

REVIEW PROBLEMS

① Suppose $(x_1, x_2) + (y_1, y_2)$ is defined to be $(x_2 + y_1, x_1 + y_2)$. With the usual multiplication $c\vec{x} = (cx_1, cx_2)$, which of the eight conditions for a vector space are not satisfied?

Solⁿ Let's check axiom (i)

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{x} + \vec{y} &= (x_1, x_2) + (y_1, y_2) = (\underline{x_2 + y_1}, x_1 + y_2) \\ \vec{y} + \vec{x} &= (y_1, y_2) + (x_1, x_2) = (\underline{y_2 + x_1}, y_1 + x_2)\end{aligned}\quad \vec{x} + \vec{y} \neq \vec{y} + \vec{x}$$

How about axiom (ii)?

Axiom (ii) fails

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{x} + (\vec{y} + \vec{z}) &= (x_1, x_2) + (y_2 + z_1, y_1 + z_2) = (\underline{x_2 + y_2 + z_1}, x_1 + y_1 + z_2) \\ (\vec{x} + \vec{y}) + \vec{z} &= (x_2 + y_1, x_1 + y_2) + (z_1, z_2) = (\underline{x_1 + y_2 + z_1}, x_2 + y_1 + z_2)\end{aligned}$$

How about axiom (viii)?

Axiom (viii) fails

$$(c_1 + c_2)\vec{x} = (\underline{(c_1 + c_2)x_1}, (c_1 + c_2)x_2) \neq (\underline{c_1x_2 + c_2y_1}, c_1x_1 + c_2y_2)$$

② (#5 from Problem Set 3.1)

(a) Describe a subspace of M that contains

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ but not } B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Note: M is the vector space of real 2×2 matrices

Solⁿ Consider the set $M_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2} \mid c \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$

$$A \in M_1 \text{ but } B \notin M_1$$

$M_1 \subseteq M$ and we can check that M_1 is closed under addition, scalar multiplication.

(b) If a subspace of M does contain A and B , must it contain I ?

Solⁿ Yes! Why? To be a subspace, all linear combinations should be in the subspace. $A - B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ is a linear combination of A, B and should therefore be in the subspace.

(c) Describe a subspace of M that contains no nonzero diagonal matrices

Solⁿ $M_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ b & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$ Check: $M_2 \subseteq M$; linear combinations stay in M_2

- ③ Which rule is broken if multiplying $f(x)$ by c gives the function $f(2cx)$?
Let the definition of $f(x) + g(x)$ remain unchanged.

Solⁿ

Here is a rule which breaks

Axiom (viii): $(c_1 + c_2)\vec{x} = c_1\vec{x} + c_2\vec{x}$

In our problem, $(c_1 + c_2)f(x) = f((c_1 + c_2)x)$ ——— ①

$c_1f(x) + c_2f(x) = f(2c_1x) + f(2c_2x)$ ——— ②

Does ① = ② always for all f ?

No! Here is an example: $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $f(x) = x^2$

Then $f((c_1 + c_2)x) = ((c_1 + c_2)x)^2 = \underline{(4c_1^2 + 8c_1c_2 + 4c_2^2)x^2}$

$f(2c_1x) + f(2c_2x) = \underline{4c_1^2x^2 + 4c_2^2x^2}$

④ (This problem concerns subspaces)

(a) Find a set of vectors in \mathbb{R}^2 for which $\vec{x} + \vec{y}$ stays in the set, but $\sqrt{2}\vec{x}$ may be outside

Solⁿ Consider $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ with $A = \left\{ (x_1, x_2) \mid x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Q} \right\}$
For $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in A$, $(x_1, x_2) + (y_1, y_2) \in A$ since sum of rational numbers is still a rational number.

but consider $\vec{x} \in A$ with $\vec{x} = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3} \right)$

$\sqrt{2}\vec{x} = \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \right)$. Since $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$ and $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}$ are not rational, $\sqrt{2}\vec{x} \notin \mathbb{Q}$.

(b) Find a set of vectors in \mathbb{R}^2 for which every $c\vec{x}$ stays in the set but $\vec{x} + \vec{y}$ may be outside.

Solⁿ Consider \mathbb{R}^2 with the y-axis removed. (The x-axis and the origin remains)

⑤ Let P be the plane in \mathbb{R}^3 with equation $2x + y + z = 2$.

Find two vectors in P such that their sum is not in P .

Solⁿ Note: $(0, 0, 0) \notin P$. Hence P cannot be a subspace of \mathbb{R}^3 .

Consider $\vec{a} = (1, 0, 0)$ and $\vec{b} = (0, 2, 0)$.

We see both \vec{a}, \vec{b} are in the plane P .

However, $\vec{a} + \vec{b} = (1, 2, 0)$

$\vec{a} + \vec{b}$ is not in the plane since $2(1) + 2 + 0 = 4 \neq 2$.

6 (#20 from Problem Set 3.1)

For which right sides (find a condition on b_1, b_2, b_3) are these systems solvable?

$$(a) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & 2 \\ 2 & 8 & 4 \\ -1 & -4 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let's perform elimination on $[A : \vec{b}]$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & 2 & : & b_1 \\ 2 & 8 & 4 & : & b_2 \\ -1 & -4 & -2 & : & b_3 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[\text{row } \textcircled{3} + \text{row } \textcircled{1}]{\text{row } \textcircled{2} - 2 \text{ row } \textcircled{1}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & 2 & : & b_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & : & b_2 - 2b_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & : & b_3 + b_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

When do we have a solution?

$$\boxed{b_2 - 2b_1 = 0 \text{ and } b_3 + b_1 = 0}$$

$$(b) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 9 \\ -1 & -4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Elimination gives

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & : & b_1 \\ 2 & 9 & : & b_2 \\ -1 & -4 & : & b_3 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[\text{row } \textcircled{3} + \text{row } \textcircled{1}]{\text{row } \textcircled{2} - 2 \text{ row } \textcircled{1}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & : & b_1 \\ 0 & 1 & : & b_2 - 2b_1 \\ 0 & 0 & : & b_3 + b_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Solution only if $\boxed{b_3 + b_1 = 0}$