. (4.15) incorporates the detuning parameter, $\alpha$, and the far-field effect of the background state $b$. An ansatz of sufficient fidelity to resolve the leading-order normal velocity requires the incorporation of curvature dependent effects in the near-field shape of the bi-layer profile. We take the detuning parameter $\alpha = \alpha(s, t)$ and the background state $b = b(t)$ to be independent, and derive their quasi-equilibrium dependence as well as the temporal relaxation of a variable width interface, $\alpha = \alpha(s, t)$, onto a spatially uniform width interface.

The fully dressed bi-layer Ansatz takes the form,

$$\Phi(s, z; \alpha(s, t), b(t)) = \phi(z; \alpha, b) + \epsilon^2 \phi_2(s, z),$$

(4.70)

where $\alpha(s, t)$ is the relaxation parameter within the potential $G$ and $b(t) = b + \epsilon^2 b_2(t)$ is the background state. Due to the form of the tilt function, $g$, we take $\phi_1 = 0$, while $\phi_2$ is localized to be determined. From (4.14) we see that the Ansatz has a residual

$$S(\Phi) = (\epsilon^2 - G''(\Phi) - \varepsilon \alpha g''(\Phi)) (\epsilon^2 \Delta \Phi - G'(\Phi) - \varepsilon \alpha g'(\Phi)) + \pi' \Phi.$$ (4.71)

Recalling the whiskered form of the Laplacian, (2.17), the expansion of the extended curvature, (2.15) and (2.16), the scalings $\eta = \epsilon^2 \eta_2, b = b_0 + \epsilon^2 b_2$, and the asymptotic reduction $p(\Phi) = \epsilon^2 p_2(\Phi)$ with $p_2$ given by (4.11), we formally expand both the prefactor and the Cahn–Hilliard residual,

$$S(\Phi) = (P_0 + \epsilon P_1 + \epsilon^2 P_2) (\epsilon^2 A_1 + \epsilon^2 A_2 + \epsilon^3 A_3) + \epsilon^2 P_2(\Phi) + O(\epsilon^3).$$ (4.72)

The prefactors are given by $P_0 = L$, from (4.19), and

$$P_1 = \kappa b_0 - \epsilon \alpha g''(\Phi),$$ (4.73)

$$P_2 = z \kappa_1 \eta_2 + A_1 - G''(\Phi) \phi_2,$$ (4.75)

which operate on the Cahn–Hilliard residuals

$$A_1 = \kappa \phi' - \alpha g'(\Phi),$$ (4.74)

$$A_2 = \epsilon L \phi_2 + z \kappa_1 \phi' + A_0 \phi.$$ (4.76)

We will see that the form of $A_3$ is not relevant to the dynamics. The operator $L$ acts on near-field functions supported on the whisker neighborhood, $\Gamma(1)$, where it depends upon $\alpha$ and $b$ through $\phi$. On each fixed whisker, the projection $\tilde{\pi}$ removes the small eigenspaces of $L$, giving $\tilde{\pi} L(1)$ an inverse width interface.

We choose the perturbations $\phi_1$ and $\phi_2$ to reduce the size of the projected residual, $\tilde{\pi} \Phi(\phi)$, on each whisker. Expanding the residual in orders of $\epsilon$ yields

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{\pi}_1 \Phi(\phi) &= \epsilon L_1 \Phi(\phi) + O(\epsilon^2), \\
\tilde{\pi}_2 \Phi(\phi) &= \epsilon^2 \left( L_2 \Phi(\phi) + O(\epsilon^3) \right).
\end{align*}
\]

(4.77)

The $O(\epsilon)$ terms are all localized near the front. Using $L \phi' = 0$ and recalling (4.31), we take the form

$$\begin{align*}
\tilde{\pi}_1 \Phi(\phi) &= -\alpha \epsilon g''(\Phi)\phi + \epsilon^2 r(\phi), \\
\tilde{\pi}_2 \Phi(\phi) &= \epsilon^2 (L_2 \Phi(\phi) + O(\epsilon^3)).
\end{align*}$$

(4.78)

We already have $\tilde{\pi}_1 \Phi(\phi) = 0$ to leading order, which is consistent with $\phi_1 = 0$, and we incorporate the higher order terms into $\tilde{\pi}_2 \Phi(\phi)$. At $O(\epsilon^3)$ we have

$$\tilde{\pi}_2 \Phi(\phi) = \epsilon^2 G''(\Phi)\phi + \epsilon^2 r(\phi) - 2\alpha g''(\Phi)\phi.$$ (4.79)

and we render $\tilde{\pi} \Phi_2 = 0$ along each whisker through the choice

$$\phi_2 = -L^{-2} \pi \left( L_3 \Phi(\phi) + O(\epsilon^3) \right).$$ (4.80)

5. Evolution of bi-layer interfaces

We resolve the time evolution of the parameters $z = z(t)$ as a function of the hypersurface $\Gamma = \Gamma(t)$, the tilt $\alpha = \alpha(s, t)$, and the background state $b = b(t)$, for solutions of (3.5) arising from initial data $u_0$ from a neighborhood of the dressed manifold

$$M = \{ \Phi(z; \alpha, b) | \text{admissible}, \ b = b_0 \}.$$ (5.1)

For such initial data we decompose the solutions $u$ as,

$$u(x, t) = \Phi(s, z, t) + v(x, t),$$ (5.2)

where $\Phi$ is the Ansatz (4.70), which depends upon time through the parameters, and $v$ is the perturbation to the Ansatz. From the chain rule we have

$$\partial_t \Phi = \tilde{\pi}_1 \Phi' + \alpha \partial_t \alpha \phi + \epsilon^2 b_2 \partial_t \phi + \Phi \epsilon \alpha \epsilon \alpha, \ b_2 \partial_t v,$$ (5.3)

We will see below that $z_1 = O(\epsilon^3)$, while $\alpha$ and $b_2$ are both $O(\epsilon)$, so that the error terms are $O(\epsilon^4)$. Putting the decomposition (5.2) of $u$ into the evolution Eq. (4.14), we obtain

$$\partial_t \Phi' + \alpha \partial_t \alpha \phi + \epsilon^2 b_2 \partial_t \phi + v = -\Pi_0 \Phi(\phi) + v$$ (5.4)

or equivalently

$$\partial_t \Phi' + \alpha \partial_t \alpha \phi + \epsilon^2 b_2 \partial_t \phi + v = -\Pi_0 \Phi(\phi) \epsilon \partial_t \Phi + v,$$ (5.5)

where $\Pi_0 = \Pi_0 L$ and $\mathcal{N}(v)$ represent the nonlinear terms in $v$. While the linear operator

$$\mathcal{L} \equiv \left( \epsilon^2 \Delta - G''(\Phi) - \varepsilon \alpha g''(\Phi) \right)^2 + \pi'' \Phi(\phi) - G''(\Phi) \right) \times \left( \epsilon^2 \Delta \Phi - G(\phi) - \varepsilon \alpha g'(\Phi) \right),$$ (5.6)

is self-adjoint, $\mathcal{L}$ is not, however $\sigma(L) \subset \sigma(\Pi_0 L \Pi_0) \cup \{0\}$, and $\sigma(\Pi_0 L \Pi_0) \interleave \sigma(L)$, so that the spectral set of $\mathcal{L}$ controls that of $\Pi_0$. The adjoint operator $\Pi_0^*$ has a kernel spanned by the constant function $\psi_n^* \equiv 1$. Proving the results of (35), the spectrum of $-L$ is bounded above by a constant of $\lambda \epsilon^2 \| \psi_n^* \|^2_{H^1(\Gamma)} \epsilon^2 \eta_2^2$ while there exists $v > 0$, independent of $\epsilon$, for which the adjoint eigenspace corresponding to eigenvalues greater than $-\nu \epsilon$ takes the form (see Fig. 5.1)

$$\begin{align*}
\nu \epsilon = \text{span} \{ \psi_n^1 | | \psi_n^1 | = 1, 1 \leq n \leq N_\epsilon \}.
\end{align*}$$ (5.7)

Here the $\psi_n^1$ have a separated variables decomposition

$$\begin{align*}
\psi_n^1 &= \epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \psi_0(z; \alpha) \Theta_n(s) + \psi_{n,0}^1(s), \\
\psi_{n,1}^1 &= \epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \psi_1(z; \alpha) \Theta_n(s) + \psi_{n,1}^1(s).
\end{align*}$$ (5.8)

(5.9)
The eigenfunctions mean curvature for $Y$ integrals to the whiskered variables observe the orthogonality of $RbXf[\varepsilon]S$ that the perturbation the parameters $\alpha$ $\Psi$ $\perp V$ is also the maximum of the homoclinic pulse $V$ so long as $\nu (V)$ we impose the nondegeneracy conditions which is orthogonal to one of $\alpha \epsilon$ for $\nu$ $\varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon \nu$ $V$ the smaller of which denoted $\phi_{0\nu}$ is also the maximum of the homoclinic pulse, $\psi$.

where the corrections $\Psi_{\epsilon 1}$ are $O(\epsilon)$ in $L^2(\Omega)$, and are orthogonal to $\psi_0$ and $\psi_1$ on each whisker. With this normalization we have $\|\Psi_{\epsilon 1}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 1$. Moreover, as for the single-layer interfaces, it is shown in [35] that there exists an $N_\alpha > 0$ such that both of the sets $Y_{\nu \alpha}(\Gamma) = \{1\} \cup \{\phi_{\alpha}\} = 1, \ldots, N_\alpha\}$, (5.10)

for $i = 0$ and 1 form an approximate basis of $L^2(\Gamma)$ in the sense that any function $f$ which is orthogonal to one of $Y_{\nu \alpha}(\Gamma)$ in $L^2(\Gamma)$ satisfies

$\|f\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \leq \epsilon \|f\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}$, (5.11)

for a constant $c > 0$ which depends only upon $\nu$ and $\Gamma$. The decomposition (5.2) is under-constrained, as the remainder $s$ and the parameters $\alpha$, $b$, are not uniquely determined by $\nu$ and $\Gamma$.

To eliminate this degeneracy, and eliminate secular growth of the perturbation $v$, we impose the non-degeneracy conditions $v \perp Y_{\nu \alpha}(\Omega)$. These conditions make the semigroup generated by $L$ uniformly contractive on $v$. Defining $\Pi_i$ to be the $L$ spectral projection onto $Y_{\nu \alpha}(\Omega)$, and $\bar{\Pi}_i = I - \Pi_i$, we will show elsewhere that $v$ remains of the same magnitude as $\bar{\Pi}_i S(\Phi)$, which from (4.82) is $O(\epsilon)$, so long as $\Gamma$ remains admissible under the flow. The nonlinear terms $K(v)$ are yet of a higher order and may be neglected in the derivation of the leading-order shape and parameter evolution. In the sequel we derive these evolution equations by taking the $L^2(\Omega)$ projection of (5.5) against each family of adjoint eigenfunctions, exploiting the approximate basis property of $Y_{\nu \alpha}(\Gamma)$ for $i = 0, 1$. For each projection we break the integrands into near- and far-field terms, change the near-field integrals to the whiskered variables, observe the orthogonality of the terms that are linear in $v$, and drop the nonlinear terms in $v$ (see Fig. 4.1).

5.1. Relaxation to a uniform bi-layer

Projecting (5.5) onto the kernel, $\Psi_{\nu 1}$ of $L^1$, we obtain the expression for conservation of mass within the system

$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \left[ z_1 \phi' + \alpha \epsilon \partial_\nu \phi \right] J(s, z) \, dz \, ds + \epsilon^2 b_{21} \int_{\Omega} \partial_\nu \phi \, dx = 0$, (5.12)

From the decomposition (4.43) of $\partial_\nu \phi$ and the Jacobian expansion (2.14) we have

$\int_{\Omega} \partial_\nu \phi \, dz = |\Omega| - \epsilon^{-1} \int_{\Omega} \rho_b \nu \phi(s, z) \, dz \, ds + O(\epsilon)$

$= |\Omega| - \int_{\Omega} \rho_b \nu \phi \, ds + O(\epsilon)$. (5.13)

From the expansion (4.40) we have

$\int_{\Omega} \partial_\nu \phi(s, z) \, dz = -\epsilon \rho_b \nu \phi_0 + O(\epsilon^2)$. (5.14)

The first term on the left-hand side of (5.12) expresses the influence of the normal velocity on the hypersurface area $|\Gamma|$. The scaled normal velocity $Z_1 = Z_1(s, t)$ is a function of position $s$ along the hypersurface, and not distance $z$ to the hypersurface, so the integral over the whiskers becomes

$\int_{\Omega} \phi' f(s, z) \, dz = \epsilon^2 \kappa_0 \int_{\Omega} \partial_\nu (\Phi - b) \, dz + O(\epsilon^2)$

$= -\epsilon^2 \kappa_0 M_0 + O(\epsilon^2)$, (5.15)

where

$M_0(\alpha, b) \equiv \int_{\Omega} (\phi - b) \, dz$, (5.16)

denotes the mass of the homoclinic above the back surface. The full integral of this term expresses the rate of change of mass in the bi-layer arising from stretching by the geometric flow,

$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} z_1 \phi f(s, z) \, dz \, ds = -\epsilon^2 \int_{\Omega} z_1 \kappa_0 M_0 \, ds$. (5.17)

Factoring out an $\epsilon$ term, the mass conservation Eq. (5.12) takes the form

$\int_{\Omega} \left[ \rho_b \alpha + \epsilon \rho_b z_{21} \right] \psi_0(\Phi) \, dz = \epsilon |\Omega| |z_{21}|$, (5.18)

to a leading order in each term. The distribution of mass within the system can change by stretching the hypersurface, local changes of the width of the bi-layer, or varying the background state. To address the evolution of the detuning parameter $\alpha$ we project (5.5) onto $\Psi_{\nu 0}$. As all terms are localized on the front we may change the integral to the whiskered coordinates,

$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} (z_1 \phi' + \alpha \epsilon \partial_\nu \phi + \epsilon^2 b_{21} \epsilon \partial_\nu \phi \Phi) \psi_0(\Phi) f(s, z) \, dz \, ds$

$= -\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \psi_0(\Phi) \rho_b \nu \phi(s, z) \, dz \, ds$. (5.19)

Expanding the Jacobian and $\psi_0(\Phi)$ according to (2.14) and (5.8) respectively, neglecting the lower order $\psi_0(\Phi)$ corrections, and dividing the equation by $\epsilon$, the leading order terms are

$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \left( \epsilon z_1 (\Phi, \nu \phi_0) \kappa_0 - \alpha \epsilon \nu \phi_0 - \epsilon^2 b_{21} \epsilon \partial_\nu \phi_0 \right) \psi_0(\Phi) \, dz \, ds$

$= -\int_{\Omega} \psi_0(\Phi) \left\{ \rho_b \nu \phi_0(s) \right\} \, ds$. (5.20)
The set $Y, a(G)$ forms an approximate basis, so assuming the admissibility $G'$, and hence smoothness of the curvatures, as well as smoothness of $\alpha$ is $s$, the integrands must agree to leading order, yielding

$$\alpha_n \rho_n + \epsilon z_n \kappa_0 \rho_n (\alpha) + \epsilon \beta_{n, \ell} = (\Pi_n S(\Phi), \psi_0)_2,$$

where we have introduced

$$\rho_n (\alpha) = - \langle \hat{Z} (\Phi, \alpha), \psi \rangle_{2} = - \langle \hat{Z} (\Phi, \alpha), \psi \rangle_{2} + O(\epsilon) = \frac{\hat{Z}_{\|'} (\psi)}{\| \hat{Z}_{\|'} (\phi) \|_2} + O(\epsilon),$$

$$+ O(\epsilon) > 0. \quad (5.22)$$

Turning to the right-hand side of (5.21) we see from (4.82) that $S(\Phi)$ is localized and its mass over all of $\Omega$ is of a higher order, so that

$$\Pi_n S(\Phi) = \epsilon^2 (\hat{R}_{20} \psi_0 + \hat{R}_{21} \psi_1) + O(\epsilon^3). \quad (5.23)$$

The solvability condition (4.63) is precisely the vanishing of $\hat{R}_{20}$, which we now derive through the dynamics. Integrating against $\psi_0$ on each whisker we obtain

$$(\Pi_n S(\Phi), \psi_0)_2 = \epsilon^2 \hat{R}_{20} + O(\epsilon^3), \quad (5.24)$$

where from (4.79) and (5.25)

$$\hat{R}_{20} = (2 + \rho_1 t \rho_2 (\phi) - 2 \alpha \rho_2 (\phi) g(\phi), \psi_0)_2,$$

however the $L_2$ term can be neglected as$L_2 \psi_0 \to 0$, which renders it a lower order. Expanding the second term, and exploiting even–odd cancellations yields

$$(P_1 (\rho_1 \psi_0)_2 = \frac{\alpha}{\epsilon^2} (\phi', \psi_0)_2 + \frac{\epsilon^2}{\epsilon^2} \hat{g}_{\|'} (\phi') g(\phi), \psi_0)_2. \quad (5.26)$$

We see from (A6) that $(\phi', \psi_0)_2 = \frac{1}{2} \langle L(\hat{Z} (\phi)), \psi_0 \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \rho_2 (\phi) (\alpha), which is $O(\epsilon)$. Similarly from (4.58) and the line below it, the second inner product on the right-hand side of (5.26) is also $O(\epsilon)$. To address the remaining terms in (5.25) we recall the derivation of (4.63) which leads us to the expression

$$(\Pi_n S(\Phi), \psi_0)_2 = - \frac{2 \sqrt{2} \gamma_n}{\| \psi \|_2} (\alpha - \hat{\alpha}^2) (b) + O(\epsilon^3). \quad (5.27)$$

In particular, the evolution Eq. (5.21) reduces to

$$\alpha_n \rho_n + \epsilon z_n \kappa_0 \rho_n (\alpha) + \epsilon \beta_{n, \ell} = - \frac{2 \sqrt{2} \gamma_n}{\| \psi \|_2} (\alpha - \hat{\alpha}^2) (b) + O(\epsilon^3) + O(\epsilon).$$

In the sequel it will be shown that $z_n (t) = O(\epsilon^3)$ and hence this term is of a lower order in both (5.18) and (5.28). These two equations describe the leading order evolution of the background state and the bi-layer width. Moreover they can be uncoupled at the leading order. We first observe from (4.23) that $\psi_0$ is independent of $\alpha$ to a leading order. We can obtain the same result for $\| \psi \|_2$ since

$$\partial_t \| \psi \|_2^2 = - \frac{1}{2} \langle \partial_t (\hat{Z} (\phi)), \psi \rangle_2 = \frac{1}{2} \langle L(\hat{Z} (\phi)), \psi \rangle_2 + O(\epsilon),$$

$$= \epsilon \alpha \| \psi \|_2^2 + O(\epsilon), \quad (5.28)$$

where we used (4.45) and (A6) to obtain the second and third equalities. Introducing the surface-weighted average

$$(f)_0 = \frac{f (s) \gamma_0 (s)}{\int f \gamma_0 (s) d s}, \quad (5.30)$$

we multiply (5.28) by $\gamma_0$ and integrate over $\Gamma$ and use the result to replace the “widening” terms in (5.18). Dropping the lower-order “stretching” terms, we obtain the leading order evolution equation for the background state,

$$\delta \beta (s) = - \frac{2 \sqrt{2} \gamma_n}{\| \psi \|_2} (\alpha - \hat{\alpha}^2) (b) \| \psi \|_2^2 + O(\epsilon^3). \quad (5.31)$$

Returning to (5.28), and dropping the lower order stretching term we have the evolution

$$\alpha + \epsilon \beta_{n, \ell} = - \frac{2 \sqrt{2} \gamma_n}{\| \psi \|_2} (\alpha - \hat{\alpha}^2) (b) + O(\epsilon^3). \quad (5.32)$$

The evolution for the background perturbation $b_2$ takes place on a relatively fast $t = O(\epsilon^{-1})$ time-scale while the bi-layer width seeks its equilibrium on a $t = O(\epsilon^{-2})$ time-scale. We may view $\alpha$ as a constant over the $t = O(\epsilon^{-1})$ time-scale. Recalling the equilibrium relation (4.63), we see that $b_2$ solves a linear equation

$$b_2 = \frac{2 \sqrt{2} \gamma_n}{\| \psi \|_2} \| \psi \|_2^2 \left( \| \psi \|_2^2 + \gamma_1 b_2 \right) + O(\epsilon^3). \quad (5.33)$$

In particular, $b_2$ relaxes after an $O(\epsilon^{-1})$ transient to its stable equilibrium value

$$\delta \beta (s) = - \frac{\| \psi \|_2^2}{\gamma_1} \| \psi \|_2^2 + O(\epsilon^3). \quad (5.34)$$

Subsequently, the background state is driven adiabatically by the relaxation parameter and its time derivative scales as $b_2 = O(\| \psi ||_2^2) \| \psi \|_2^2$. On the longer $t = O(\epsilon^{-2})$ time-scales the $b_2$ term on the left-hand side of (5.32) may be neglected, yielding a nonlinear-nonlocal system of ODEs for $\psi(s, t)$ with a common equilibrium value given by the nonlocal term $b_2$. Moreover the equilibrium value of $b_2$ is precisely the value that makes the right-hand side of (5.31) zero, viz., $\| \psi \|_2^2 = - \| \hat{Z} \|_2^2$. Substituting from (4.23) and (4.41) to simplify the leading coefficient, the system (5.32) takes the appealing closed form

$$\alpha (s, t) = - \frac{16 \sqrt{2} \mu \| \psi \|_2^2}{\| \hat{Z} \|_2^2} \| \psi \|_2^2 + O(\epsilon^3) \quad (5.35)$$

This equation has a family of equilibria comprised of functions that are constant in the transverse variable $s$. To address the linear stability of this family, we consider zero mass perturbations $w$ to a constant background state $w = w(s)$, where $\| w \|_2 \ll 1$. Such perturbations do not impact the surface-weighted average operator at a linear level. Indeed taking the variational derivative, the contributions from $\partial_t w_0$ cancel since $w_0$ is constant in $s$, and we have

$$\delta \beta (s) = 2 \alpha w (w) \| \psi \|_2^2 = 0 \quad (5.36)$$

Modulo perturbations to the total mass, which merely shift the constant value of $\alpha$, the linearization of the nonlocal system (5.35) uncouples into ODEs with a common equilibrium value, and the linear stability of the family of uniform states follows.

The actual equilibrium values $\alpha$ and $\hat{b} (s) \equiv \beta_2 (s)$ attained depend upon the initial mass of $w_0$ and the area $| \Gamma |$ of the hypersurface. Since the remainder $v$ in (5.2) satisfies the non-degeneracy conditions, and in particular has zero mass, we have the equality

$$\int \Omega_{\Omega} \psi_0 (x) dx = \int \Omega \Psi (z, \alpha, \hat{b} (\alpha)) dx,$n

$$= \int \Omega \left( \hat{b} (s) + \langle \hat{Z} (\phi), \hat{b} (\alpha) \rangle - \hat{b} (\alpha) \right) + \epsilon \| \psi \|_2^2 \right) dx,$n

$$= \hat{b} (s) | \Omega | + \epsilon \int \Omega \| M_b (s, \hat{b} (\alpha)) d s + O(\| \psi \|_2^2),$$

$$| \Omega | b_2 + \epsilon \| \psi \|_2^2 \right) dx,$n

$$= = \hat{b} (s) | \Omega | + \epsilon \int \Omega M_b (s, \hat{b} (\alpha)) d s + O(\| \psi \|_2^2). \quad (5.37)$$
An initial datum close to $\mathcal{M}$ has a mass of the form $\int_{\Omega} u_0(x) \, dx = |\Omega|b_0 + \varepsilon m_0$, for some $m_0 > 0$ independent of $\varepsilon$. The quasi-steady value of $\alpha$ satisfies the equality

$$M_0(\alpha) = \frac{m_0}{|\Gamma|} + O(\varepsilon). \quad (5.38)$$

From Lemma A.3 we see that $M_0$ is strictly decreasing in $\alpha$ with a logarithmic singularity as $\alpha \to \alpha^*$. The intermediate value theorem provides the existence of a unique solution $\alpha = \alpha(m_0, |\Gamma|)$ of (5.38) for admissible curves $\Gamma$ and sufficiently large (scaled) initial masses $m_0$.

### 5.2. Geometric evolution of bi-layer interfaces

We assume that the detuning and background parameters have relaxed to their quasi-equi-umbilical, which we denote with the unadorned $\alpha$ and $b$. The evolution of the hypersurface, $\Gamma$, couples to these quasi-equi-umbilicals through (5.38) since in changes in hypersurface area, while slow, are cumulative and will compete for admissible curves $\Gamma$ in $H^1(\Gamma)$. Since the equilibrium value of $\alpha$, $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$, is strictly decreasing in $\varepsilon$, the equilibrium value of $\alpha$ is degenerate, bringing higher-order effects into play. From (4.79) the $O(\varepsilon^{-1})$ term in (5.43) takes the form

$$\tilde{R}_{21} = (L_2 + P_2A_1 + \hat{P}_2(\phi) - 2\alpha^2g''''(\phi)g(\phi), \psi_1)_2. \quad (5.44)$$

However $L_2\psi_1 = 0$, while using (4.73) and (4.75) to expand $P_2A_1$ and exploiting parity we find

$$\tilde{R}_{21} = -2\alpha\kappa_0 \frac{\psi_1}{\|\phi\|^2} \left( (g''''(\phi)g(\phi), \phi'_2) - 2\alpha^2\kappa_0 \frac{\psi_1}{\|\phi\|^2} \left( g''''(\phi)g(\phi), \phi'_2 \right) \right), \quad (5.45)$$

where we used (4.31) and (A.6) in the reduction above. The normal velocity takes the form

$$v_\| = \frac{\tilde{R}_{31}}{\| \phi \|^2} - 2\alpha\kappa_0 \frac{\psi_1}{\| \phi \|^2} \left( g''''(\phi)g(\phi), \phi'_2 \right) + O(\varepsilon). \quad (5.46)$$

This analysis justifies our scaling, as $v_\| = 0(1)$, particularly since (5.46) was obtained for an arbitrary detuning profile $\alpha(s)$ which is independent of the relaxation of $\alpha$ to a uniform value over the interface.

To obtain an explicit representation for the normal velocity we must expand $R_{31}$. Turning to (4.83) we observe that $(L_2, \psi_1)_2 = (A_2, L_2\psi_1)_2 = 0$, while from (4.32) and parity considerations we find that $(r(\phi), \psi_1)_2 = 0$. We are left with

$$\tilde{R}_{31} = (P_2A_2 + P_2A_1, \psi_1)_2. \quad (5.47)$$

We address the $P_2A_2$ term first. Since the equilibrium value of $\alpha$ is constant in the tangential coordinate $s$, we may drop $\Delta_\phi$ in (4.76) and expand the inner product as

$$(P_2A_2, \psi_1)_2 = \left( L_2\psi_2 + \kappa_2 z\phi_1, P_1^\perp \psi_1 \right)_2 = \left( L_2^\perp \phi_2, L_\perp^\perp P_1^\perp \psi_1 \right)_2 + \frac{\kappa_0\kappa_1}{2} \| \phi \|^2_2. \quad (5.48)$$

From (4.25), (A.6) and (A.7) we calculate

$$L_\perp^\perp P_1^\perp \psi_1 = -\tilde{\pi} \frac{\kappa_0}{2} z^2 \psi_1 + \frac{\alpha}{2\sqrt{2}} L_2^\perp \psi_0 + O(\varepsilon), \quad (5.49)$$

so that (5.48) reduces to

$$(P_2A_2, \psi_1)_2 = -\frac{\kappa_0}{2\| \phi \|^2_2} \left( L_2^\perp \phi_2, z\phi_1 \right)_2 - \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2}} \left( L_2^\perp \phi_2, \tilde{z}\phi_0 \right)_2 + \frac{\kappa_0\kappa_1}{2} \| \phi \|^2_2. \quad (5.50)$$

Using (4.74), (4.75) and (4.25) we expand $P_2A_1$ as

$$P_2A_1 = \kappa_0\kappa_1 z\phi''''(\phi)\phi' - \phi\Delta_\phi\kappa_0 - \kappa_0 G''(\phi)\phi_2' + \frac{\alpha^2\kappa_0}{\sqrt{2}} G''(\phi)\phi_2 + O(\varepsilon). \quad (5.51)$$
Projecting onto \( \psi \), we obtain

\[
(P_2A_1, \psi)^2 = \|\phi\|^2_2 \left( \Delta \kappa_0 - \frac{k_0 k_1}{2} \right) - \frac{k_0}{\|\phi\|^2_2} \left( g''(\phi) \phi \right)_2^2 + \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2}} \left( g''(\phi) \phi \right)_2^2.
\]

We use (A.4) and (A.6) on the second inner product to write

\[
g''(\phi)(\phi')^2 = \frac{1}{\gamma^2} (Z\phi''),
\]

while (A.5) and (A.7) applied to the third term shows that

\[
(g''(\phi) \phi')^2 = -\frac{1}{\gamma^2} (Z\phi')^2 + O(\epsilon).
\]

Using these identities in (5.52) and using that result and (5.50) in (5.47) we obtain

\[
\tilde{R}_{31} = \|\phi\|^2_2 \Delta \kappa_0 - \frac{k_0}{\|\phi\|^2_2} (Z\phi', Z\phi')_2 + O(\epsilon).
\]

Returning to the definition, (4.80), of \( \phi_2 \), we expand \( P_2 A_1 \) and neglect terms that are odd about \( z = 0 \) to obtain

\[
L^2 \phi_2 = -\frac{\pi}{\gamma} \left( \kappa_1 L \phi' + \sqrt{2} \phi' + \alpha^2 \left( g'(\phi) g''(\phi) + \frac{1}{2} g'(\phi) g''(\phi) \right) \right).
\]

It is straightforward to compute that

\[
\tilde{\pi} z \phi' = \phi' + p_2(\alpha) \phi_0.
\]

and hence \( (L \phi', \tilde{\pi} z \phi')_2 = -\|\phi'\|^2_2 + O(\epsilon) \) and \( (\tilde{\pi} \phi', \tilde{\pi} z \phi')_2 = -\|\phi'\|^2_2 + O(\epsilon) \). Combining this with (4.61) we have

\[
(p_2(\alpha), \tilde{\pi} z \phi')_2 = (B, p_2(\alpha), z)_2 + p_2(\alpha)(p_2(\alpha), \phi_0)_2 = -\frac{\pi}{\gamma} \phi/a + 2p_2(\alpha)p_2(\phi_0) + O(\sqrt{\epsilon}).
\]

Combining these relations with (5.53), we obtain

\[
\tilde{R}_{31} = \|\phi\|^2_2 \Delta \kappa_0 - \frac{k_0}{\|\phi\|^2_2} (p_2(\phi) - 2p_2(p_0)) \|\phi\|^2_2 + O(\epsilon).
\]

where we used \( g''(\phi) = G'(\phi) + O(\epsilon) = \phi'' + O(\epsilon) \) to arrive at (5.62). Inserting these reductions into (5.46) and (5.57) yields the form of the normal velocity

\[
V_3 = \left( \Delta - \beta(\alpha) - \kappa_1 - \frac{1}{2} \kappa_0^2 \right) \kappa_0 + O(\epsilon),
\]

where the \( \alpha \) dependent coefficient \( \beta(\alpha) \) is defined by

\[
\beta(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\|\phi\|^2_2} \left( \beta_2(\phi) - \frac{\beta_2(\alpha)}{\|\phi\|^2_2} \phi_0^2 + \frac{\alpha^2}{\|\phi\|^2_2} \left( \frac{5\|\phi\|^2_2}{4} + 4\|\phi\|^3_2 \frac{\beta_2(\alpha)}{\|\phi\|^2_2} \right) \right).
\]

We deduce properties of \( \beta \) in the lemma below.

**Lemma 5.1.** The coefficient \( \beta \) has the asymptotic form

\[
\beta(\alpha) = -\eta_2 + \alpha^2 \left( \frac{5 \mu^2}{4 \gamma^2} M_b(\alpha) + \frac{4 \|\phi\|^3_2}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\beta_2(\alpha)}{\|\phi\|^2_2} \right) - \frac{3\sqrt{2}\gamma_0}{\|\phi\|^2_2} \rho_2(\alpha) + O(\epsilon).
\]

In particular

\[
\lim_{\omega \to \omega_0} \beta(\alpha) = -\eta_2 + O(\epsilon) < 0,
\]

while there exists \( \alpha_0 > 0 \) such that \( \beta(\alpha) > 0 \) for \( \alpha > \alpha_0 \), and \( \beta \) has at least one zero for \( \alpha \in (\alpha_0, \alpha_\epsilon) \).

**Proof.** Write \( \beta = (\beta_2 + \alpha^2 \beta_2)\|\phi\|^2_2 \) where \( \beta_2 \) represents the first two terms on the right-hand side of (5.65) which involve \( p_2 \) and \( \beta_2 \) represents the inner products which involve \( g \). From (4.11) we see that

\[
\beta_2(\phi) = \beta_2(\phi) + \beta_2(\phi) + \beta_2(\phi) + O(\epsilon).
\]

Since \( W = G + O(\epsilon) \), we may use the relations \( W' = \phi'' + O(\epsilon) \) and \( W'' = \frac{1}{2}(\phi')^2 + O(\epsilon) \), to eliminate \( W(\phi) \) and \( W''(\phi) \), obtaining

\[
\beta_2(\phi) = -\mu - \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\phi' - \phi - b') d\phi + O(\epsilon) = -\mu M_b(\alpha) + O(\epsilon).
\]

Similarly we find

\[
\beta_2(\phi) = \mu - \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\phi' - b') d\phi + O(\epsilon)
\]

Combining these results with the equilibrium value of \( b_2 \) given in (5.34) yields

\[
\beta_2(\phi) = \frac{\mu^2}{\gamma^2} M_b(\alpha) \|\phi\|^2_2 + O(\epsilon).
\]

From (4.18) and (5.34) the equilibrium value of \( p_2(\phi) \) takes the form

\[
p_2(\phi) = \sqrt{2\mu + g(b_\epsilon)} \alpha^2 + O(\epsilon).
\]

We combine (5.71) and (5.72) to obtain

\[
\beta_\epsilon(\alpha) = \alpha^2 \left( \frac{\mu^2}{\gamma^2} M_b(\alpha) - \frac{\beta_2(\alpha)}{\|\phi\|^2_2} \sqrt{2\mu + g(b_\epsilon)} \right) - \|\phi\|^2_2 \eta_2 + O(\epsilon).
\]
Combining this expression with (5.65) we obtain (5.66). Using the results of Lemmas A.3 and A.4, we see that \( \bar{M}_b \) and \( \bar{M}_e \) have logarithmic singularities as \( \alpha \to \alpha_c^+ = O(\varepsilon) \), however these are dominated by the \( \alpha \) prefactor, and we obtain (5.67). From Lemma A.4 we see that both \( \bar{M}_b \) and \( \bar{M}_e \) are positive but decrease with increasing \( \alpha \). In particular, for \( \alpha \) sufficiently large, independent of \( \varepsilon \), we have the lower bound \( \beta(\alpha) \geq -\bar{m}_2 + \alpha \bar{R}^2 \), for a \( c > 0 \) also independent of \( \varepsilon \). Taking \( \alpha_c > \sqrt{\bar{m}_2/c} \) shows that \( \beta > 0 \) for \( \alpha > \alpha_c \). (see Fig. 5.2) □

5.3. Coupled evolution

The normal velocity couples to the tilt parameter \( \alpha \) through the parameter \( \beta \) in (5.64). The evolution of the curve shape couples back to \( \alpha \) through (5.38). The interfacial surface area \( |I'| \) evolves according to a curvature weighted integral of the normal velocity,

\[
|I'| = \int_{I'} \nu \bar{K}_0 \, ds. \tag{5.74}
\]

Substituting (5.64) and integrating the Laplace–Beltrami operator by parts over the closed curve \( I' \) we obtain

\[
|I'| = \int_{I'} [\bar{K}_0]^{-1} = \left[ \beta + \kappa_1 + \frac{1}{2}\kappa_0^2 \right] \bar{K}_0 \, ds. \tag{5.75}
\]

The relation between \( \kappa_0 \) and \( \kappa_1 \) is in general complicated. However for a single, radially symmetric interface, then each curvature \( \kappa_1 = 1/R \) where \( R \) is the radius of \( I' \), so that \( \kappa_0 = \frac{d-1}{2R} \), \( \kappa_1 = -\frac{d-1}{2R} \), and moreover \( \bar{K} = \nu \bar{K}_0 \). For this case we have the simple expression

\[
|I'| = -S_d \left( \beta + \frac{(d-1)(d-3)}{2R^2} \right) (d-1)^2 \bar{R}^{d-3}, \tag{5.76}
\]

where \( S_d \) is the surface area of the unit ball in \( \mathbb{R}^d \). The radius evolves according to the coupled system

\[
\kappa_0 = -\frac{M_b(\alpha)}{S_d \bar{R}^{d-2}}. \tag{5.78}
\]

For \( d \neq 3 \) the unique equilibrium is determined by solving

\[
R = \sqrt{\frac{(d-1)(d-3)}{2\beta(\alpha)}}, \tag{5.79}
\]

coupled to (5.78). In particular the equilibrium occurs for an \( \alpha \) with \( \beta(\alpha) > 0 \) for \( d = 2 \) and with \( \beta(\alpha) < 0 \) for \( d \geq 4 \). In \( \mathbb{R}^3 \), the equilibrium occurs for \( \beta(\alpha) = 0 \) with associated radius \( R = (m_0/M_b(\alpha)/S_3)^{1/2} \), so that the equilibrium value of the detuning parameter, and consequently the bi-layer width is independent of the initial mass parameter, \( m_0 \).

5.4. Two-dimensional results

As for the front-type interfaces, the normal velocity may be rewritten in terms of the curvature, which in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) takes the form

\[
\partial_t \kappa_0 + \int_0^1 \nu \bar{K}_0(s) \, ds \partial_t \kappa_0
\]

\[
= -\left( \beta^2 + \kappa_0^2 \right) \left( \partial_t \beta + \frac{1}{2}\kappa_0^2 \right) \kappa_0 + O(\varepsilon). \tag{5.80}
\]

5.4.1. Stability of radially symmetric solutions

To address the stability of this stationary solution with respect to non-radial perturbations, we consider a surface \( I' \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \) whose curvature is a small perturbation from a constant value, \( \kappa_0 = \pi_0 + \psi(s, \tau) \), where \( \pi_0 \) solves \( \nu_0 = 0 \) and \( \|\psi\|_{L^\infty(I')} \ll 1 \). To determine the linearized equation we must address the variation of the equilibrium relation \( \alpha = \alpha(|I'|) \), given by (5.38), with respect to \( \kappa_0 \). By the chain rule we have may define the variational derivative of \( \beta \) with respect to perturbations to \( \kappa_0 \),

\[
\frac{\delta \beta}{\delta \kappa_0} = \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \alpha} \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial |I'|} \frac{\partial |I'|}{\partial \kappa_0}. \tag{5.81}
\]

However, eigenfunction perturbations of a circular curve \( \kappa_0 = \pi \) satisfy \( \frac{\delta |I'|}{\delta \kappa_0} = 0 \) and the associated eigenvalue problem for \( \nu = e^{i\mu} \psi(s) \), reduces to

\[
\mu \psi = -\left( \bar{a}^2 + \kappa_0^2 \right) \psi. \tag{5.82}
\]

As in the analysis of the front-type solution, (3.40), the eigenfunctions are of the form \( \psi_n(s) = e^{in\theta} \), where \( \xi_n = n\pi_0 \), for \( n = \pm 2, \pm 3, \ldots \), and the associated eigenvalues are

\[
\mu_n = -\frac{n^4}{4} (-n^2 + 1)^2. \tag{5.83}
\]

The eigenvalues are all negative for \( n \geq 2 \), and the radially symmetric curve solution is linearly stable to non-radial perturbations.

5.4.2. Meander Patterns

The evolution Eq. (5.80) also possesses interesting non-radial stationary solutions. Solving \( \nu_0 = 0 \) for \( \kappa_0 \) yields the leading-order expression,

\[
\partial_t^2 \kappa_0(s, \tau) = \beta \kappa_0 - \frac{1}{2} \kappa_0^3. \tag{5.84}
\]

This second order equation is Hamiltonian, with conserved quantity

\[
E(\partial_\tau^2 \kappa_0, \kappa_0) = \frac{1}{2} |\partial_\tau \kappa_0|^2 - \frac{\beta}{2} \kappa_0^2 + \frac{1}{8} \kappa_0^4. \tag{5.85}
\]

For \( \beta > 0 \) there are two bounded solutions corresponding to \( E = 0 \), a homoclinic orbit which arises out of \( (0, 0) \), and its negative, which together form a figure eight in the phase plane. For \( E > 0 \) the stationary solutions, \( \kappa_0(s; E) \), can be uniquely parameterized by the value of the energy, \( E \). They form hour-glass shaped periodic orbits about the homoclinic orbits. The corresponding curve \( I' = \{ \gamma(s) | s \in [0, |I'|] \} \) is determined by \( \kappa_0 \) which gives the rate of change of \( I' \)'s tangent vector with respect to arc length,

\[
\frac{d\gamma}{ds} = \left( -\sin(\theta(s)) \right) \cos(\theta(s)), \tag{5.86}
\]

\[
\frac{d\theta}{ds} = \kappa_0(s; \tau). \tag{5.87}
\]

The initial conditions on \( \gamma \) and \( \theta \) determine the rigid body displacement and rotation of \( I' \). For a small value of
The corresponding curve \( \Gamma \) is self-intersecting. However for \( E \) sufficiently large, depending upon \( \beta \), the curves do not self intersect and form meander patterns which are stationary equilibria of the evolution system (5.80). Coupling these to the mass constraint (5.38) yields an algebraic system which can be solved for \( E > 0 \) in terms of the Hamiltonian constant \( H > 0 \) in terms of the initial data \( m_0 \). The meander patterns oscillate about a straight line, and an exact, closed curve solution can be obtained in a periodic square of length \( L \) which is tuned to match the spatial periodicity of the particular meander pattern arising from the algebraic system.

**Appendix**

The linearization \( L \) of the second order Eq. (3.1) about the single-layer solution \( \phi \) enjoys the following properties.

**Lemma A.1.** The spectrum of \( L \) near the origin consists of a single eigenvalue at zero corresponding to the translational eigenfunction \( \phi \). The remainder of the spectrum of \( L \) is real and uniformly negative. Moreover the following identities hold,

\[
L_0 \phi^0 = 0, \tag{A.1}
\]

\[
L_0 \phi^0 = W^\alpha(\phi) \left( \phi^0 \right)^2, \tag{A.2}
\]

\[
L_0(2\phi^0) = 2\phi^0. \tag{A.3}
\]

The linearization \( L \) of the second order Eq. (4.15) about its homoclinic solution \( \phi \) enjoys the following properties.

**Lemma A.2.** Let \( \phi(z; \alpha) \) solve (4.15) with \( G \) given by (4.12), then the following equalities hold

\[
L\phi'' = G''(\phi) \left( \phi' \right)^2, \tag{A.4}
\]

\[
L\psi_0 = G''(\phi) \phi' \psi_0 + \lambda_0 \psi_0, \tag{A.5}
\]

\[
L(2\phi') = 2\phi''. \tag{A.6}
\]

\[
L(2\psi_0) = 2\psi''_0 + \lambda_0 \psi_0 \tag{A.7}
\]

where \( L \) linearization of (4.15) about \( \phi \) given in (4.19).

**Proof.** The first equality follows from taking partial derivatives of (4.15) with respect to \( z \) while the second equality arises from taking partial derivative of the eigenvalue equation for \( \psi_0 \) with respect to \( z \). The last two equalities follow from expanding the action of \( L \).

**Lemma A.3.** Consider the whiskered mass, \( M_b \geq 0 \), of the pulse above its background state defined by (5.16). There exists a constant \( M_{b,0} > 0 \), independent of \( \alpha \), and \( b \) for which

\[
M_b(\alpha) = M_{b,0} - \frac{(b_+ - b_-)\|\phi\|^2}{2\gamma_0} \ln(\alpha - \alpha_+) + O(\sqrt{\gamma}), \tag{A.8}
\]

for \( 0 < \alpha - \alpha_+ < \epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \). In particular \( M_b \) is strictly decreasing everywhere positive, and has a logarithmic singularity as \( \alpha \to \alpha_+^\ast \).

**Proof.** Taking the \( \alpha \) derivative of \( M_b \) we see from (4.40) and (4.43) that \( M_b \) is strictly decreasing in \( \alpha \),

\[
\frac{dM_b}{d\alpha} = \int_R \partial_\beta \phi + \epsilon^2(\partial_\beta \phi - 1)\partial_\alpha \partial_\beta \phi \, dz = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma_0}} \rho + O(\epsilon) < 0. \tag{A.9}
\]

Moreover, from (4.26) we have

\[
\psi_0 = \frac{-2\sqrt{2}}{\|\phi\|_2} \int_0^\infty \phi \, dz + O(1) = \frac{2\sqrt{2}(b_+ - b_-)}{\|\phi\|_2} + O(\sqrt{\gamma}), \tag{A.10}
\]

so that

\[
\frac{dM_b}{d\alpha} = \frac{(b_+ - b_-)\|\phi\|^2}{2(\alpha - \alpha_+)^{\gamma_0}} + O(\sqrt{\gamma}), \tag{A.11}
\]

from which we deduce (A.8). The positivity of \( M_b \) follows from the fact that \( \phi > b \) everywhere.

**Lemma A.4.** Consider the inner product \( \rho_x(\alpha) \geq 0 \) defined by (5.22). There exists a constant \( \rho_{x,0} > 0 \), independent of \( \alpha \) and \( b \) such that

\[
\rho_x(\alpha) = \rho_{x,0} - \rho_{x,0} \ln(\alpha - \alpha_+) + O(\epsilon), \tag{A.12}
\]

for \( 0 < \alpha - \alpha_+ \ll \epsilon^{-1} \).

**Proof.** First observe that

\[
\rho_x \psi_0 = \frac{\rho_{x,0}}{\|\phi\|_2} |z| \psi_0 + O(\epsilon). \tag{A.13}
\]

Taking the \( \alpha \) derivative and using (4.40) yields

\[
\partial_\alpha \rho_x \psi_0 = \frac{\rho_{x,0}}{\|\phi\|_2} |z| \psi_0 + O(\epsilon). \tag{A.14}
\]
Taking the $\alpha$ derivative of $P_2(\alpha)$ and using the two results above yields
\[
\bar{\alpha}_0 P_2(\alpha) = -(z \phi_0')(\psi_0) - (\phi_0', z \bar{\alpha}_0 \psi_0) + \frac{1}{\|\phi_0\|^2} (\phi_0', z \gamma z_0) + O(\varepsilon). \tag{A.15}
\]
However $|z \phi_0' = -z \phi_1'| = -z \|\phi_0\| \psi_0 + O(\varepsilon)$ and we obtain
\[
\bar{\alpha}_0 P_2(\alpha) = 2 P_2(z \psi_0', \psi_0) + O(\varepsilon) = - \frac{\rho_{\alpha_0}}{\alpha} + O(\varepsilon). \tag{A.16}
\]
Integrating (A.16) in $\alpha$ yields (A.12). □
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